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## CORRIGENDA

> (Sapplied by Mr. G. M^N. Raahforth).

Page 55, note 2. In favour of the identification of Daraßiay with Pettan, and against the identification, here suggeated, with Paesan, Mommen in C. I. I. iii. p. 510 callecta the following evidence. Poetovio is called Patario in the Antonine Itinerary, p. 129. At this time it was ibcluded in Noricum, as is shown by Aminianas Mare. 14. 11. 19, ' Petobio urbe in Norico,' and the Jeruasalem Itinerary (1.D. 333). Batava Castra was on the Reetian side of the Aenus (Inn), which was the boundary between Raetia and Noricum (Tacitua, Hist. 3. 5), and the Notitia Dignitatum therefore places the 'tribunus cohortis novee Batavorum Bataris' nuder Reatia Soe C. I. L. iii. p. 6 go.
P. 107, note 1. The 'Bellonoti' are probably the mame as the 'Bellonoti' mentioned by Valerins Fleocus (Arg. 6. 160) in connection with the Sarmatians and Moerians as a 'gens Soythica' See De Vit, Onomasticon.
P. 247, L 12 from bottom, 'the fortieth of his episcopate.' Rather, the fortieth since his ordination. The words of Posesidius are (cap. 31), 'vixit annis lxxvi, in clericata autem vel episcopatu annis ferme IL.' Augurtine was ordained in 391. He did not become a Biahop till 395.
P. 255, note 1. For ' Note F' read ' Note G, p. 503.'
P. 298, 1. 1. For ' It is ' read 'Is it,' with ' at end of the sentenco.
P. 386, note I. The fiet that the Equeeter Ordo still eristed at this time in the City of Rome ie proved by Cod. Theod. vi. 36 (of 364 ), re-enacted by Cod. Juat. xii. 32, 'De Equestri Dignitate,' which begins, 'Equites Romani quos secondi gradus in Urbe omnium optinere volumus dignitatam.' If they are meant by Sidonius, the reference to their participation in the Judicia is an anachronim, as the Qusentiones Perpetuae had long ceased to exist.
P. 394, l. 8 from top, 'at the worat a charge of lexury.' It must be remambered however that 'luxaria' has a diatinctly wore connotation than 'laxury,' and may well imply moral depravity. Instanoes of this use of the word will be found in the Dictionaries. Here it is enough to refer to Suetonias, Caligula 25 : 'laxuries ac lascivize perditee.' This of coarse doen not affect the raguenees of the charge.
P. 406, marginal note, for 45 read 458.
P. 510, 1.5 from top, 'naturalised barbarisns,' oikeiar Bapßapary of Jannnes Antiochenas (note 2). Rathor 'barbariana who belonged to him,' i.e. hil barbarian followert; or 'who belonged to him by race;' i.e. those who were barbarians like himeelf.
P. 586, note I. The Collegin of Centonarii were Fire-brigadee. The firemen were called centonarii, from the centones or coverings made of rages, which were steeped in water and osed for extinguishing fires or protecting neighbouring buildings. Marquardt, Privatleben, ii. 585.



EARLY HISTORY OF THE HUNS.

## Authorities.

## Guide:-

This chaptar is for the most part a mere compilation from a BOOK II. previons compiler. Our chief guide is M. Degaignes, 'de l'Académie Roysle des Inscriptions et Belles-Lettres, Censeur Royal, Interprète do Roi pour les Langues Orientales, et Membre de la Societé Royale de Londres,' who published at Paris (1756-8) a 'Histoire Générale des Huns, des Tures, des Mongols, et des autres Tartares Occidentanx, avant et depuis JésusCbrist jusqu'd present' (4 vols. small 4to ; the first volume being divided into two parts). Only the second part of the first volume (and not the whole of that) is occupied with the history of the Hnns properly so called. The fortunes of the different branches of the Turkish and Mongol races fill up the remainder of the work, which might in fact be called 'The History of the Northern Turanians,' though that term was not known to ethnology when Degnignes wrote.

During the period for which we follow his gaidance he draws his materials entirely from Chinese historians, whose names are scrupulously quoted. The chief appear to be Kam-mo, Lite-tai-kisod, Han-crod, and Ssu-xi. As he was one of the first Chinese scholars of his day, and as his work has stood its ground for more than a century as an authority on the history of Central Asia, it is reasonable to presume that no gross inaccuracies have been discovered in his manner of using his Chinese anthorities.

BOOK II. It was stated in the first edition of this work that 'it would
Ch. 1. be prudent to hold the theory as to the origin of the Huns as not mach more than a possible hypothesis.' Perhaps we ought now to go farther than this and to Aigigerd that theory altogether. Mr. Howorth, than whom. ris. English scholar, perhaps no Europesn scholar, is mprea andified to express an opinion on points of Taranian effipology, pronounces decidedly against it in a paper contrifofed to the Journal of the Anthropological Institute (val. v: $\cdot \dot{9} \dot{9} \dot{6}-474$ ). But upon the whole, remembering the lengith of.time during which it was accepted with unquestioning faith; and considering that the Huns, who were undeniably an Angatic people, may probably enough have passed through some :of the experiences here recorded of the Hiong-nu, even if they were not the people bearing that name, I have thought it beat to let this chapter stand nearly as it was first written, referring the reader to the note at the end of the chapter for a brief summary of Mr. Howorth's arguments against the theory of Degaignes.

The article above referred to is incorporated with a 'Translation of the Han Annals' by Mr. A. Wylie. This, as far as Chinese history is concerned, goes over very mach the same ground which has been already traversed by Deguignes. I have compared the two accounts and have sometimes altered the spelling of a name on Mr. Wylie's authority.
'Therr is a race on Scythia's verge extreme Eastward, beyond the Tadais' chilly stream. The Northern Bear looks on no uglier crew: Base is their garb, their bodies foul to view; Their souls are ne'er subdued to sturdy toil Or Ceres' arts: their sustenance is spoil. With horrid wounds they gash their brut brows, And o'er their murdered parents bind their vows. Not e'en the Centaur-offspring of the Cloud Were horsed more firmly than this savage crowd. Brisk, lithe, in loose array they first come on, Fly, turn, attack the foe who deems them gone.'

Claddian, In Rufinum, i. 323-33r.
Such is the account which the courtier-poet of Rome gave of the Huns half a century before the name of

Attila became a terror to the nations. In the fifth book in. chapter of the first book ${ }^{1}$ we witnessed the effect which CH. 1 .. the appearance of these wild Tartar hordes produced upon the Gothic warriors. The swarthy faces, without either beard or whisker, the twinkling black eyes, the squat figures, the perfect understanding which seemed to exist between the riders and their little steeds, were there described in the words of the Gothic bishop, Jordanes, and we heard what he had to say concerning their 'execranda origo,' descended, as he believed them to be, from Gothic sorceresses and from evil spirits.

The German professor of to-day emerges from his The Huns library to gaze at the descendants and represen- reniman: tatives of the Huns, and liking them as little as his primeval kiusmen did, brands them with a term of deeper condemnation than Jordanes's epithets of 'witch-born' or 'fiend-begotten'-the terrible name, Turanian ${ }^{2}$.

For by thus defining their ethnological position he cuts them off from all connection with the great Aryan stem whose branches have overspread Europe, America, and Australia, Persia, and India; he equally destroys their claim to share in any of the glory of the Semitic races through whose instrumentality Judaism, Christianity, and Mhammedanism were given to the world; and he shuts them up with a multitude of dull barba-

[^0]sook in. rians, mighty in destruction, powerless in construction, who have done nothing for the cause of civilisation or human progress, and who, even where they have adopted some of the varnish of modern customs, have remained essentially and incurably barbarous to the present day ${ }^{1}$.

Now this Turanian (or, to speak popularly and with less accuracy, Tartar) race which burst upon the 376. affrighted Goths in the reign of the Emperor Valens, being a people of unlettered nomads, neither cared to give, nor probably could give to the European nations whom they terrified, any information as to their history in the remote past. Some traditions of a mythical kind as to the origin of their race they probably possessed, and had they established themselves

[^1]

The Southern Division, comprising races in Thibet and the two Indian peninsulas, we may omit as too distant kinsmen of the Huns, our present subject.
in Europe permanently, these might, like the Scandi- book 1 . navian sagas, have floated down into a literary age and Cr. 1. been so preserved. But the Huns vanished out of froponend Europe almost as suddenly as they came, leaving no $\begin{aligned} & \text { tion of the } \\ & \text { Huns } \\ & \text { with }\end{aligned}$ trace behind of their history, their language, or their the Hiongreligion. But for one somewhat disputed source of in- Chineto hirtory. formation, all is dark concerning them. That source is the History of China If the Huns be the Hiong-nu, whose ravages are recorded in that history, then we have a minute account of their doings for centuries before the Christian era, and we know, in fact, far more about them than about the inhabitants of Gaul or Britain before the time of Julius Cæsar : if they are not, our ignorance is complete.

A learned and laborious Frenchman, M. Deguignes, Thirtheory in the middle of last century, conceived the idea that by Der ${ }_{e}$ the Huns might be thus identified, and with infinite pains he wrote out their history from Chinese sources, and exhibited it in its connection with that of the various Tartar conquerors who, since their day, have poured down upon the civilised kingdoms of Europe and Asia, and wasted them.

As before hinted, this identification has been questioned, and it must be admitted that mere similarity of name is dangerous ground to build upon in the history of barbarous races. But as the hypothesis though looked upon with much less favour than it received a century ago, does not seem to be yet absolutely disproved, we may be permitted to spend some pages on the history of the Hiong-nu, in the possibility that we are thus contemplating the formation of that volcano which hurled forth Attila.

From the description which physical geographers
book in. give of Central Asia, it would surely be one of the
Сн. 1. of Central Asia. most striking features of our globe, in the sight of any visitor who might be approaching us from another sphere. Eastwards from longitude $73^{\circ}$ it rises, we are told, to the almost incredible average height of 8000 feet, bearing the character of a vast insulated upland, and, its extent and average elevation being taken into account, it may be said to form on the whole the most considerable projection on the surface of our planet ${ }^{1}$.

From this mighty upraised altar great rivers flow down in all directions, the Obi, Yenisei, and Lena through Siberia into the Arctic Sea, the Amour and the two great rivers of China, the Hoang-ho and Yang-tsi-kiang, into the Pacific; the Irawaddy, Brahmahpootra, Ganges, Indus, into the Indian Ocean; the Oxus and Jaxartes into the sea of Aral. Rivers of its own it has none (or only one, the Yar-kiang), having apparently no deep valleys: the small streams which it does possess find their way to some insignificant inland lake, and are lost there.

Four great mountain chains, limiting or traversing it, run from west to east. The mountains of Altai mark it off from Siberia on the north. The Thian Shan, or Mountains of Heaven, pass across the middle of it at about the 42 nd parallel of latitude. The Kuen-Lun fence off what is now Chinese Tartary from Thibet. The Himalayas bound the great plateau to the south.

No mountain chain of any importance appears to intersect the country from north to south till we reach

[^2]the Bolor Mountains (longitude $73^{\circ}$ ), which are its book n. western boundary, and which form a kind of step Ca. 1. down into the lower, but still lofty plateau ( 4000 feet high) of Eastern Turkestan.

The dominions of the Hiong-nu at the time of their Extent of greatest supremacy reached over the whole of the thone of the northern and central sections of this plateau-from ${ }^{\text {Biong-nu. }}$ Mount Altai, that is, to the Kuen-Lun. And westwards, their rule extended beyond the Bolor Mountains down into Turkestan, down lower still to the old seabed between Lake Aral and the Caspian, nay, even across the Ural Mountains to the Volga. In its more contracted state, their empire still touched the Irtish (long. $80^{\circ}$ ) on the west; but it seems to have receded to the Thian-Shan Mountains on the south; and the proper home of the race-if nomads can be said to have a home-was that district between China and Siberia bounded on the east by the Inshan Mountains (long. $115^{\circ}$ ), which is marked in modern maps Mongolia. Very roughly estimated, it is probably about as large as Germany and Austria put together. Across the centre of it stretches the great sandy desert of Gobi or Shamo.

Here, then, if we may trust our French guide, the nation of the Huns was roaming before the date usually assigned to the Call of Abraham. In winter they crowded down upon the Northern frontier of China, which lies in the latitude of Madrid; in summer they drove their cattle northwards, across the great desert of Gobi, and took refuge from the heat in the cool valleys under the mountains which lie to the south of Lake Baikal, and which are in the same latitude as London.
boor iI. Under the first two historic dynasties of China (the

Ch. 1.
Relation of the Hiong-nu to the early dynasties of China.

Chow Dynasty in Chins, B.C. 1122258. Hia, в.c. 2207-1767, and the Shang, 1767-1122), the Huns-if it be indeed the same race-are spoken of under the name of Chun-yong (barbarians of the mountains) and Tchong-yo. Their country was called Kuei-fang, ' the country of spirits,' so denominated by the same unchanging nation which at this day calls us Europeans 'foreign devils.'

About one hundred years before the building of Solomon's Temple, the Chovo dynasty ascended the Chinese throne, and alumbered there for nearly nine centuries, till the year 258 b.c. These were the Carolingians of China, monarchs nominally supreme, but really overshadowed and overawed by their great feudatories; in their personal character debauched and cruel-in short, conspicuous offenders against the golden-mean maxims of morality so dear to the Chinese heart. This cycle of anarchy (it would probably have lasted but a cantury in Europe) was the harvest-time of the northern barbarians, who are now spoken of as Hien-yun. The three northern provinces of the Chinese Empire, Shen-se, Shan-se, and Pe-tche-li (which comprise an area about equivalent to the whole of Great Britain) seem to have been in a state of perpetual border-warfare with these savage enemies, who after each inroad retired laden with booty to the northern portion of their own territory. Their fleet ponies and trackless wildernesses rendered hopeless any attempt on the part of regular troops to pursue or to avenge.
s.c. 258. At length, about the middle of the third century b.c.,
Che.
 first Emperor of
otherwise Che-Hwang-te ${ }^{1}$, the greatest monarch of this soor il. new house, the Napoleon of China, united her warring provinces into one compact empire, took the title of builde the Hwang-te (universal Emperor) instead of Wang (King), to keap out which had been borne by all previous monarchs, drove ${ }_{\text {na. }}^{\text {the }}$ Hiongback the Hiong-nu (for such is now the name of the barbarians) to their deserts, and finally, about the time of the Second Punic War, completed the Great Wall of China (portions of which had been already built by two provincial sovereigns) in order to protect the northern frontier from their incursions. Thus then (if only the theory of Deguignes be true) this great work, 1500 miles long, the name of which bas been familiar to all of us from our childhood, was really built to guard the civilisation of Eastern Asia from the inroads of the ancestors of Attila, and might as fairly be called the Huns' Wall as Hadrian's barrier across the Northumbrian isthmus is called by many the Picts' Wall.

Che-Hwang-te in the course of his great career His alleged found himself frequently thwarted by the traditions, of Chinetres the etiquette, the state-maxims of the literati, who books. seem to have been even then a powerful class in China. To recur to a former simile, the Napoleonic idea could not be made to accord with the Bourbon tradition. Violently breaking with the Past of his country, he ordered, it is said, that all the books of history which could be found should be destroyed, sparing however those on medicine, agriculture, astrology, and other branches of science ${ }^{2}$.

[^3]воок $\square$. This strange story may be the invention of national
C. 1. vanity, unable to trace up the written history of China beyond the third century b.c. In this case, all that has been hitherto said as to the early history of China and the Hiong-nu must be relegated to dreamland, for an oral transmission of the events of sixteen centuries may be set aside as an impossibility.

On the other hand, if the story be true, and if Che-Hwang-te was in the main successful in his onslaught on the works of the earlier historians, it does not follow that Chinese history must necessarily begin with him. For if the Chinese were by this time a literary nation, which the story seems to imply, no mere destruction of books would avail to wipe out from the fully-formed historical consciousness the general outlines of their past national life. Had every roll of manuscript perished out of the world at the time of the Peloponnesian war, the Greeks of that period would still have been able to reconstruct, with sufficient distinctness, by an act of memory, both the mythical and the historical record of previous ages which they had read from their childhood. Considering the apparently early development of the literary character in this enigmatic nation with which we are dealing, one is inclined to conjecture that this is the true view of the subject, and that there is at least some historic value in the Chinese annals previous to the third century b.c.

Titles among the Hiong-nu. The Tanjou and his officers.

From this time onwards, at any rate, the chronicle seems to be complete, and full, to the reader's exhaustion, of the doings of the robber-nation, the Hiong-nu. meant to set forth this claim of his to be the beginning of Chinese
greatness.

These latter had now 'taken to themselves a king after boor in. the manner of the nations.' He was called the Tan-jou, Ca. 1. which we are told is a contraction of the formidable title Tcem-li-ko-to-tan-jou (mighty son of Heaven ${ }^{1}$ ). The Tan-jou's queen was always called Yen-chi. All the great commands of the state were filled up in duplicate, one officer for the Right and one for the Left. Characteristically enough, as showing how their faces were ever set towards the fertile and opulent South, the Left with them meant the east and the Right the west. The Left was, as we are informed that it is still with their Tartar nephews at Constantinople, the post of honour; and thus Hien-wang (which signifies ' wise-king') being the highest grade of office under royalty, the 'Hien-wang of the Left,' or Viceroy of the East, was the next greatest person to the Tan-jou, and the office was generally held by the heir-apparent of that monarch.

In their prosperous days the sovereigns of the Diplomatic Hiong-na trampled upon the civilised and literary pride betivisen of the Chinese Emperors with the greater pride of the $\begin{gathered}\text { the Hiong- } \\ \text { and the }\end{gathered}$ uncouth barbarian. On tablets, the exact size of which had been prescribed by generations of Masters of the Ceremonies, the Chinese monarch thus wrote with the vermilion pencil, 'The Emperor respectfully begs the Great Tan-jou of the Hiong-nu, \&c.' To which, on much larger tablets, the Tan-jou replied, 'The Great Tan-jou of the Hiong-nu, born of the Heavens and the Earth, established by the Sun and Moon, respectfully begs the Emperor of China, \&c.'
Frequently an invading Tan-jou would ask for the

[^4]booz il hand of a Chinese princess as the price of his return to

Се. 1.
The Tanjons and their Chinees brides. his own land, and the Court, not unwilling to plant by the side of the robber-king a representative of its own interests, would comply with the request. National vanity however will not allow the Chinese historians to confess that one of the princesses of the blood-royal was really given in marriage to a barbarian, and they accordingly relate that a custom prevailed of adopting for the occasion a female slave into the family of the Emperor, giving her the title of Kum-tcheou, or Princess of the Blood, and then sending her off to be the bride of the Tan-jou. An improbable story doubtless; but what is certain is that the transition from the highly civilised luxurious life of a Ohinese palace to the squalor of the Tan-jou's home would be keenly felt by the sufferer, whatever her station in life might be, and perhaps even more by the domestic than by the mistress. Here is the melancholy outpouring in verse of one of these victims of policy, sent indeed not to a king of the Hiong-nu but to a prince of the neighbouring nation, the Ou -sioun, whose mode of life was indistinguishable from theirs:-
> ' Me to a husband have my kindred tied, And in a far-off land have bid me bide; A wretched tent is now my palace-hall, And a rough paling is its only wall. Raw flesh must now my hunger satisfy, And curdied milk, my thirst: nought else have I. Oh native land! I still must think of thee, And my heart's wound bleeds ever inwardly. Why am I not a happy bird of air To thee, dear home, that I might straight repair $q^{\prime}$

The Hiong-nu were ignorant of the art of writing, but the Chinese historians, with a candour which we
 had verbally pledged themselves to a treaty they $\frac{\text { C. } 1 .}{\text { Manners }}$ generally showed strict good faith in the observance Mand cussof it. The children were early trained in the use of $\begin{gathered}\text { tomes of the } \\ \text { Hiongu. }\end{gathered}$ missile weapons. It is said that they were first taught to ride on the wild scampering moorland sheep, and to shoot with their little bows at birds and mice. As boys they hunted hares and foxes, as young men they assamed the weapons of war. They were not deemed full-grown men till they had slain a foe. When they reached old age they fell into poverty and contempt, all the good things being reserved for the active warriors of the nation. Flight was, as hinted in the verses of Claudian, a great part of their strategy. Like the Parthians, they would discharge a cloud of arrows at the pursuing foe, and even if their rapid return failed to throw his ranks into confusion, they easily vanished into the terrible solitudes of those trackless deserts whither for many generations their harassed neighbours feared to pursue them.
Of the two chief residences of the Tan-jous, one appears to have been situated in the north of their dominions, under the continuation of the Altai moun-tain-range, and near the place which, as the capital of later Tartar chieftains, was known as Karakorum; the other near the Inshan mountains on the eastern frontier, where a large manufactory of bows and arrows was established.

At the first moon of each year there was a general Their reassembly of all the officers of the kingdom and army at ritee. the Tan-jou's court, and a solemn sacrifice was then offered up. They met again in the fifth month, and sacrificed to the Heavens, the Earth, and the Spirits of
boor in. their ancestors. At another assembly held in the Сн. 1. autumn they numbered the people and their flocks, thus taking stock, and striking a balance of the profit or loss of the summer's operations in the way of plunder.

Every morning the Tan-jou issued from his tent on the left hand of the camp to pay his devotions to the Sun, and in the evening he offered similar adoration to the Moon, presumably during that part of the month only when she was visible. Such was the simple and primitive nature-worship of this tribe. We are informed that one of the other tribes of Central Asia stuck a naked sabre hilt-downwards into the earth, and then gathered round to adore it.

The great aim of the Hiong-nu in war was to take as many prisoners as possible. They reduced them, of course, to a state of slavery, and employed them to tend their flocks and herds, that they themselves might be left more free to practise the one art of the bar-barian-war. If one of their number fell in battle, the comrade who succeeded in carrying off his dead body (as in the Homeric combats) to a place of safety, might
b.c. 40. claim his inheritance. In the later days of the Hiongnu empire, when we might have expected that their contact with the Chinese would have exerted some civilising influence upon them, we find the Tanjou Hou-han-sie confirming an oath by drinking blood from the skull of a hostile chief who had been slain by one of his ancestors 130 years before.

Such was the general character of the relations between the Hiong-nu and their southern neighbours. A few striking features of the history of the two nations, selected from a mass of monotonous details,
will sufficiently explain the movement which eventually ${ }_{\text {Cr. }}^{\text {BOOK I. }}$ II. launched the Hunnish nation, not upon Pekin, but Ca. upon Rome.

In China the Tsin dynasty, founded by the book- Tain Dydestroying Che-Hwang-te, was of short duration, like $\begin{gathered}\text { nasty ina, }\end{gathered}$
 compared.

In the year 207 B.C. another period of anarchy was Han Dyended by Kaou-te, who, gathering up again all China ${ }_{\text {na. }}^{\text {na. }}$ 207under his rule, founded the celebrated Han dynasty, which flourished till 220 A.D., or, roughly speaking, from the days of Hannibal to those of Caracalla,

Contemporaneously with Kaou-te in China, the Me-téterrible M6-té-Tanjou ${ }^{1}$ reigned over the Hiong-nu. His $\begin{gathered}\text { s.o.. 2090- }\end{gathered}$ father his step-mother his half-brother all atoned to ${ }^{174}$ him with their lives for an abortive attempt to exclude him from the succession. Yet, fierce as he had shown himself against his own flesh and blood, he appeared to submit with patience to the accumulated insults of the Sien-pi, a nation perhaps of Tungusic origin on the east of his dominions. Me-té had in his stable a horse of fabulons speed and endurance, which could travel, it was said, 150 miles in one day. The Sien-pi ${ }^{2}$ sent to ask for this horse; he gave it up to them. Emboldened by this act of submission, they demanded one of his wives; she was sent to their king's tent. Then came a requisition for some waste lands, on a disputed frontier between the two nations, and at last the pentup rage of Me-te burst forth, ' Whatever touched my own honour or profit I have given up for the sake of peace, but of the land of my people I will not surrender

[^5]boor ir. to you a foot's-breadth.' And he smote the people of
CE. 1. B. 0.

209-174. the Sien-pi with a great destruction, and pursued them till they took refuge in the mountains of Mantchooria, where they remained a crippled and enfeebled remnant, but ever brooding over their wrongs, till, after the lapse of nearly three centuries, they sallied forth to enjoy their long-delayed vengeance.

Me-tés wars with China.

Towards China, Me-té arsumed an attitude of permanent hostility. He fixed his court at Ta-tum-fou, or Tai-tong, just south of the Great Wall, and pushed forward his Hien-wang of the Left as far as Changkow, and him of the Right to Yen-gan, both apparently from 100 to 200 miles within the Chinese frontier.

The Emperor Kaou-te levied an army of 320,000 men and marched against him, but was out-manœurred, and shut up in a fortress near Ta-tum-fou, where for seven days his army was left without provisions. By the favour of the Tanjou's wife he escaped from this perilous position; but those seven days of semi-starvation were long remembered by the sleek Chinese troops. Peace of some sort was patched up between the two powers, but after the death of Kaou-te an audacious Hien-wang of the Right pushed his inroads so far that his barbarian hordes came almost within sight of Sin-gan-fou (in the province of Shen-si), which was then the capital of the empire. The Chinese Court complained, and the Tanjou sent his too zealous Viceroy of the West on a tour of conquest through Central Asia Thibet, all that we now call Eastern and Western Turkestan, and part of Siberia, were made subject to Me-te's domination, and it is even said that the conquering Hiong-nu reached on this occasion as far as the Volga itself. With a great show of courtesy, the

Tanjou sent an embassy to inform the Chinese Emperor boor ir. of these conquests, by which he had become the greatest potentate in Asia; and hereupon, after a copious exCy. 1. change of compliments, the Emperor, we are informed, concluded to accord to him a renewal of the treaty of peace. As it is clear that at this time China was almost helpless in the hands of her barbarian foe, the Tanjou's humble supplications for peace, and the gracious concession of it by the Emperor, were probably recorded by the literati of that day, the contemporaries of Hannibal, with about as much accuracy as may be evinced by some Chinese historian, upon whom in our own day may have devolved the duty of chronicling the destruction of the Summer Palace, and the treaty 1.d. 1860. graciously conceded to El-gin and Mon-to-ban.

From the death of Me-te-Tanjou, which occurred B.c. Endiess 174, we have, for the space of 260 years, a history of and negotithe wars of China and the Huns, almost as detailed and between circumstantial as the records of Roman conquest during China and the same period. Happily for the reader there is no ${ }_{\text {B. . . 174- }}^{\text {na }}$ necessity to reproduce these details here. The same ${ }^{\text {A.d. } 93 \text {. }}$ kind of events repeat themselves with monotonous regularity. 'The Tanjou sought for peace from the Chinese Emperor, A wife was sent to him, and presents were exchanged. The Hiong-nu at once recommenced their inroads and ravaged a great belt of country in the three provinces of Shen-se, Shan-se, and Petche-li. The Emperor sent three armies, amounting to 200,000 men, into the country of the Hiong-nu. Two of the generals obtained great successes, the third lost all his men in a march through the desert. He ought to have returned to China, and there submitted to degradation from all his posts of honour, and afterwards committed suicide.
book in. But he preferred to take refuge at the Court of the C. 1. Tanjou, where the information which he gave as to the movements of the troops and the strength of the frontier-cities proved extremely injurious to the interests of China. The Tanjou now supplicated for peace; rich presents were exchanged, and various complimentary speeches were made, but both parties understood that there was no reality in the peace thus arranged. A Chinese princess was sent as a wife for the heir-apparent, the Hien-wang of the Left. The Hiong-nu recommenced their invasions of the three provinces of Shen-se, Shan-se, and Petche-li,' and so on as before.

The barbarian power deolines.

Long and prosperoues reign of the Chinese Emperor Woo-te.

There was however during all this period a pretty steady decline of the power of the barbarians, and an equally steady increase in that of their civilised neighbours. Especially noteworthy in this respect was the long reign of the great Emperor Woo-te, which lasted from b.c. $140-86$, or, shall we say, from the time of Cato the Censor to that of Cicero. This monarch Woo-te, whose victorious arms extended to Pegu, Siam, and Bengal, and who was a zealous patron of the morality of Confucius, was contemporary with seven successive Tanjous, and, but that his prosperity did not desert him at the end of his reign, he might, not inaptly, be called the Louis XIV of China.

The lives of three of his servants may be briefly noticed here for the sake of the light which they throw on the history of the Hiong-nu.
B. $\boldsymbol{c}$. 138-126. Mission of Changkiso.

Chang-kiao ${ }^{1}$ was instructed by his master to establish communications with the Yue-che, a Tartar people whom the Hiong-nu had driven from the east to the west of Central Asia, and who had now established

[^6]themselves in great force between the Oxus and boor in. Jaxartes, and even within the confines of the present cr. 1. Persian kingdom. Chang-kiao was made prisoner by the Hiong-nu while seeking to pass through their country in disguise. After ten years of captivity he escaped, reached the country of the Yue-che (the modern Khorasan), remained there some time, storing up a large amount of valuable political information, and returned by way of Thibet, but even so was unable to eecape from the Hiong-nu. His second captivity however was of short duration. Under cover of the troubles of a disputed succession, he again made his escape, and after an absence of twelve years, returned to his master's court.

Li-kwang-li, one of the bravest of the Chinese officers,
 hard blows in the wars with the northern barbarians. They themselves so highly esteemed the skill and lii.kwangrapidity of his movements that they called him 'the Winged General.' Once, it is said, at the head of 100 horsemen, he put a large body of their cavalry to flight. Yet even he, after a defeat, had to endure the systematic ingratitude of his countrymen, and after counterfeiting death on the field of battle, was on the point of receiving it at the hands of the executioner. He was permitted, however, to redeem his life by the payment of a large sum of moner, but was degraded from all his dignities. But in the very next year the Emperor found himself compelled to restore him to the chief military command, so pressing was the danger from the northern invaders.

In the decline of life, this veteran soldier had the s.c. g9. misfortune to see the honour of his family tarnished by

воок in. the treason of his grandson Li-ling, one of the many Сн. 1. Chinese generals who after defeat fled to the Court of the Tanjou, and sold their knowledge of the strategic combinations of their countrymen for honours and offices in the barbarian court.
s.c. go.

About nine years later, the brave old general, who must now have been fully eighty years of age, again headed a grand attack upon the Hiong-nu. He met at first with complete success, and pushed the foe before him to the mountain-barrier at the extreme north of their dominions. The forced marches, however, across the terrible desert of Gobi had too much weakened his troops. The Tanjou brought 50,000 fresh meu into the field, dug in the night a deep ditch in the rear of the Chinese forces, and thus added to the disorder and panic of their flight after the defeat of the morrow ${ }^{1}$.

Li-kwang-li was compelled to surrender at discretion, and taken prisoner to the Court of the Tanjou, who treated him with such marked favour (partly, perhapa, on account of his relationship to the already exiled

[^7]Li-ling) that all the barbarian officers became jealous of воок in. his predominating influence ${ }^{1}$. Superstition was en- Ce. 1. listed on the side of envy; in a dangerous illness of the Queen-mother, the soothsayers declared that the gods of the Hiong-nu were offended because they received no more human sacrifices as of yore, but prisoners of war were now preserved alive, and even received into favour. Li-kwang-li was seized and sacrificed; a terrible succession of snow-storms followed, which destroyed a vast number of cattle, and prevented the seeds from germinating in the earth. Then they changed their minds and said that they had mistaken the will of the gods; bat the fine old warrior, after his sixty years of battle, was beyond the reach of their repentance.
Woo-soo ${ }^{2}$ was sent by the Emperor Woo-te upon one of those endless embassies for the arrangement of ' $a$ lasting and honourable peace,' which vary with their monotony of fraud the monotony of bloodshed. In the by brbarians. course of the discussions on this subject, he addressed himself to one of the Chinese fugitives, who had been promoted to a subordinate kingship in Western Siberia, and reproached him so bitterly for his treason and want of patriotism, that the Tanjou, disregarding the sanctity of an ambassador's person, seized him and cast him into a ditch. There he lived for several days, exposed to all the rigour of the climate, and feeding only upon snow and the offal of the camp. The barbarians conceived that there must be something divine in the

[^8]${ }^{2}$ Soo-woo (Wylie).

воох in. nature of a man who could endure such hardships,
Сн. 1. but they chose a singular means of testifying their admiration. They carried him off to the inhospitable shores of Lake Baikal, in the east of Siberia, where he dragged out life for nineteen years, his food being mice and the bitter fruits of the desert. Some of his countrymen, deserters, tried to reconcile him to his lot, and to persuade him to accept, as they had done, the bounty of the barbarian. ' $N o$,' said he, ' $I$ will remain true to my country, whatever tortures her enemies may inflict upon me. A minister owes to his king the same affectionate duty which a child does to his parent.' And when he heard of the death of his master, the great Woo-te, he turned his face to the beloved South, looked towards China, and burst into tears. The remorse which the Tanjou felt for the death of Li-kwang-li turned out beneficially for Woo-soo, who, after his weary captivity, was at length restored to his country.
The Hiong. In the early days of the conquering Tanjous, Thibet nu position turned by Chinowe alliances in Central Asia. appears to have felt their influence, and the whole of Eastern Turkestan (or what Deguignes calls 'Little Bukharia ') seems to have been in complete dependence upon them. Even then, however, for some reason which is not explained, but which is probubly connected with the physical geography of the country, their invasions of China were always made on the north, never on the west frontier. If they thus missed an opportunity of taking their enemity in flank, he, when his turn of superiority came, showed more skilful strategy; and the great triumph of the reign of Woo-te was the series of conquests and alliances by which be turned the south-west flank of the Hiong-nu position.

Any one who now looks at the map of Asia will see book in. a long thin slice of territory stretching forth at the Ca. i. north-western angle of China (from the Hoang-ho to Su-chow, long. $98^{\circ}$ ). This is ground won from the barbarians, and made strong by the Chinese monarchs for the defence of the Empire. It is, in fact, an arm stretched forth into the desert, by which China seems to say, ' Not this way, barbarians of the North! fight, if you will fight, fairly, face to face; but you shall not come round to my left side, and there deal me stealthily an assassin's blow.'

After the conquest of this territory came the secret mission of Chang-kiao through Thibet, to the country between the Oxus and Jaxartes, and this produced immense results. Where the stealthy emissary had gone, victorious armies followed. Khotan, Yarkand, Kashgar accepted the alliance, or became the subjects of the Chinese Emperor. The $0 u$-sioun, a powerful people, kindred with but hostile to the Hiong-nu, and dwelling to the south of Lake Balkhash, were encouraged to lean on China for protection against the common intervening foe : and a Chinese governor was permanently established at Aksou, under the steeps of the Thian Shan (about $78^{\circ}$ long. and $42^{\circ}$ lat.).

In the year 71 b.c. a great army amounting, it is said, to 200,000 men, was sent against the Hiong-nu under the command of seven generals. Notwithstanding the mismanagement and cowardice of some of the generals, this expedition seems, more than anything else, to have broken the power of the Hiong-nu.
It was not without some protest from the timid con- s.c. 66 . servatism of the Chinese ministers that this energetic A Chinens policy was pursued. When Siven-ti, the great-grand- Cooncili.
book II. son of Woo-te, was meditating an expedition, half-
Ca. 1. hostile, half-friendly, to the country of the Ouigours (near Turfan, long, $89^{\circ}$ ) he was met by the outspoken remonstrances of a wise old counsellor named Goeisiang. This sage appears not to have been perplexed by any of those difficulties as to the triumph of injustice and the downfall of the good which have troubled the sages and seers of other nations.
s.c. 66. 'There are five sorts of wars,' said he. 'The first, for ${ }^{\text {Whans }}$ Chedifod. the suppression of civil tumult. This is a war of Justice, and it is sure to be successful. The second, in which you oppose a foreign invader, is a war of Necessity, and is generally crowned with victory. In the third kind of war, one of Rage and Fury, in which men take up arms about mere trifles, one is often beaten. To invade the lands of others for the sake of spoil is the fourth species of war, that of Avarice, and in this success is not to be expected. But when a monarch fights only in order to acquire glory, to render his family illustrious and become a terror to his neighbours, that is a war of Ambition and Pride, the results of which are uniformly disustrous. These five points are so many maxims founded on the dealings of Heaven. At present the Hiong-nu desire peace, while our own internal condition is far from satisfactory. It is no rare occurrence to see a son murder his father, a younger brother the elder, a wife her husband. Twenty-two crimes of this kind have occurred in the course of the past year. We ought to apply a remedy to these social disorders instead of carrying war into the country of our neighbours.'

Notwithstanding these excellent remarks, the policy cline of the power of of war and annexation prevailed. The Ouigours be-
came tributary, and the Hiong-nu felt the predominant boor 11 . influence of China all round their southern and western frontiers. The barbarians saw that their Empire was ${ }_{\text {nue }}^{\text {the }}$ Hiongdeparting from them, and fell into confusion and anarchy. In the year 58 b.c. five Tanjous were warring в.c. 58 . against one another. Hou-han-sie, apparently the rightful heir, at length emerged from the contest, sole Tanjou ; but, almost immediately after, had to enter upon a new and fiercer contest with two fresh competitors, one of them his own brother. The upshot of the whole business was, that he humbly presented himself at the court of the Chinese Emperor, promised subjection and tribute, and received from this hereditary enemy assistance which at length enabled him to reign without a rival ${ }^{1}$.


[^9]book il. lasted on for a century and a half from this time, but
Ce. 1. never again as the equal foe, generally as the vassal, occasionally as the revolted subject of the Court of China.
A. D. 46. Separation between Northern and Soathern Hiong-nu.

About the middle of the first century after Christ, the nation became finally divided into two hostile sections-a northern and a southern. Doubtless the dwellers in the immediate neighbourhood of China became more dependent on the good things which accompany civilisation than the wild nomads of the north-west ; and then the physical barrier of the great desert of Gobi would probably intensify and perpetuate the moral division. From this time forwards the Tanjou of the south becomes one of the most eager enemies of the northern kingdom, ever besieging the ear of the Chinese Emperor with cries for its demolition.
Vengeanoe At the same time a new enemy pressed upon them of the Sien-pi. from the east. The neighbouring tribe of the Sien-pi whom the great Tanjou Mete had cooped up in the mountains of what is now called Mantchuria, after brooding for three centuries over their wrongs, now found the longed-for opportunity of vengeance. After forty years of more or less constant warfare with this triple league of foes, symptoms of dissolution began to show themselves in the northern kingdom. Vast hordes of the Hiong-nu, in one case amounting to a quarter of a million of fighting men, went over bodily to the Chinese. A terrible famine, the work of some locustlike insect, then wasted the country. A combined invasion of the Chinese and the southern Hiong-nu on a A. . 8. 8. large scale took place in the year 89. The Chinese general, Teou-hien, put the Tanjou to flight, and having
advanced rooo miles into his kingdom, left upon one boor in. of the mountain ranges an inscription composed by the cr. 1. historiographer who accompanied the expedition, recording the success of his arms. In two years however even this effort was surpassed: the Chinese troops reached the Irtish, the western frontier of the dominions of the Hiong-nu, the Tanjou had again to take shelter in some Siberian desert, and his mother was taken prisoner.

Teou-hien, though victorious, recommended his im- Fall of the perial master to spare his fallen foes. But on his death Hiong-nu sterner counsels prevailed. A new Tanjou who had been raised to the throne was driven into revolt, a revolt hopeless from the first. He bimself fell into the hands of the Chinese forces, and was beheaded. The Sien-pi poured into the defenceless country like a torrent. Great multitudes of the Hiong-nu consented to pass under their yoke and bear their name, the rest fled westwards across the Irtish, settling by the Ural River and near the modern Russian Government of Orenbourg. Thus did the great barbarian empire, which for 2000 years had been measuring its forces against the civilisation of China, fall, with apparently irretrievable ruin.

All this occurred in the reign of Domitian. It was Hunnibb not till nearly three centuries later that the Huns, invesion during the reign of Valens, crossed the Sea of Azof or themee after the stream of the Volga, and fell upon the affrighted avents. and disgusted Gothic subjects of King Hermanric. This long interval of quiescence and of obscurity is the weak place in the identification of the Hiong-nu and the Huns. It is impossible not to feel that many changes might have occurred during that time, and that mere
boor II similarity of name is a slight clue by which to traverse Ce. 1. so vast a distance.

Doubtfal benefft to China from its victories over the Hiong-na.

The Chinese historians necessarily give during this interval far scantier information than previously as to the affairs of Central Asia. The expulsion of the northern Hiong-nu appears to have been a 'victory of Pyrrhus' for the Chinese Empire. The southern Hiong-nu and the Sien-pi, under various barbarous names, formed settlements within its limits and erected dynasties which disputed the throne of China itself with its native princes. In such a state of things the historians of that country had but little inducement or opportunity to record the revolutions of Western Asia We are enabled however, dimly and at long intervals, to trace the continued existence of a Hiong-nu people along the line of the Volga and the northern shores of the Caspian.

Chinose desaription of a great civilized state on the Weat frontier of Aria.
Degruignes, vol. I, pt. ii, $p$. Inxiii.

To the west of them, but separated by one fierce Tartar people, the Chinese historians placed the great kingdom of Ta-Tsin. Their description of this kingdom is so curious that a few of its leading features may be here inserted. 'It is a country of large extent with many dependent kingdoms. The walls are built of stone; inns are placed along the lines of road. All sorts of trees and plants are found there. The inhabitants are given to agriculture, and even understand how to keep silkworms. They cut their hair and wear very fine clothes. They have all sorts of chariots with white coverings: in war they have drums, flags, and tents. The capital is thirty-four miles in circumference; it contains five palaces by the waterside, supported on pillars. Every day the king goes to one or other of these palaces to administer justice. Before his
chariot walks an officer holding an open bag in which book ir. are placed the petitions of all who present themselves, Ca. 1. which are examined by the king when he enters the palace. Thirty-six generals of the army form a Council of State to deliberate on the affairs of the Empire. The king does not always hold his office for life; they generally endeavour to choose a wise man, but should any extraordinary calamity occur, for instance. any great whirlwind or inundation, they change their ruler, and he who is thus deposed appears to descend into private life without a sigh.
'Gold, silver, precious stones, rich and beautifully embroidered vestments abound in this country. They have both gold and silver money : ten pieces of the latter are equivalent to one of the former. They trade both with the Parthians and Indians. They have often endeavoured to enter into direct commercial relations with China, but have always been prevented by the Parthians. Recently' [in the year corresponding to A.D. 166] 'the king of the Ta-Tsin named Gan-tun succeeded in sending ambassadors, who were followed by merchants, to China by way of India. The inhabitants of $\mathrm{T} a$-Tsin are tall and well-made like the Chinese, whence their name' $[\mathrm{Ta}=$ Great: Tsin $=$ China or the Chinese] This last sentence will probably have disclosed to the reader the real name of the country in question. Only the Romans of that day could be considered worthy of being called by a Chinese historian 'Great as the Chinese.' He has been reading a description of Imperium Romanum by a Chinese pen, and the king, Gan-tun, is the Emperor Marcus (Aurelius) Antoninus.

The question will naturally be asked, ‘ Why, if these
book il Hiong-nu, marauders as they were by nature, had

CE. 1.
Why did the Huns linger for three handred yearm before invading Earope 1 wandered so near to the conines of this alluring lingdom of Ta-Tsin, did they allow three centuries to elapse before they commenced their invasions of that empire?' Dimly and vaguely, through the faint twilight of their history, we may conjecture the following reasons for their quiescence: there may have been a hundred others which are to us undiscoverable.
${ }_{T}^{\text {They }}$ might atll First, their eyes were still turned eastwards; their might atill hope to revenge thememelves on Chisa. expeditions still sometimes reached as far as Khamil (long. $95^{\circ}$ E.), and for generations they seem to have cherished the hope of once more ravaging the valley of the Hoang-ho. At length their old enemies, the Sien-pi, under the dynasty of the Topas, built up, in the old country of the Hiong-nu, a sufficiently solid empire to check all eastward incursions on their part. But,
The alani Secondly, between their new home and weatern civiwero between them and Europe. lization a strong barrier was presented by the fierce. nation of the Alani, Turanian nomads like themselves, who, under the name of Alanna, are spoken of by the Chinese historians as occupying the country of YenTcai, the extensive district which is bounded by the Volga on the north, the Caucasus on the south, the Sea of Azof and the Don on the west, and the Caspian and Volga on the east. These are the people who for so many generations adored a naked sabre stuck into the earth as their only divinity. They were at length, after contests the duration and severity of which are hidden from us, overcome by those neighbours of theirs whom we may now without fear of contradiction venture to call the Huns. Some, the Alani of the Don, became amalgamated with the armies of the
conqueror, others fled westwards and bore a part, book in: recognised in bistory, in the subversion of the Roman Ce. 1. . Empire, thongh it did not fall to their lot to found any enduring kingdom within its borders.

Hopes of Chinese spoil on the east, the reality of Prestige Alan resistance on the west, were doubtless two reasons Roman for the long sojourn of the Hiong-nu eastwards of the Empire. Volga A third, which it is sufficient merely to indicate, is the prestige, slowly and with difficulty impaired, of the Roman Empire, of that ' Ta -Tsin' which 'Gantun' and his immediate predecessors had ruled so wisely and made so strong.

A fourth is the utterly broken and dispirited state of Dispiritod the Hiong-nu themselves. After their flight from their of the ald home in Central Asia, they seem to have ceased ${ }^{\text {Hiong-nu. }}$ to elect Tanjous ; the unity of the nation was gone, the degree of organisation, the semblance of a polity which they had before possessed, probably vanished. Removed from the civilizing influences of contact with China they doubtless sank lower and lower into mere squalid savagery, becoming a loosely united bundle of roving hordes, until at length increase of numbers brought with it confidence, the remembrance of past supremacy stirred up shame at their present abject condition, the success of their conflict with the Alans assured them of victory, and turning their backs definitively on the East, they crossed the Cimmerian Bos-porus-whether guided by a demon-stag or not we need not inquire-to work, both directly and indirectly, more ruin and greater changes in the fair kingdoms of Ta-Tsin than their mightiest Tanjous had ever done in the ofteu-wasted provinces of the real China.

This chapter was commenced by Claudian's poetical
book iI. description of the Huns ; at its close let us listen to the historian Ammianus Marcellinus, a soldier, and more strictly a contemporary, describing in what guise they showed themselves when first 1500 years ago, they burst upon Europe.
' The nation of the Huns, little known to ancient records, but spreading from the marshes of Azof to the Icy Sea, surpasses all other barbarians in wildness of life. In the first days of infancy, deep incisions are made in the cheeks of their boys, in order that, when the time comes for whiskers to grow there, the sprouting hairs may be kept back by the furrowed scars: and hence they grow to maturity and to old age beardless as eunuchs. They all, however, have strong and wellknit limbs and fine necks. Yet they are of portentous ugliness and so crook-backed that you would take them for some sort of two-footed beasts, or for the roughlychipped stakes which one sees used for the railings of a bridge. And though they do just bear the likeness of men (of a very ugly pattern), they are so little advanced in civilization that they make no use of fire, nor of any kind of relish, in the preparation of their food, but foed upon the roots which they find in the fields, and the half-raw flesh of any sort of auimal. 4 say half-raw, because they give it a kind of cooking by placing it between their own thighs and the backs of their horses. They never seek the shelter of houses, which they look upon as little better than tombs, and will only enter upon the direst necessity: nor would one be able to find among them even a cottage of wattled rushes: but wandering at large over mountain and through forest, they are trained to bear from their infancy all the extremes of cold, of hunger, and of thirst.
'They are clad in linen raiment, or in the skins of book ir. field-mice sewn together, and the same suit serves them Се. 1. for use in-doors and out. However dingy the colour of it may become, the tunic which has once been hung round their neoks is never laid aside nor changed till through long decay the rags of it will no longer hold together. Their heads are covered with bent caps, their hairy legs with the skins of goats; their shoes, never having been fashioned on a last, are so clumsy that they cannot walk comfortably.
' On this account they are not well adapted to pedestrian encounters; but then on the other hand they are almost welded to their horses, which are hardy, though of ugly shape, and on which they sometimes ride women's fashion. On horseback every man of that nation lives night and day; on horseback he buys and sells; on horseback he takes his meat and drink, and when night comes he leans forward upon the narrow neck of his horse and there falls into a deep sleep, or wanders into the varied phantasies of dreams.
' When a discussion arises upon any matter of importance they come on horseback to the place of meeting. No kingly sternness overawes their deliberations, but being upon the whole well-contented with the disorderly guidance of their chiefs, they do not scruple to interrupt the debates with anything that comes into their heads.
'When attacked, they will sometimes engage in regular battle. Then, going into the fight in order of columns, they fill the air with varied and discordant cries. More often, however, they fight in no regular order of battle, but being extremely swift and sudden in their movements, they disperse, and then rapidly come together again in loose array, spread havoc over vast plains, and
boor in. flying over the rampart, they pillage the camp of their enemy almost before he has become aware of their approach. It must be owned that they are the nimblest of warriors; the missile weapons which they use at a distance being pointed with sharpened bones admirably fastened to the shaft: when in close combat, they fight without regard to their own safety, and while their enemy is intent upon parrying the thrusts of their swords, they throw a net over him and so entangle his limbs that be loses all power of walking or riding.
' Not one among them cultivates the ground, or ever touches a plough-handle. All wander abroad without fixed abodes, without home, or law, or settled customs, like perpetual fugitives, with their waggons for their only habitations, in which their wives weave their foul garments, and bring forth children, and rear them up to the age of puberty ${ }^{1}$. If you ask them, not one can tell you what is his place of origin ; he was conceived in one place, born in another, educated perhaps in some yet more distant one. They are great truce-breakers, fickle, always ready to be swayed by the first breath of a new desire, abandoning themselves without restraint to the most ungovernable rage.
'Finally, like animals devoid of reason, they are utterly ignorant of what is seemly and what is not; they are tricksters with words, and full of dark sayings; they are never moved by either religious or superstitious awe; they burn with unquenchable thirst for gold, and they are so changeable and so easily moved to wrath, that many times in the day they will quarrel with their comrades on no provocation, and be reconciled having received no satisfaction.'

[^10]
## nOte A. On the Identmication of the Hiong-nu with ter Huns.

I quote from Mr. Howorth's ' Introduction to the Translation NOTE A. of the Annals of the Han Dynasty' (by Mr. Wylie), contribated to the Journal of the Anthropological Institute (iii. 398), the following criticiem of the theory adopted in my first edition.
' De Guignes, than whom no one has done more for the elucidation of the ethnology of Aaia in ancient times, propounded the doctrine that the European Hops were descended from the Hiong-na of the Chinese writers, and he consequently in his history of the Huns worked out in some detail the account of the Hiong-nu, so far as it could be collected from the narratives of Matuanlin and the other epitomisers of the Chinese annala. Minate ethnology was then in ite infancy. The distinctions between Mongols, Turks, Ugrians, \&c., \&c. were hardly recognised becanse hardly known. Since the days of De Guignes the sabject has received immense illustration from various quarters, and now no European scholar of any repute-save perhaps Dr. Latham-connects the Huns with the Hiong-nu. The Huns, as I have elsewhere argued, were a race of Ugrians, $v$ led by a caste of another race now represented by some of the Leeghian tribes of the Cancasus. The Hiong-nu were not Ugrians. It was Klaproth who first proved that the Hiong-nu were Turks, and his conclusions were endorsed by the very competent anthority of Abel Remasat, and since by other scholars.' The argument thus divides itself into two parts.
I. Proof that the Huns were Ugrians.

This rests on the existence of some 'Lesghian' tribes in the Eastern Cancasus who bear names which appear to be corrapted from 'Hun' and 'Avar.' Among these tribes, names closely corresponding with those of Attila and his family are still, it is said, in common nse. The dialect of the 'Andi,' whom Howorth closely to the Ugrian or Finnic dialects proper, while the Arar has many idiosyncrasies related to the Samoyedic class of Siberian languages.'

## II. Proof that the Hiong-nu were a Turkic tribe.

This rests chiefly on the Turkic character of the vocabulary of the Thiu-Khiu, a fragment of the dispersed Hiong-nu who, in the fifth century, settled in the Altai mountains. Fifteen or sixteen words in use among the Thiu-Khiu, including those for house, meat, horse, wolf, black, white, old, camp, and warrior, are shown to be identical with or closely analogous to words in the Turkish or Mongol languages. Hence it is argued, the Hiong-nu must have been closely related to the Turks.

The question is one which must be decided by experts in ethnology. To me, knowing scarcely anything of that science, there seem one or two weak links in the chain of argument; but then, on the other hand, we must not forget that the equation

$$
\mathrm{Hon}=\mathrm{Hiong}-\mathrm{nu}
$$

rests on nothing more than one, perhaps accidental, similarity of names. It is difficalt not to be attracted by the theory of Daguignes, because of the almost ludicrous similarity between the treatment of the Chinese Emperors by the Tanjous and that of the Roman Emperors by Attila and his progenitors. But of course there is nothing in this similarity which can weigh against any' well-settled conclusion of ethnological science.

## CHAPTER II.

## ATTILA AND THE COURT OF CONSTANTLNOPLE.

## Authorities.

## Sources:-

Priscus, born at Panium, a town of Thrace, probably about bOOK II. the beginning of the fifth century. He wrote (in Greek) a Ca. 2. history in eight books, ' Of Byzantium and the occurrences connected with Attila,' which apparently narrated the events between 433 and 474. He is commonly spoken of as 'the Rhetorician,' or 'the Sophist,' and his pare, elegant, and lively style agrees with the supposition that he was by profession a man of letters. He was admitted to the intimate friendship of Maximin, one of the generals of Theodosius II, whom he accompanied on his celebrated embassy to Attila, and also on a visit to Syria. It is probable that both he and his friend Maximin were Pagans. Only fragmente of his work remain, but one of these, of considerable length, describing Attila and his court and the reception of the Roman ambassadors, is the most interesting piece of contemporary history which the fifth century has bequeathed to u.

## Guides ${ }^{1}$ :-

Degaignes, Histoire des Huns, Liv. iv, § I Les Huns Occidentarx (a most convenient summary of all the passages in Greek and Latin anthors bearing on the history of Attila). The same may be said of

Mascou, History of the Ancient Germans (translated from the German 1738), Book ix.

[^11]boor II. Amédée Thierry's Histoire d'Attila (2 vols.) is a well-conОв. 8. structed narrative, with which the relation of the embassy of Priscas is skilfully interwoven.
'Attila, King of the Hans,' by the Hon. and Rev. W. Herbert (London, 1838), is an Epic Poem in twelve books on the career of Attila from his defeat on the Catalaunian Plains (451) till his death (454). The most usefal part of the book to a historical student is the second half of it, 'Attila and his Predecessors, an Historical Treatise.' Here all the materials for writing the life of Attila are collected with great industry, but there is no sufficient eeparation between the precious and the vile. The contemporary Priscus, who drank wine with Attila, appears to be quoted with no more deference than is paid to Hangarian and Italian romancers.

The Huns do not at once come in contact with Rome.

For half a century after the irruption of the Huns into Dacia, they exercise but little direct influence on the course of Roman history. Occasionally they made a predatory inroad into the Empire, as, for instance, in the year 395, when, at the instigation, it was said, of the Prefect Rufinus, they moved southwards from Caucasus upon Armenia, and pressed on through Cappadocia and Cilicia ${ }^{1}$, until
> 'The pleasant fields of Syria waste were laid, And hostile chargers trampled down the glade Of soft Orontes, to her children's dance And song more ased than War's dread dissonance "!

Uldis. And thirteen years later, under the guidance of a chief named Uldis ${ }^{3}$, they crossed the Danube and penetrated far into Bulgaria. When the Prefect of Thrace sought bumbly for peace, Uldis proudly pointed to the sun and said, ' All that he shines upon I can conquer if I will.'

[^12]But in the midst of his boastings his power was under- boor in. mined : the imperial emissaries were at work among his troops, contrasting the hard life of a Hunnish 409. marauder with the ease and the dignity of a stipendiary of Rome. So large a part of his army yielded to these suggestions that Uldis was obliged to fly, and escaped but with life to the Dacian shore.

Upon the whole, during this period, while their Hons as enemies the Visigoths and other Teutonic tribes were nomiliarien. still hovering about the Danube and the eastern ranges of the Alps, the attitude of the Huns seems have been more often friendly than hostile to the Romans, in whose armies we saw them serving when Honorius decreed the overthrow of Stilicho, and when Aetius came too late to the succour of Joannes againstPlacidia.

And, mere barbarians as they remained to the end of They their history, it is easy to see that this half-century of alightly intercourse with Rome had taught them some few of the needs and enjoyments of civilized life. The whole character of Attila's court and camp was sensual, but the sensuality was by many degrees less squalid and less disgusting than that of the men who first crossed the Sea of Azof, and whose habits were described by Ammianus.

Doubtless it was the interposition of the Teutonic nations which, during this half-century, prevented the Huns from coming to close quarters with the Roman power. -After the Visigoths, the Vandals, and the Suevi had settled in Spain, the Alans in Gaul, the Burgundians in that province which yet bears their name, the Huns, having only the Danube and the Alps between them and the Empire, began to make the two Augusti, but eapecially him of Constantinople, feel their heavy hand.

воок II. In 432 we find a certain Roua or Rugula reigning

CE. 2. 432. King Roua and the Hannish fugitives. over the Huns, and receiving from Theodosius II an annual payment, which might be called either subsidy or tribute, of 350 pounds weight of gold ( $£_{14,000}$ sterling). Finding that the Romans had dared to make alliances with some barbarous tribes, dwellers by the Danube, whom he claimed as his subjects, Roua in great wrath declared that all his treaties with Rome should be at once made null and void unless the Emperor renounced his alliance with these nations. Another question of a more personal nature also arose now, if it had not arisen before, and was the subject of ceaseless negotiation for the next seventeen years. Many deserters had fled from the harsh yoke of Roua, and taken shelter on Roman territory. The demand was made, and was pressed home with every circumstance of insult upon the trembling Theodosius, 'Restore to me my flgitives.' Imagine such a request having been hinted, ever so courteously, to any Roman magistrate who in the old days sat upon the curule chair, with his lictors and fasces round him. Had it not been better for the omnipotent Mistress of the Nations to have died rather than live on to endure such degradation?

But Theodosius II, who was a meek man and an excellent illuminator of manuscripts, if not a born king of men, was preparing to send an embassy to mitigate the wrath of Roua, when tidings arrived that he was dead,

Accession of Attila and Bleda and that the kingdom of the Huns had devolved upon his two nephews, sons of his brother Mundzuk, men in the vigour of early manhood, named Attila and Bleda.
433. It was in the year 433 that the two brothers ascended the throne. Bleda is to us the mere shadow of a name, but it is far otherwise with Attila.

It is almost needless to say that no coin, $\rho$ r picture, book in. or bust remains to bring before us the linearents of the C. 2 . terrible savage. Yet he seems almost to live again in atilear the pages of Jordanes afld Priscus $\downarrow$ We see him short ${ }^{\text {ance }}$ of stature, with the small, bead-like eyes, and snub nose and swarthy skin of his Tartar ancestors, yet with a haughty step, and a fierce way of darting his glances hither and thither, as though he felt himself lord of all, and were perpetually asking of the by-standers, 'Who is he that shall deliver you out of my hand?' He had a broad and well-formed chest and a large head, a scanty beard, like most of the Tartar race, and his hair was early sprinkled with white.

Few men that ever lived have had such a power of and che inspiring fear in the minds both of their subjects and their enemies as this Turanian chieftain. Enthusiasm, lopalty, gratitude, these were not the motives by which he swayed mankind, but the amount of abject, slavish fear which this little swarthy'Kalmuck succeeded in instilling into millions of human hearts is not to be easily matched in the history of our race.
Whether he had much military talent may be doubted, since the only great battle in which he figured was a complete defeat. The impression left upon us by what history records of him is that of a gigantic bully, holding in his hands powers unequalled in the world for ravage and spoliation, by the mere threat of loosing which he extorts from trembling Caesars every concession which his insatiable avarice, or his almost superhuman pride, requires, and by the same terror compelling Ostrogoths and Gepidae, and other Germanic races far nobler than his own, to assist in drawing his triumphal chariot. But of true constructive genius, of any
book in. notion of the right way to found an enduring empire,
$\qquad$
Cr. 2. of the statesmanship of Ataulfus, or even of Alaric, he shows not a trace. To drink out of vessels of gold and silver, to put his foot upon the neck of his enemies, to be the terror of the world, these seem to be his only delights as a ruler of men.

Extent of Attila's Empire.

Some doubt has recently been thrown on the received accounts of the wid extent of Attila's power. So much of our information $t$ is said, is derived from Gothic sources, and a prow nation like the Goths had so obvious an interest magnifying the might of the monarch by whom the themselves had been humbled, that we are bound to make considerable deductions from their statementa, and may perhaps reduce the dominions of the world-wide conqueror to an extent not quite equal to that modern Austrian Empire 1 . But it may fairly be urged on the other hand that the Greek historian Priscus confirms, or even amplifies the
P. 199
(Bonn edition). statements of the Goth. According to him, when the ambassadors from the Eastern and Western Empires were met in trembling conference, consulting how they might possibly obtain a reasonable answer from the haughty barbarian, the Romans said, ' His head is turned by his successes. No ruler of Scythis or of any other country has ever achieved so much in so short a time as he has. He rules over the islands in the ooean' (by which we must probably understand the Scandinavian islands and peninsulas ${ }^{2}$ ) ; 'he has made the whole of Scythia his

[^13]own ; he has put the Roman Empire to tribute, and he book in. thinks of renewing his attacks upon Persia. The road C․ 8. to that eastern kingdom is not untrodd $h$ by the Huns; already they have marched fifteen dagy courney from a certain lake [the Sea of Azof the Rom jes thought, but more probably the Caspian], and have ravaged Media.'

Add to this apparently trustwo hy statement of Priscus the firm belief of Deguigy :? that he has found traces in the historians of China, a confederacy between Attila and the rulers of t 4 country, and we have reasons for not lightly aban bning the old belief in the wide extent of the Empire Attila. The prince who felt China on his left, who chareatened Persepolis, Byzantium, Ravenna in front, wh ruled Denmark and its iolands in his rear, and who thetimately appeared in arms on the soil of Champa on his right, was no minor monarch, and had his empire been as deep as it was wide-spread, he might worthily have taken rank with Cyrus and Alexander.

At the same time it is well to remember that over far the larger part of this territory, Attila's can have been only an over-lordship, Teutonic, Slavonio, and Tartar chieftains of every name bearing rule under him. His own personal government, if government it can be called, may very likely have been confined nearly within the limits of the modern Hungary and Transylvania.
the migrations of the English into Britain between the years $43^{\circ}$ and 450. What they had before done against the 'Littus Saxonicum' had been apparently mere piracy and robbery. Now the whole nation migrates, a proceeding to which we can easily imagine them to have been stirred by the Teuton's loathing dread of the Mongol. And thus Attila may have been the unconscious founder of the English as well as of the Venetian dominion.
${ }^{1}$ Yal. L part ii. pp. 298-301.
bоок II. For nineteen years, from 434 to 453 , the sullen might C.. 2. of Attila lay like a thunder-cloud over Europe. During that time the Eastern and Western Courts were so closely united, as well by the bonds of relationship as by the overwhelming sense of their common danger, that it is not possible to disentangle their histories Let us give a glance at the chief personages in the two Courts.

Character of Theodo sins II.
435.

The younger Theodosius, son of Arcadius, and Emperor of the East, was in the twenty-fifth year of his age when we met with him, leading his people from the Hippodrome to the Basilica, to return thanks for the victory of his generals at Ravenna, which replaced his kinsfolk of the West on the Imperial throne. The fatuous dullness of his father and uncle no longer repels us in this member of the Theodosian family; he has some other employment than hunting; he illuminates sacred mauuscripts with such skill as to earn the title of the Calligrapher; and he does not rush from blind confidence in his ministers to equally blind suspicion, with the instability which was so conspicuous in Arcadius and Honorius. Still, he is not a true King; he possesses no real momentum in the affairs of the state : as a rule, every important measure is decided upon by his sister Pulcheria, who is two years older than himself, who governs the East-as her aunt Placidia governs the West-respectably, but without genius, powerless to stem the quick-rushing torrent of barbarian ravage and change, but not conspicuously adding to the calamities of Rome by vices of her own ${ }^{1}$.

[^14]Theodosius himself, all through these years of poli- book in. tical trouble and anxiety, is much engrossed in the controversy concerning the union of the divine and Hit theohuman natures in Christ; but he does not win from ${ }^{\text {position. }}$ it the same ecclesiastical renown which the Council of Constantinople brought to his more celebrated namesake and grandfather. At the Council of Ephesus he appears (through his ministers) to favour the heresy of Nestorius ; at the close of his reign he leans towards the opposite heresy of Dioscorus and Eutyches, which is, immediately after his death, condemned by the great Council of Chalcedon. At no time does he conspicuously defend the narrow via media of Orthodoxy.

It is strange that the marriages of the Emperors of The this family, which were daring and unconventional, did Emproses not remove from the race that effete and worn-out character which attaches to its later scions. The mother of Theodosius II was a Frankish princess, beautiful and impetuous, who bore the name of Eudoxia. His wife, the equally beautiful but portionless daughter of an Athenian rhetorician, brought up in the worship of the Olympian gods, was known in childhood by the name of Athenais, which, on her conversion to Cluristianity, she exchanged for that of Eudocia. She was twentyseven when her marriage with Theodosius, who was seven years her junior, raised her to the Imperial throne; but her influence seems never to have outweighed that of her sister-in-law Pulcheria, and after

Empress Pulcheria' (inl Hovixoplas ins Bacilifons). But he does not appear to attribute them to her personal agency, and the root-evil of all, the sale of public offices and the frightful corraption of the ministers of state, is spoken of in precisely similar terms a generation earlier, in the days of Arcadius and the eunuch Eutropius.

воок II. twenty-three years of married life, at the mature age
Ca. 2. of fifty, she incurred a suspicion of unfaithfulness to her husband, and was banished to Jerusalem, where she died in 460 , after an exile of sixteen years.
Endorin The only child of this marriage, with whom history mient of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}$ has to concern itealf, is a daughter, a third Eudoxia III. (for that name and Eudocia seem to be interchangeable), who, as we have seen, was betrothed in her babyhood, and in the sixteenth year of her age married, to Valentinian III, son of her father's aunt, but her own contemporary, with whom we have already made acquaintance as Emperor of the West, reigning, but not governing, under the tutelage of his mother Placidia.
The Prin. After one more granddaughter of the great Theocen Honoria. dosius has been named, the sketch of the two Imperial groups in the East and West will be complete. Besides her son Valentinian III, Placidia had a daughter Born 48 . Honoria, whose name was, for nearly twenty years, a by-word and a horror in the two Courts of Ravenna and Constantinople. Inheriting the coarse and sensual temperament of her father Constantius, and, like him, probably chafing at the restraints imposed on all the 434 family of the 'sacred' Emperors, she was detected in a low intrigue with one of the chamberlains of the palace. Her mother sent her to Constantinople, where, for the next sixteen years of her life, she was kept more or less closely guarded, at the court of her cousin Theodosius. The foolish girl, who was but in the seventeenth year of her age, filled with wild resentment against her family and her native land, hating the calm and sorrowful face of her mother, hating the severe dignity of Pulcheria, the psalmodies, the
weaving, the visitations of the poor, in which she soom in and her sisters passed their lives ${ }^{\text {a }}$, looked away to the СА. 2. gloomy North for vengeance, and called upon the squalid Hun to be her deliverer. She contrived to Honorin send a ring to Attila, who had become King of the ring the Huns in the year preceding her disgrace, and begged to be considered as his wife, or rather, probably, as one of his wives, for the Huns, unlike the Goths, were polygamists. It was the wild act of a girl of sixteen, perhaps half-crazy with passion. We hear nothing of Attila's reply, nothing of any renewed applications on Honoria's part for his assistance. Probably her apartments in the palace at Constantinople were thenceforward too strictly guarded to allow of her repeating the message. But Attila treasured the-ring, and in after-days pulled through that tiny circlet long threads of diplomacy and a bloody akein of war.

Immediately upon Attila's accession, an embassy from Treaty of Theodosius waited upon him and Bleda, in order to $\begin{gathered}\text { Margan bo } \\ \text { tmen } \\ \text { Ro- } \\ \text { and }\end{gathered}$ settle the various questions which had been raised $\frac{\text { mann }}{\text { Hans. }}$ between the Emperor and their deceased uncle Roua The ambassadors met the kings at Margus, a town which stood at the point where the Morava, now the chief river of Servia, empties itself into the Danube.

[^15]book in. Not only the Hunnish kinge, but all their retinue,
CB. 2. remained seated on horseback, and, that the dignity of Rome might not suffer in their persons, the ambassadors did the same. Yet, though etiquette might be maintained, Plinthas and Epigenes, the Roman envoys, did not win any very brilliant diplomatic triumph for their master. The honorarium, or stipend, or by whatever name the Romans chose to style that yearly payment which Attila, with ever-increasing frankness, called by its true designation, tribute, was raised from $£_{14,000}$ to $£ 28,000$; the fugitive Huns and Romans were to be surrendered, or a fine of $£ 8$ per head paid for each who was not forthcoming; there were to be free markets at which the Romans and Huns should meet on equal terms, and any barbarian tribe upon which Attila might choose to levy war, wasm be excluded from the alliance of Rome. In compliance with this treaty, two children of the royal blood of the Huns were surrendered by the Roman officers, and crucified on Roman territory by the orders of Attila Their only crime was flight.

Eight years of pence.

The next eight years are a blank in the Roman annals, as far as the Huns are concerned. It was at this time probably that Attila made those extensive conquests northwards and eastwards to which reference has already been made, that he pushed his dominion to the shores of the German Ocean, and sent his armies fifteen days' march from the Caspian into Media ${ }^{1}$.

[^16]According to some accounts, he also, during the same boor 11 interval, marched into the country watered by the Cп. 2. Rhone, and fought the Burgundians. However this may be, in 441 the curtain again lifts, and the first scene of conflict is that same Servian town of Margus on the Morava, where we last saw Attila doubling the Roman tribute and discussing terms of peace with Plinthas and Epigenes. The bishop of this place had crossed the Danube on a marauding expedition, and robbed one of the royal treasure-houses of the Huns of the wealth deposited therein. Naturally this imitation of their own predatory tactics excited the fierce wrath of the barbarians. At the time of one of the great markets by the banks of the Dan\$be, which were arranged for by the liast treaty, the Huns made a savage attack on the unsuspecting Romans. To the expostulations of the Imperial Court but one reply was returned: 'Give m up our refugees, and with our refugees the marauding bishop of Margus.' It began to be discussed among Prefects and Chamberlains whether it might not be better to give up this one rash bishop, that the whole nation should not perish. The rumour reached the ears of the reverend prelate, who determined to be beforehand with Fate. Stealing across to the camp of the barbarians, he undertook to put them in possession of the city of Margus if the kings of the Huns would hold him harmless. Clasping his right hand, they swore to confer upon him all sorts of benefits if he would fulfil this promise. Then, having planted the barbarian host in a well-selected ambuscade on the northern shore of the Danube, he returned into the city, unsuspected by his fellow-citizens, and at a given signal opened the gates to his new allies. They FOL. 11.
book il. rushed in and sacked the place, and one of the chief Cn. 2.
44.

An incident like this seems worth recording, since it marks the rapidly changing manners and positions of men during this century of barbarian invasion. Of course the occupant of the see of Margus was no fair specimen of his order, either in his first marauding expedition, or in his subsequent treachery: but when expedition, or in his subsequent treachery: but when have now reached to the days of Cyprian, or over one century to the courtly theologian-disputants who hurried to the numberless councils of Constantius, and compare them with this mitred combatant, we feel that we have already passed from Ancient History into the Middle Ages: we might imagine ourselves standing
before the warrior bishop of Beauvais, or one of the Middle Ages: we might imagine ourselves standing
before the warrior bishop of Beauvais, or one of the robber-bishops of the Rhine.

The Vaees of Sir mium. border cities of Moesia was thus lost to the Empire. me the of car to

Out of the invasion, for which the fall of Margus gave the signal, another ecclesiastical complication, this time not with the Eastern but the Western Empire, took ite rise. The town of Sirmium on the Save, situated in what is now the Austrian province of Sclavonia, though it has left no modern representative of its former glories, was once one of the most important cities of Pannonia. The bishop of Sirmium, seeing his city invested by the Hunnish army, gathered together the chalices and patens and other sacred vessels of his church, all of gold, and apparently of considerable value, und contrived to send them secretly to one Constantius, a Gaul, who was at that time officiating as Attila's secretary. The object of the trust hereby created was to liberate the bishop if he should survive the capture of the city, or if he should die, then
to ransom as many as possible of the citizens. The boor m. city was taken, and what became of the bishop we C... know not ; but Constantius, ignoring the trust reposed in him, went off to Rome on private business, and there pawned the golden chalices for a large sum of money to a silversmith, named Silvanus ${ }^{1}$. Meanwhile his masters, Attila and Bleda, who probably did not like this journey to Rome on urgent private affairs, came to the conclusion that their secretary was playing the traitor, and soon after Constantius's return, he was crucified. Some time afterwards, the story of the embezzlement of the golden chalices came to the ears of Attila, and filled him with wrath. 'Had my secretary,' said he, 'not deposited these chalices at Rome, they would have come into my poseession on the death of the swindler. Silvanus therefore has really stolen my property, and unless the Emperor of the West can restore the chalices, I insist that he shall surrender Silvanus to my vengeance.' How the affair, which dragged on for many years, at length terminated we know not, but we shall meet hereafter with an embassy from Valentinian III commissioned to treat on this important subject.

Three years after these events Bleda died, and Attila 44 . became sole ruler of the Huns. Historians Dave Death of accepted, perhaps too readily, a version of the story which attributes to the great Hun the guilt of fratricide, not in passion, but with premeditation and

[^17]boor in. cunning. With all his vices, treachery and secret Сп. 2. assassination scarcely seem consonant with the rest of his character ${ }^{1}$.


Attile laye
waste the Empire up to the walle of Constentinople.

In the year 447, Attila led his barbarian warriors on the most formidable of all his expeditions against the Eastern Empire. No detailed account of it has been preserved, but it is evident that no inroad of so destructive a kind had pierced the provinces between the Adriatic and the Aegean since Alaric met Stilicho in the Peloponnesus. The Huns pushed southwards as far as Thermopylae, and eastwards to the shore of the Dardanelles, where, at Gallipoli, they inflicted a disastrous defeat on the Roman troops ${ }^{2}$. The walls of Constantinople, on this occasion as on so many subsequent ones, saved the very existence of the Empire. But though the tide of barbarian invasion rolled back into its old bed when there was nothing more left to ravage in the open country, a panic fear seized the rulers of the state, who submitted with abject eagerness to every demand which their Master, for such they now considered him, might please to make upon them. Anatolius, a man of high rank who had held the office, still regarded with some of its old veneration, of Roman Consul, was sent to Attila's camp to negotiate

[^18]terms of peace. The yearly tribute, which had been book in. doubled at Attila's succession, was now tripled, and Cr. 2. stood at $£ 84,000$, and at the same time $£ 240,000$ in $\frac{447 .}{4 x+\text { rtio }}$ gold were handed over as a settlement of past arrears. practied In order to raise this sum, all the usual fiscal expedients the tribnte. of a weak, yet tyrannical government were resorted to. To have the reputation of wealth was the surest passport to misery. Each senator was assessed upon a certain sum, often greatly in excess of his real fortune; but the amount which stood opposite to his name had to be provided, whether he possessed it or not. Blows and insults enforced the demands of the officers of the Imperial Exchequer, and the upshot of the whole was that in some cases the family jewels of ladies of high rank, or the articles of household furniture of men who had passed all their lives in affluence, were exposed for sale in the market-place; while in other yet more desperate cases, the unhappy Roman noble escaped by the aid of a cord, or by the slower process of selfstarvation, into a land whither even the ministers of Theodosius could not follow him. And all this time the misery of the sitaation was aggravated by the thought that while the defence of the country was neglected, and, in consequence, these frightfully heavy subsidies had to be paid to her invaders, 'the country's wealth and the royal treasures were being applied, not to their proper uses, bat to ridiculous shows, tawdry pageants, and all the pleasures and all the extravagances of sensuality, such as no sensible man would have wasted money upon, even had the state been in the height of prosperity. Far less ought these men to have thus acted, who had so far neglected the military art that not only the Huns, but all the other
book II. barbarous tribes round had bound the Roman State to C. 2. the payment of tribute ${ }^{1}$.'
${ }^{447 .}$. Ttin's op- The ruler of the Huns marked well the abject terror preasive
embases of the Byzantine Court, and traded upon it with the low cunning of a savage. Scarcely had the treaty of Anatolius been concluded, when Attila sent ambassadors to Theodosiue, demanding, in the usual formula, 'the surrender of the fugitives.' The Roman Emperor could only reply, ' We have surrendered all who were in our power ;' but in order to secure powerful friends in the Hunnish encampment, he not only treated the ambassadors with splendid hospitality, but loaded them with rich presents on their departure. Again, and again, nad again, four times in the space of a twrelvemonth, did Attila repeat this process, selecting always for his ambassador some needy favourite whom he had a desire to enrich, and inventing such ridiculous pretexts for his embassies that all could see his real motive in sending them. This plan of pacific invasion began to tire out the patience of the meek Emperor and his ministers. His sister Pulcheria no longer now exercised a predominant influence in the affairs of state. Theological discussions seem to have divided the Imperial pair. She adhered to that side which was eventually, at the Council of Chalcedon, decreed to be the side of or-

Ascendanoy of Chryserphius. thodoxy; while the rival, and now reigning influence at court was that of the eunuch Chrysaphius, godson and partisan of Eutyches, the fanatic asserter of the absolute oneness of the nature of Christ even during the time of his Incarnation. Judging by the acts of Chrysaphius, we may safely conclude that any opinion of his on such a question was as valuable as the opinion

[^19]of an Australian savage concerning the philosophy of boor in, Plato.

In the year 448, yet another embassy arrived at 448 . Constantinople, more famous and more fateful than any Embeng of which had preceded it. Let us observe well the names and of the two chief ambassadors, for these are men who either by themselves or by their offspring will make a deep and ineffaceable mark on the history of their time. Edecon is introduced to us as a 'Scythian,' that is, a Hun ${ }^{1}$, ' who had accomplished mighty deeds in war.' He was evidently also one of the most intimate counsellors of Attila. No small degree of jealousy existed between him and his colleague Orestes. This man, as orestes we might have inferred from his name, wes not of father of barbarian extraction. He was of 'Roman' descent (atuce. term which is of course consistent with any provincial nationality within the limits of the Roman Empire), and 'he dwelt in that part of Pannonia which borders on the Save,' that is to say, within the limits of the modern Austrian province of Sclavonia. He was at this time a regular subject of Attila, his country, which was included in the Western Emperor's share of Illyricum, having recently been ceded by Aetius to the Huns. He married the daughter of a certain Count Romulus, who dwelt at Patavio in Noricum, the place which is now called Passau ${ }^{2}$, and which marks the junction of

[^20]Book in the mountain-nourished Inn with the more placid Сн. 2. 44.

Edecon father of Odovacar.
Attils's demands. Danube. From this marriage was born to Orestes, probably about ten years after the date at which we have now arrived, a son who was named after his maternal grandfather Romulus, and upon whom history has fastened the unkind nickname of Augustulus. The other ambassador, Edecon, was probably already the father of a son whom he had named Odovacar (Odoacer). These two ambassadors, on arriving at the Imperial Court, presented the letters of their lord, in which, as usual, he expressed his high displeasure at the conduct of the Romans with reference to the refugees. War, immediate war, was threatened unless these were surrendered. Further, there must be no attempt on the part of the Romans to cultivate the district which would in later times have been called the March of the Danube. This was a belt of territory about 100 miles ${ }^{1}$ wide on the southern side of the great stream, which Attila claimed to have annexed by right of conquest after his recent campaign. If this condition were not observed, war. The position of the great market for

Noricum' (Priscus, 185, 22). We know that its ancient name was 'Batava Castra,' from the Ninth Batavian Cohort being stationed there, and that in the ninth century (or earlier) it was called Pattavia, whence the modern Passau (see Böcking's Notitia, 784). Poetovio, the modern Pettau, in Styria, would have seemed more likely to be the place, except that it was not in Noricum but in Pannonia.
${ }^{1}$ Its width was 'five days' journey for a well-trained pedestrian' (cis ${ }^{\prime} \dot{d} u \varphi$ àdeí). This certainly would not mean leas than twenty miles a day. It was to reach from Pannonia (now Attila's by treaty with Aetius) on the West to Novse, now Sistova, in Bulgaria on the East. Eastward of Novae probably commenced the territory of the imperfectly subdued Acatziri. The dimension of the March from West to East would be about 300 miles.
the interchange of Roman and Hunnish commodities book in. must be shifted. It had been fixed at Margus, on the Сп. Danube; now it was to be at Naissus, the modern $44^{8}$. Nisch, 150 miles up the Morava, in Servia. And, lastly, ambassadors were to be sent to Attila, to talk over the points in dispute; and these were to be no men of second-rate position in the state, but men who had sat in the curule chair of the consuls, and the most eminent even among them. If these high dignitaries were afraid to undertake so long and wild a journey, he, the great king, would condescend to come as far as Sardica to meet them. Such was the imperious mandate of Attila, uttered by the lips of Edecon, and translated by the interpreter Vigilas to him, who was saluted by the names, once so mighty, Imperator and Augustus. Edecon then went to the house of Chrysaphius to confer with that minister as to the subject of his embassy. On his way he said to the interpreter, Vigilas, 'How beautiful is the Emperor's palace, how richly adorned with all precious things, and how happy must be the lives of the lords of such magnificence.' Vigilas repeated the remark to Chrysaphius, and with the words a wicked thought entered the mind of the Monophysite eunuch. He said to Edecon, 'You, too, might sit Convereaunder gilded ceilings ${ }^{1}$ of your own, and be lord of vast ${ }^{\text {tionemen }}$ tion wealth, if you would leave the party of the Huns and ${ }_{\text {phrinesend }}^{\text {chen }}$ take up ours.'

Edecon. 'I could not do that, being another man's servant, without my lord's consent.'

[^21] $\frac{\text { Cg. 2. }}{44^{8} \text {. }}$ person?'

Edecon. ' Yes. I am one of the nobles selected for the purpose of keeping watch in arms over his person. We serve for so many days and then are relieved.'

Chrysaphius. 'If you will promise secrecy, I can tell you something very greatly to your advantage. Come to dine with me, without Orestes and your other colleagues, and we can talk the matter over at our leisure.'

Asasesina tion plot hatched.

So a secret meeting was arranged at the house of the eunuch, and there in the presence and by the assistance of Vigilas, evidently a Byzantine dragoman of the worst type, a vile plot was hatched. Chrysaphius first swore that what he had to say should in no case injure Edecon. Edecon swore a counter oath that he would not reveal, even if he could not accomplish, the designs of the minister; and then Chrysaphius at length uttered the fatal secret. 'If when you return to Scythia you will slay Attila and then come to us, you shall have a happy life here and vast wealth.'

Edecon. 'I promise to do so. But I shall want some small sum of money to be paid me in advance, say about fifty pounds of gold [ $£ 2000$ ] in order to ensure the co-operation of the common soldiers under my command.'

Chrysaphius. 'There will be no difficulty about that. You shall have the money at once.'

Edecon. ' $N o$, I will not take it at once, for Attila will ask me on my return, as he asks all his ambassadors, how much the mission has been worth to me; and I could not deceive him because all my colleagues will know what weight of gold I am carrying hack.

You must let me return to report the answer of your book in. master as to the refugees, and Vigilas must come with Ca. 2. me to receive the rejoinder of mine. Then, through Vigilas I will send you word how the rest of the gold (beyond the ordinary gratuity to an ambassador) had better be sent to me.'

This plan met with the full approval of the eunuch, The plot who, as soon as he had dismissed his guest, hurried $\begin{gathered}\text { communi: } \\ \text { cited to }\end{gathered}$ away to the palace to inform Theodosius of the new whineod prospect of an early termination of Attila's embassies. The Imperial Calligrapher, the Illuminator of Sacred Manuscripts, at once accepted the proposal, and calling in Martialius, the Magister Officiorum, and chief of what we should call ' the Secret Service Department,' consulted with him what shape the return embassy to Attila should now assume. Of a truth many things were changed, and not altogether for the better, since the Consul Fabricius handed over to Pyrrhus the traitor, who proposed to purchase the favour of Rome by administering poison to his master.

In order to cloak the atrocious scheme thus concocted, To be carthe Emperor and his minister decided to send to the effoet by coast of Attila a sham embassy, in whose train the embewy intending murderers might travel unsuspected, regardless, of course, of the danger to which they exposed the innocent envoy, who in the event of the plot being discovered was likely to plead in vain the sanctity of an ambassador's person. The man selected for this post was Maximin, an officer of high, but not the highest, on which rank, and of illustrious lineage, but whose name had sani. not figured in the Consular Fasti. He invited Priscus 'the sophist,' or, as we should say, professor of rhetoric and man of letters, to accompany him, and it is to the
book II. diary ${ }^{1}$ of the embassy kept by Priscus, and afterwards
Сн. 2. interwoven by him into his history, that we are in-
448. debted for almost all trustworthy details of the Court and Camp of Attila. He assures us emphatically, and the whole course of the history tends to confirm his statement, that the murder-secret was not confided either to him or to his patron, but that the ostensible object of their mission was to them the real one. As and Prisous Pagsna. both Maximin and Priscus seem still to have adhered to the worship of the Olympian divinities, we are driven, however reluctantly, to the conclusion that by this time the traitors, the time-servers, and the hypocrites had ranged themselves on the side of successful Christianity, and that when the Emperor wanted a man of indisputably high character and sterling honesty to mask by his innocence a dark and nefarious design, his thoughts naturally turned to the few remaining Pagan statesmen, who probably held at his court a position not unlike that of the Roman Catholics under Queen Elizabeth or the Huguenots under Louis XIII.

Stoat answer sent to th Hun.

The message which was entrusted to Maximin was couched in a less servile tone than the recent replies of Theodosius. As if they already saw the knife of the assassin piercing the heart of the great Hun, the Emperor and the eunuch began to express their weariness of Attila's perpetual reclamations. 'You ought not to overleap the obligations of treaties and invade the Roman territory. As for fugitives, besides those already surrendered, I now return you seventeen, and I

[^22]really have no more.' So ran the letter. Verbally book in Maximin was instructed to say that Attila must not Ce. 2. expect ambassadors of any higher rank than him who $44^{8 .}$ now spoke to be sent to him, since this had not been the usage with his own ancestors or any of the other northern rulers, but the custom had hitherto been to send any chance person, soldier or letter-carrier, whose services were available. And as for the king's proposition to come and meet an ambassador of consular rank at Sardica, he himself had made that impossible by his sack of that very town. Such was the contemptuous reply of the Byzantine to the Hunnish court as it was intended to have been delivered; but not such was the actual message which reached the ears of Attila; for, as we shall see, like good wine it mellowed considerably on the journey.

The first fortnight of travel seems to have been Constantipleasant and uneventful enough. During all this time Sardica. the Roman and barbarian ambassadors were passing through the comparatively tranquil and prosperous province of Thrace. At the end of it they reached Sardica, about 350 miles from Constantinople, and the first city of 'Dacia Mediterranea.' This was the place at which almost exactly a century before (343) the celebrated council had been held which enunciated again the Nicene Creed, and gave to the See of Rome the right of deciding whether a bishop had been lawfully deposed. Other matters, however, than theological wrangles had of late forced themselves on the attention of the unhappy inhabitants of Sardica. As we have just heard from the lips of Theodosius, the town bad been terribly pillaged and laid waste by Attila. The destruction, however, was not complete. There were

ноок in. still houses and some inhabitants from whom it was

CH. 2. the banquet. possible for the ambassadors to buy sheep and oxen. These they killed and roasted; and having prepared a goodly repast, they thought it would be but courteous to ask Edecon and the barbarians attending him to partake with them. As they sat long over the meal, conversation turned upon the greatness and majesty of their respective masters. The Huns, of course, magnified the might of Attila ; the Romans tried to extol their great Augustus. At this point of the conversation, Vigilas, with an indiscretion which can only be accounted for by supposing that he had plied the winecup too freely, said, ' I cannot think it right to compare gods and men together. Attila, after all, is but a man, while Theodosius I look upon as a god.' At these words the Huns started up with flushed cheeks and angry eyes; and the pleasant diplomatic banquet was on the point of ending in bloodshed. Priscus and Maximin however succeeded in silencing their noisy colleague, guided the conversation into safer channels, and by their civility mollified the wrath of the Huns. That there might be no ohance of any rancorous feeling remaining in their minds, Maximin, when the banquet was over, made handsome presents, both to Edecon and Orestes, of silken raiment and ' Indian jewels ${ }^{1}$.'

The bestowal of these presents led to another curious outburst of angry feeling. Orestes sat out all his companions, and when they were gone came up to Maximin and thanked him heartily for his presents. 'You,' said he, 'are a wise man, of a most excellent disposition. You are not like those insolent courtiers at Byzantium, who gave presents and invitations to

[^23]Edecon but none to me.' 'When? where? how?' воок in gasped out the puzzled ambassador; but Orestes, Cr. 2. vouchsafing no more particular statement of his grievances, stalked moodily out of the room.

Next day, on the journey, Maximin and Priscus re- Sooied ported this strange conversation to Vigilas. He, of the Hun course, knew well enough to what it referred, but did $\begin{gathered}\text { and the } \\ \text { Romn }\end{gathered}$ not choose to explain. He only said, ' Orestes has no business to be offended. He is but a secretary, a mere squire of Attila: Edecon is of course differently treated. He is a great warrior and a Hun by birth, and far superior in position to the other.' Already then, in the ertimation of a Byzantine dragoman, to be 'a Hun by birth' was a higher position than that of a well-born Roman provincial Vigilas afterwards repeated this conversation to Edecon and had much difficulty, so he told his companions, in soothing the barbarian's resentment against the pretensions of Orestes to be put on an equality with him.

A further hundred miles of travel brought the am- Sardica to bassadors to Naissus (now Nisch, on the confines of Servia), and here they found such traces of the ravage of the Hun as his Turkish kinsman has often in later days left behind him in the same regions. A city utterly empty of inhabitants, in the churches a few sick folk too weak to fly, every place down to the river's bank full of human bones and skulls: that is how the Turanian leaves his mark. 'But we found,' says Priscus, with simplicity, 'a clean spot a little above the river, and there we rested for the night.'

Near to this city, which had become a tomb, lay the Fugitives Imperial 'army of Illyricum,' under the command of derred. the General-in-chief, Agintheus. Five out of the
book in seventeen fugitives, whom Theodosius had promised to
Ch. 2.
448. surrender to Attila, were there, imagining themselves safe under the shelter of the eagles. But the Emperor's orders were clear. The Roman General had to give up the five suppliants to the Roman ambassador for him to hand over to the Hunnish king. Agintheus spoke kindly ${ }^{1}$ to them ; but as they knew, in all probability, that they were going to a death of torture, kind words from the ghost of the old Roman war-wolf were not much to the purpose.

Attila's hunting.

At length the ambassadors reached the shores of the Danube. The roads leading down to the river were crowded with Huns; and ferrymen were plying across the stream in their uncouth boats, each made of a single tree roughly hollowed out. They were thus without delay transported to the northern bank of the river; but if they had supposed that all this stir was made in expectation of their own arrival they were soon undeceived. The barbarian king had aunounced that he meant to cross over into the Romans' land to hunt, and the expectation of his coming had caused this stir among his subjects. Like the Percy's ' Hunting of the Cheviot,' Attila's hunting meant war, war over the endless grievance of the unsurrendered refugees. It was in fact the barbarian's device to accomplish what the modern strategist calls ' Mobilisation.'
Inoolence On the second day after crossing the Danube, the of the Barbarians. Roman party came in sight of the numerous tents of Attila, and were about to pitch their own on a hill-top near. But this the Huns around them would by no means permit: 'they must come down and pitch their tents in the plain: it would be quite improper for the

[^24]Roman ambassador to occupy the hill while Attila was book in. below in the valley.' When this difficulty was settled, Cr. 2. the Romans, as it was still early afternoon, expected doubtless an audience that day with Attila. Instead of this, however, several of the Hunnish nobility came, together with Edecon and Orestes, to their tent, and demanded to know the tenour of their message to the king. Naturally the ambassadors replied that their commission was for Attila alone, and they would disclose it to no other person. At that reply, Scotta, one of the Hunnish magnates, burst out with a passionate question, 'Do you take us for busybodies, who came here out of our own prying curiosity? Attila sent us, and we must have your answer.' The ambassadors firmly declined, pleading the invariable usage of their profession. Whereupon the Huns galloped away, and soon returned, ominous exception, without Edecon. ' Your commission,' said they, ' to our king is so and so; such concessions about refugees, such messages about future umbassadors. Deny that this is the purport of your instructions if you can. If you have nothing to add to this, return at once to your own country.' In vain did the Romans try to maintain the proper official reserve and refuse to say. whether this was indeed a true summary of their instructions or not. Their faces doubtless showed that the arrow had hit the mark: the barbarians' version of their commission was correct in the smallest particulars, and to all further protestations of the Romans the Huns had but one reply continually repeated, ' Begone directly.'

Maximin and Priscus were bewildered, as well they might be, by this strange innovation on the customs of diplomacy. Vigilas, who knew that for his part, the
boоk il. darker part of the enterprise, access to the court of
Сн. 2.
448. Attila and some days' sojourn there were essential, bitterly complained of his colleagues' truthfulness. 'They might have vamped up some other matter, and declared that the Huns had not revealed the whole of the commission. It would have been better to be detected eventually in a falsehood, than to return without even seeing Attila.'

The plot revealed by Edecon to Attila.

Little did the false interpreter guess upon what a volcano he himself was standing. The true cause of Attila's strange demeanour was that Edecon had revealed the plot. Either he had only feigned compliance from the first-the more probable supposition-or else that wild conversation at Sardica and the tidiugs which Vigilas himself had brought him, of the rage and jealousy of Orestes, had satisfied him that the risk was too great to run, with such an unwise person as the interpreter for confederate, and with such an angry rival as the secretary for spy on his movements. And therefore, at the very first opportunity when he found himself alone with Attila, he rehearsed to him the whole plan for his intended assassination, and at the same time furnished him with the particulars of the intended Roman reply, which Edecon had, no doubt, received from Chrysaphius.
Prisus It was night when the party of the ambassadors with Scotta. received their peremptory orders to depart. With heavy hearts they were watching their attendants loading the beasts of burden, when they received another message, giving them an ungracious permission to remain where they were till daybreak. A present of an ox for roasting, and some fish, salted, no doubt, as it came from the Euxine, attested the surly hospitality
 by the barbarian.' But no : there came only the same harsh command, ' Begone, if you have no other commission to unfold.' Hereupon Priscus, seeing the deep dejection of his patron, resolved to try what prayers and promises could accomplish with one of Attila's ministers. His chief minister, Ońgesh, who was wellknown by the Romans, and on the whole favourably inclined towards them, was absent; but Scotta, the brother of Onegesh, was in the Hunnish camp, and to him Priscus betook himself, using another interpreter than Vigilas. He enlarged on the advantages to the two nations, but still more to the house of Onegesh, which would result from the peaceful outcome of the negotiations, on the presents which were in store for Onégesh at Constantinople, and on those which Maximin would immediately bestow on Scotta. And finally, he wound up with a diplomatic appeal to the vanity of the Hun. 'I have heard,' said he, 'that Attila pays great deference to the advice of Scotta, but I shall never believe it if you cannot accomplish so small a matter as to obtain for us this interview.' ' Doubt not that I can do it,' he answered : 'my influence with the king is just as great as my brother's.' And with that he mounted his horse and galloped off to the king's tent. The faithful Priscus returned to his master, who was lying on the grass with Vigilas, while again the packing of the horses was going forward. As soon as they heard of the slight hope which had arisen, and of the influence which Priscus had brought to bear on the mind of Attila, they sprang to their feet, and while warmly commending the sophist for his happy inspira-
book in. tion, began to discuss what they should say to the king, Сп. 2. and how the presents of Theodosius and of Maximin himself should be offered for his acceptance.

Soon Scotta returned and escorted them to the royal tent. 'When we obtained admittance,' says Priscus, ' we found the monarch seated on a wooden stool ${ }^{1}$. We stood a little way off from the throne, but Maximin went forward, and after making obeisance to the barbarian, and handing him the emperor's letter, said, "Our Sovereign prays for the safety of thyself and all around thee." Attila answered, "May the Romans receive exactly what they desire for me." Then, turning sharp round to Vigilas, "Shameless beast!" he said, "How have you dared to come to me, knowing, as you do right well, the terms of peace which I settled with you and Anatolius; and how I then said that no more ambassadors were to come to me till all the fugitives were given up." When Vigilas replied that the Romans no longer had with them any refugees of Scythian origin, since we had surrendered all that were with us, Attila grew still more furious, and shouted out with a loud voice every opprobrious epithet that he could think of; " I would impale you," he roared out, " and leave you as food for vultures, if it were not for your sacred character of envoy, which I would not seem to outrage, fitting as the punishment would be for your impudence and your reckless falsehoods. As for Scythian refugees, there are still many among the Romans." And here he bade his secretaries read out their names, inscribed on a roll of paper. When they had rehearsed them all, he bid Vigilas depart without delay. With him was to go Eslas the Hun, commissioned to order the Romans to

[^25]restore all the fugitives who had gone over to them book in. from the days of Carpilio, son of Aetius, who was sent - : as a hostage to his court, and had escaped. "For," 448. continued Attila, "I will never endure that my own servants should come forth and meet me in battle, all useless though they may be to help those with whom they have taken refuge, and who entrust to them the guardianship of their own land. For what city, or what fortress has any of these men been able to defend when I have determined on its capture ?"'

After this outburst the king condescended to accept Presents the presents which Maximin had brought, and then he repeated his commands as to the future conduct of the negotiations. Having satisfied himself, probably, in the course of this interview that Maximin was an honest man, and guiltless of any complicity in the design against his life, he felt that he could safely indulge in the pleasures which such an embessy brought to himgifts for himself, gifts for his dependents, and the gratification of trampling on the pride of Rome by exhibiting the Imperial ambassadors as frightened suppliants for his favour. All, therefore, except Vigilas, received orders to repair to his palace in the interior, and there to wait for the written reply which he would send to Theodosius.

Vigilas, on the other hand, whose presence doubtless vigises suggested, even to the brave Hun, uncomfortable tomanded thoughts of midnight alarms and the assassin's dagger, tinople. was ordered to return at once to Constantinople with the routine message and menace concerning the refugees. Eslas went with him as a spy on his movements: Edecon visited him immediately after the interview in the royal tent, to assure him that he was still true to
boom in. the plot, and to press him to bring back the promised Cम. 2. gold. At the same time, with considerable ingenuity, Attila issued a proclamation, forbidding Vigilas to purchase any Roman captive or barbarian slave, or horses, or anything else but necessary food until the differences between the Romans and Huns should be arranged.' The effect of this proclamation was to deprive Vigilas of any plausible pretext for bringing back any large amount of gold from Constantinople. If, notwithstanding this prohibition, he still brought gold with him, that gold could only be the blood-money of Attila.

Maximin and Priscas in the heart of Hunland.

There is no need to trace the return of the base and blundering Vigilas to Constantinople, whither he went still entirely unwitting that Attila had sapped below his mine. We follow honest Maximin and his friend as they journey northwards into the recesses of Hungary. For a certain distance they travelled in the train of the Barbarian ; then they received orders to turn off into another road. Attila was about to visit a certain village, and there add to his numerous harem another wife, the daughter of one Escam ${ }^{1}$; and apparently he did not choose that the courtly Byzantines should look on the rude wedding festivities of a Hunnish polygamist. The ambassadors had to cross three large rivers in the course of their journey. The names of these rivers are not easy to recognise, but they may possibly be repre-

[^26]sented by the Drave, the Temes, and the Theiss. They boor in. crossed them, as before, in tree-trunk boats; while, for C®. 2. the smaller streams and the marshes, they availed $4^{48}$. themselves of the convenient rafts which the Huns always carried about with them on their waggons in all their journeys through that often inundated country. They were kindly entertained in the Hunnish villages, and received such provisions as the inhabitants had to offer ; no wheat, indeed, but millet, for food, and for drink medus and camus, two beverages which seem to correspond to our mead and beer.

One night, after a long day's march, they pitched The storn their tent beside a lake which offered them the ad- biake. vantage of good and sweet water. 'Suddenly,' said Priscus, 'there arose a great storm of wind, accompanied by thunderings and frequent flashes of lightning and torrents of rain. Our tent was blown down, and all our travelling furniture was rolled over and over into the waters of the lake. Terrified by this accident and by the din of the storm which filled all the air, we left the spot and soon wandered away from each other, everyone taking what he supposed to be the right road. At length, by different paths, we all reached the neighbouring village, and turned in to the huts for shelter. Then, with loud outcry, we began inquiring into our losses. Roused by our clamour, the Scythians started up, kindled the long reeds which serve them for candles, and which threw a good light upon the scene, and then asked us what on earth we wanted that we were making such an uproar. The barbarians who were with us explained how we had been thrown into confusion by the storm, whereupon they kindly called us into their houses, and by lighting
buok in. a very great number of torches did something to Сн. 2. warm us.
' The chieftainess of the village, who was one of the wives of Bleda [Attila' brother], sent us a supply of food, of which we gladly partook. Next morning, at daybreak, we set about searching for our camp furniture, and were fortunate enough to find it all, some in the place where we pitched our tents, some on the shore, and some in the lake itself, from which we succeeded in fishing it up. The whole of that day we spent in the village, drying our things, for the storm had now ceased and the sun was shining brightly. After attending to our beasts, we visited the queen, saluted her respectfully, and repaid her for her hospitality with presents. These were three silver bowls, some red skins, Indian pepper ${ }^{1}$ /dates, and other articles of food, which the barbarians prize as foreign to their climate. Then we wished her health and happiness in return for her hospitality to us, and so we departed.'

Meeting of Eastern and
Weatern Ambessa. dors.

At length, after seven days' journey, they reached a village, where they were ordered to stop. Their road here joined that by which the royal bridegroom would be approaching, and they were not to presume to proceed till Attila should have gone before them. In the little village where they were thus detained they met some unexpected companions. Primutus ${ }^{2}$, the Roman governor of Noricum, Count Romulus of Passau, the father-in-law of Orestes, and Romanus, a general of legionaries, with probably a long train of attendants,

[^27]were already testing, perhaps somewhat severely, the book if. resources and accommodation of the Hunnish village. $\frac{\text { Ca. } 2 .}{44^{8} \text {. }}$. They, too, had come on an embassy: they represented the Emperor of the West, and it is needless to say that the subject which they had come to discuss was that interminable one, the sacred vases of Sirmium. The father of Orestes, and Constantius the Roman secretary of Attila, journeyed, in an unofficial capacity, with the ambassadors. It was certainly a striking scene: the ambassadors from Ravenna and Constantinople, the representatives of the dignity of the two Imperial courts the functionaries who between them could set forth the whole majesty that might still survive in the title Senatus Populus Que Romanus, meeting in a dingy little village in Hungary, and waiting with abject submission till a snub-nosed Kalmuck should ride past and contemptuously toss them a permission to follow in his train. It is difficult to resist the conclusion that Attila, who had a genius for scenic effect in the enhancement of his glory, not unlike that which our century has witnessed in the Napoleons, had purposely arranged this confluence of the two embassies, and partly for this cause had invited Maximin to follow him into Hungary.

After crossing a few more rivers, the united embassies came in sight of the village in which was situated the palace of Attila. Students have discussed whether this Hunnish capital is represented by the modern city of Peath, by Tokay, or by some other less-known name ; but we may dismiss with absolute indifference the inquiry in what particular part of a dreary and treeless plain a barbarian king reared his log-huts, of which probably, twenty years after his death, not a vestige remained.
book II. As Attila entered the village he was met by a proCH. 2.
$44^{8 .}$ Attina's triumphal entry. cession of maidens in single file wearing linen veils, thin and white, and so long that under each veil, held up as it was by the hands of the women on either side of the path, seven maidens or more were able to walk. There were many of these sets of girls, each set wearing one veil ; and as they walked they sang national songs in honour of the king. The last house which he reached before his own was that of his favourite and chief minister Onégeah ${ }^{1}$, and as he passed it the wife of the owner came forth with a multitude of attendants bearing food and wine-' the highest honour,' says Priscus, 'which one Scythian can pay to another'-saluted him, and begged him to partake of the repast which she had provided as a token of her loyalty. The king, wishing to gratify the wife of his most trusted counsellor, partook accordingly, without dismounting from his horse, his attendants holding high before him the silver table on which the banquet was spread. Having eaten and drunk he rode on to his palace.
The pplase
of Attle. This edifice, the finest in all the country round, stood of Attilin. on a little hill, and seemed to dominate the whole settlement. Yet it was in truth, as has been already

[^28]said, only a log-hut of large dimensions. Externally it book in. seems that it was built of half-trunks of trees, round side outwards, and within, it was lined with smoothlyplaned planks. Round the enclosure in which the dwellings of the king and his wives were placed ran a wooden palisading, for ornament, not defence ; and the top of the palace was fashioned into the appearance of battlements. Next to the king's house in position, and only second to it in size, rose the dwelling of Onégesh. The house The only stone building in the place was a bath, which Onégesh had built at a little distance from his palisading. The stone for this building had been brought from quarries in the Roman province of Pannonia; and in fact all the timber used in the settlement had been imported likewise, for in the vast and dreary plain where the nomad nation had pitched its camp, not a tree was growing, not a stone underlay it. With the building of the bath of Onégesh a grim jest was connected. The architect, a Roman provincial, who had been carried captive from Sirmium, 'hoped that his ingenuity would at least be rewarded by the boon of freedom, if no other architect's commission was paid him. But no such thoughts suggested themselves to the mind of Onegesh. When he had completed his task, the architect was rewarded by being turned into bath-man, and had to wait upon his master and his master's guests whensoever they had a mind for the pleasures of the sudatorium and the tepidarium. Thus, as Priscus remarks, with a hint, no doubt, at the personal uncleanliness of the Huns, the unhappy man of science ' had prepared for himself unconsciously a worse lot than that of ordinary servitude among the Scythians.'

воок 11.
сн. 2 . Onégesh himself, who was absent when Priscus sought

Сн. 2. an interview with bis brother Scotta, had now returned to his master's court. He had been engaged in quelling the last remains of independence among the Acatziri, a people possibly of Slavonic origin, who dwelt on the Lower Danube. The Byzantine ministers had endeavoured to parry Attila's attack by stirring up some of the petty chieftains of this nation against him. But, with their usual tendency to blunder, they had sent their most costly and honourable presents to the wrong man, and consequently Curidach, the real head of the confederacy, having received only the second gift, called in the aid of Attila to avenge the insult and beat down the power of his associated kings. The Hun was nothing loth, and soon succeeded in quelling all opposition. He then invited Curidach to come and celebrate their joint triumph at his court; but that chieftain, suspecting that his benefactor's kindness was of the same nature as the promised boon of Polyphemus to Ulysses, ' I will eat Outis last ${ }^{1}$,' courteously declined. 'It is hard,' he said, 'for a man to come into the presence of a god; and if it be not possible to look fixedly even at the orb of the sun, how shall Curidach gaze undistressed upon the greatest of gods?? The compliment served for the time, but Attila understood what it was worth, and at a convenient season sent his Grand Vizier, Onégesh, to dethrone Curidach and to proclaim the eldest son of Attila king of the Acatziri in his stead. From this expedition the Prime Minister had now just returned successful and in high favour with his master.

The ambassadors were hospitably entertained by the ${ }^{1}$ Odyssey, ix. 369.
wife and family of Onegesh. He himself had to wait boor ir: upon the king to report the success of his mission, and Ce. 2. the only drawback which had befallen his party, an accident namely to the young prince, who had slipped off his horse and fractured some of the bones of his right hand. At nightfall Maximin pitched his tents a little way off the enclosure of the royal dwellings, and next morning he sent Priscus early to the house of Onégesh with servants bearing presents both from himself and from Theodosius. The zealous rhetorician was actually up before the barbarian. The house was still close barred and there was no sign of any one stirring.

While Priscus was waiting, and walking up and The Greek down before the palisading which surrounded the house turned of Onégesh, a man, with the dress and general appearance of a Hun, came up and saluted him with a wellpronounced Greek xaípe ('How d'ye do?'). A Hun speaking Greek was an anomaly which aroused all the attention of the Sophist, for, as he says, 'though it is true that this people, who are a kind of conglomerate of nations, do sometimes affect the speech of the Goths, or even that of the Italians, in addition to their own barbarous language, they never learn Greek, except indeed they be inhabitants of Thrace or Dalmatia, who have been carried captive into the Hunnish territory. And these captives or their offspring may be easily known by their ragged garments and scabby heads, and all the other tokens of their having changed their condition for the worse. But this man seemed like a flourishing Scythian, handsomely dressed, and having his hair neatly clipped all round his head. So, returning his salutation, I asked him who he was, and
sook in from what part of the world be had come into that Ck. 2. barbarian land to adopt the Scythian life. "What has put it into your head to ask me such a question as that?" said he. "Your Greek accent," answered I. Then he laughed and said, "'Tis true I am of Greek parentage, and I came for purposes of trade to Viminacium, a city of Moesia, on the Danube" [about sixty miles below Belgrade]. "There I abode for a long time, and married a very wealthy wife. But on the capture of the city by the Huns I was stripped of all my fortune, and assigned as a slave to this very Onégesh before whose door you are standing. That is the custom of the Huns: after Attila has had his share, the chiefs of the nation are allowed to take their pick of the wealthiest captives, and so Onegesh chose me. Afterwards, having distinguished myself in some actions with the Romans and the Acatziri, I surrendered to my master all the spoils which I had taken in war, and thus, according to the law of the Scythians, I obtained my freedom. I married a barbarian wife, by whom I have children: I am admitted as a guest to the table of Onegesh, and I consider my present mode of life decidedly preferable to my past. For when war is over, the people of this country live like gentlemen, enjoying themselves to the full, and free from worry of auy kind. But the people in Romanland are easily worsted in war, because they place their hopes of safety on others rather than themselves. Their tyrants will not allow them the use of arms, and the condition of those who are armed is even more dangerous, from the utter worthlessness of their generals, who have no notion of the art of War. Then, too, Peace has its injuries not less severe than War. Think
of all the cruelties practised by the collectors of the boor ir. revenue, the infamy of informers, and the gross inequalities in the administration of the laws. If a rich man offends, he can always manage to escape punishment; but a poor man, who does not know how to arrange matters, has to undergo the full penalty, unless indeed he be dead before judgment is pronounced, which is not unlikely, considering the intolerable length to which lawsuits are protracted. But what I call the most shameful thing of all is that you have to pay money in order to obtain your legal rights. For a man who has been injured cannot even get a hearing from the court without first paying large fees to the judge and the officials who serve him."'

In reply to this angry outburst, Priscus entered into Priscusis a long and sophistical disquisition on the advantages of $\begin{gathered}\text { porolosy } \\ \text { fors } \\ \text { Empire. }\end{gathered}$ division of labour, the necessity that judges and bailiffs, like men of other occupations, should live by their calling, and so on. It is easy to see that Priscus felt himself to be talking as sagely as Socrates, upon whose style his reply is evidently modelled; but that reply has the fault so common with rhetoricians and diplomatists, of being quite up in the air, and having no relation to the real facts of the case. His conclusion is the most interesting part of the speech: " As for the freedom which you now enjoy, you may thank Fortune for that and not your master, who sent you to war, where yon were likely to have been killed by the enemy on account of your inexperieuce. But the Romans treat even their slaves better than this. True, they correct them, but only for their good as parents or schoolmasters correct children, in order that they may cease to do evil and behave as is suitable for persons
book II. in their station. The Roman master is not allowed, CH. 2.
$44^{8 .}$ as the Hun is, to punish his slave so as to cause his death. Besides, we have abundant legal provisions in favour of freedom, and this gift may be bestowed not only by men who are in the midst of life, but also by those who are on the point of death. Such persons are allowed to dispose of their property as they please, and any directions of a dying man concerning the enfranchisement of his slaves are binding on his heirs." Thus I reasoned with him. He burst into tears, and said, "The laws are beautiful, and the polity of the Romans is excellent; but the rulers are not like-minded with the men of old, and are pulling down the state into ruin."'

The inter. view with Onégesh.

By the time that this conversation was ended, the household of Ondgesh had awoke, and the door was unbarred. Priscus obtained an interview with the minister and delivered the presents, which were graciously received. It is needless to transcribe the memoranda, almost tediously minute, which Priscus has kept of his various conversations. The general drift of them was, on the Roman side, to press for an interview with the king of the Huns, and to urge Onégesh to undertake in person the return embassy, and win for himself eternal glory and much wealth by bringing his candid and impartial mind to bear upon the points in dispute, and settling them in favour of the Romans. Onégesh indignantly repudiated the idea that any arguments of the Romans could ever induce him to betray his master, to forget his Scythian life, his wives, and his children, or to cease to consider servitude with Attila preferable to wealth among the Romans. He could be far more useful to them, he said, by remaining at

Attila's court and mollifying his resentment against book ir: their nation, than by coming to Byzantium and nego- $\frac{\text { Сн. 2. }}{448}$
tiating a treaty which his master might very probably disavow. On the other hand, he pressed them repeatedly with the question, 'What man of consular dignity will the Emperor send as ambassador?' The fact that Maximin, a man who had never filled the office of consul, should have been selected as envoy, evidently rankled in the mind of the barbarian king, sensitive, as all upstarts are, about his dignity. And at length, Attila having named three, Nomus, Anatolius, and Senator, any one of whom would be, in the language of modern diplomacy, a persona grata at his court, declared that he would receive no one else. The envoys replied that to insist so strongly on the selection of these three men would bring them into suspicion at the Imperial Court; a charming piece of inconsistency in the men who were constantly petitioning that Onégesh and no one else might undertake the return embassy. Attila answered moodily, 'If the Romans will not do as I choose, I shall settle the points in dispute by war.'

While diplomacy was thus spinning her tedious web, Quoon, the ambeassadors saw some sights in the barbarian camp pacho. which deserved to be recorded by the careful pen of the professor of rhetoric. One day he had an audience of the Queen Kreka, the chief in dignity of the wives of Attila, and mother of three of bis sons. Her palace was built of well-sawn and smoothly-planed planks, 'resting on the ends of logs ${ }^{1}$.' Arches at certain intervals, springing from the ground and rising to a pretty considerable height, broke the flat surface of

[^29]VOL. II.
book II. the wall ${ }^{1}$. Here Kreka was to be found, lying on a C. 2. soft couch, and with the floor around her covered with smooth felts to walk upon. Carpets were evidently still an unwonted luxury in Hun-land. There was no trace of the Oriental seclusion of women in the palace of Kreka. A large number of men-servants stood in a circle round her, while her maids sat on the floor in front, and were busied in dying linen of various colours, intending afterwards to work it up into ornamental costumes of the barbarian fashion.

When Priscus had offered his gifts and emerged from the queen's dwelling, he heard a stir and a clamour, and saw a crowd of men hurrying to the door of Attila's palace. These were the signs that the king was coming forth, and the rhetorician obtained a good place to watch his exit. With a stately strut Attila came forth, looking this way and that. Then he stood with his favourite Onégesh in front of the palace, while all the multitude of his people who had disputes one with another came forward and submitted them to him for his decision. Having thus in true Oriental fashion administered justice 'in the gate,' he returned into the interior of his palace in order to give audience to some barbarian ambassadors who had just arrived at his court.
The Am- Scarcely was this scene ended when Priscus fell in of Kactand with the ambassadors of the Western Empire, with Weat compare notes. whom he naturally began to compare notes. 'Are you dismissed,' said they, 'or pressed to remain?' 'The very thing,' he answered, 'that I myself want to know,

[^30]and that keeps me all day hanging about near the boor in. palisading of Onégesh. Pray has Attila, vouchsafed a ca. 2. gentle answer to your petition?' 'No; nothing will 48. turn him from his purpose. He declares he will either have Silvanus or the sacred vessels, or else will make war.' Priscus then expressed his wonder at the folly of the barbarian; and Romulus, who was an old and experienced diplomatist, answered, ' His extraordinary good fortane and unbounded power have quite turned his head: so that he will listen to no argument which does not fall in with his own caprices. For no former ruler of Scythia or of any other land has ever achieved so much in so short a time as this man, who has made himself master of the islands in the ocean, and besides ruling all Scythia has forced even the Romans to pay him tribute.' Then Romulus proceeded to tell the story of Attila's intended Persian campaign, to which reference has already been made. The Byzantine ambassadors expressed their earnest desire that he would turn his arms against Persia and leave Theodosius alone; but Constantiolus, a Pannonian in the retinue of Romulus, replied that he feared if Attila did attack and overcome, as he assuredly would, the monarch of that country, 'he would become our lord and master instead of our friend. At present,' said he, 'Attila condescends to take gold from the Romans and call it pay for his titular office of General in the Roman armies. But should he subdue the Parthians, and Medes, and Persians, he would not endure to have the Roman Empire cutting in like a wedge between one part and another of his dominions, but would openly treat the two Emperors as mere lacqueys, and would lay apon them such commands as they would to remark, testily, "The generals of Theodosius are but his servants, while my generals are as good as emperors of Rome." He believes also that there will be before long some notable increase of his power; and that the gods have signified this by revealing to him the sword of Mars, a sacred relic much venerated by the Huns, for many years hidden from their eyes, but quite lately re-discovered by the trail of the blood of an ox which had wounded its hoof against it, as it was sticking upright in the long grass ${ }^{1}$.'

Such was the conversation between the representatives of Ravenna and Constantinople, amid the log-huts of the Hungarian plain. Later on in the same day they all received an invitation to be present at a banquet of the great conqueror.
' Punctually at three o'clock we, together with the ambassadors of the Western Romans, went to the dinner and stood on the threshold of Attila's palace. According to the custom of the country, the cup-bearers brought us a bowl of wine, that we might drink and pray for the good-luck of our host before sitting down. Having tasted the bowl, we were escorted to our seats. Chairs were ranged for the guests all round the walls. In the centre Attila reclined on a couch, and behind him a flight of steps led up to his bed, which, hidden by curtains of white linen and variegated stuffs tastofully arranged, looked like the nuptial bed, as the Greeks and Romans prepare it for a newly-wedded couple.
'The seat of honour on the right hand of Attila's

[^31]couch, was occupied by Onégesh. We did not receive boor n. even the second place, that on his left, but saw Berich, Cr. 2. a Hun of noble birth, placed above us there. Opposite order of to Onégesh, on a double chair ${ }^{1}$, sat two of the sons of dence. Attila. His eldest son sat on the king's couch, not near to him, however, but on the very edge of it, and all through the banquet he kept his eyes fixed on the ground in silent awe of his father.
' When we were all seated the cup-bearer came in The tosests. and handed to Attila his ivy-wood drinking-cup, filled with wine. Remaining seated, the king saluted the one nearest to him in rank. The slave standing behind that person's chair advanced into the centre of the hall, received the cup from the hand of Attila's cup-bearer, and brought it to the guest, whom etiquette required to rise from his seat and continue standing till he had drained the cup and the slave had returned it into the hands of Attila's cup-bearer.' This process of salutation and drinking was gone through with each guest and in the intervals of every course. The length of the solemnity, and perhaps the tediousness of it, seem greatly to have impressed the mind of Priscus, who describes it in much detail. After the banqueters had all been 'saluted' by Attila, the servants began to bring in the provisions, which were set upon little tables, one for every three or four guests, so that each conld help himself without going outside the row of seats. 'For all the rest of the barbarians,' says Priscus, 'and for us, a costly banquet had been prepared, which was served on silver dishes; but Attila, on his wooden plate, had nothing else save meat. In all his other equipments he showed the same simple tastes. The

[^32]воок II. other banqueters had drinking cups of gold and silver C.. 2. handed to them, but his was of wood. His clothes were quite plain, distinguished by their cleanness only from those of any common man : and neither the sword which was hung up beside him, nor the clasps of his shoes (shaped in the barbarian fashion), nor the bridle of his horse, was adorned, as is the case with other Scythians, with gold or jewels, or anything else that is costly.
Minasrelly. 'When evening came on, torches were lighted, and two barbarians coming in, stood opposite to Attila and chanted verses in praise of his victories and his prowess in war. The banqueters, looking off from the festal board, gazed earnestly on the minstrels. Some gave themselves to the mere delight of the song; others, remembering past conflicts, were stirred as with the fury of battle; while the old men were melted into tears by the thought that their bodies were grown weak through time, and their hot hearts were compelled into repose.' After tears laughter, and after the tragedy a farce. A mad Hun next came in, who by his senseless babble made all the guesta laugh heartily. Then entered a Moorish dwarf named Zercon, hump-backed, club-footed, with a nose like a monkey's. Almost the only aneedote ' that is preserved to us about Bleda, Attila's brother, records the inextinguishable mirth which this strange

Bledais fool. creature used to awaken in him, how he had him always by his side at the battle and in the banquet, and how when at last the unlucky dwarf tried to make his escape

[^33]together with some other fugitives, Bleda disregarded all moor II. the others, and devoted his whole energies to the re- $\frac{\mathrm{Cu} .2 .}{48 .}$ capture of the pigmy. Then when he was caught and brought into the royal presence, Bleda burst into another storm of merriment at seeing the queer little creature in the dignity of chains. He questioned him about the cause of his flight : the dwarf replied that he knew he had done wrong, but there was some excuse for him because he could get no wife in Hun-land. More delicious laughter followed, and Bleda straightway provided him with a wife in the person of a Hunnish damsel of noble birth who had been maid of honour to his queen, but had fallen into disgrace and been banished from her presence. After Bleda's death, Attila, who could not abide the dwarf, sent him as a present to Aetius. He had now come back again, apparently to beg to have his wife restored to him, a prayer which Attila was not inclined to grant.

This strange being came into the banquet-hall, and by his grotesque appearance, his odd garb, his stattering voice, and his wild promiscuous jumble of words, Latin, Hunnish, Gothic, hurled forth pell-mell in unutterable confusion, set every table in a roar. Only Attila laughed not; not a line in his rigid countenance changed till his youngest son Ernak came, laughing like everybody else, and sat down beside him. He did not shrink away like his elder brother and sit on the edge of the couch. His bright, happy eyes looked up into the face of his father, who gently pinched his cheek and looked back upon him with a mild and softened gaze. Priscus expressed aloud his wonder that the youngest son should be so obviously preferred to his elder brethren: whereupon one of the barbarians who sat

воок III near him, and who understood Latin, whispered to him confidentially that it had been foretold to Attila by the prophets that the falling fortunes of his house should by this son be restored.

Affair of the family of Salle.

The drinking-bout was protracted far on into the night, and the ambassadors left long before it was over. At daybreak next morning they again sought an interview with Onegesh, and petitioned that without further loss of time they might receive Attila's answer and return to their master. Onégesh set his secretaries, Roman captives, to work at the composition of the letter of reply. Then they preferred another request, for the liberation of the widow and children of a certain Sulla, a citizen of Ratiaria ${ }^{1}$, who had apparently been killed at the same time when they were taken captive and their home destroyed. Onégesh entirely refused to hear of their gratuitous liberation, but at length, when the ambassadors begged him to reflect on their former prosperity, and to pity their present misfortunes, he laid the matter before Attila, and obtained a reluctant consent to send the children back as a present to Theodosius. As to the widow the Hun remained inexorable: the price of her freedom was fixed at $£_{500}$. Such abject entreaties to a squalid barbarian for the liberation of the family of a Roman bearing the name of him

> 'Whose chariot rolled on Fortune's wheel, Trumphant Sulla,'
seem to intensify the force of Byron's magnificent apostrophe- ${ }^{8}$

[^34]'Couldest thou divine
To what would one day dwindle that which made
Thee more than mortal, or that so supine
By else than Romans Rome could e'er be laid;
She who was named Eternal, and arrayed
Her warriors but to conquer, she who veiled
Earth with her haughty shadow, and displayed,
Till the o'ercanopied horizon failed,
Her rashing wing -oh ! she who was Almighty hailed ${ }^{\prime}$ '
Another visit to Attila's chief wife ${ }^{1}$ beguiled the tedium of the ambassadors' sojourn in the royal village. 'She received us,' says Priscus, 'both with honeyed words and with an elaborate repast. And each of the company wishing to do us honour in Scythian fashion, arose and presented us with a full cup of wine; and when we bad drank it they put their arms round us and kissed us, and then received it back from our hands.'

A final supper with Attila himself followed. The yant banmonarch seems to have had an increasing appreciation $\begin{gathered}\text { quttile. }\end{gathered}$ of the worth and honesty of Maximin : and now that the 'shameless beast,' Vigilas, was gone, and Attila no longer had the unpleasant sensation as of the near presence of a venomous reptile, which was always suggested by his false smile and cringing salutation, the companionship of the Roman ambassadors agreeably diversified the monotony of the barbarian carousals. This time the relative who shared his royal divan was not one of his sons but Oébarsh, his uncle. Attila treated the ambassadors during this meal with great politeness, but at the same time frequently reminded them of a grievance which for the moment absorbed all his

[^35]boox II. thoughts, to the exclusion of the Hunnish refugees and

CR. 2. the vases of Sirmium. Aetius, who was continually sending presents to the Hunnish monarch or receiving them from him, had consigned to him, perhaps in exchange for the Moorish dwarf, a Latin secretary, named Constantius. This secretary, the second of that name who had entered Attila's service, was eager, like all the adventurers who hovered on the confines between barbarism and civilization, to consolidate his position by marrying one of the ' enormously wealthy ${ }^{1}$ ' heiresses who were to be found among the Romans. Such an one seemed to be within his grasp when he was sent a few years before as an embassy to Constantinople, and when he succeeded in smoothing some of the negotiations between Theodosius and the Hun. The Emperor, a facile promiser, undertook to bestow upon the secretary the hand of the daughter of Saturninus, a man of high lineage and fortune, who held the office of Comes Domesticorum. Shortly after, however, Eudocia the Empress revenged herself on Saturninus for having, in obedience to her husband's commands, put two favourite ecclesiastics of hers to death, by sending him to join them. The fortunes of the house of Saturninus declined, and a powerful general, Zeno, bestowed the daughter of the fallen minister in marriage on one of his creatures named Rufus ${ }^{\text {s }}$. The disappointed secretary, Constantius, who had doubtless boasted not a

[^36]little of the 'enormously wealthy' bride that was to be boor iI. assigned to him, besieged the ear of Attila with his clamours, and even promised him money if he would 448. still obtain for him one of the longed-for heiresses all through this banquet therefore Attila urged the fortunehunter's claims upon Maximin, saying repeatedly, 'Constantius must not be disappointed. It is not right for kings to tell lies ${ }^{1}$.'

Three days after this banquet the ambassadors from the Eastern Court, after receiving presents which Priscus acknowledges to have been 'suitable,' were at length dismiseed under the escort of Berich, the Hunnish nobleman who had sat above them at their first repast in Attila's presence. It is singular that we hear nothing as to the success or failure of the Embassy of the West.

The return journey of Maximin and Priscus was not Rotarn marked by any striking adventures. They saw $a$ of the am. Scythian refugee, who had crossed the Danube and retarned into his own country as a spy, subjected to the cruel punishment of impalement, common among these Turanian nations. And two Scythian slaves who had murdered their masters wëre put to death by crucifixion, a mode of execution which the Christian Empire, from religious rather than humane sentiment, had by this time abandoned. But the only other incidents of Sulkiness their journey were caused by the testy and capricious Humitif humour of their companion Berich, who seemed bent collaggue. on picking a quarrel with them. His ill-temper was chiefly shewn by his violent resumption of the horse which, at Attila's command, he had presented to Maxi-

[^37]book iI. min. Indeed all the Hunnish nobility had been ordered

CH. 2. to make tender of their horses to the ambassador ; but he had shewn the wise moderation of his character by accepting only a few. Among these few however was Berich's; and considering the centaur-like union which had for generations existed between the Huns and their steeds, we may conjecture that it was the pain of daily beholding his favourite horse bestridden by an unwarlike stranger which caused the irritability of the Hunnish nobleman.
Vigilas had started from Constantinople before the return of the ambassadors, and met them on their road. They communicated to him the final answer of the barbarian, and he continued his route. As soon as he reached the camp of Attila, a detachment of Huns, who had been watching for his arrival, made him their prisoner, and took from him the $£ 2000$ which he was bringing, as he supposed, to Edecon as the price of blood. They carried him at once before the king, who enquired why he travelled with so much money about him. 'To provide for my own wants and those of my attendants,' said Vigilas, ' lest by any mischance my embassy should lack its proper splendour. Also for the redemption of captives, since many persons in the Roman territory have begged me to purchase the liberation of their kinsfolk.' 'Evil beastl' said Attila, ' thou in truth shalt not blind Justice by all thy quibbles, and no pretext shall be strong enough to enable thee to escape punishment. Thou hast provided far more money than could possibly be wanted for the purchase of beasts of burden and for the redemption of captives, which last I expressly forbade thee to undertake when thou camest hither with Maximin.'

With these words he signalled to his attendants to bоок in. seize the son of Vigilas, who had for the first time accompanied his father on this journey. 'Next moment,' and com. said Attila, 'hew him down with the sword, unless his pelled iw father will say to whom and for what purpose he has brought this money into my territory.' Vigilas burst into passionate lamentations, begged the executioner to slay him instead of his son, and when he saw that all was of no avail, confessed the whole plot, told how Chrysaphius had originated it, how Edecon had accepted it, how Theodosius had sanctioned it, and then once more earnestly entreated Attila to put him to death and to spare his son. The king, who from his previous information knew that Vigilas had now disclosed the whole truth, coldly replied that for the present he should be loaded with chains and await, in close confinement, the return of his son who must start at once for Constantinople to obtain another sum of $£^{2000}{ }^{1}$, which, with that already taken from him, should constitute their joint ransom.

Leaving Vigilas in this dangerous predicament, let us atila's now see what kind of messages Theodosius had to listen meenge to to from the King of the Huns. Maximin seems to ${ }^{\text {sius. }}$ have been instructed to dwell principally on the Emperor's breach of promise to Constantius. 'No one,' Attila argued, 'could have dared to betroth the daughter of Saturninus to another than Constantius without the Emperor's consent. For either he who had presumed to do such a deed would have suffered condign punishment, or else the affairs of the Emperor were in such a

[^38]sook II. state that he could not manage his own servants, against whom therefore, if he desired it, Attila would be ready to grant him the advantage of his alliance.' The taunt, which must surely have proceeded from the lips of Berich, not of Maximin, struck home ; and Theodosius showed his anger by confiscating the fortune of the 'enormously wealthy' young lady whose matrimonial affairs had caused him so much annoyance. This act was of course followed by a loud outcry from her husband Rufus and his patron Zeno, whose position towards his Imperial mastor was in fact pretty accurately described by the smeers of Attila Zeno chose however to attribute the whole incident to the machinations of Chrysaphius, and began to clamour for the eunuch's life.

Such was the position of affairs at Constantinople when the two special ambassadors of Attila, Orestes and Eslas, arrived. Their message was yet harder to digest than that which had preceded it. When they appeared in the Imperial presence, Orestes wore, suspended round his neck, the purse (or rather the large bag) in which the blood-money had been packed. Turning first to Theodosius and then to the Eunuch, he asked each of them: 'Dost thou recognise this bag?' Then Eslas, the Hun, took up his parable, and said roundly ${ }^{1}$, 'Theodosius is the son of a well-born father. Attila too from his father Mundzuk has inherited the condition of noble birth, which he has preserved. Not so Theodosius, who fell from the estate of an ingenurs and lecame Attila's slave, when he submitted to pay him tribute. He has now conspired against the life of a better man than himself, and one whom Fortune has made his master. This is a foul deed, worthy only of a caitiff slave, and
his only way of clearing himself from the guilt which book I he has thus contracted is to surrender the Eunuch to Ca. 2. punishment.'

How this harangue, every word of which had been composed by Attila himself, was received by Theodosius, as he sat surrounded by his courtiers, we know not. The general expectation of the Court was that it would go hard with Chrysaphius, whose punishment was thus simultaneously demanded by the two men whom the Emperor most feared, Zeno his general, and Attila his torment. But 'threatened men live long' and the Eunuch seems to have been not unpopular with the other courtiers, who exerted themselves zealously for his deliverance.

Anatolius and Nomus were selected as the new am- Embeey bassadors to the Hunnish Court. Both had been named of Anas and by Attila ${ }^{1}$ as persons of sufficiently exalted rank to visit him, such as he would be willing to welcome. Anatolius, who had been the chief figure of the embassy of 447, was a man of high military rank, in fact, general of the household troops ${ }^{2}$. Nomus, a patrician as well as his colleague, was in the civil service as Master of the Offices, renowned not only for his wealth, but for his willingness to spend it lavishly, and moreover kindly disposed towards Chrysaphius. They were commissioned to employ money freely, to deprecate Attila's resentment against the Eunuch, and to assure Constantius that he should yet have a wealthy Roman bride, though the law would not permit the Emperor to give him the daughter of Saturninus, as she was married to another man from whom she did not desire to be

[^39]book III divorced. The trifling circumstance of the confiscation Cz. 2. of her property appears not to have been mentioned in the instructions of the ambassadors.

Succees of the Emberey.

This embassy was completely successful. Attila came as far as the river Drave ${ }^{1}$, in order to testify his respect for the persons of the envoys, and to spare them the fatigue of too long a journey. At first his speech was full of arrogance and wrath, but when he saw the beautiful things which the ambassadors had brought for him, the presents of Theodosius, the presents of Chrysaphius, the presents of the lavish Nomus, the child-nature in the heart of the barbarian asserted itself, his eyes gleamed with pleasure, and he suffered himself to be mollified by their gentle words. Peace was concluded pretty nearly on the old terms : in fact, he seems even to have surrendered his claim to the belt of territory, five days' journey wide, sonth of the Danube. He promised to worry the Emperor no more about any refugees whom he might have received in past times; 'only,' he said, 'Theodosius must receive no more of these men in future.' Vigilas was liberated, his son having brought the $£ 2000$ of ransom; and the demand for the head of Chrysaphius seems to have been quietly withdrawn ${ }^{2}$. Of his own accord, in order to mark his special esteem for Anatolins and Nomus, he liberated many captives without ransom; and he made them presents of several horses (whether belonging to himself or to his courtiers we are not informed), and of the
${ }^{1}$ A conjectural translation of $\Delta p^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \times \Delta r$.
${ }^{2}$ Thierry (Attila, i. 126) says, in describing this interview, 'Il dé livra Vigilas, . . . mais il exigea la tâte de Chrysaphius. Sur ce point il fut inflexible.' I do not find any evidence in Priscus in sapport of this statement; and the fact that Attila received, apparently, the eunuah's presanta, seems to render it very improbable.

skins of wild beasts, 'such as the royal family among book in. the Scythians wear by way of ornament.' For once, Сद. 2. diplomacy really prevented war.

The important question of satisfying the noble long- An hairess ings of Constantius for a wealthy bride was soon solved. found for He returned with the ambassadors to Constantinople searotary. and was there mated to a lady of very high birth and large fortune, the widow of a certain Armatius, who had died when on service against some of the fierce tribes of Libya, and the daughter-in-law of Plinthas (Consul 419), who had headed the first Embassy to Attila in the year 433. Thus the last point in dispute between the son of Mundzuk and the son of Arcadius was disposed of.

In the following year (450) Theodosius II died in the 50 th year of his age and the 43 rd of his reign. His Theodiosius death was the result of an accident in hunting, his II. horse having run away, swerved aside into a stream and thrown him off. He was carried home to his palace in a litter, but he had received a fatal injury to the spine, and died on the following night (July 28, 450). He left no male offspring, and his sister Pulcheria ascended Aocossion the throne, which she shared with a brave and honest soldier, Marcian, whom, for the good of the state, she consented to call her husband.

The immediate results of this change were, the calling chrys. together of the Council of Chalcedon (451), at which the to death. orthodox Roman view of the union of the two natures in Christ was finally adopted; the execution of Chrysaphius, whether as maladministrator, as Eutychian heretic, or as private foe to the new Augusta, we are not informed; and, lastly, the assumption of an altered and more manly tone in reply to the intolerable preten-
boor iI. siôns of Attila. When that monarch claimed his arrears

Ch. 2. of tribute, the new Emperor sent as ambassador to his court, Apollonius, the brother of that Rufus who had married the 'enormously wealt ride, for whose fortune Constantius had languished. Apollonius crossed the Danube, but when Attila learned that he had not brought the tribute, which-to use the words of the Hun-' had been promised to him by better and more king-like men ${ }^{1}$ than the present ambassador,' he refused to grant him an audience. Attila said expressly that he acted thus in order to show his contempt for the envoy, whom, nevertheless, he ordered, on pain of death if he refused, to hand over the presents which the Emperor had sent. 'Not so,' said Apollonius, who spoke with a boldness worthy of old Rome, and in a tone which was now strange to Scythian ears. 'The Huns may kill me if they like, and then my presents will be spoils of war (if they choose to call murder warfare). Or they may receive me as ambassador, and then I willingly offer my gifts. But if not admitted to an audience, I do not part with these presents while I live.' The boldness of the ambassador prevailed. He returned with his gifts and his message alike undelivered, but Attila saw that he had now at length men to deal with at Constantinople, and that the policy of braggadocio would avail no longer. He did not care for a campaign in the often-harried plains of Moesia, but looked out for some richer if not easier prey. And thus, with a dignity which we had ceased to hope for in any Emperor of Byzantium, the long negotiations terminate, and we close the chapter of the doings of Attila in the East.

> 1 Anatolius and Nomus.


## CHAPTER III.

## AtTILA IN GAUL.

## Authorities.

## Sources:-

AT the outset we derive a little further information as to BOOK II. Attila's embassies from Priscos, but our chief source is again Jordanss. He gives, of course, always the Gothic version of the events which he describes; but the chapters relating to the invasion of Gaul and the battle of Chalons, rise to a far higher level of literary merit than the rest of the history, and seem to have something of the vividness and picturesqueness of contemporary narration.

Apollinaris Sidonies, the Gaulish nobleman, wit and bishop, whose relation to the politics of the time will be hereafter portrayed, writes abont the events of this year in his nsual declamatory style. He lived 430-488, and was therefore twenty-one years old at the time of Attila's invasion of Gaul. I quote from Grégoire and Collombet's edition of his works in three vols. : Lyons 1836.

Gregory of Tours, who wrote his History of the Franks about 590, supplies some meagre details about Attila's invasion.

The Bollandist Acta sanctorom, in the lives of St. Geneviève, St. Lapus, and St. Anianus, give further details of a more or less legendary character. A student who should possess sufficient patience and discrimination to winnow the wheat from the chaff in the vast mass of ecclesiastical literature collected by the Bollandists, would bestow a great service on the history of the Middle Ages.

The Panegyric of Aetius, attributed to a Spanish poet named H 2
book II. Merobaudes, and edited by Niebuhr, contains some interesting CH. 8. hints as to the life of Aetius previous to the year 446 (the date of the poem), but in its extremely fragmentary state it is difficalt to extract much solid historical material frop it. The imitation of Claudian's style is obvious.

A story of very doubtful authority ${ }^{1}$ represents the monarch of the Huns as sending, shortly before the death of Theodosius II, a Gothic messenger to each of the two Roman Emperors, with this insulting mandate, 'Attila, thy master and mine, bids thee to prepare a palace for his reception.' Whether any such message was actually sent or not, the story indicates not inaptly the attitude which the great Hun maintained for the ten years between 440 and 450 , hovering like a hawk over the fluttered dove-cots of Byzantium and Ravenna, and enjoying the terrors of the Eastern and the Western Augustus alternately.

Attila turns hia menaces towards the Western Empire.

Now that the palace by the Bosporus was occupied by an inmate whose beak and claw looked more like those of the old Roman eagle than any that had been seen there for the last half-century, the Barbarian began to turn his thoughts more definitely to the hapless pigeon of the West. He needed to be at no loss for pretexts in making war on Rome. Whether the great grievance of the communion-plate of Sirmium was still unredressed we cannot say, for History, after wearying us with the details of this paltry affair, forgets to tell us how it ended, whether the vases were surrendered to the service of the king or the silversmith

[^40]to his rage, or whether the latter was deemed to be 'a Book in. bona-fide holder of the goods.for valuable considera- Св. 3. tion,' and his title respected acoordingly.

But the grievances of the Princess Homoria undoubt- Championedly still remained, possibly even were ineireased by the Honoria. death of the easy-tempered Theodosius and the ascession to the Byzantine throne of that mevere modet-of feminine virtues, the Augusta Pulcheria, who was now fifty-one years of age, while her cousin was but thirtytwo, a juniority which was in itself almost treason against a female sovereign. It is possible that the unhappy princess was removed at this time from the Eastern to the Western court, for we find Attila sending one of his usual insulting embassies to Valentinian III, ' to say that Honoria, whom he had betrothed to himself, must suffer no harm, and that he would avenge her cause if she were not also allowed to wield the imperial sceptre.' The Western Emperor replied, ' that Honoria could not enter into the married state with him, having been already given to a husband' (to whom, when, or under what circumstances, we are not informed) ; and they met the audacious claim set up on behalf of the princess by an equally audacious misstatement of their own customs, daring to assert in the face of the stillexisting royalty of Placidia and Pulcheria, 'that Honoria ought not to receive the sceptre, since the succession to the throne among the Romans was vested not in females, but in males.' Both parties probably felt that the claim was an unreal one : the Hun was determined on war, and would have it, whether he redeemed the ring of Honoria or no. One more embassy takes place, in which Attila prefers the modest claim to one half of the Western Empire, 'as the betrothed husband of
nook In. Honoria, who had received this portion from her father, Сн. 8. and was wrongfully kept $:$ out of it by her brother's covetousness.' This, request is of course refused. Then Honoria too, like the vases of Sirmium, fades out of history; phether she ever saw the fierce face of her affiancéd, whien he wasted Italy in her name, nay even whether she was present at the death-bed of her mother ..1acidia, who expired at Rome in the same year as Theodosius (450), and there received and conferred a mutual forgiveness, we know not.

Two more pretexts for war must Attila accumulate, or at least two more alliances must he conclude, and then all would be ready for his great westward movement. prince.

One was with a Frankish prince. A certain king of the Franks, whose name is not recorded, had just died, and there was strife between his sons as to the succession to his rude royalty. The younger son was the candidate whom the Romans favoured. He had been to Rome (probably some years before) on an embassy from his father. He had gazed there, doubtless, on the still undiminished glories of the Palatine and the Forum and the great Flavian Amphitheatre, and while he gazed, the observant eye of the rhetorician Priscus, who happened to be at Rome, had likewise gazed on him. A young warrior, with not even the first down of manhood on cheek or lip, but with a cloud of yellow hair descending thickly upon his shoulders, such is the appearance of the first Frankish king whom we meet with in history. Whether he was Meroveus himself ${ }^{1}$, the half-

[^41]mythical ancestor of the Merovingian dynasty, may be boor ir. doubted, and cannot now be ascertained ; but that long tawny chevelure identifies him with the race who reigned in France for 250 years, till the hair of the last faineant king fell beneath the scissors of Pepin.

The all-powerful Aetius regarded this young Frankish chief with favour. He loaded him with presents, conferred upon him the title of his adopted son, and sent him back to his father as the bearer of a treaty of friendship and alliance. It may have been this title of adopted son of the great Aetius which suggested ambitious thoughts to the mind of the young prince. At any rate, on the death of his father, he, though the younger son, with Roman help, made good his claim to the succession to the kingdom. His elder brother fled to the court of Attila, who undertook to recover for him his lost inheritance.

The other alliance of Attila was with Gaiseric, king Alliance of the Vandals. This monarch, whose career we shall Gitieric. have to trace in the following book, was now undisputed master of the whole Roman province of Africa, had ravaged Sicily, and was making the name of Carthage, his capital city, as terrible to Italian hearts as ever it had been in the days of Hannibal. There can be little doubt that if the Hunnish hordes by land, and the Vandal pirates by sea, had simultaneously attacked the Western Empire, they must have achieved a complete and crushing success. But for some reason or other, perbaps because neither nation wished to share so rich a booty

The silence of Gregory of Tours as to some of these earlier kings and the hesitating way in which he speaks of others seem almost conclusive against the pretension of the medieval genealogists to trace their namea and pedigree. (See Siamondi, Hist. des Frangais, i. 177.)
noor in. with a rival, this united action was not taken; and Сн. 8. though the Hunnish king received large sums of money by way of subsidy from the Vandal, it may be doubted whether he did not lose far more than he gained by an alliance which made him accessory after the fact to a cruel and impolitic outrage. (For Theodoric, king of the Visigoths, who was at this time far the most powerful ruler in the Gaulish provinces, had bestowed his daughter in marriage on Hunneric, the son of Gaiseric. Gaiseric chose to suspect, apparently on very trifling grounds, that the new bride had attempted to poison him; and with a cruelty which seems to have been characteristic of the Vandal nature, he cut off the nose and ears of the Visigothic princess, and in this condition sent her back to the palace of Theodoric, a living and daily remembrancer of the vengeance due to the Vandal, and therefore an argument against any co-operation with Attila, who was that Vandal's friend.

The Bagaudae in Gaul.

One more, not ally, but summons to war must be mentioned, which may perhaps have assisted powerfully in turning the hosts of Attila towards Gaul rather than towards Italy. The iniquities of judges and the exactions of tax-gatherers, which were so loudly complained of by the barbarianised Roman in the camp of Attila, had in Gaul stirred up the peasants to a tumultuary war not unlike that which the mediaeval knights termed a. Jacquerie. The name given to the peasant warriors with whom we are now concerned was Bagaudae ${ }^{1}$; and

[^42]their insurrection, a striking proof of the hollowness of Book in. the fabric of Roman prosperity, had smouldered for Сн. 8. more than a century and a half, ever since the days of Diocletian. A man, of whom we would gladly know more than the few lines which the chroniclers bestow on him, was the link between these marauders within the Empire and the great Barbarian without. In the year 448, as we learn from the Pseudo-Prosper, ' Eudoxius, a doctor by profession, a man of evil, though cultivated intellect, heing mixed up with the movements of the Bagaudae at that time, fled to the Huns ${ }^{1}$.' It is probable enough that we have here to do with a mere selfish adventurer such as float ever upon the surface of revolutionary change : yet before condemning the man of 'evil though highly-cultured intellect,' who
the time of Charles le Chauve, Castrum Bagaudarum. Salvian (De Gabernatione Dei, v. 6) drawa a striking picture of the judicial and fiscal iniqnities which had driven men into the ranks of the Bacaudae (as he spells the word), 'De Bacaudis nunc mihi sermo est: qui per malos judices et cruentos spoliati, afflicti, necati, postquam jus Romanae libertatis amiserant, etiam honorem Romani nominis perdideront. Et impatatar his infelicitas sua 1 Impatamus his nomen calamitatis suae? Imputamus nomen, quod ipsi fecimus? Et vocamus rebelles? Vocamus perditos, quos esse compulimus criminosos ? Quibas enim aliis rebus Bacaudae facti sunt, nisi iniquitutibus nostris, nisi improbitatibus judicam, nisi eoram proscriptionibus, et rapinis, qui exactionis publicae nomen in questus proprii emolumenta verterunt et indictiones tribatarias praedas suas esse fecerant.' Compare also the following interesting notices in 'Tiro's' Chronicle: 435, 'Gallia ulterior Tibatonem principem rebellionis secuta, a Romank societate discessit, a quo tracto initio omnis paene Galliarum servitia in Bagaudam conspiravere.' 437, 'Capto Tibatone et caeteris seditionis partim principibas vinctis, partim necatis, Bagandarum commotio conquiescit.'
${ }^{1}$ 'Eudoxius arte medicus, pravi sed exercitati ingenii, in Bagauda id temporis mota delatus, ad Channos confagit' (Chronicle of 'Tiro,' 448).
${ }^{1300 \mathrm{~K}}$ II. flashes thus for a moment upon the page of history, we
C. 8. would gladly have known whether he too may not
451. The army of Attila moves westwarda. have been in his day an apostle of 'the Enthusiasm of Humanity,' whether the miseries which Eudoxius' ' arte medicus' saw among the pillaged peasants of Gaul were not the original cause of his being condemned as a ' Bagauda' by delicately-living senators and prefects, and forced to appeal against the injustices of civilization at the bar of its terrible antagonist.
At length, in the spring of 451 , the preparations of Attila were completed, and the huge host began to roll on its way towards the Rhine. This army, like those which modern science has created, and under which modern industry groans, was truly described as a nation rather than an army; and though the estimates of the chroniclers, which vary from half a million to seven hundred thousand men, cannot be accepted as literally accurate, we shall not err in believing that the vast multitude who looked to the tent of Attila for orders were practically innumerable. Sidonius describes how the quiet life of the Roman provincial senator was suddenly disturbed by the roar of a mighty multitude, when barbarism seemed to be pouring over the plains of Gaul all the inhabitants of the North. If his enumeration of the invading tribes, which no doubt partakes of some of the vagueness of his style of poetry, be

The nationalities which com posed it. at all correct, the Geloni from the shores of the Volga, the Neuri and Bastarnae from the Ukraine, the Sciri, whom we are in doubt whether to place near Riga on the Baltic or Odessa on the Euxine, were serving in that army. The ethnological affinities of these obscure tribes are very doubtful. Some of them may have been of Sclavonic origin. The Teutonic family was repre-
sented by the Rugii from Pomerania, the Bructeri from book II. the Weser; one balf of the Frankish people from 'the CB. 3. turbid Neckar;' the Thuringians (Toringi) from Bavaria, and the Burgundians-these too only a portion of the tribe who had lingered in their old homes by the Vistula. The bone and marrow of the army were of course the Huns themselves, and the two powerful Teutonic tribes, enemies to the Hun in the past and to be his enemies in the future, but for the present his faithful allies and counsellors, the Gepidae and the Ostrogoths. Thus if we go back ta the old story of the Gothic migration from 'the island of Sweden,' we have the crews of two of the ships being led on to attack their fellows in the other vessel, the Ostrogoths and the 'torpid ' Gepidae marching right across Europe at the bidding of a leader whose forefathers came from Siberia, to overwhelm their Visigothic brethren, who are dwelling by the Garonne ${ }^{1}$. The Ostrogoths, who possibly occupied a territory in the north of Hungary, were commanded by three brothers, sprung from the great Amal lineage, Walamir and Theudemir and Widemir ;

[^43]book in. 'nobler,' as the patriotic Jordantes observes, 'than the
CE. 8.
45 . king whose orders they obeyed.' The Gepidae, whose land probably bordered on the northern confines of the Ostrogothic settlement, were led to battle by Arderic, bravest and most famous of all the subject-princes, and him on whose wise and loyal counsels Attila chiefly relied.

While this vast medley of nations are hewing down the trees of the Thüringer Wald, in order to fashion their rude boats and rafts for the passage of the Rhine ${ }^{2}$, let us glance for a moment at the tribes, scarcely less various and not so coherent, which, on the Gaulish side of the river, are awaiting their dreaded impact.

Tribes inhabiting Gaul.

Franks.

Near the mouths of the Rhine, the Scheldt, and the Somme, that is to say, in the modern countries of Belgium and Picardy, clustered the great confederacy of the Salian Franks. Their Ripuarian brethren held the upper reaches of the Great River, and it is to these probably that Sidonius refers when he places them by the turbid Neckar, and describes them as furnishing a contingent to the army of Attila. All the Franks were still heathen, the fiercest of the Teutonic settlers in Gaul, and they bore an ill repute for unfaithfulness to their plighted word and even to their oaths. Small sign as jet was there that to them would one day visigothe. fall the hegemony of the Gallic nations. In the opposite corner of the country, between the Loire, the Garonne, and the Bay of Biscay, the Visigoths had erected a monarchy, the most civilized and compact

[^44]> 'Cecidit cito secta bipenni Hercynis in lintres, et Rhenum texuit alno.'
(Panegyric of Avitus 325-6.)
of all the barbarian kingdoms, and the one which book in. en to Cu .8 . 451. triumphant life. By the peace which their king Walia concluded with Honorius (416) after the restoration of Placidia, they had obtained legal possession of the district called Aquitania Secunda, together with the territory round Toulouse, all of which allotment went by the name of Septimania ${ }^{1}$ or Gothia. For ten years (419-429) there had been firm peace between Visigoths and Romans ; then, for ten years more (429-439), fierce and almost continued war, Theodoric, king of the Visigoths, endeavouring to take Arles and Narbonne; Aetius and his subordinate Litorius striving to take the Gothic capital of Toulouse, and all but succeeding. And in these wars Aetius had availed himself of his long-standing friendship with the Huns to enlist them as auxiliaries against the warriors of Theodoric, dangerous allies who plundered friends and enemies, and carried back doubtless to their dreary encampment in Hungary vivid remembrance of the sunny vineyards of Languedoc and Guienne. For the last twelve years (439-45I) there had been peace, but scarcely friendship, between the Courts of Ravenna and Toulouse.
North of the Visigoths, the Celtic population of Armorican Brittany, known by the name of the Armoricans, had racy, risen in arms against their Roman rulers, and had with some degree of success maintained their independence. From this time, perhaps, we ought to date that isolation of Brittany from the politics of the rest of France, which has not entirely disappeared even at the present

[^45]boor in. day. But the terrible invader from the East welded
Се. 8. even the stubborn Breton into temporary cohesion with his neighbours, and in the pages of Jordanes we find the 'Armoritiani' fighting side by side with the Roman legions against Attila.

The same list includes a yet more familiar name, 'Saxones.' How came our fathers thither ; they, whose homes were in the long sandy levels of Holstein? As has been already pointed out, the national migration of the Angles and Saxons to our own island had already commenced, perhaps in part determined by the impulse northward of Attila's own subjects. Possibly like the Northmen, their successors, the Saxons may have invaded both sides of the English Channel at once, and may on this occasion have been standing in arms to defend against their old foe some newly-won possessions in Normandy or Picardy.

In the south-east of Gaul, the Burgundians had after many wars and some reverses established themselves (443) with the consent of the Romans in the district then called Sapaudia and now Savoy. Their territory was somewhat more extensive than the province which was the cradle of the present royal house of Italy, since it stretched northwards beyond the lake of Neufchatel, and southwards as far as Grenoble. Here the Burgundian immigrants, under their king, Gundiok, were busy settling themselves in their new possession, cultivating the lands which they had divided by lot, each one receiving ${ }^{1}$ half the estate of a Roman host or hospes,

[^46](for under such gentle names the spoliation was veiled,) book in. when the news came that the terrible Hun had crossed the Rhine, and that all hosts and guests in Gaul must unite for its defence.

The Alans, who had wandered thus far westwards Alang. from the country between the Volga and the Don, had received (440) the district round Valence for a possession from the Romans, on much the same terms probably as those by which the Burgundians held Savoy ${ }^{1}$. Of all the barbarian tribes now quartered in Gaul they were the nearest allied to the Huns, and Sangiban, their king, was strongly suspected of having some secret and treacherous understanding with Attila:

This chaos of barbarian tribes occupied perbaps one Rommants half of Gaul. Wherever Chaos was not, wherever some dominion remains of the old imperial Cosmos were still left unsubmerged, there was Romania. We may conjecture
${ }^{1}$ 'Tiro' says (440), 'Deserta Valentinae urbis rura Alanis, quibus Sambida praeerat partienda traduntur' [ab Aetio]. (442) 'Alani, quibus terrae Galliae ulterioris cum incolis dividendae a Patritio Aetio traditae fuerant, resistentes armis subigunt, et expulsis dominis terrae, poseessionem vi adipiscuntur.'
${ }^{2}$ Jordanes (cap. 36) thus enumerates the nations who fought against Attila: 'Hic enim adfuere auxiliares Franci, Sarmatae, Armoritiani, Litiani, Burgundiones, Saxones, Riparioli, Ibriones aliaequae nonnullae Celticae vel (=et) Germanicae nationes.' The Sarmatae may perhaps stand for the Alani (or the Taifalae whom Thierry speaks of as settled at Poitiers). The Litiani are identified both by Böcking (Notitia, p. 1057) and by Thierry (Hist. d'Attila, i. 169) with the Laeti, military colonists from among various Teutonic nations, many of whom had been settled in Gaul since the time of Diocletian. The Riparioli are probably the Ripuarian Franks. The Ibriones are declared by Thierry to be 'un petit peuple des Alpes, les Brenes ou Brennes, qu'Aetius avait ralliés pendant son voyage et amenés en Gaule;' but he does not quote his authority for this identification.
book in. that by this time very little of Roman domination Cr. 3. Gallia Lugdunensis and Gallia Aquitanica, especially the city of Lyons and the mountains of Auvergne, seem to have been fervently loyal to the Emperor. Gallia Narbonensis with its capitals of Arles and Narbonne, but excepting Toulouse and its surrounding country, had successfully beaten back the Visigothic invader, and was almost more Roman than Rome itself.
Would the But the question of transcendent importance for Romana barians in Ganl coalence against the Huns !

Attila's embeasien to the Roman and Roman and
Visigothic courte. Gaul, and indirectly for the whole future of Western Europe, was-' Would Chaos and Cosmos blend for a little space to resist the vaster and wilder Chaos which was roaring for them both, fierce from its Pannonian home? Especially could Aetius and Theodoric, so lately at death-grips for the possession of one another's capitals-Aetius who had all but lost Arles, Theodoric who had all but lost Toulouse, unite heartily enough and promptly enough to beat back Attila ?'

This was the doubt, and Attila thought he saw in it an opportunity to divide his foes. 'A subtle man, and one who fought by artifice before he waged his wars ${ }^{1}$,' he sent ambassadors to Valentinian, representing his intended invasion as only a continuation of the old joint campaigns of Roman and Hun against the Visigoth. To Theodoric he sent other messengers, exhorting him to break off his unnatural alliance with Rome, and to remember the cruel wars which so lately had been kindled against his people by the lieutenants of the Augustus.

Happily there was a little too much statesmanship

[^47]

both at Ravenna and Toulouse to allow of the success book ir. of so transparent an artifice. Valentinian's ambassadors Ces s. to Theodoric addressed the Visigothic nation (if we $\frac{45 \mathrm{r} \text {. }}{\text { valentin- }}$ may believe their panegyrist Jordanes) in some such han's emwords as these : Theodoric.
' It will comport with your usual wisdom, oh bravest of the nations, to confederate with us against the tyrant of the universe, who longs to fasten the chains of slavery on the whole world, who does not seek for any reasonable excuses for battle, but thinks that whatsoever crimes he may commit are lawful because he is the doer of them. He measures the frontiers of his dominions by what? By the space that his arms can ravage. He gluts his pride by license, he spurns the ordinances of earth and of heaven, and shows himself the enemy of our common nature ${ }^{1}$. Surely he deserves your hatred who proves himself the spiteful foe of all. Recollect, I pray you, (what assuredly he does not forget) blood has once flowed between you, and with whatever wiles he may now cover his thirst for vengeance, it is there, and it is terrible. To say nothing of our grievances, can you any longer tolerate with patience the pride of this savage? Mighty as you are in arms, think of your own griefs' [and here, doubtless, words were used which would recall to the mind of Theodoric the cruel outrages inflicted on his daughter by Attila's Vandal ally], 'and join your hands with ours. Help the Republic which has given you one of her fairest provinces for a possession. If you would know how necessary the alliance of each of us is to the other, penetrate the council-chamber of the foe, and see how he labours to divide us.'

[^48]VOL II.

воок II. Theodoric was probably already meditating the Roman
CH. 3. and he replied, ' Romans, you have your will. Attila is your foe; you bave made him ours also. Wheresoever the sound of his ravages shall call us, thither will we follow him ; and all-inflated as he is with his victories over so many proud nations, yet the Goths too know how to do battle with the proud. Strong in the goodness of my cause, I deem no war laborious. No evil omen daunts me when the majesty of the Emperor of Rome smiles upon me.'

There is something hollow and unreal, doubtless, in these orations. In point of fact the Goths showed no alacrity in the defence of Roman Gaul till the storm of war rolled up to their own borders, and even then, according to one account ${ }^{1}$, required a special messenger to rouse them from their unreadiness. But the foundation for an alliance between Roman and Visigoth was laid, and it saved Gaul.

Attila's invasion of Belgic Gaul.

Attila, foiled in his diplomacy, swept with his vast host across the Rhine, and began the congenial work of destruction. City after city of the Belgic Gaul (whioh comprised all France north-east of the Seine) fell before him. What help he may have received from the Bagaudae, or rendered to the young Frankish chieftain, his ally, we know not. We only hear that one city after another was broken up (effracta) by his savage hordes; but no simple human voice comes out of the Chaos to tell us what common men and women suffered in that breaking up of the great deep. The ecclesiastics, intent on the glorification of their own favourite saint or chapel, tell us a little of what was done, or was

[^49]not done in the way of miraculous interposition on book in. behalf of particular places, and even for their childish Ch. s. legends, of uncertain date, and bearing elements of 45 . fiction on the face of them, we have to be grateful, so complete is the silence of authentic history as to the earlier events of the invasion.

The bishop of Tongres in Belgium, Servatius by vision of name, implored God, amidst fastings and watchings and of Tongres. constant showers of tears, that he would never permit 'the unbelieving and ever-unworthy nation of the Huns' to enter Gaul '. Feeling sure in his spirit that this prayer was not granted, he sought the tomb of the Apostle Peter at Rome, and there, after three days' fasting, pressed his suit. The A postle appeared to him in a vision and told him that according to the councils of the Most High, the Huns must certainly enter Gaul and ravage it for a time. But so much was conceded to Servatius, that he should not see the misery which was coming on his flock. He was therefore to return at once to his home, choose out his grave-clothes, and set his house in order, and then should he 'migrate from this body.' He returned accordingly, set all things in order for his burial, and told his flock that they should see his face no more. 'But they following him with great wailing and many tears, humbly prayed him-"Leave us not, oh holy father; forget us not, oh good shepherd!" Then, as they could not prevail upon him to stay, they received his blessing, kissed him, and departed. He went to the city of Utrecht, where he was seized with a mild fever, and his soul departed from his body. His corpse was brought back to Tongres, and buried by the city wall.' Such was

[^50]boor II. the end of Servatius. Of the fate of his flock we have Cr. 8. no further particulars.
' On the very eve of the blessed Easter, the Huns, coming forth out of Pannonia and laying waste everything on their march, arrived at Metz. They gave up the city to the flames, and slew the people with the edge of the sword, killing the priests themselves before the sacrosanct altar of the Lord. And in all that city no place remained unburnt except the oratory of the blessed Stephen, protomartyr and Levite.' Gregory of Tours ${ }^{1}$ then proceeds to describe at unnecessary length a vision in which some one saw the blessed Levite, Stephen, interceding for this oratory with the Apostles Peter and Paul, and obtaining a promise that it should remain unharmed, 'that the nations might see that he availed somewhat with the Lord.'
Rhoims. From Lorraine into Champagne rolled on the devastating flood. St. Nicasius, bishop of Rheims, was hewn down before the altar of his church, while his lips were uttering the words of the Psalm, 'My soul cleaveth unto the dust, quicken thou me according to thy word.' Thus he attained the crown of martyrdom, though it has been truly remarked ${ }^{2}$ that the bishops and priests who fell beneath the swords of the Huns perished, not strictly as confessors of a religion, but as chief citizens of their dioceses, and as guardians of sacred treasure. Attila was a plunderer, but not a persecutor. He made war on civilization and on human nature, not on religion, for he did not understand it enough to hate it. rum.

The inhabitants of a little town ${ }^{3}$ upon a clayey island in the Seine, near its junction with the Marne,

[^51]were in such dread of its invasion by the Huns that boor in. they had made up their minds to flee, when a young ce. s. girl of the neighbouring village of Nanterre, named 45 I. Genovefa, succeeded in communicating to the wives of the inhabitants her own calm and heaven-born confidenoe that the place would not be assailed. The men disbelieved her mission, called her a false prophetess, would gladly have stoned her, or drowned her in the river. But the influence of the women, aided by the remembrance of the undoubted holiness of a neighbouring saint, Germanus of Auxerre, who had in former days taken the part of Genovefa, saved her from insult, and her counsels from rejection. The inhabitants remained; the prayers of the women, or the insignificance of the place, saved it from the presence of the enemy. Could the squalid Pannonian hordes have overleapt fourteen centuries of time as well as the few miles of space which intervened, how their eyes would have sparkled, and their hearts well-nigh stopped beating with the ecatasy of rapine, for the town which was then scarcely worth attacking is now known by the name of Paris. Justly, if the story be true, are Sainte Geneviève and Saint Germain among the names still held in highest honour by the beautiful city on the Seine.

In the after-growth of mediseval ecclesiastical chronj- Modimpol cles it may well be supposed that Attila's destroying Ambelish of hand is made responsible for even more ruin than it diosur. actually caused. Thus, 'Maistre Jacques de Guise,' writing his history of Hainault in the fourteenth century, informs his readers that 'they must know that no town, fortress, or city, however strong it might be, could resist this people, so cruel was it and malevolent. . . . . Moreover, by this tyrant Attille were destroyed
boor $\pi$. nearly all the cities of Gaul and Germany ${ }^{1}$. Firstly, ci. s. Reims, Cambray, Treveres (Trèves), Mectz (Metz), 451. Arras, Tongres, Tournay, Therouenne, Coulongne (Cologne), Amiens, Beauvais, Paris, and so many towns, cities, and fortresses that whoso should wish to put them all in writing he would too much weary the readers ${ }^{2}$. . . . .
' Item, by him were destroyed in Germany, Maience, a very noble city, Warmose (Worms), Argentore (Strasburg), Nymaie (?), Langres and Nerbonne (?). In this year, as saith Sigebert, were martirised the eleven thousand virgins in the city of Coulongne ${ }^{\text {s }}$.'

This extract does not, of course, possess any shadow of historical authority. It is certainly wrong as to Narbonne and Nismes (if that be the city intended by Nymaie), and it is probably wrong as to Paris. But, with these exceptions, the cities named are all either in or upon the confines of Gallia Belgica, the ohief scene of Attila's ravages, and the list is not an improbable one, though we can well believe that, as every defaced tomb and mutilated statue in an English church claims to have been maltreated by 'Cromwell's soldiers,' so no monkish chronicler who had a reasonable opportunity of bringing 'Attille' and his malevolent Huns near to the shrine of his favourite saint would be likely to forego the terrible fascination.

[^52]When Belgic Gaul was ravaged to his heart's con- book ir. tent, the Hun turned his footsteps towards Aquitaine, Cr. 3. which contained the settlements of the Visigoths, and where, as he well knew, his hardest task awaited him. marches to The Loire, flowing first northwards, then westwards, protects, by its broad sickle of waters, this portion of Gaul, and the Loire itself is commanded at its most northerly point by that city which, known in Caesar's Defence..sf day as Genabum, had taken the name Aureliani from the great Emperor, the conqueror of Zenobia, and is now called Orleans. Three times has Aureliani played an eminent part in the history of Gaul. There broke out the great insurrection of в. c. 52 against the victorious Caesar ; there Attila's host, in A. D. 451 , received their first repulse; and there in 1429, the maid of Domremy, by forcing the Duke of Bedford to raise the siege, wrested from the English Plantagenets their last chance of ruling in France.

The hero of Orleans, in this defence of her walls, was st. Anithe Bishop, Anianus. He had visited Aetius at Arles, and strongly impressed upon the mind of that general the necessity of relieving Orleans before the 24th of June at the very latest. Then returning to the city he cheered his flock with words of pious hope. The bat-tering-rams of Attila thundered against the walls, and the hearts of the people began to fuil them. To Anianus himself the promised help seemed to linger. He knew not, and we cannot with certainty state the true cause of the delay which is related to us only by one doubtful authority ${ }^{1}$. Aetius, it is said, emerged from the Alpine

[^53]book II. passes with only a slender and ill-officered train of
Сн. 8. soldiers, and then found that the Goths, instead of moving eastward to join him, were thinking of awaiting the attack of the dreaded foe in their own territory behind the Loire. In this unforeseen perplexity, Aetius availed himself of the services of Avitus, a Roman noble of Auvergne, and a persona grata at the court of Theodoric. His visit to the Gothic king proved successful.
'He aroused their wrath, making it subservient to the purposes of Rome ${ }^{1}$, and marched in the midst of the skin-clothed warriors to the sound of the trumpets of Romulus.'

Meanwhile the consternation within the city of Orleans went on increasing, as the citizens saw their walls crumbling into ruin beneath the blows of the battering-rams of Attila. One day, when they were fervently praying in the church, "Anianus ssid, "Look forth from the ramparts and see if God's mercy yet succours us." They gazed forth from the wall, but beheld no man. He said, "Pray in faith: the Lord will liberate you to-day." They went on praying; again he bade them mount the walls, and again they saw no help approaching. He said to them the third time, " If ye pray in faith, the Lord will speedily be at hand to help you." Then they with weeping and loud lamentation implored the mercy of the Lord. When their prayer was ended, a third time, at the command
goths has been exaggerated or even invented in order to enhance his glory. He may have simply borne to the camp of Theodoric a message from Aetius arranging the time and place of meeting for the two armies.

1'Famulas in proelia concitat iras.'
of that old man, they mounted the wall, and looking boor 11 . forth they saw from afar, as it were, a cloud rising out of the ground. When they brought him word of it he said, "It is the help of God." In the meanwhile, as the walls were now trembling under the stroke of the rams, and were already on the point of falling into ruin, lo! Aetius and Theodoric, the king of the Goths, and Thorismund, his son, come running up to the city, turn the ranks of the enemy, cast him out, and drive him far away ${ }^{1}$.' It was apparently on the very day fixed between the bishop and the general (the 24th of June) that this relief came.

Foiled in his attempt to take Orleans and to turn the Rotreat line of the Loire, Attila, with his unwieldy host, began the Rhine. to retreat towards the Rhine. It is the weakness of those marauding warriors of whom he may be considered the type, that their recoil must be as rapid as their onset. A ruined and devastated country cannot be compelled to furnish the subsistence for lack of which it is itself perishing. Everywhere along the line of march are thousands of bitter wrongs waiting for revenge. And the marauders themselves to whom pillage, not patriotism or discipline, has been the one inspiring motive, and the common bond of union, when the hope

[^54]book II. of further pillage fails, are each secretly revolving the
C. 8.
$45^{1 .}$ same thought, how to leave the ravaged country as soon as possible with their plunder undiminished.
Attila
reaches Doubtless Aetius and Theodoric were hovering on Troyes. Attila's rear, neglecting no opportunity of casual vengeance on the stragglers from the host, and endeavouring to force him to battle at every point where, from the nature of the country, he would be compelled to fight at a disadvantage. But we hear no details of his retreat till he reached the city of Troyes, 114 Roman miles from Orleans ${ }^{1}$. The Bishop of Troyes was the venerable Lupus, a man who was by this time nearly 70 years of age, and who, in common with St. Germanus, had greatly distinguished himself by his opposition to the Pelagian heresy, which he had combated in Britain as well as in Gaul. Troyes was an open city, undefended by walls or arsenals, and the immense swarm of the Huns and their allies who came clamouring round it were hungering for spoil and chafed with disappointment at their failure before Orleans ${ }^{2}$. Lupus, as we are told in the Acta Sanctorum, betook himself to his only weapon, prayer, and thereby successfully defended

[^55]his city from the assaults of the enemy. The ecclesias- $\mathbf{B o o k}$ il. tical biographer seems to be purposely enigmatic and Cr. 8. obscure, but there are touches in the story which look like truth. It appears that Attila, who may have been partly swayed by the remembrance that the allies were close upon his track, and that a night of pillage would have been a bad preparation of his troops for the coming battle, was also impressed-'fierce wild beast as he was ${ }^{1}$-by something which seemed not altogether of this earth in the face and demeanour of Lupus, something unlike the servile and sordid diplomatists of Byzantium who had hitherto been his chief exemplars of Christianity. In granting the bishop's prayer for the immunity of his city from pillage, he made one stipulation, that, 'for the safety of himself and his own army the holy man should go with them and see the streams of the Rhine, after which he promised that he would dismiss him in peace. And so it was; as soon as they arrived at the river he offered him a free passage back, did not hinder his return, sent guides to show him the way; and even earnestly besought, by the mouth of the interpreter Hunagaisus, that the bishop would pray for him.'

This Hunagaisus is undoubtedly the same minister with whom we have made acquaintance in the Hunnish camp under the name of Onegesius or Onegesh, and the introduction of his name here in a biography probably composed about the middle of the sixth century, affords some guarantee that we are on the track of a genuine tradition. If so, the thought that a Gaulish theologian was present in the camp of Attila during the scenes

[^56]воок $\operatorname{II}$. which are next to follow, gives a fresh interest to the
C. 8.

45 I.

Battle of the Manriac Plain, commonly called the Battle of Chalons. picture, some of the details of which he may himself have described.

For in the interval between Attila's arrival before . Troyes, and his dismissal of Lupus on the banks of the Rhine, cocurred that great clash of armed nations which decided the question whether the West of Europe was to belong to Turanian or to Aryan nationalities. Posterity has chosen to call it the battle of Chalons, but there is good reason to think that it was fought fifty miles distant from Chalons-sur-Marne, and that it would be more correctly named the battle of Troyes, or, to speak with complete accuracy, the battle of Mery-surSeine ${ }^{1}$.

By what preceding arts of strategy the campaign was marked, whether Attila willingly offered battle or was so sorely harassed in his retreat that he was unable to decline it, we know not, except that we read of a skirmish between the Franks and Gepidae on the night preceding the general engagement ${ }^{\text {: }}$. It was probably in the early days of July ${ }^{3}$ that the two great armies at length came together. What followed shall be told in the (freely rendered) words of Jordanes himself, who throws all his heart into the narration, rightly feeling that this death-grapple with the enemies of Rome was in some sense the mightiest deed that his kinsmen had achieved, and sympathising, notwithstand-

[^57]ing his own Ostrogothic descent, with Theodoric the boor II. Visigothic antagonist of Attila, rather than with Ce.s. Walamir his Ostrogothic feudatory ${ }^{1}$.

After enumerating in the passage already quoted ${ }^{2}$ the various nationalities which fought under the banner of Aetius, he continues, 'All come together therefore into the Catalaunian, which are also called the Maurician plains, 100 Gallic leugae in length and 70 in breadth. Now the leuga is equivalent to one Roman mile and a half. So then that district of the world becomes the parade ground of innumerable nationalities. Both the armies which there meet are of the mightiest; nothing is done by underhand machinations, but everything by fair and open fight. What worthy reason could be assigned for the deaths of so many thousands? What hatred had crept into so many breasts and bidden them take up arms against one another? It is surely proved that the race of man live but for the sake of Kings ; since the mad onset of one man's mind could cause the slaughter of so many nations, and in a moment, by the caprice of one arrogant king, the fruit of nature's toil through so many centuries could be destroyed.

## ' Chapter 37.

' But before relating the actual order of the fight, it $\mathrm{P}_{\text {relimi- }}$ seems necessary to explain some of the preliminary marym. movements of the war, because famous as the battle

[^58]${ }^{2}$ See note on p. 1 II.
book in. was, it was no less manifold and complicated. For
C.I. 3. Sangiban, king of the Alans, foreboding future disaster, had promised to surrender himself to Attila, and to bring into obedience to him the city of Orleans where he was then quartered. When Theodoric and Aetius had knowledge of this, they built great mounds against the city and destroyed it before the coming of Attila ${ }^{1}$. Upon Sangiban himself they set a close watch, and stationed him with his own proper tribe in the very Anguice. midst of their auxiliaries. Attila meanwhile, struck by this occurrence, distrusting his own powers, fearing to engage in the conflict, and secretly considering the expediency of flight, which was more grievous to him than death itself, resolved to enquire as to the future from the augurs. These men, according to their wont, first pored over the bowels of some sheep, then pondered the direction of the veins in some scraped bones, and at last gave forth their augury, "Ill fortune to the Huns." They qualified it however with this crumb of comfort, "that the chief leader on the opposite side should fall in the midst of victory, and so mar the triumph of his followers." To Attila the death of Aetius [whom he supposed to be intended by the words "the chief leader of the enemy"] seemed to be worth purchasing even by the defeat of his army, yet being naturally rendered anxious by such an answer, and being a man of much address in warlike matters, he determined, with some fear and trembling, to join battle about the ninth*

[^59]hour of the day [3 p.m.], so that if his affairs turned book I out ill, impending night might come to his aid. . . . . Ce. 3.
45.

## ' Chapter 38.

' Now this was the configuration of the field of Skirmish. battle ${ }^{1}$. It rose [on one side] into a decided undulation which might be called a hill; and as both parties wished to get the not inconsiderable advantage of the ground which this eminence conferred, the Huns took possession of the right-hand portion of it with their troops; the Romans and Visigoths of the left with their ausiliaries :' Leaving for a while the fight for the possession of this ridge [let us describe the order of the main battle]. On the right wing stood Theodoric with romenlir the Visigoths, on the left Aetius with the Romans. In the middle they placed Sangiban, the leader of the Alans,--a piece of military caution to enclose him, of whose disposition they were none too confident, in a mass of loyal soldiers. For the man in the way of whose flight you have interposed a sufficient obstacle, easily accepts the necessity of fighting.
'The line of the Huns was drawn up on a different Hunimh principle, for in their centre stood Attila with all his batite. bravest warriors. In this arrangement the king consulted his own personal safety, hoping that by taking his place in the very heart and strength of his own people he at least should be delivered from the impending danger. Upon the wings of his army hovered

[^60]воок II. the many nations and tribes whom he had subjected to
Ca. his dominion. Preeminent among these was the hast of the Ostrogoths, led by the three brothers, Walamir, Theodemir, and Widemir, men of nobler birth than the king himself whom they then obeyed, since the mighty line of the Amals was represented by them. There too, at the head of the countless warriors of the Gepidae, was their king Ardaric, that man of valour and of fame who for his extraordinary fidelity towards Attila was admitted into his inmost counsels. For Attila, who had well weighed his sagacious character, loved him and Walamir the Ostrogoth, above all his other subject princes; Walamir, the safe keeper of a secret, the pleasant in speech, the ignorant of guile, and Ardaric, who, as we have said, was illustrious both by his loyalty and his wise advice. To these two nations Attila believed, not undeservedly, that he might safely entrust the battle against their Visigothic kindred. As for all the rest, the ruck of kings-if I may call them so-and the leaders of diverse nationalities, these, like subaltern officers, watched each nod of Attila; and, when a look of his eye summoned them, in fear and trembling they would gather round him waiting in submissive silence to receive his commands, or at any rate' (i.e. if their subservience was less abject) 'they would carry out whatever he ordered ${ }^{1}$. But Attila alone, king of all the kings, was over all in command, and had the care of all upon his shoulders.

[^61]'As I before said, the fight began with a struggle for bоок u . the possession of some rising ground. Attila directed ск. 3. his troops to occupy the summit of the hill, but he was anticipated by Thorismund and Aetius, who [from the other side] struggled up to the highest point, and then, having the advantage of the hill in their favour, easily threw into confusion the advancing Huns.

## 'Chapter 39.

'Then Attila, seeing his army somewhat disturbed Attin's by this skirmish, thought the time a suitable one for pheoch tropes. confirming their courage by an address.

## ' Speech of Attila.

'" After your victories over so many nations, after a whole world subdued, if ye only stand fast this day, I should have deemed it a fond thing to whet your spirits with words, as though ye were yet ignorant of your business. Let a new general or an inexperienced army try that method. It were beneath my dignity to utter, and beyond your obligation to listen to, any of the commonplaces of war. For what other occupation are you practised in, if not in fighting? And to the strong man what is sweeter, than with his own right hand to seek for his revenge? It is one of the greatest boons which nature gives us to glut our souls with vengeance. Let us therefore go forward with cheerfulness to attack the enemy, since they who strike the blow have ever the boldest hearts. You who are united under my swayI tell you to despise these jarring nationalities, leagued together for the momentary purpose of self-defence by an alliance which is in itself an index of their terror.
book m. Lol ere they have yet felt our onset, they are carried Сн. 3. to and fro by their fear ; they look out for the rising ground, they are exciting themselves over the occupation of every little hillock, and bewailing too late their own rashness; they are clamouring for ramparts in these open plains ${ }^{1}$. Known to you right well are the flimsy arms and weak frames of the Roman soldiers; I will not sny at the first wound, at the first speck of dust on their armour they lose heart. While they are solemnly forming their battle array and locking their shields together into the testudo, do you rush into the conflict with that surpassing courage which it is your wont to show, and, despising the Roman line, charge at the Alans, press heavily on the Visigoths. It is there that we must look for speedy victory, for they are the key of the position. Cut the sinews and the limbs will be at once relaxed; nor can the body stand if you have taken away its bones.
'" 0 ye Huns, raise your hearts battle-high and let your wonted fury swell your veins. Now put forth all your cunning; now use all your arms. Let him who is wounded seek still for at least one enemy's death; let him who is unhurt revel in the slaughter of the foe. Him who is fated to conquer, no dart will touch; him who is doomed to die, fate will find in the midst of slothful peace. And, last of all, why should Fortune have set her mark upon the Huns as conquerors of so many nations, unless she was preparing them for the delights of this battle too? Who

[^62] many centuries an impenetrable secret from our ancestors? Who made armed men bow before them while they were still unarmed? Yonder motley host will never endure to look upon the faces of the Huns. The event cannot mock my hopes; this, this is the field of victory which so many previous successes have avouched us of. I shall be the first to hurl my weapon against the enemy, and if any one can linger inactive when Attila fights, he is a thing without a soul, and ought to be buried out of hand s?"
'Their hearts were warmed at these words, and all rushed headlong into the fray.

## 'Chapter 40.

'The position of their affairs was not without its suggestions of fear, but the presence of their king removed all tendency to delay even from the most hesitating.
'Hand to hand the two armies were soon engaged. The Battle It was a battle,-ruthless, manifold, immense, obstinate, menced. -such as antiquity in all its stories of similar encounters has nought parallel to, such as, if a man failed to see, no other marvel that he might behold in the course of his life would compensate for the omission ${ }^{3}$. For if we may believe the report of our elders, a brook which was gliding down between low banks through the aforesaid plain, receiving the blood which gushed from

[^63]book in. thousands of wounds, was, not by showers of rain, but Сн. 3. by that ghastly intermingling, swollen from a brook into a torrent. And those whom parching thirst, the consequence of their wounds, drove to its banks, found that murder was mixed with the draught. A miserable fate for them who drank of the gore which their own wounds poured forth.

Death of Theodoric.
'Here the King Theodoric, while he was galloping backwards and forwards, cheering on his army, was thrown from his horse, and being trampled under the feet of his own party, thus ended his life in a ripe old age. Others however assert that he was smitten by a javelin from the hand of Andages, of the nation of the Ostrogoths who were then following the lead of Attila. This was the event which Attila's soothsayers had foretold to him in their divinations, though he understood them to speak of Aetius.

Visigothic onset be fore which Attila givet way.
'Then the Visigoths, splitting off from the Alans, rushed upon the squadrons of the Huns, and had wellnigh slaughtered Attila himself, but he prudently fled, and straightway enclosed himself and his followers within the defences of his camp, upon which he had placed the waggons by way of rampart. It seemed a frail bulwark to be sure, still they clung to it as their last chance of life; and yet these were the men whose desperate onset a little while ago stone walls could not stand against. Meanwhile Thorismund, the son of King Theodoric, the same who had taken part with Aetius in the occupation of the hill, and in driving down the enemy from that higher ground, lost his way in the blind night, and thinking that he was rejoining his own men on their line of march, came unawares upon the waggons of the enemy. Here, while he was
fighting bravely, his horse was killed under him by a воок in. wound in the head. He fell to the ground, but was or. 3. rescued by the care of his people, and persuaded to desist from the unequal encounter. Aetius in the same way was separated from his host in the confusion of the night, and went wandering through the midst of the enemy ${ }^{1}$, trembling lest some untoward event should have occurred to the Goths, and ever asking the way, till at length he arrived at the camp of his allies, and passed the remainder of the night under the shelter of their shields.
' Next morning when day dawned, and the allied Moming generals beheld the vast plains covered with corpses, fifint. but saw that the Huns did not venture to sally forth, they concluded that the victory was theirs. They knew perfectly well that it could have been no common slaughter which had compelled Attila to fly in confusion from the battle-field; and yet he did not act like one in abject prostration, bat clashed his arms, sounded his trumpets, and continually threatened a fresh attack. As a lion, close pressed by the hunters, ramps up and down before the entrance to his cave, and neither dares to make a spring, nor yet ceases to frighten all the neighbourhood with his roarings, so did that most warlike king, though hemmed in, trouble his conquerors. The Goths and Romans accordingly called a council of war and deliberated what was to be done with their worsted foe. As he had no store of provisions, and as he had so posted his archers within the boundaries of his camp as to rain a shower of missiles on an advancing assailant, they decided not to attempt a

[^64]book 1 . storm, but to weary him out by a blockade. It is
Сн. 8.
451. said however that seeing the desperate condition of his affairs, the aforesaid King, high-minded still in the supreme crisis of his fate, had constructed a funeral pyre of horses' saddles, determined, if the enemy should break into his camp, to hurl himself headlong into the flames, that none should boast himself and say, "I have wounded Attila," nor that the lord of so many nations should fall alive into the hands of his enemies.

## - Chapter 41.

Burial of Theodoric.
'During the delays of this blockade the Visigoths were looking for their old king, and marvelling at his absence from the scene of victory. After a long search they found him, as is wont to be the case with brave men, lying there where the bodies were thickest; and singing their songe in his honour, they bore away his corpse from the gaze of the enemy. Then should you have seen the Gothic companies lifting up their uutuned voices in a wild strain of lamentation, and, while the battle still raged around them, giving all beed to the exact observance of the rites of burial. Tears were shed, but they were the tears which are rightly paid to brave men dead. The death had been on our [the Gothic] side, but the Hun himself bore witness that it had been a glorious one, and even Attila's pride might bow when he saw the corpse of such a king borne out to burial with all his kingly ornaments about him ${ }^{1}$.

[^65]'The Goths, while still paying the last honours to воок II. Theodoric, by the clash of their weapons hailed the сн. 3. majesty of a new king, and the brave and glorious ${ }_{\text {Elovation }}^{451 .}$ Thorismund, decked with that title, followed the funeral of mondit of his dearly-loved father as became a son. Then, when that was finished, grief for the loss which he had sustained, and the impulse of his own fiery valour, urged him to avenge the death of his father upon the Hunnish host. First, however, he consulted Aetius the patrician, as the senior general and a man of ripened experience, what step he would advise to be next taken. He , fearing lest if the Huns were destroyed root and branch, the Roman Empire might be still more hardly pressed by the Goths, earnestly tendered this advice, "that he should return to his own capital and grasp the kingdom which his father had left ; lest otherwise his brothers should seize on his father's treasures, and so make the realm of the Visigoths their own, whereupon he would have to commence a laborious campaign, and one in which victory would be a wretched business, since it would be over his own flesh and blood."
'Thorismund received this advice as the best thing Retarn of for his own interest, without perceiving the duplicity ${ }^{\text {Thanit }}$ mod which lurked beneath it, and leaving the Huns, he Tonlonse. returned to his own district in Gaul. So does human frailty, if it becomes entangled in suspicion, often lose irretrievably the opportunity of achieving great results ${ }^{1}$.
' In this most famous battle, which was fought numbers between the bravest nations in the world, it is reported of thin.

[^66]book in. that $162,000^{1}$ men were slain on both sides, not in-

Сн. 8. cluding 15,000 of Gepidae and Franks, who, falling foul of one another the night before the battle, perished by mutually inflicted wounds, the Franks fighting on the side of the Romans, the Gepidae on that of the Huns.
'When Attila learned the departure of the Goths, the event was so unexpected ${ }^{2}$ that he surmised it to be a stratagem of the enemy, and kept his troops within the camp for some time longer. But when be found that the absence of the enemy was followed by a long time of silence, his mind again rose with the hope of victory, future joys unfolded themselves before him, and the courage of this mighty king returned again to its old level. Meanwhile Thorismund, who had been clothed with the regal majesty on the Catalaunian plains on the very place where his father had fallen, entered Toulouse, and here, notwithstanding that his brothers had a strong party among the chiefs, he so prudently managed the commencement of his reign that no dispute was raised as to the succession.'

So far Jordanes. The battle then was lost but not

Why wes the victory not fol. lowed up! way: lost as far as Attila's invasion of Gaul was concerned, but not won for the Roman Empire by the destruction of its most dreaded foe. In reading the atery of Attila's escape from Aetius, one is naturally reminded of Alaric's escape from Stilicho, forty-eight years before, and of the imputations then thrown out ${ }^{3}$

[^67]as to the connivance of the Roman general. And the book in. same remark which was made then may be to some Ci. 3. extent applicable now. With troops of such uncertain temper, and, in this case, with such imperfect cohesion as the greater part of the Roman auxiliaries showed, it might be dangerous to animate the vast host of Attila with the irresistible courage of despair. In all ages, from Sphacteria to Saratoga, and from Saratoga to Sedan, the final operation of compelling the surrender of a beaten army, the landing, so to speak, of the fisherman's prize, has been an operation requiring some nicety of generalship and a pretty high degree of confidence in the discipline of the victorious troops. Even the clash of arms and the blast of trumpets in the camp of the Huns-the lashing of the lion's tail, and the deep thunder of his roar-may have struck some terror into the hearts of his hunters. But after all, Jordanes is probably not very wide of the mark when he imputes both to Aetius and to Thorismund a want of whole-heartedness in securing the fruits of victory.

Aetius had not, most probably, such accurately Reanons wrought-out views of the balance of power as the fivencesi historian imputes to him, nor such an over-mastering Aetius. dread of Gothic bravery as their countryman supposed. But, in the very outset of his career, his life had been passed alternately in the Hunnish camp and the Roman palace; he had been 'mingled among the heathen and learned their works.' He had used the help of his barbarian friends in the marshes of Ravenna and under the walls of Toulouse. Reasons of sentiment as well as of policy may have made him reluctant to aid in obliterating the very name of the Huns from the earth.
book in. And above all, as the events of the next few years

Remsons whioh influenced Thorismond. the battle. showed, he himself was safe only so long as he was indispensable. There was a dark and rotten-hearted Augustus skulking in the palace at Ravenna, who endured the ascendancy of Aetius only because he trembled at the name of Attila.
On the Gothic side there were also good reasons for not pushing the victory too far. It scarcely needed the whisper of the Roman general to remind Thorismund how uncertain was his succession to the royalty of his father. The kingly office among the Visigoths became, in days subsequent to these, a purely elective dignity. If at this time some notion of hereditary right, or at least of hereditary preference, hovered round the family of the dead king, it was by no means clear that one son alone must succeed, nor that son the eldest. All was still vague and indeterminate in reference to these barbaric sovereignties. In point of fact Thorismund, though he now succeeded to the throne, was, only two years later, deprived of crown and life by his brother Theodoric II, who, after a peaceful and prosperous reign, succumbed in like fashion to the fratricidal hand of his successor Euric. Every motive therefore of individual ambition and far-seeing patriotism concurred in recommending to Thorismund and his chiefs a speedy return to Toulouse, that the same army which brought the tidings of the death of Theodoric might also announce the election of his successor.

This is all that bistory can say with unhesitating voice concerning the death of the Visigothic king and the accession of his son on the Mauriac plain. Archaeology, however, offers a contribution to our knowledge, which, if not raised beyond the reach of all contradiction,
is at least curious and interesting. In 1842, a labourer воок 11 . digging for gravel near the little village of Pouan, on С. the south bank of the Aube, and about ten miles from Mery-sur-Seine, found at a depth of nearly a yard below the surface 'some human bones, two rusted blades, and several jewels and golden ornaments of considerable weight.' Examined more in detail, the most interesting objects in this find appeared to be
I. A two-edged sword, 2 feet 8 inches long, and 3 inches broad. The point is protected by a little oblong hoop of iron, to prevent it from penetrating into the scabbard, which was probably of wood, and which of course has disappeared.
II. A cutlass, about 22 inches long, and $1 \frac{1}{4}$ inch broad. Both of these two weapons have the hilts richly adorned with gold, and at the top a sort of lattice-work of gold and purple glass.
III. A golden necklace, serpent-shaped, weighing three ounces.
IV. A golden armlet, five ounces in weight, with the ends left open, so as to give it elasticity in fitting it on to the forearm.
V. Two golden clasps (fibulae) with the same latticework of gold and purple glass which is found on the lilts of the swords.
VI. A golden signet-ring, an ounce-and-a-half in weight, with the word HEVA in Roman capitals on the flat surface.
Some gold buckles and other ornaments, one of which has an inlay of garnets instead of purple glass, complete the treasure-trove, which, having been eventually purchased by the Emperor Napoleon III, was presented by him to the museum of the city of Troyes.
${ }^{1300}$ II. The question arises, 'Can we form any probable consurrounded with articles of adornment, worth even now perhaps $£ 100$ in intrinsic value, and pointing by the style of their workmanship towards the fifth or sixth century, as the time of their fashioning, and towards a Gothic or Frankish artificer as their maker.

Is this the tomb of Theodoric?
M. Peigné Delacourt, to whom we are indebted for these details ${ }^{1}$, answers unhesitatingly, 'We can. It is probably the tomb of Theodoric I, king of the Visigoths.' But how reconcile such a theory with the narrative of Jordanes? To accomplish this, M. Delacourt imagines a few unrecorded details, which of course no one is bound to accept, but which certainly seem to bring us a little nearer to that tremendous battle-field, dim with the haze of fourteen centuries. 'When the servants of Theodoric,' so his imagined story runs, 'found that their king was wounded to death, they dragged him a little aside from the "vast and manifold and rutbless conflict." They dug a shallow trench in the gravelly soil, and there they laid the bruised and trampled body of the snowy-bearded warrior. His golden-hilted sword was still by his side, his cutlass hung from the baldric, the purple robe of his royalty was fastened over his shoulders by the golden fibula. Round his neck was the golden torque, his forearm was clasped by the unclosed bracelet, on his finger was the ring of gold bear-

[^68]ing the mysterious name Heva, perhaps a remembrance воок 11 . of his dead wife, perhaps ${ }^{1}$ a symbol of his kingship. All these things were buried with him. The only Ch. 3. object of his henchmen was to find a temporary restingplace for their lord. When the tide of battle should have rolled away from that spot, they would come again and disinter him and carry him southwards, to be laid with proper pomp in Gothic Toulouse by the Garonne. Such was their thought, but Fortune, in making void their counsel, worked a strange reprisal for the barbarity practised in the burial of Alaric. As his tomb was dug

- by the unwilling hands of captives, whose instant death insured their secresy, so the few faithful friends of Theodoric were all slain in the terrible turmoil of war which raged round the spot where he had fallen, and thus his grave remained unmarked for 1391 years. The battle was won, and the cry was raised, "Where is the body of the king?" They found it at last, says Jordanes, after a long search, lying under a heap of dead. Who knows if they really did find it? In those hot July days it might not be an easy task to identify a body gashed with wounds and lying under a pile of slain. Thorismund's interest was obviously to get his father's funeral and his own elevation to the sovereignty accornplished as speedily as possible. Perhaps he did not insist too punctiliously on the recovery of the right corpse out of all that vast slaughter-house, the one strangely missing body out of all those acres upon acres of dead Romans, Goths, and Huns.'

[^69]1rook II. And so, M. Delacourt suggests, the body round which
Ga.
451. the Visigothic warriors circled, singing their wild chorus of lamentation, may have been not that of Theodoric at all. He all the while lay in that shallow trench in the gravel-bed at Pouan, not to be disturbed there till Jacques Bonhomme, in blouse and sabots, came with his pick-axe in 1842 to break the repose of centuries. The story is well imagined, and certainly cannot be pronounced impossible. What militates most against it is that Jordanes says that the body was borne out to burial woth its ornaments ${ }^{1}$. In its favour is a certain peculiar silence of his concerning the actual interment of the corpse. He may have felt that it was improbable that the Goths should have left their beloved chieftain lying there in alien territory, in the cold Catalaunian plains, and yet no tradition authorised him to say that they took him back to the sepulchre of his predecessors at Toulouse, a course which Thorismund may have had sufficient reasons for emphatically prohibiting.

Finally, whether this body and these ornaments be Theodoric's, or belong to one of the 'turba regum,' who swarmed around the car of Attila; in either case their discovery, coupled as it appears to be with that of numerous other human remains in the not distant village of Martroy, seems to add great probability to the theory that here and not at Chalons (two days' march to the northward) was fought the great battle which decided that Europe was to belong to the German and the Roman, not to the Tartar race.

[^70]
## NOTE B. On the Site of the so-called Battle of

 Chàlons.As anch recent historians as Aschbach (Geschichte der Visi- NOTE B. gothen) and Thierry (Histoire d'Attila) place the site of the great battle at Chalons-sur-Marne, it may be well to show how little there is to support this view in the earliest authorities.

The place which we now call Châlons was probably under the Romans named Duro-Catalaunum. It was the chief place of the Catalauni, a tribe who dwelt next to the Suessiones. As in so many other parts of Gaul, the old tribal name has finally prevailed, and Duro-Catalaunum has become Châlons, as Lutetia Parisiorum is Paris, Augusta Suessionam, Soissons, and so on. In Roman miles (ten of which are about equal to nine English), and by the Roman roads, Chàlons was 170 miles distant from Metz, and 51 from Troyes. Fanum Minervae, now La Cheppe, where the so-called 'Camp of Attila' is to be found, is about ten miles to the north-east of Chalons 'as the crow flies,' but owing to the interposition of the river Vêle, seems to have been 55 miles by road (which went northwards to Rheims, and then returned on the other bank of the river to Châlons. This camp is square, of Roman origin, and was therefore certainly not constructed by Attila even if he encamped inside it.

We may now consider the words of the original authorities.
Jordanes says, 'They come together therefore at the Catalaunian plains, which are also called the Maurician plains, 100 Gaulish leagues in length and 70 in breadth.' ('Convenitur itaque in campos Catalaunicos qui et Manricii nominantur e leagas at Galli vocant in longum tenentes et lax in latum.') These measurements would cover the whole space between $48^{\circ}$

NOTE B. and $50^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. latitude, and $3^{\circ}$ and $5^{\circ}$ E. longitude, or a district at least equal to the old French province of Champagne.

Gregory of Tours $\operatorname{says}$ (ii. 7), ' Aetius and Theodore put Attila to flight [from Orleans], and he, going to the Mauriac plain, arrays his troops for battle.' ('Attilam fugant qui Mauriacum campum adiens se praecingit ad bellam.') Here we have no mention of the Catalaunian, but only of the Mauriac plain.

Inatius (28th year of Theodosius II) puts the battle 'in the Catalaunian plains not far from the city of Metz which the Huns had broken up' ('in campis Catalaunicis haud longè de civitate quam effregerant Mertis'). This statement is evidently quite wide of the mark, and shows that the Gallician bishop had such vague notions of the geography of north-eastern Gaul that we cannof safely accept his guidance.

The continuer of Prosper gives the most precise details: 'The battle was fought at the fifth milestone from Troyes, at a place called Maurica in Champagne.' ('Pugnatum est in quinto milliario de Trecas, loco nuncupato Maurica in Campania.')

Now when we look ( 1 ) at the exceedingly wide range which, as we see from Jordanes, was given to the term Campi Catalaunici ; (2) at the persistent reference to the Campus Mauriacus or some similar name as the field of battle; (3) at the fact that there is still existing a place called Mery-sur-Seine, which may fairly be supposed to represent the ancient Mauriacum ; (4) at the situation of this place, not indeed at the fifth milestone from Troyes, apparently about twenty miles distant from it, but situated in a plain which may very probably have been called the Campus Mariacensis, and may have extended to the fifth milestone from Troyes ; (5) at the great strategical importance of Troyes, placed at the centre of a perfect coloweb of roads, in the Roman time as well as now, and commanding apparently the passage of at least one important river; considering all these facts and comparing them with the authorities, we must, as it appears to me, accept the conclusion that the battle was fought near to Mery-sur-Seine, but upon widely extended lines, and that it may easily have rolled over into what were properly called the Catalaunian plains (the Catalauni being the next tribe to the Tricasses), though it cannot have extended as far as the modern Chalons-sur-Marne, which was two days' march from the fiell of battle.

It will be observed that this argument represents the con- NOTE B . clusion to which we are brought by a simple consideration of the language of the chroniclers, and is wholly independent of the intereating discoveries described in the Mémoire of M . Peigné Delacoart to which reference is made in the text.
[Von Wietersheim takes the same view as to the site of the battle.]

## CHAPTER IV.

## ATTILA IN ITALY.

## Authorities.

book iI. A chapter in Jordanes and a paragraph in the Historia
Ca. 4. Miscella, with one curious anecdote from Suidas the well451. known lexicographer (of uncertain date), are all the materials that we possess for the history of this immeasurably important campaign, except the brief memoranda of the Annalists.

Attila's return to Pannonia

In the summer of 45 I, Attila, with his beaten army, recrossed the Rhine, and dismissed the courageous Lupus with a safe-conduct back to Troyes, bidding his chief minister and interpreter Onégesh intercede with the holy man that he might receive the benefit of his prayers.

All that autumn and winter we may imagine him dwelling, moody and sore of heart, within his wooden stockade upon the plains of Hungary, receiving the homage of his nobles as he drank to them out of his goblet of ivy-wood, scowling while all around were laughing at the gabble and the jests of Zercon, or passing his fingers through the dark locks of Ernak, while he whispered to himself, 'This boy shall build up the house of Attila.'

With spring, the spring of $45^{2}$, came back the long-
ing for 'the joys of strife ${ }^{1}$ ', and the determination to BOOK it. wipe out the shame of the Mauriac plains on some fresh - Сद. 4. battle-field. But this time he would not try conclusions ${ }_{\text {Italian }}^{45^{2}}$ with the hardy Visigoth. Aetius, Valentinian, Italy, of 452. . should bear the sole weight of his revenge ${ }^{2}$. He marched, probably through the passes of the Julian Alps and down the valley of the Frigidus, by the route already trodden by Theodosius and Alaric, and stood, perhaps before the spring had ripened into summer, before the walls of Aquileia.

This town was then, both as a fortress and a commer-situation cial emporium, second to none in Northern Italy. It portimee of was situated at the northernmost point of the Gulf of ${ }^{\text {Aquileia. }}$. Hadria, about twenty miles north-west of Trieste, and the place where it once stood is now in the Austrian dominions, just over the border which separates them from the kingdom of Italy. In the year 181 b.c.a Roman colony had been sent to this far corner of Italy to serve as an outpost against some intrusive tribes, called by the vague name of Gauls, who were pressing into the Adriatic shores over the. passes of the Carnic Alps, those Alps which are so familiar to the sojourners in Venice as 'blue Friuli's mountains.' The colonists built their town about four miles from the sea by the

[^71]book il. banks of the river Aquilo ${ }^{1}$ (the River of the North

Ch. 4. Wind) from whence it probably derived its name. Possessing a good harbour, with which it was connected by a navigable river, Aquileia gradually became the ohief entrepott for the commerce between Italy and what are now the Illyrian provinces of Austria. Under the Emperors, and especially after Trajan's conquest of Dacia, these provinces, rich in mineral and agricultural wealth, and enjoying long intervals of settled government, attained to a high degree of prosperity, and had the glory of seeing many Illyrian brows bound with the Imperial diadem. Naturally Aquileia rose in importance with the countries whose broker she was. She sent the wine, the oil, the costly woven fabrics of the Mediterranean provinces over the Julian and Carnic Alps into Pannonia and Noricum, and she received in return their cattle, their hides, amber from the shores of the Baltic ${ }^{2}$, and long files of slaves taken in the border wars which were being perpetually waged with the Germanic and Slavonic tribes beyond the Danube and the Carpathians. The third century after the Christian era was probably the most flourishing period of her commercial greatness, some of the springs of which must have been dried up by the troubles with the barbarians after the loss of the province of Dacia. Still, as far as can be ascertained from the language of contemporary authors, she was, at the time at which we have now arrived, entitled to contest

[^72]with Milan and Ravenna the distinction of being the book in. most important city of Northern Italy. Eeclesiastical CB. 4. had followed commercial supremacy, and the Bishop of Aquileia ruled as Metropolitan over the provinces of Western Illyricum and Venetia, so that, between the years 350 and 450 , Silistria on the lower Danube and Verona in the heart of Lombardy, both (though not both at the same time) owned his spiritual sway ${ }^{1}$. In a military point of view the city held a yet higher place. The strength which she derived from the river, the sea, perhaps the intervening marshes, had been increased by the elaborate fortifications of successive emperors. The savage Maximin (dethroned by the Senate in 238) had in vain attempted to take it, and had eventually been murdered under its walls by his mutinous soldiers. Equally vain had been the efforts of the army of Julian more than a century later, though they built huge wooden towers and floated them on rafts down the stream past the walls of the city. The inhabitants set the towers on fire, and were continuing a vigorous resistance when the news which arrived of the death of Constantius II, in whose cause they were fighting, released them from the necessity of further defence, and justified them in opening their

[^73]book in. gates to Julian, now sole and lawful Emperor. Rightly
Ca. 4. proud title of a virgin fortress ${ }^{1}$;' and we can now understand why it was that Aetius, who apparently regarded the defence of all the rest of Northern Italy as hopeless, left troops-we know not how many, nor for how long a siege prepared-to hold the great fortress by the Natiso against the enemy.

Attila's siege of Aquileia. therefore might Aquileia have claimed to herself the The Roman soldiers of the garrison were of unusually good quality and high courage, and under their guidance the town made so long and stubborn a defence that Attila's soldiers began to weary of their work. Ominous murmurs began to be heard in the camp, and it seemed as if Aquileia was about to add another and more terrible name to the list of her unsuccessful assailants. But just then, while Attila was pacing round her walls, moodily deliberating with himself whether to go or stay, the flapping of wings and the cry of birds overhead arrested his attention. He looked up, and saw the white storks ${ }^{2}$ which had built their nests in the roofs of the city, rising high in the air, and inviting their callow young to follow them, evidently with the intention of leaving the beleaguered town, and contrary to their usual habits, betaking themselves to the open country. The mother-wit of the Hunnish chieftain caught at the expressive augury. 'Lo, there!' he cried to his grumbling soldiers. 'See those birds, whose instinct tells them of futurity; they

[^74]are leaving the city which they know will perish, the boor in. fortress which they know will fall. It is no mere С.. 4. chance, no vague uncertainty which guides their movements. They are changed from all their natural love of home and human kind by their knowledge of the coming terror.' The wild hearts of the Huns were stirred by the speech of their king, and took courage from the fresh voice of Nature on their side ${ }^{1}$. They again pushed up their engines to the walls, they plied the slings and catapults with renewed energy, and, as it were in an instant, they found themselves masters of the town.

In proportion to the stubbornness of the defence was The the severity of the punishment meted out to Aquileia. puniab. The Roman soldiers were, no doubt, all slain. Attila ${ }^{\text {Aquileia. }}$ was not a man to encumber himself with prisoners. The town was absolutely given up to the rage, the lust, and the greed of the Tartar horde who had so long chafed around its walls. The only incident of the capture which enables us to grasp more definitely these commonplaces of barbaric conquest, is the story ${ }^{2}$ of a noble lady, named Digna, eminent for beauty and virtue, whose house was situated upon the walls of the city. Close to her house was a high tower, overlooking the glassy waters ('vitreis fuentis') of the Natiso. When she saw that the city was taken, in order to save her honour from the scornful outrages of those filthiest of foes (' sordidissimis hostibus'), she ascended the tower, and having covered her head in the old Roman fashion, plunged into the stream below.

[^75]boor IL When the barbarians could plunder no more, they Се. 4. probably used fire, for the very buildings of Aquileia perished, so that, as Jordanes tells us, in his time, a century later than the siege, scarcely the vestiges of it yet remained. A few houses may have been left standing, and others must have slowly gathered round them, for the Patriarch of Aquileia retained all through the middle ages considerable remains of his old ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and a large and somewhat stately cathedral was reared there in the eleventh century. But the City of the North Wind never really recovered from the blow. Her star had fallen from the firmament, and from this time she almost disappears from history. At the present day two or three mean-looking little villages cower amid the vast enclosure, which is chiefly filled with maize-fields and cherry-trees, while the high-pitched roof of the Duomo, with its tall detached campanile, dominates the plain.
Destruc. The terrible invaders, made more wrathful and
tion of cities of Venetia. more terrible by the resistance of Aquileia, streamed on through the trembling cities of Venetia. Each earlier stage in the itinerary shows a town blotted out by their truly Tartar genius for destruction. At the distance of thirty-one miles from Aquileia stood Concordia. the flourishing colony of Julia Concordia, so named, probably, in commemoration of the universal peace which, 480 years before, Augustus had established in the world. Concordia was treated as Aquileia, and only an insignificant little village now remains to show where it once stood ${ }^{1}$. At another interval of thirty-

[^76]one miles stood Altinum, with its white villas cluster- book n. ing round the curves of its lagunes, and rivalling Cr. 4. Baiae in its luxurious charms. Altinum was effaced ${ }_{\text {Altinum. }}^{452 .}$ as Concordia and as Aquileia. Yet another march of Patavium. thirty-two miles brought the squalid invaders to Patavium, proud of its imagined Trojan origin, and, with better reason, proud of having given birth to Livy. Patavium, too, was levelled with the ground. True it has not, like its sister towns, remained in the nothingness to which Attila reduced it. It is now

' Many domèd Padua proud,'

but all its great buildings date from the middle ages. Only a few broken friezes and a few inscriptions in its museum exist as memorials of the classical Patavium.

As the Huns marched further away from Aquileia, Atila in and the remembrance of their detention under its the apper of ramparts became less vivid, they were less eager to spend their strength in mere blind rage of demolition. Vicenza, Verona, Brescia, Bergamo, all opened their gates at their approach, for the terror which the fate of Aquileia had inspired was on every heart. In these towns, and in Milan and Pavia (Ticinum), which followed their example, the Huns enjoyed doubtless to the full their wild revel of lust and spoliation, but they left the
been the great sarcophagi with their heavy lids, grouped on both sides of the Roman road which led to Aquileia and the East. 'In some places we see slabs that have been completely wrenched from their sarcophagi by means of levers; and in imagination we witness the desolating invasion of the rathless Huns, who cared not one jot for the pains and penalies with which he who should desecrate the tombs was threatened, but broke them open in every direction in order to rifle the valuables which had been buried with the corpse.'

воок iI. buildings unharmed, and they carried captive the inC. 4. num. habitants instead of murdering them ${ }^{1}$.

At Milan a characteristic incident, which rests on fair if not contemporaneous evidence, is said to have occurred. The Hunnish king took up his quarters at the Imperial Palace, the stately edifice in which Constantine signed the edict for the legalization of Cbristianity, the same edifice in which, eighty years later, Theodosius expired, sick at heart for the ruin which he saw impending over the Empire. Besides other works of painting and sculpture with which the palace was no doubt liberally adorned, Attila beheld a picture representing 'The Triumph of Rome over the Barbarians.' Here were the two Augusti of the East and West seated on their golden thrones, and here in the front of the picture were the figures of the vanquished Scythians, some slain, others crouching in abject submission before the feet of the Emperors. Even so may the King of Prussia have looked, in the long galleries of Versailles, upon the glowing battle-pieces in which the genius of Lebrun and of Vernet commemorates the prowess of France and the humiliations of Germany. Attila took the insult as aimed at his own ancestors, though it is almost certain that the 'Scythians' whom any painter at Milan delineated would be Goths rather

[^77]than Huns. With that grim humour which flashed воок ir. forth now and again upon the sullen background of his сн. 4. character, he called for an artist whom he commissioned 452. to paint, perhaps on the opposite wall, a rival picture. In this, king Attila sat on his throne, and the two Emperors bowed low before him. One still bore upon his shoulders a large miller's sack filled with pieces of gold, the other was already pouring out the contents of a similar sack at his feet. This reference to the tributary obligations which Attila had forced upon both Rome and Constantinople harmonises with the language of Priscus, and seems to invest the story with a semblance of probability. Would that amidst the subsequent changes of fortune which have befallen the fair city of Milan, notwithstanding the despair of the Ostrogoths and the rage of Barbarossa, that picture might have survived to tell us what the great Hun looked like in his pride, the artistic Theodosius and the sensual Valentinian in their humiliation ${ }^{1}$.

The valley of the Po was now wasted to the heart's content of the invaders. Should they cross the Apennines and blot out Rome as they had blotted out Aquileia from among the cities of the world? This was the great question that was being debated in the Hunnish camp, and strange to say, the voices were not

[^78]book iI. all for war. Already Italy began to strike that strange
CE. 4.

Roman embassy to the Hanniah camp. awe into the hearts of her northern conquerors which so often in later ages has been her best defence. The remembrance of Alaric, cut off by a mysterious death immediately after his capture of Rome, was present in the mind of Attila, and was frequently insisted upon by his counsellors, who seem to have had a foreboding that only while he lived would they be great and prosperous.

While this discussion was going forward in the barbarian camp, all voices were hushed, and the attention of all was aroused, by the news of the arrival of an embassy from Rome. What had been going on in that city it is not easy to ascertain. The Emperor seems to have been dwelling there, not at Ravenna Aetius shows a strange lack of courage or of resource, and we find it difficult to recognise in him the victor of the Mauriac plains. He appears to have been even meditating flight from Italy, and to hạve thought of persuading Valentinian to share his exile ${ }^{1}$. But counsels a shade less timorous prevailed. Some one suggested that possibly even the Hun might be satiated with havoc, and that an embassy might assist to mitigate the remainder of his resentment. Accordingly ambassadors were sent in the once mighty name of 'the Emperor and the Senate and People of Rome' to crave for peace, and these were the men who were now ushered into the camp of Attila.

[^79]The envoys had been well chosen to satisfy that book if. punctilious pride which insisted that only men of the Cu. 4. highest dignity among the Romans should be sent to ${ }_{T h e}{ }^{452^{2}}$ treat with the Lord of Scythia and Germany ${ }^{1}$. Avienus, man am. who had, two years before, worn the robes of consul, was one of the ambassadors. Trigetius, who had wielded the power of a prefect, and who, seventeen years before, had been despatched upon a similar mission to Gaiseric the Vandal, was another. But it was not upon these men, but upon their greater colleague that the eyes of all the barbarian warriors and statesmen were fixed. Leo, Bishop of Rome, had come on behalf of his flock, to sue for peace from the idolater.

The two men who had thus at last met by the banks Charater of the Mincio are certainly the grandest figures whom Leo I. the fifth century can show to us, at any rate since Alaric vanished from the scene. Attila we by this time know well enough : adequately to describe Pope Leo I, we should have to travel too far into the region of ecclesiastical history. Chosen pope in the year 440, he was now about half way through his long pontificate, one of the few which have nearly rivalled the twentyfive years traditionally assigned to St. Peter ${ }^{2}$. A firm

[^80]bоок ir. disciplinarian, not to say a persecutor, he had caused the Priscillianists of Spain and the Manichees of Rome to feel his heavy hand. A powerful rather than subtle theologian, he had asserted the claims of Christian common sense as against the endless refinements of Oriental speculation concerning the nature of the Son of God. Like an able Roman general, he had traced in his letters on the Eutychian Controversy the lines of the fortress in which the defenders of the Catholic verity were thenceforward to entrench themselves, and from which they were to repel the assaults of Monophysites on the one hand, and of Nestorians on the other. These lines had been enthusiastically accepted by the great Council of Chalcedon (held in the year of Attila's Gaulish campaign), and remain from that day to this the authoritative utterance of the Church concerning the mysterious union of the Godhead and the Manhood in the person of Jesus Christ.

And all these gifts of will, of intellect, and of soul, were employed by Leo with undeviating constancy, with untired energy, in furthering his great aim, the exaltation of the dignity of the Popedom, the conversion of the admitted primacy of the bishops of Rome into an absolute and world-wide spiritual monarchy. Whatever our opinions may be as to the influence of this spiritual monarchy on the happiness of the world, or its congruity with the character of the Teacher in whose words it professed to root itself, we cannot withhold a tribute of admiration from the high temper of this Roman bishop, who in the ever-deepening degradation of his country still despaired not, but had

Pius IX lived to falaify. The Pontificate of Leo I lasted only twentyone years.
the courage and endurance to work for a far-distant book in. future, who, when the Roman was becoming the Cr. 4. common drudge and footstool of all nations, still remembered the proud words, 'Tu regere imperio populos, Romane, memento!' and under the very shadow of Attila and Gaiseric prepared for the city of Romulus a new and spiritual dominion, vaster and more enduring than any which had been won for her by Julius or by Hadrian.

Such were the two men who stood face to face in Interview the summer of 452 upon the plains of Lombardy. The by the barbarian king had all material power in his hand, and he was working but for a twelve-month. The Pontiff had no power but in the world of intellect, and his fabric was to last fourteen centuries. They met, as has been said, by the banks of the Mincio. Jordanes tells us that it was ' where the river is crossed by many wayfarers coming and going.' Some writers think that these words point to the ground now occupied by the celebrated fortress of Peschiera, close to the point where the Mincio issues from the Lake of Garda ${ }^{1}$. Others place the interview at Governolo, a little village hard by the junction of the Mincio and the Po ${ }^{2}$. If the latter theory be true, and it seems to fit well with the route which would probably be taken by Attila, the meeting took place in Virgil's country, and almost in sight of the very farm where Tityrus and Meliboeus chatted at evening under the beech tree.

[^81]ноок ir. Leo's success as an ambassador was complete. Attila
Cr. 4.
$45^{2}$. Complete success of Leo's embassy. laid aside all the fierceness of his anger and promised to return across the Danube, and to live thenceforward at peace with the Romans. But, in his usual style, in the midst of reconciliation he left a loophole for future wrath, for 'he insisted still on this point above all, that Honoria, the sister of the Emperor, and the daughter of the Augusta Placidia, should be sent to him with the portion of the royal wealth which was her due; and he threatened that unless this was done he would lay upon Italy a far heavier punishment than any which it had yet borne.'

Legendary amplifications.

But, for the present, at any rate, the tide of devastation was turned, and few events more powerfully impressed the imagination of that new and blended world which was now standing at the threshold of the dying Empire than this retreat of Attila, the dreaded king of kings, before the unarmed successor of St. Peter. Later ages have encrusted the history with legends of their own. The great picture in the Vatican, which represents the abject terror of the Huns in beholding St. Peter and St. Paul in the air championing the faithful city, gives that version of the story which has received eternal currency from the mintmark impressed by the genius of Raphael. As mythology has added to the wonder, so criticism has sought of later days to detract from it. The troops of Marcian, the Eastern Emperor, are said to have been in motion. Aetius, according to one account, had at length bestirred himself and cut off many of the Huns. But on carefully examining the best authorities we find the old impression strengthened, that neither miracle, nor pious fraud, nor military expediency deter-
mined the retreat of Attila. He was already predis- boor in. posed to moderation by the counsels of his ministers. CH. 4. The awe of Rome was upon him and upon them, and 452. he was forced incessantly to ponder the question, 'What if I conquer like Alaric, to die like him ?' Upon these doubts and ponderings of his supervened the stately presence of Leo, a man of holy life, firm will, dauntless courage-that, be sure, Attila perceived in the first moments of their interview-and, besides this, holding an office honoured and venerated through all the civilized world. The Barbarian yielded to his spell as he had yielded to that of Lupus of Troyes, and, according to a tradition which, it must be admitted, is not very well authenticated, he jocularly excused his unaccustomed gentleness by saying that 'he knew how to conquer men, but the lion and the wolf (Leo and Lupus) had learned how to conquer him.'

The renown and the gratitude which Leo I earned Effect on by this interposition placed the Papal Chair many thority of steps higher in the estimation both of Rome and of the world ${ }^{1}$. In the dark days which were coming, the senate and people of Rome were not likely to forget that when the successor of Caesar had been proved useless, the successor of Peter had been a very present help. And thus it is no paradox to say that indirectly the king of the Huns contributed, more perhaps than any other historical personage, towards the creation of that mighty factor in the politics of mediaeval Italy, the Pope-King of Rome.

His share in the creation of another important actor Atitia on the same stage, the Republic of Venice, has yet to foondrue be noticed. The tradition which asserts that it and of Venice

[^82]book in. its neighbour cities in the Lagunes were peopled by CE. 4. fugitives from the Hunnish invasion of 452, is so constant, and in itself so probable, that we seem bound to accept it as substantially true, though contemporary, or nearly contemporary evidence to the fact is utterly wanting.

The thought of 'the glorious city in the sea' so dazzles our imaginations when we turn our thoughts towards Venice, that we must take a little pains to free ourselves from the spell, and reproduce the aspect of the desolate islands and farstretching wastes of sand and sea, to which the fear of Attila drove the delicatelynurtured Roman provincials for a habitation. And as in describing the Hiongnu at their first appearance in history we had to refer to Physical Geography for an account of that vast Asian upland which was their home, so now that we are about to part with the Huns for ever, we must hear what the same science has to tell us of that very different region (the north-eastern corner of Italy) in which they, who came but to destroy, unwittingly built up an empire.

Streams pouring into the north-west corner of the Adrintic.

If we examine on the map the well-known and deep recess of the Adriatic Sea, we shall at once be struck by one marked difference between its eastern and its northern shores. For three hundred miles down the Dalmatian coast not one large river, scarcely a considerable stream, descends from the too closely towering Dinaric mountains to the sea. If we turn now to the north-western angle which formed the shore of the Roman province of Venetia, we find the coast-line broken by at least seven streams, two of which are great rivers. Let us enumerate them. Past the desolate site of Aquileia flows forth that Isonzo, once
called the river of the North Wind, with which we boor ir. have already made acquaintance. It rises in an all but C. 4. waterless range of mountains on the edge of Carniola ${ }^{1}$, and flows, milk-white with its Alpine deposits, through the little Austrian county of Goritzia. Tagliamento and Livenza rise in 'blue Friuli's mountains,' and just before they reach the sea encircle the town of Concordia, with which we have also made acquaintance as the second Italian city which Attila destroyed. Rising among the mysterious Dolomites, and flowing through Cadore and Titian's country, then past Belluno and Treviso, comes a longer and more important river, the Piave. The shorter but lovely stream of the Brenta, rising within a few miles of Trient, and just missing the same Dolomite ancestry, washes with her green and rapid waters the walls of Bassano, full of memories of Ezzelin's tyrannies, and of a whole family of Venetian painters, and then, running within sight of Padua, empties her waters into the sea a few miles south of Venice ${ }^{2}$. Adige comes next, dear to the heart of the pedestrian traveller in South Tyrol, who has through many a mile of his pilgrimage towards Italy been cheered by the loquacious companionship of its waters, who has seen its tributary, the Eisach, swirling round the porphyry cliffs of Botzen, and the united stream rushing ander the old battlemented bridge at Verona. Last and greatest of all, the Po, the Eridanus of the poets, rising under the shadow of Monte Viso, flowing

[^83]book ill nearly 300 miles through the rich plain of Lombardy, and receiving in its course countless affluents from the southern gorges of the Alps and the northern face of the Apennines, empties its wealth of waters into the Adriatic about a dozen miles from the all but united mouths of the Brenta and the Adige. The Delta of this abundant, but comparatively sluggish river, projecting into the Adriatic Sea, makes a marked alteration in the Italian coast-line, and causes some surprise that such a Delta should not yet have received its Alexandria; that Venice to the north, and Ravenna to the south should have risen into greatness, while scarcely a village marks the exit of the Po.

These seven streams, whose mouths are crowded into less than eighty miles of coast, drain an area which, reckoning from Monte Viso to the Terglou Alps (the source of the Isonzo), must be 450 miles in length, and may average 200 miles in breadth, and this area is bordered on one side by the highest mountains in Europe, snow-covered, glacier-strewn, wrinkled and twisted into a thousand valleys and narrow defiles, each of which sends down its river or its rivulet to swell the great outpour.

For our present purpose, and as a worker out of Venetian bistory, Po, notwithstanding the far greater volume of his waters, is of less importance than the six other smaller streams that we named before him. He, carrying down the fine alluvial soil of Lombardy, goes Formation of the lidi and the lagune. on lazily adding foot by foot to the depth of his Delta, and mile by mile to its extent. They, swiftly hurrying over their shorter course from mountain to sea, scatter indeed many fragments, detached from their native rocks, over the first meadows which they meet with in
the plain, but carry some also far out to sea, and then, book in behind the bulwark which they thus have made, deposit OH. 4. the finer alluvial particles with which they too are laden. Thus we get the two characteristic features of this ever-changing coastline, the lido and the laguna. The lido, founded upon the masses of rock, is a long, thin slip of terra firma which forms a sort of advanced guard of the land. The laguna, occupying the interval between the lido and the true shore, is a wide expanse of waters generally very few feet in depth, with a bottom of fine sand, and with a few channels of deeper water, the representatives of the forming rivers, winding intricately among them. In such a configuration of land and water the state of the tide makes a striking difference in the scene. And unlike the rest of the Mediterranean, the Adriatic does possess a tide, small it is true in comparison with the great tides of ocean, (for the whole difference between high and low water at the flood is not more than six feet, and the average flow is said not to amount to more than two feet six inches), but even this flux is sufficient to produce large tracts of sea which the reflux converts into square miles of oozy sand ${ }^{1}$.

Here, between sea and land, upon this detritus of the rivers, settled the Detritus of Humanity. The Gothic and the Lombard invasions contributed probably their share of fugitives, but fear of the Hunnish world-waster (whose very name, according to some, was derived from
${ }^{1}$ No reader of Ruskin's 'Stones of Venice' will need to be reminded of that magnificent chapter, 'The Throne,' at the commencement of the Second Volume, in which the influence of this Adriatic tide on the history and architectare of Venice, and the whole connection between the physical configuration and political development of the city, are worked ont with inimitable clearness and force.
book il one of the mighty rivers of Russia ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ ) was the great

CE. 4.
452. 'degrading' influence that carried down the fragments of Roman civilization and strewed them over the desolate lagunes of the Adriatic.

Allocation of the refugee among the villages by the lagunea.

The inhabitants of Aquileia, or at least the feeble remnant that escaped the sword of Attila, took refuge at Grado. Concordia migrated to Caprularia (now Caorle). The inhabitants of Altinum, abandoning their ruined villas, founded their new habitations upon seven islands at the mouth of the Piave, which, according to tradition, they named from the seven gates of their old city-Torcellus, Maiurbius, Boreana, Ammiana, Constantiacum, and Anianum. The representatives of some of these names, Torcello, Mazzorbo, Burano, are familiar sounds to the Venetian at the present day. From Padua came the largest stream of emigrants. They left the tomb of their mythical ancestor, Antenor, and built their humble dwellings apon the islands of Rivus Altus and Methamaucus, better known to us as Rialto and Malamocco. This Paduan settlement was one day to be known to the world by the name of Venice. But let us not suppose that the future Queen of the Adriatic sprang into existence at a single bound like Constantinople or Alexandria. For 250 years, that is to say for eight generations, the refugees on the islands of the Adriatic prolonged un obscure and squalid existence,-fishing, salt-manufacturing, damming out the waves with wattled vine-branches, driving piles into the sand-banks ${ }^{2}$; and thus gradually extending

[^84]the area of their villages. Still these were but fishing book ir. villages, loosely confederated together, loosely governed, Ся. 4. poor and insignificant; so that the anonymous geographer of Ravenna, writing in the seventh century, can only say of them : ' In the country of Venetia there are some few islands which are inhabited by men ${ }^{1}$.' This seems to have been their condition, though perhaps gradually growing in commercial importance, until at the beginning of the eighth century the concentration of political authority in the hands of the first doge, and the recognition of the Rialto cluster of islands as the capital of the confederacy, started the Republic on a career of success and victory, in which for seven centuries she met no lasting check.

But this lies far beyond the limits of our present canse of subject. It must be again said that we have not to of Venice. think of 'the pleasant place of all festivity,' but of a few huts among the sand-banks, inhabited by Roman provincials, who mournfully recall their charred and ruined habitations by the Brenta and the Piave. The sea alone does not constitute their safety., If that were all, the pirate ships of the Vandal Gaiseric might repeat upon their poor dwellings all the terror of Attila But it is in their amphibious life, in that strange blending of land and sea which is exhibited by the lagunes, that their safety lies. Only experienced pilots can guide a vessel of any considerable draft through the mazy channels of deep water which intersect these lagunes; and should they seem to be in imminent peril from the approach of an enemy, they will defend themselves, not like the Dutch by cutting

[^85]воок iI. the dykes which barricade them from the ocean, but Ca. 4. by pulling up the poles which even those pilots need to indicate their pathway through the waters.

Contrant between Venice and her founder.

There, then, engaged in their humble beaver-like labours, we leave for the present the Venetian refugees from the rage of Attila But even while protesting, it is impossible not to let into our minds some thought of what those desolate fishing villages will one day become. The dim religious light, half-revealing the slowly-gathered glories of St. Mark's ; the Ducal Palace -that history in stone; the Rialto, with its babble of many languages; the Piazza, with its flocks of fearless pigeons; the Brazen Horses; the Winged Lion; the Bucentaur; all that the artists of Venice did to make her beautiful, her ambassadors to make her wise, her secret tribunsls to make her terrible; memories of these things must come thronging upon the mind at the mere mention of her spell-like name. Now, with these pictures glowing vividly before you, wrench the mind away with sudden effort to the dreary plains of Pannonia. Think of the moody Tartar, sitting in his log-hut, surrounded by his barbarous guests, of Zercon gabbling his uncouth mixture of Hunnish and Latin, of the bath-man of Onégesh, and the wool-work of Kreka, and the reed-candles in the village of Bleda's widow; and say if cause and effect were ever more strangely mated in history than the rude and brutal might of Attila with the stately and gorgeous and subtle Republic of Venice.

Venice, ' Europe's bulwark 'gainst the Ottomita.'

One more consideration is suggested to us by that which was the noblest part of the work of Venice, the struggle which she maintained for centuries, really on behalf of all Europe, against the Turk. Attila's power

Venice, Europe's Bulwark against the Tartar. 169
was soon to pass away, but in the ages that were to book in. come, another Turanian race was to arise, as brutal as cr. 4. the Huns, but with their fierceness sharp-pointed and hardened into a far more fearful weapon of offence by the fanaticism of Islam. These descendants of the kinsfolk of Attila were the Ottomans, and but for the barrier which, like their own murazzi against the waves, the Venetians interposed against the Ottomans, it is scarcely too much to say that half Europe would have undergone the misery of subjection to the organised anarchy of the Turkish Pachas. The Tartar Attila, when he gave up Aquileia and her neighbour cities to the tender mercies of his myrmidons, little thought that he was but the instrument in an unseen Hand for hammering out the shield which should one day defend Europe from Tartar robbers such as he was. The Turanian poison secreted the future antidote to itself, and the name of that antidote was Venice.

Our narrative returns for a little space to the Alloged Pannonian home of Attila. Before the winter of 452 campaign he had probably marched back thither with all his of Attila. army. Jordanes tells us that he soon repented of his inactivity, as if it were a crime, and sent one of his usual blustering messages to Marcian, threatening to lay waste the provinces of the East unless the money promised by Theodosius were immediately paid. Notwithstanding this message, however, he really had his eyes fixed on Gaul, and burned to avenge his former defeat upon the Visigoths. The Alans, that kindred tribe now encamped on the southern bank of the Loire, seemed again to hold out some hope of facilitating his invasion. King Thorismund, however, detected the subtle schemes of Attila with equal subtlety, moved
book in. speedily towards the country of the Alans, whom he C.. 4. either crusked or conciliated, then met the Hunnish 453.

Dis-
believed by historiana. king in arms once more upon the Catalaunian plains, and again compelled him to fly defeated to his own land. 'So did the famous Attila, the lord of many victories, in seeking to overturn the glory of his conqueror, and to wipe out the memory of his own disgrace, bring on himself double disaster, and return inglorious home.':

- By the unanimous consent of historians, this second defeat of Attila by the Visigoths is banished from the historical domain. The silence of all contemporary chmoniclers, the strange coincidence as to the site of the battle, the obvious interest of the patriotic Goth to give his countrymen one victory over the Hun, of which neither Roman nor Frank could share the credit: these are the arguments upon which the negative judgment of historians is based, and they are perhaps sufficient for their purpose. It may be remarked, however, that the events assigned by the chroniclers to the year 453 do not seem absolutely to preclude the possibility of a Gaulish campaign, and that it is somewhat unsafe to argue against positive testimony from the mere silence even of far more exhaustive narrators than the annalists of the fifth century.

For the next scene, however, we have far more trustworthy authority, for here the words of Jordanes -'ut Priscus refert'-assure us that we have again, though at second-hand, the safe guidance of our old friend the Byzantine ambassador.
Marriage
It was in the year 453, the year that followed his Italian campaign, that Attila took to himself, in addition to all his other wives (and, as we have seen,
his harem wat extensive one), the very beautiful book II. dame Indico. At the wedding-feast be relazed his CE. 4. usual saturnine demeanour, drank copiously, and gave way to abundant merriment. Then when the guests were departed, he mounted the flight of steps that led up to his couch, placed high in the banqueting ball ${ }^{1}$, and there lay down to sleep the heavy sleep of a reveller. He had long been subject to fits of violent bleeding at the nose, and this night he was attacked by one of them. But lying as he was upon his back in his deep and drunken slumber, the blood could not find its usual exit, but passed down his throat and choked him. The day dawned, the sun rose high in the heavens, the afternoon was far spent, and no sign was made from the nuptial chamber of the king. Then at length his servants, suspecting something wrong, after attering loud shouts, battered in the door and entered. They found him lying dead, with no sign of a wound upon his body, the blood streaming from his mouth, and Ildico, with downcast face, silently weeping behind her veil. Such a death would, of course, excite some suspicion-suspicion which one of the Eastern chroniclers ${ }^{2}$ expanded into certainty-of the guilt of Ildico, who was probably regarded as the Jael by whose hand this new and more terrible Sisera had fallen. It is more probable, however, that the cause assigned by Jordanes, apparently on the authority of Priscus, is

[^86]book il. the true one, and that the mighty king died, as he says, C․ 4.
453.

Marclan'a dream. a drunkard's death.
It seems to be a well-attested fact, and is a curious incidental evidence of the weight with which the thought of Attila lay upon the minds even of brave men, that on the same night in which he died, the stout-hearted Emperor of the East, Marcian, who had gone to sleep anxious and distressed at the prospect of a Hunnish invasion, had a dream in which he saw the bow of Attila broken. When he awoke he accepted the omen that the Huns, whose chief weapon was the bow, were to be no longer formidable to the Empire.

Attils's obsequies.

In proportion to the hope of other nations was the grief of Attila's own people when they found that their hero was taken from them. According to their savage custom they gashed their fuces with deep wounds ${ }^{1}$, in order that so great a warrior might be honoured by the flowing, not of womanish tears, but of manly blood. Then in the middle of the vast Hungarian plain they erected a lofty tent with silken curtains, under which the corpse of the great chieftain was laid. A chosen band of horsemen careered round and round the tent, like the performers in the Circensian games of the Romans, and as they went through their mazy evolutions they chanted a wild strain, rehearsing the high descent and great deeds of the departed. What the form of these Hunnish songs may have been, it is impossible to conjecture; but the thoughts, or at least some of the chief thoughts, have been preserved to us by Jordanes, and may perhaps, without unfitness, be

[^87]clothed in metre, for in truth his prose here becomes book il almost metrical.

## THE DIRGE OF ATTILA.

Mightiest of the Royal Huns ${ }^{2}$, Son of Mandzuk, Attila!
Leader of Earth's bravest ones, Son of Mundzuk, Attila!
Power was thine, anknown before.
German-Land and Scythia bore, Both, thy yoke. Thy terror flew Either Roman Empery through. O'er their smoking towns we bore thee, Till, to save the rest, before thee, Humbly both the Caesars prayed. Thy wrath was soothed, and sheathed thy blade.
Slave-like ${ }^{8}$ at thy feet they laid
Tribute, as their master bade, The son of Mandzuk, Attila.

## At the height of human power

Stood the chieftain, Attila,
All had prospered till that hour
That was wrought by Attila
Thou diedst not by the foeman's brand,
Thou felt'st no dark assassin's hand.
All thy landsmen, far and wide,
Were safe from fear on every side.
${ }^{1}$ As this translation is somewhat paraphrastic the original is subjoined. 'Praecipaus Hunnorum Rex Attila, patre genitus Mundzucco, fortissimaram gentium dominus, qui inauditâ ante se potentiâ solus Scythica et Germanica regna possedit, necnon utraque Romani orbis Imperia captis civitatibus terruit, et ne praedae reliquae subderentur, placatus precibus, annuum vectigal accepit. Camque haec omnia proventu felicitatis egerit, non valnere hostium, non fraude suorum, sed gente incolumi, inter gaudia laetus, sine sensu doloris occubuit. Quis ergo hanc dicat exitum, quem nullus aestimat vindicandum.'

* This thought is taken from Attila's message to Theodosius by Orestes, quoted in the Second Chapter.

BOOK II. Cr. 4.

In the midst of thy delight, 'Mid the joys of Wine and Night Painless, thou hast taken flight From thy brethren, Attila!

Shouldest thou thus have ended life, With no pledge of fature strife ? Thou art dead: in vain we soek
Foe on whom revenge to wreak
For thy life-blood, Attila !
The Inter- When the wild dirge was ended, the great funeralment. feast, which they call the Strava ${ }^{1}$, was prepared, and the same warriors who but a few days before had been emptying great goblets of wine in honour of the marriage of Attila, now with the same outward semblance of jollity, celebrated his death. Even while the feast was proceeding, the dead body was being secretly consigned to the earth. It was enclosed in three coffins; the first of gold, the second of silver, the third of iron, to typify the wealth with which he had enriched his kingdom, and the weapons wherewith he had won it. Arms won from valiant foes, quivers studded with gems, and many another royal trinket, were buried with him. Then, as in the case of Alaric, in order to elude the avarice of future generations and keep the place of his burial secret for ever, the workmen, probably captives, who had been engaged in the task of his sepulture, were immediately put to death.

As far as we know, the grave of Attila keeps its

[^88]secret to this day. But his deeds had made an in- boor in. delible mark on the imagination of three races of men $\frac{\text { С. } 4 .}{4}$ -the Iratin peoples, the Germans, and the Scandi- Attile of navians; and in the ages of darkness which were to follow, a new and strangely-altered Attila, if we should not rather say three Attilas, rose as it were from his mysterious Pannonian tomb, gathered around themselves all kinds of weird traditions, and hovered ghostlike before the fascinated eyes of the Middle Ages. To trace the growth of this Attila-legend, however interesting the work might be as an illustration of the myth-creating faculty of half-civilized nations, is no part of my present purpose. Moreover, the task has been so well performed by M. Amedé Thierry in the last section of his Histoire d'Attila, that little remains for any later inquirer but simply to copy from him. It will be sufficient therefore to note as briefly as possible the chief characteristics of the different versions of the legend.
I. The traditions of the Latin races, preserved and Latin elaborated by ecclesiastics, naturally concerned themselves with the religious, or rather irreligious, aspect of his character. To them he is, therefore, the great Persecutor of the Fifth Century, the murderer of the eleven thousand virgins of Cologne, but above all, he is the Flagellum Dei, the scourge of God, divinely Fiagellum permitted to set forth on his devastating career for the punishment of a world that was lying in wickedness. This title, 'Flagellum Dei,' occurs with most wearisome frequency in the mediaeval stories about Attila; and wheresoever we meet with it, we have a sure indication that we are off the ground of contemporaneous and authentic history, and have entered the cloud-land of
bons II. ecclesiastical mythology. Later and wilder developCZ 4. ments in this direction, attributed to him the title of ' grandson of Nimrod, nurtured in Engedi, by the grace of God King of Huns, Goths, Danes, and Medes, the terror of the world.' There may have been a tendency, as Mr. Herbert thinks, to identify him with the AntiChrist of the Scriptures, but this is not proved, and is scarcely in accordance with the theological idea of Anti-Christ, who is generally placed in the future or in the present rather than in the past.

Teutonic Traditions.
2. Very unlike the semi-Satanic Attila of ecclesiastical legend is the Teuton's representative of the The Nibbe same personage, the Etzel of the Niebelungen Lied. langen Lied. In the five or six centuries which elapsed between the fall of the Hunnish monarchy and the writing down of this poem, the German seems to have forgotten almost everything about his mighty lord and foe, except that he dwelt by the Danube, that there was glorious feusting in his palace, and that he had relations both in peace and war with the Burgundians and the Franks. Hence, in the Niebelungen Lied all that is distinctive in Attila's character disappears. He marries the Burgundian princess Kriemhilde, the widow of Siegfried, and at her request invites her kindred, the Niebelungs, to visit him in Hunland. There, goodnature and hospitality are his chief characteristics; he would fain spend all day in hunting and all night at the banquet; be is emphatically the commonplace personage of the story. True, it is in his hall that the terrible fight is waged for a long summer day between the Niebelungs and the Huns, till the floor is slippery with the blood of slaughtered heroes. But this is not his doing, but the doing of his wife, that terrible figure,
the Clytemnestra or the Electra of the German tragedy, book ir. 'reaping the due of hoarded vengeance' for the murder cr. 4 of her girlhood's husband Siegfried. Her revenge and Hagen's harduess, and the knightly loyalty of Rudiger only serve to throw the genially vapid king of the Huns yet further into the background. This round and rubicund figure, all benevolence and hospitality, is assuredly not the thunder-brooding, sallow, silent Attila of history.
3. The Scandinavian Atli, the husband of Gudruna, sandinais a much better copy of the original. He himself is tinn Tradithe cause of the death of the Niblung heroes, he plots and diplomatises and kills in order to recover the buried treasure of Sigurd, just as the real Attila moved saga of the heaven and earth for the recovery of Honoria's dowry or the chalices of Sirmium. Above all, the final scene in which he with a certain grand calmness discusses, with the wife who has murdered him, the reason of her crime and appeals to her generosity to grant him a noble funeral, is not at all unlike what Attila might have said to Mdico, if the suspicion of the Byzantine courtiers had been correct, that he had met his death at her hand.

That the King of the Huns should be mentioned at all, far more that he should play so large a part in the national epic of the far-distant Iceland, is a strange fact, and suggests two interesting explanations. First: the statement of the Western ambassadors to Priscus that Attila had penetrated even to the isles of the Ocean may have been more nearly true than one is disposed, at first, to think possible, and he may have really annexed Norway and Sweden (the 'island of Scanzia,' as Jordanes calls it) to his dominions.

[^89]воок in. Second: throughout the early Middle Ages there was Сн. 4. probably an extensive reciprocal influence between the literatures of the countries of Western Europe, especially a borrowing of plots and scenery and cbaracters by the minstrels of various nations from one another, and it may have been thus that the fiction of the King of the Huns and his murdered guests travelled from the Danube to the North Sea. It seems a paradox, yet it is probably true that the thought of Austria had more chance of blending with the thought of Iceland in the days of the Skald and the Minnesingers than in the days of the Railroad and the Telegraph.
Hungaiaa Another line of inventions rather than of traditions imaginations abou Attils, quite valueless for History. no valuable element for the historian or the archaeologist. The Magyars, a race of Turanian origin, and bound by certain ties of kindred to the Huns, entered Europe at the close of the 9th century, aud established themselves in that country which has since been known as Hungary. As they slowly put off the habits of a mere band of marauders, as they became civilised and Christian, and as they thus awoke to historical consciousness, like a man sprung from the people who has risen to riches and honour, they looked about them for a pedigree. Such a pedigree was found for them by their ecclesiastics in an imagined descent from Attila, 'Flagellum Dei.' Little of course did they then foresee that their own noble deeds would furnish them with a far prouder escutcheon than any that even a genuine affinity to the great Marauder could bestow upon them. So, from the 11 th to the 15 th century a series of Magyar chroniclers, Simon Keza, Thurocz, Nicolaus Olahus, and others, made it their task to
glorify the nation of the Hungarians by writing out the book II. great deeds of Attila. There is no sufficient evidence Св. 4. that they were recording that which had been truly handed down, however vaguely, from their ancestors. On the contrary, there is everything to show that they were, as they supposed, embellishing, and certainly expanding the literary history of Attila by imaginations of their own. Inventions of this kind are valuable neither as fact nor as legend. They no more truly illustrate the history of Attila than the Book of Mormon illustrates the history of the Jews; and they probably reflect no more light on the genuine traditions of the Asiatic and heathen Magyars than is thrown by the 'Mort d'Arthur' on the thoughts of British minds in the days of Cassivelaunus and Boadicea. All this invented history should be sternly disregarded by the student who wishes to keep before his mind's eye the true lineaments of the great Hunnish warrior.

We return for a moment, in conclusion, to the true Resem. historic Attila, whose portrait, as painted by Priscus between and Jordanes, has been placed, it may be with too Attila and great fulness of detail, before the reader. It is impossible not to be struck by a certain resemblance both in his character and in his career to those of the latest world-conqueror, Napoleon. Sometimes the very words used to describe the one seem as if they glanced off and hit the other. Thus a recent German historian ${ }^{1}$ in an eloquent passage, contrasting the Hun and his great Roman antagonist, Aetius, sass-
'Conspicuous above the crowd, the two claimants to the lordship of the world stood over against one another.

[^90]воок $u$. Attila in his wild dream of building up a universal Cr. 4. empire in the space of one generation : opposite to him the General of that Power which, in the course of a thousand years, had extended its dominions over three Continents, and was not disposed to relinquish them without a struggle. But in truth, the idea of a worldempire of the Huns had passed out of the sphere of practical politics even before the battle on the Catalaunian plains. Far and wide Attila enslaved the nations, but the more the mass of his subjects grew and grew, the more certain they were, in time, to burst the fetters which the hand of one single warrior, however mighty, had bound around them. With Attila's death at latest his empire must fall in ruins, whether he won or lost on the battle-field by Troyes. But the Roman would still stand, so long as its generals had the will and the power to hold it together.'

Do we not seem to hear in these words a description of Napoleon's position, sublime but precarious, when he was at the zenith of his glory? As the Hun led Scythia and Germany against Gaul, so the Corsican led Gaul and Germany against Scythia in the fatal campaign of 1812. The Kings of Saxony and Bavaria were his Ardaric and Walamir ; Moscow his Orleans; Leipsic his 'Campus Mauriacensis.' He won his Honoria from an 'Emperor of the Romans,' prouder and of longer lineage than Valentinian. Like Attila, he destroyed far more than he could rebuild; his empire, like Attila's, lasted less than two decades of years; but, unlike Attila, he outlived his own prosperity. Of course, even greater than any such resemblance are the differences between the uncultured intellect of the Tartar chieftain, and the highly-de-
veloped brain of the great Italian-Frenchman who воок iI. played with battalions as with chessmen, who thought Ca. 4. out the new Paris, who desired 'to go down to posterity with his code in his hand.' But in their insatiable pride, in the arrogance which beat down the holders of ancient thrones and trampled them like the dust beneath their feet, in their wide-stretching schemes of empire, in the haste which forbade their conquests to endure, in the wonderful ascendancy over men which made the squalid Hun the instrument of the one, and the Jacobin of the other, and above all, in the terror which the mere sound of their names brought to fair cities and widely-scattered races of men,-in all these points no one so well as Napoleon explains to us the character and career of Attila.

## NOte C. On the Date of the Foundation of Venice.

note c. The assertion in the text, that the story of Venice having been founded by fugitives at the time of Attila's invasion reste on mere tradition, may surprise some readers. Others, with the popular histories of Venice in their hands, may think that an earlier date ought to have been assigned to that event. Daru (Histoire de Venise, i. 27), after asserting that the invasions of Alaric sent some fugitives across the Lagunes (a very probable hypothesis, though one entirely unsupported by proof), goes on to state that twenty-four honses on the Rialto having been destroyed by fire, a church to St. James was dedicated there in the year 42 I . 'La ville de Padone y envoya des magistrate annuels, avec le titre de Consuls. On tronve dans on vieax manuscrit le plus ancien monument de l'histoire de Venise; c'est un décret du sénat de Padoue, sons la date de 421 , qui ordonne la constraction d'une ville à Rialte, pour y rassembler, en une seule communaute, les habitants répundus sur les íles environnantes, afin qu'ils puissent y tenir nne flotte armée, parcourir la mer avec plas de sûrete, et se défendre avec plus d'avantage dans lear asyle. Tels furent les commencements de la superbe Venise.'

This seems circumstantial enough, and has been copied in good faith by the writers of popular manuals who have to deal with the early history of Venice, though they are evidently puzzled by finding the fonndation of the city thus assigned to the year 421, thirty-one years, as they well know, before the invasion of Attila, which they have also to represent to their readers as the main cause of the settlement of Venice.

The fact is, and it cannot be stated too clearly in order to relieve this useful class of writers from an unnecessary dilemma, that the whole story of the foundation of the city or the building of the Church of St. James in 421, is a mere fable (the result of ignorance rather than of dishonesty), and that the alleged
' Decree of the Senate of Padaa,' is as valuable a contribution note c. to bistory as the forgeries of Ireland or Chatterton, bat no more so.
I. The earliest historian of Venice is Andrea Dandolo, who was born in 1307, was Doge from 1343 to 1354, and was the immediate predecessor of Marino Faliero. His history (Chronicon Venetum, in the twelfth volume of Maratori) is very uncritical, bat in his acconnt of the events of the fifth century he builds a good deal on Jordanes and the Historia Miscella, though also to some extent on the Hungarian Romancers (historians they cannot be called) who wrote about Attila. He appears to be under the impression that Attila began to reign over the Hans abont 415, since he places his accession before the election of Pope Zosimus in 417; and he describes ${ }^{1}$ a battle which took place between him and Macrinus, 'Tetrarch of Pannonia, Dalmatis, Macodonia, Phrygia, and Pamphylia,' in which 40,000 Huns were slain, bat Macrinus also fell, and the Roman army was routed. The title attributed to Macrinus is sufficient to show that Dandolo is here working with absolutely unhistorical materials.

He then proceeds in the next part to relate how the chiefs and people of the cities of Venetia, exhausted by the incursions of the Barbarians, decided to construct certain maritime cities of refuge. 'First of all, Gallianus de Fontana, Simeon de Glauconibas, and Antonius Calrus de Limianis, Consuls of Patavium (Padua), not unmindful of the past invasion, went to the maritime regions, and there near the moath of the river Realtis, having found an island suitable for their parposes, laid the foundations of the city of Rivoaltus on the 25th March in the year of our Lord 42I.' The fire (issuing from the house of a Greek shipmaster named Eutinopus), by which twenty-four mansions were consumed, and the building of a charch dedicated to St. James are then recorded. This is the first and beas authority for the statement quoted above from Daru, and it is hardly necessary to say that it has not the slightest claim to be regarded as anthentic history. The three Consuls of Padua, with such names as 'de Fontana,' 'de Glauconibus,' and 'de Limianis,' in the early part of the fifth centiry, are alone quite enough to condemn it.

[^91]NOTE C. But Dandolo, though he was quite at fault as to the date of the commencement of Attila's reign, knew, with something like accuracy, the date of the fall of Aquileia, which he pats about 454. He knew very little however as to the circumstances of that disaster. We have the story of the storks, of coarse, and of the matron Digna, who threw herself headlong into the Natiso. But he says that after 9000 of Attila's men and 2000 of the citizens of Aquileia had been slain, the latter, 'being no longer able to resist so great a mnltitude, putstatues as sentinels on the walls, and thas, by distracting Attila's attention, almost all escaped to Grado.' Soon after, however, Attils let fly his hawk, which settled on the hand of one of the statues. The boldness of the bird and the immobility of the man revealed the trick to Attila, and in his anger he rased the city to the ground. (Book v, chap. 5.) Attila then presses on to Concordia, whose inhabitants fly to Caprulae (Caorle), to Altino (whose inhabitants colonise Torcello and the five neighbouring islands, and name them after the aix gates of their city), and lastly to Padua (which Dandolo here calls by its modern name and not Pataviam). 'The king of the city of Padua sent his queen with his sons, their wives and little ones, and all his treasare to Rialto and Malamocco. Attila attacked the city, was first defeated, then he gained a victory and destroyed Padua.' Again we have here a narrative which is absolutely unhistorical, and which, even as an invention, must have belonged to a period long subsequent to the fifth century.
II. Andrea Nogier, a Venetian noble, who lived abont 1500 , is the reputed author of a History of Venice, which is printed in the twenty-third volume of Muratori. It would be an insult to Dandolo to pat Nogier's work for a moment in comparison with his. Muratori truly says that it is full of fables and anachronisms in the early part, and that the man who can read it through must have plenty of spare time on his hands. It is only worth noticing here as showing the growth of the legend about the foundation in 421 and its atter historic worthlessness.

Attila, according to this account, was the grandson of a King of Hungary named Osdrubald. His invasion of Italy is placed in the years 420-428. His sieges of Aquileia, Concordia, Altino, and Pafagonia (Padua) are described at great length, and with no regard to truth. The name of the King of Padua is Janus,
his Queen is 'Andriana ovvero Vitaliana.' The siege of Padua note c. is caid to have lasted seven years. In the second year of Attila's invasion, i.e. 421, 'on the 15 th of March, which was a Saturday, it was determined by the Nobles and Tribunes of the kingdom of Padua, to build a city on the island of Rivoalto. And three Consuls were set over this work whose names were Julius Falier, Thomas Candianus, and Cosmas Paulus.' By some mistake the anthor represents the design to build the city as formed on the ${ }^{15}$ th of March, though the first stone is laid three days earlier, on the 12 th of March 421, 'in which year ${ }^{1}$, month, and day the arrangement of the heavens was by the Divine will and ordering of such favourable aspect as verily to promise that the aforesaid city should be noble and powerful, as is seen at this day ${ }^{2}$.'

Then follows a good deal more atrocionsly disjointed history, in which for instance Totila the Ostrogoth ${ }^{3}$ (who really reigned from 541 to $55^{2}$ ) is represented as invading Italy and persecuting the Christians in 440 . Soon after, the mendacious scribe, who must surely be laughing at his readers, says, ' From 442 to 648 the History of Venice is lost, and none of it can be written.' There need not have been any blank spaces in a history written on such principles.
III. Marino Sanuto (in the twenty-second volume of Maratori), who flourished towards the end of the fifteenth century, and was still alive in the year 1522, admits that there are various opinions abont the time of the principio of Venice, one author patting 'it in the year $45^{6}$ [453], so indicating the time in which, at the death of Attila, reigned Pope Leo I, Marcisn, Gaiseric, Merovens, and Valentinian Junior.'
' Bat the trath is that in the year 421 , as I have said, on the 25th of March, Friday, "e ascendendo, come nell" Astrologica figura appare, gradi 25 di Cancro," was laid the first stone, as many writers tell, of the Church of S. Jacopo di Rivoalto. On which day, as Holy Scripture testifies, our first father Adam was formed at the beginning of the Creation of the World.

[^92]bonk II. ecclesiastical mythology. Later and wilder develop-
CHE 4. ments in this direction, attributed to him the title of 'grandson of Nimrod, nurtured in Engedi, by the grace of God King of Huns, Goths, Danes, and Medes, the terror of the world.' There may have been a tendency, as Mr. Herbert thinks, to identify him with the AntiChrist of the Scriptures, but this is not proved, and is scarcely in accordance with the theological idea of Anti-Christ, who is generally placed in the future or in the present rather than in the past.

Teutonic Traditions.
2. Very unlike the semi-Satanic Attila of ecclesiastical legend is the Teuton's representative of the The Nibbe same personage, the Etzel of the Niebelungen Lied. langen
Lied. In the five or six centuries which elapsed between the fall of the Hunnish monarchy and the writing down of this poem, the German seems to have forgotten almost everything about his mighty lord and foe, except that he dwelt by the Danube, that there was glorious feusting in his palace, and that he had relations both in peace and war with the Burgundians and the Franks. Hence, in the Niebelungen Lied all that is distinctive in Attila's character disappears. He marries the Burgundian princess Kriemhilde, the widow of Siegfried, and at her request invites her kindred, the Niebelungs, to visit him in Hunland. There, goodnature and hospitality are his chief characteristics; he would fain spend all day in hunting and all night at the banquet; he is emphatically the commonplace personage of the story. True, it is in his hall that the terrible fight is waged for a long summer day between the Niebelungs and the Huns, till the floor is slippery with the blood of slaughtered heroes. But this is not his doing, but the doing of his wife, that terrible figure,
the Clytemnestra or the Electra of the German tragedy, boor it. 'reaping the due of hoarded vengeance' for the murder Ca. 4 of her girlhood's husband Siegfried. Her revenge and Hagen's harduess, and the knightly loyalty of Rudiger only serve to throw the genially vapid king of the Huns yet further into the background. This round and rubicund figure, all benevolence and hospitality, is assuredly not the thunder-brooding, sallow, silent Attila of history.
3. The Scandinavian Atli, the husband of Gudruna, Soandionis a much better copy of the original. He himself is tion Tradithe cause of the death of the Niblung heroes, he plots and diplomatises and kills in order to recover the buried treasure of Sigurd, just as the real Attila moved Segs of the
Niblungs. heaven and earth for the recovery of Honoria's dowry or the chalices of Sirmium. Above all, the final scene in which he with a certain grand calmness discusses, with the wife who has murdered him, the reason of her crime and appeals to her generosity to grant him a noble funeral, is not at all unlike what Attila might have said to Ildico, if the suspicion of the Byzantine courtiers had been correct, that he had met his death at her hand.

That the King of the Huns should be mentioned at all, far more that he should play so large a part in the national epic of the far-distant Iceland, is a strange fact, and suggests two interesting explanations. First: the statement of the Western ambassadors to Priscus that Attila had penetrated even to the isles of the Ocean may have been more nearly true than one is disposed, at first, to think possible, and he may have really annexed Norway and Sweden (the 'island of Scanzia,' as Jordanes calls it) to his dominions.

воок ir. Second: throughout the early Middle Ages there was probably an extensive reciprocal influence between the literatures of the countries of Western Europe, especially a borrowing of plots and scenery and claracters by the minstrels of various nations from one another, and it may have been thus that the fiction of the King of the Huns and his murdered guests travelled from the Danube to the North Sea. It seems a paradox, yet it is probably true that the thought of Austria had more chance of blending with the thought of Iceland in the days of the Skald and the Minnesingers than in the days of the Railroad and the Telegraph.
Hungaian Another line of inventions rather than of traditions
 no valuable element for the historian or the archaeologist. The Magyars, a race of Turanian origin, and bound by certain ties of kindred to the Huns, entered Europe at the close of the 9th century, and established themselves in that country which has since been known as Hungary. As they slowly put off the habits of a mere band of marauders, as they became civilised and Christian, and as they thus awoke to historical consciousness, like a man sprung from the people who has risen to riches and honour, they looked about them for a pedigree. Such a pedigree was found for them by their ecclesiastics in an imagined descent from Attila, 'Flagellum Dei.' Little of course did they then foresee that their own noble deeds would furnish them with a far prouder escutcheon than any that even a genuine affinity to the great Marauder could bestow upon them. So, from the 11 th to the 15 th century a series of Magyar chroniclers, Simon Keza, Thurocz, Nicolaus Olahus, and others, made it their task to
glorify the nation of the Hungarians by writing out the воок in. great deeds of Attila. There is no sufficient evidence Ch. 4 . that they were recording that which had been truly handed down, however vaguely, from their ancestors. On the contrary, there is everything to show that they were, as they supposed, embellishing, and certainly expanding the literary history of Attila by imaginations of their own. Inventions of this kind are valuable neither as fact nor as legend. They no more truly illustrate the history of Attila than the Book of Mormon illustrates the history of the Jews; and they probably reflect no more light on the genuine traditions of the Asiatic and heathen Magyars than is thrown by the 'Mort d'Arthur' on the thoughts of British minds in the days of Cassivelaunus and Boadicea. All this invented history should be sternly disregarded by the student who wishes to keep before his mind's eye the true lineaments of the great Hunnish warrior.

We return for a moment, in conclusion, to the true Resemhistoric Attila, whose portrait, as painted by Priscus between and Jordanes has been placed, it may be with too Attila and great fulness of detail, before the reader. It is impossible not to be struck by a certain resemblance both in his character and in his career to those of the latest world-conqueror, Napoleon. Sometimes the very words used to describe the one seem as if they glanced off and hit the other. Thus a recent German historian ${ }^{1}$ in an eloquent passage, contrasting the Hun and his great Roman antagonist, Aetius, says-
'Conspicuous above the crowd, the two claimants to the lordship of the world stood over against one another.

[^93]buokiil. Anonyuus Cobpiniani is the unconth designation of a mye-
$\qquad$
CH. 1. terious MS. (also edited by Roncalli), which is our most valuable authority for the last quarter-century of the Western Empire. The MS. of this chronicle is in the Imperial Library at Vienna. It was first published by a certain Joseph Cuspinianus, a scholar of the Renaissance (who died in 1529), and hence the name by which it is technically known. It begins with a mere list of names of Consuls, very fragmentary, and of mo-aceat value. With the year 378 , the point where St . Jerome's Chronicle ends, 'the Anonymous of Cuspinian' becomes more valusble. He begins to insert much fuller notices of passing events, and is exceedingly precise in mentioning the day of the month on which each event occurred. It would not probably be too much to assert that at least half of the dates recorded by historians who write of the accessions and depositions of the Roman Emperors in the fifth centary, are due to the Anonymus Cuspiniani. His information hecomes perceptibly fuller and richer as the historical interest approaches Ravenna. From this and various other reasons it is conjectured that we have here an official record compiled at Ravenna, possibly by some Minister of the Imperial Court, or else part of the lost history of Bishop Maximian ${ }^{1}$; and some of the scholars of Germany have gone so far as to endeavour to reconstruct from it the original ' Ravennatische Fasten.' But putting aside all minute conjectures as to its origin and preservation, there can be no doubt that we have here an exceedingly valuable and nearly, or quite, contemporary record of the events between 455 and 493. There is an anfortanate chasm in the chronicle between 403 und $455^{2}$.

Beaides the above-mentioned sources we derive some details from Apollnfaris Sleonius and Procopius, who will be described more fully in fature chapters.

With dramatic suddenness the stage after the death of Attila is cleared of all the chief actors, and fresb

[^94]performers come upon the scene, some of whom occupy boorin. it for the following twenty years. Before tracing ca. 1. the character and following the fortunes of the Vandal 454 invaders of Rome, let us briefly notice these changes.

The death of Attila was followed by a dissolution Disen. of his empire, as complete and more ruinous than that tionen ber the which befell the Macedonian monarchy on the death Antula of of Alexander. The numerous progeny of his ill-assorted harem were not disposed to recognise any one of their number as supreme lord. Neither Ellak, the eldest son, who had sat uneasily on the edge of his chair in the paternal presence, nor Ernak, the youngest, his father's darling, and he upon whom the hopes of Attila had most confidently rested, could obtain this preeminence. There were besides, Emnedzar, Uzindur, Dinzio, and one knows not how many more uncouthlynamed brethren; in fact, as Jordanes says, 'these living memorials of the lustful disposition of Attila made a little nation themselves. All were filled with a blind desire to rule, and so between them they upset their father's kingdom. It is not the first time that a superabundance of heirs has proved more fatal to a dynasty than an absolute deficiency of them.'

To end the quarrel, it was decided that this tribe attempted of sons should partition between them the inheritance of their father. But the great fabric which had been among diseont the upheld by the sullen might of Attila was no longer antee a mere aggregation of nomad clans, such as the Hunnish nation had once been. If it had still been in this rudimentary condition, it might perhaps have borne division easily. But now it contained whole nations of more finely fibred brain than the Huns, astute statemen-kings like Ardaric, sons of the gods like the

воокin. three Amal brothers who led the Ostrogoths to battle.
Cr. .. These men and their followers had been awed into subservient alliance with the great Hun. They had elected to plunder with him rather than to be plundered by him, and they had perhaps found their account in doing so. But not for that were they going to be partitioned like slaves among these loutish lads, the sons of Attila's concubines, men not one of whom possessed a tithe of their father's genius, and who, when they had thus broken up his empire into fragments, would be singly but petty princelings, each of far less importance than many of their own vassals. Should the noble nation of the Ostrogoths lose the unity which it had possessed for centuries, and be allotted part to Ellak and part to Ernak? Should the Gepidae be distributed like agricultural slaves, so many to Emnedzar, and so many to Uzindur? That was not Germania's understanding of the nature of her alliance with Scythia, as it would not have been the King of Saxony's or the King of Bavaria's understanding of the tie which bound them to Napoleon. Ardaric, king of the Gepidae, lately the chosen confidant of Attila, now stepped forth to denounce this scheme of partition, and to uphold Teutonic independence against Attila's successors. The battle was joined near the river Nedao ${ }^{1}$, a stream in Pannonia which modern geographers have not identified, but which was probably situated in that part of Hungary which is west of the Danube. 'There,' says Jordanes ${ }^{2}$, whose Gothic heart seems to beat faster beneath his

[^95]churchman's frock whenever he has a bloody battle to boorm. describe,- There did all the various nations whom CH. 1. Attila had kept under his dominion meet and look one another in the face. Kingdoms and peoples are divided against one another, and out of one body divers limbs are made, no longer governed by one impulse, but animated by mutual rage, having lost their presiding head. Such were those most mighty nations which had never found their peers in the world if they had not been sundered the one from the other, and gashed one another with mutual wounds. I trow it was a marvellous sight to look upon. There should you have seen the Goth fighting with his pike, the Gepid raging with his sword, the Rugian breaking the darts of the enemy at the cost of his own wounds; the Sueve pressing on with nimble foot; the Hun covering his advance with a cloud of arrows; the Alan drawing up his heary-armed troops; the Heral his lighter companies, in battle array.' We are not distinctly told what was the share of the Ostrogoths in this great encounter, and we may reasonably doubt whether all the German tribes were arranged on one side and all the Tartars on the other with such precision as a modern ethnologist would have used in an ideal battle of the nationalities. But the result is not doubtful. After many desperate charges, Victory, which they scarcely hoped for, sat upon the standards of the Gepidae. Thirty thousand of the Huns and their confederates lay dead upon the field, among them Ellak, Attila's firstborn, 'by such a glorious death that it would have done his father's heart good to witness it.' The rest of his nation fled away across the Dacian Diruption


воок in. wide steppes of Southern Russia, in which at the comСн. 1. mencement of our history we saw the three Gothic nations taking up their abode. Ernak, Attila's darling, ruled tranquilly under Roman protection in the district between the lower Danube and the Black Sea, which we now call the Dobrudscha, and which was then 'the lesser Scythia.' Others of his family maintained a precarious footing higher up the stream, in Dacia Ripensis, on the confines of Servia and Bulgaria. Others made a virtue of necessity, and entering 'Romania,' frankly avowed themselves subjects and servants of the Eastern Caesar, towards whom they had lately shown themselves such contumelions foes. There is nothing in the after-history of these fragments of the nation with which any one need concern himself. The Hunnish empire is from this time forward mere drift-wood on its way to inevitable oblivion.

What is more interesting for us, as affecting the fortunes of the dwellers in Italy during the succeeding century, is the allotment of the dominions of Attila among the Teutonic tribes who had cast off the Hunnish yoke. Dacia, that part of Hungary which lies east and north of the Danube, and which had been the heart of Attila's domains, fell to the lot of the Gepidae, under the wise and victorious Ardaric. Pannonia, that is the western portion of Hungary, with Sclavonia, and parts of Croatia, Styria and Lower Austria, was ruled over by the three Amal-descended kings of the Ostrogoths. What barbarous tribe took possession of Noricum in the general anarchy does not appear to be clearly stated, but there is some reason to think that part of it at least was occupied by the Heruli, and that the south-eastern portion, Carinthia
and Carniola, received those Sclavonic settlers (coming boosmi. originally in the triumphant train of Attila) whom, Cr. 1. to increase the perplexity of the politicians of Vienna, it still retains.

The death of Attila and the disruption of his empire Aetium no remed con longer years enabled the Western Emperor to bear the weight tivima. of the services of Aetius. It is true that quite recently vows of mutual friendship had been publicly exchanged and sealed with the rites of religion between these two men, the nominal and the real rulers of Italy. It is true that a solemn compact had been entered into for the marriage of the son of Aetius ${ }^{1}$ with the daughter of Valentinian, and thus, as the Emperor had no son, a safe path seemed to be indicated in the futare, by which the ambition of the general might be gratified, yet the claims of the Theodosian line not sacrificed. All this might be, but nothing could avail against the persuasion which had rapidly insinuated itself into the Emperor's mind that the minister, so useful and so burdensome, was now no longer needed. Just as Honorius forty-six years before had planned the ruin of Stilicho, so now did the nephew of Honorius plot the murder of the only Roman general who was worthy to rival Stilicho's renown. The part which was then played by Olympius was now played by the Eunuch Heraclius. Whether, as some chroniclers say, the Eunuch filled his master's mind with suspicions as to the revolutionary designs of Aetius, or whether, as others, the Emperor first resolved on the

[^96]bookili. murder of his general, and secured the grand chamberCH. 1. lain's assistance, does not greatly signify. As planet attracts planet and is itself attracted by it, so villain works on villain, and is worked upon by him, when a great crime, profitable to both, presents itself as possible.
Murder of The Emperor enticed Aetius into his palace without an escort. Possibly the pretext was some further conversation as to the marriage treaty between their children. Possibly when the general had entered the presence-chamber, his master announced that he must consider this contract as at an end, for we are told that Aetius was urging with uncourtly warmth the pretensions of his son, when he was suddenly stabbed by the Emperor himself. The swords of the bystanders finished the work with unnecessary circumstances of cruelty, and the chieffriends of the murdered minister having been on one pretence or other allured singly, into the palace, were all slain in like manner. Among them was his most intimate friend, Boethius, the Praetorian Prefect, and the grandfather, probably, of the celebrated author of the 'Consolations of Philosophy.'

In narrating this event, the Count Marcellinus (writing about a century after it had occurred) rises above his usual level as a mere chronicler, and remarks, ' With Aetius fell the whole Hesperian realm, nor has it hitherto been able to raise itself up again.' We seem, in the faded chronicle, to read almost the very words of Shakespeare-
> ' $O$, what a fall was there, my countrymen! Then $I$, and you, and all of us fell down, Whilst bloody treason flourished over u.s.'

Another historian ${ }^{1}$ tells us that immediately after boorm. the murder, 'a certain Roman uttered an epigram, Ca. 1 . which made no small reputation for its author. The Emperor asked him if in his opinion the death of Aetius was a good deed to have accomplished. Whereupon he replied, " Whether it was a good deed, most noble Emperor, or something quite other than a good deed, I am scarcely able to say. One thing, however, I do know, that you have chopped off your right hand with your left."'

A contemporary author, the Gaulish poet Apollinaris Sidonius, in some verses written a year or two after the event, alludes in passing to the time when

> 'The Thing, scarce Man, Placidia's fatuous son Butchered Aetius?'?

So that this deed at least had not to wait for a late posterity to be judged according to its desert.

It was probably towards the end of 454 that the murder of Aetius was perpetrated, and the scene of the crime was Rome, which for ten years previously seems to have been the chief residence of the Emperor, though Ravenna was occasionally visited by him.

In the middle of the succeeding March the Emperor rode out of the city one day to the Campus Martius. valenti. He halted by two laurel bushes in a pleasant avenue, ${ }^{\text {,inated }}$ and there, surrounded by his court and his guards, was intently watching the games of the athletes ${ }^{8}$.

[^97]$\underset{c \in a}{\text { boom mir. Suddenly two soldiers of barbarian origin, named Optila }}$
CE. 1. and Traustila, rushed upon him and stabbed him ${ }^{1}$. The Eunuch Heraclius, the confidant who had planned the death of Aetius, was also slain. No other blood seems to have been shed, and apparently it must be taken as an evidence how low the Emperor had fallen in the esteem of his subjects, that in all that courtly retinue, and in all that surrounding army, not a band stirred to avenge his death. The murderers were well known as henchmen of Aetius, who, moved partly by resentment at his fate, and partly, no doubt, by chagrin at the interruption of their own career of promotion, had for months been dogging the steps of the heedless Emperor with this black design in their hearts.

Valentinian III left no son, and thus the Imperial line of Theodosius became extinct, after it had held the Eastern throne seventy-four years (379-453) ${ }^{2}$, and the Western sixty-one (394-455). The choice of the people and army fell on Petronius Maximus, an elderly

The Augustan MS. of Prosper supplies 'ad duas Lauros,' a little detail which is also contained in the Paschal Chronicle. HolderEgger (Neues Archiv. i. 270) argues that we have here a blundering attempt to mix up two inconsistent versions of the story: the Campus Martius having been on the West of the city, within the walls, and the 'Duae Laurns' having been (as he shews from the Liber Pontificalis) at the third milestone from the city, to the South-East of it, on the Via Labicana. But surely there might very easily be two places in the environs of Rome bearing the name of 'the Two Laurels,' so that there is no necessary conflict of evidence.
${ }^{1}$ We get the names of the assassins from Marcellinus, whom Jordanes (De Regnorum Successione, 334) follows. Codex Harniensis calls them Accila the armour-bearer ('bucillarius') of Aetius, and Trasila, mon-in-law of Aetins.
${ }^{2}$ Pulcheria died in the year 453, aged 54.
senator, who assumed the purple with every prospect bookirm. of a wise and perhaps even a successful reign.

The new Emperor was apparently related to Probus, ${ }_{\text {Elevation }}^{455 .}$ the eminent Roman, whose two sons were made consuls and prein the same year (395) amid the high-flown panegyrics of maxiof Claudian. He is said to have been also grandson of that usurping Emperor Maximus, who was taken prisoner by the soldiers of Theodosius at the third milestone from Aquileis. But his own career as a member of the civil hierarchy had been so much more than merely respectable, that it seems impossible to deny to him the possession of some ability, and even of some reputation for virtue, as Roman virtue went in those days. At the age ${ }^{1}$ of nineteen he was admitted into the Imperial Council as tribune and notary; then Count of the Sacred Largesses, and then Prefect of Rome, all before he had attained his twenty-fifth year. When he was holding this last office, the Emperor Honorius, at the request of the senate and people, erected a statue to his honour in the great Forum of Trajan. Consul at the age of thirty-eight, Prefect of Italy from the age of forty-four to forty-six, again Consul at forty-eight, and again Prefect, he had attained at fifty the crowning dignity of the Patriciate. This was evidently a man whom both prince and people had delighted to honour, and from whom, now that he had reached his sixtieth year, a reign of calm and statesmanlike wisdom, and such prosperity as those evil days would admit of, might not unreasonably have been hoped for.

How different was the result, and how far he was

[^98]воок III. from attaining, much more from bestowing, happiness

Ce. 1 . during the seventy days, or thereabout, that he wore the Imperial Purple, we learn from a letter addressed, some time after his death, by one ${ }^{1}$ who was himself well acquainted with the inner life of courts, to Serranus, a faithful friend, who still ventured to proclaim his attachment to an unpopular and fallen patron.
' I received your letter,' says Sidonius, 'dedicated to the praises of your patron the Emperor Petronius Maximus. I think, however, that either affection or a determination to support a foregone conclusion has carried you away from the strict truth when you call him most happy (felicissimus) because he passed through the highest offices of the state and died an emperor. I can never agree with the opinion that those men should be called happy who cling to the steep and slippery summits of the State. For words cannot describe how many miseries are hourly endured in the lives of men who, like Sulla, claim to be called Felix because they have clambered over the limits of law and right assigned to the rest of their fellowcitizens. They think that supreme power must be supreme happiness, and do not perceive that they have, by the very act of grasping dominion, sold themselves to the most wearisome of all servitudes: for, as kings lord it over their fellow-men, so the anxiety to retain power lords it over kings.
' To pass by the proofs of this that might be drawn from the lives of preceding and succeeding emperors, your friend Maximus alone shall prove my maxims ${ }^{2}$.

[^99]He , though he had climbed up with stout heart into воокnit. the high places of Prefect, Patrician, Consul, and had, ca. 1. with unsatisfied ambition, claimed a second turn at some of these offices, nevertheless when he arrived, still vigorous, at the top of the Imperial precipice, felt his head swim with dizziness under the diadem, and could no more endure to be master of all than he had before endured to be under a master. Then think of the popularity, the authority, the permanence of his former manner of life, and compare them with the origin, the tempestuous course, the close of his two months' ${ }^{1}$ sovereignty, and you will find that the least happy portion of his life was that in which he was styled Beatissimus.
' So it came to pass that he who had attracted universal admiration by his well-spread table, his courtly manners, his wealth, his equipages, his library, his consular dignity, his patrimonial inheritance, his following of clients,-he who had arranged the various pursuits of his life so accurately that each hour marked on the water-clock ${ }^{2}$ brought its own allotted employ-ment-this same man, when he had been hailed as Augustus, and with that vain show of majesty had been shut up, a virtual prisoner, within the palace walls, lamented before twilight came the fulfilment of his ambitious hopes. Now a host of cares forbade him to indulge in his former measure of repose; he had suddenly to break off all his old rules of life, and perceived when it was too late that the business of an emperor and the ease of a senator could not go together. Moreover, the worry of the present did not

[^100]Bookrin blind him to the calamities which were to come, for CH. 1. he who had trodden the round of all his other courtly dignities with tranquil step, now found himself the powerless ruler of a turbulent court, surrounded by tumults of the legionaries, tumults of the populace, tumults of the barbarian mercenaries ${ }^{1}$; and the forebodings thus engendered were but too surely justified when the end came-an end quick, bitter, and un-looked-for, the last perfidious stroke of Fortune, which had long fawned upon the man, and now suddenly turned and stung him to death as with a scorpion's tail. A man of letters, who by his talents well deserved the rank which he bore of quaestor, I mean Fulgentius, used to tell me that he had often heard Maximus say, when cursing the burden of empire, and regretting his old freedom from cares, 'Ah, happy Damocles ! it was only for one banquet's space that you had to endure the necessity of reigning.'

Sidonius then tells in his most elaborate style the story of Damocles feasting sumptuously under the suspended sword-blade, and concludes, 'Wherefore, Sir Brother, I cannot say whether those who are on their way to Sovereign Power may be considered happy; but it is clear that those who have arrived at it are miserable.'

Let the reader store up in his mind this picture of a sorely worried Emperor vainly striving to maintain his authority amid the clamours of mutinous legionaries full of fight everywhere but on the battlefield, of Roman demagogues haranguing about Regulus and Romulus, and of German foederati insatiable in their claims for donative and land. For this picture,

[^101]or something like it, will probably suit equally well вооки. for each of the eight other weary-browed men who Ca. 1. have yet to wear the diadem and be saluted with the 455. name of Augustus.

As for the Emperor Maximus, his mingled harshness Maximus and feebleness, both misplaced, soon earned for him manderers the execration of his subjects. They saw with astonish - of panalentiment the murderers Optila and Traustila not only farour, not punished, but received into the circle of the Emperor's friends. This might be only the result of a fear of embroiling himself with the Barbarians, but it was only natural that it should be attributed to a guilty participation in their counsels. Then, after a disgracefully short interval, all Rome heard with indignation that the Empress Eudoxia had been com- and forcen manded to cease her mourning for Valentinian, whom, hia widow notwithstanding his many infidelities, she fondly loved, ${ }^{\text {him. }}$ and to become the wife of the sexagenarian Emperor. At the same time he compelled her to bestow the hand of one of her daughters on his son, the Caesar Palladius. The widowed Empress ${ }^{1}$, who was now in the 34 th year of her age, was one of the loveliest women of her time. The motive of Maximus may have been passion, but the double marriage looks rather like policy, like a determination on the part of the fire-new Emperor to consolidate his dynasty by welding it with all that yet remained on earth of the great name of Theodosius.

If this was the object of Maximus, he signally failed, Endoxis and the precautions which he took to ensure his safety tippevandal: accelerated his ruin. Eudoxia, the daughter, the niece,

[^102]воокiII. and the wife of emperors, writhed under the shame Се. 1. mourning widow she resented her forced union with the man whom some deemed an accomplice in her husband's murder. Her aunt Pulcheria was dead, and she feared that it was vain to hope for succour from Byzantium. In her rage and despair, she imitated the fatal example of Honoria, and called on the Barbarian for aid. Not the Hun, but the Vandal was the champion whose aid she invoked. Her emissary reached Carthage in safety. Gaiseric, only too thankful for a good pretext for invading Rome, eagerly promised his aid. He fitted out his piratical fleet, and soon from mouth to mouth in Rome flitted the awful tidings, 'The Vandals are coming.' Many of the nobles fled, The Emperor, torn from his sweet clepsydra-round of duties and pleasures, and depressed by the scorn of the beautiful Avenger, whose love he could not win, devised no plan for defence, but sat trembling and helpless in his palace, and when informed of the flight of the nobility could think of no more statesmanlike expedient than to publish a proclamation, 'The Emperor grants to all, who desire it, liberty to depart from the city.' The fact was that he was meditating flight himself. Better the immediate abandonment of Empire than to sit any longer under that ever-impending sword of Damocles. But then the smouldering indignation of all classes against the man whom they deemed the author of the coming misery, burst forth. The soldiers mutinied, the rabble rose in insurrection, the servants of the Imperial Palace, faithful probably to the old Theodosian traditions, prevented the meditated escape. Soon the tragedy, which near sixty
years before had been perpetrated at Constantinople bookin. (after the fall of Rufinus), was repeated in Rome. Cu. 1 . The Imperial domestics tore their new master limb ${ }_{\text {murder }}^{455}$ from limb, and after dragging the ghastly fragments of maxithrough the city, scattered them into the Tiber, so that not even the rites of burial might be granted by any one to Petronius Maximus ${ }^{1}$.

This event happened on the 3 Ist of May ${ }^{2}$, less than three months after the new Emperor's accession. The sails of Gaiseric's fleet are already upon the Tyrrhene sea, and before three days are ended the third great Barbarian Actor, the Vandal nation, will appear upon the stage of Italy. But, before they come, we must turn back the pages of history for awhile, and trace the successive steps of the migration which had led them from the forests of Pomerania to the burning shores of Africa.

[^103]
## NOTE D. On thr Character of Petronius Maximos.

note d. The account of the character and actions of this Emperor, given in the text, is drawn almost exclusively from the writings of his contemporaries-Apollinaris Sidonins (430-488) and Prosper of Aquitaine (about 400-460). In some respects it is less unfavourable than that which is usually given and which is derived from later authorities.

The chief difference is in the degree of culpability which has to be assigned to him for the death of his predecessor. Some suspicion andoabtedly rested upon him in the minds of his contemporaries, bat I have endeavoured not to treat this suspicion as more of a certainty than it actually was. The obvious, patent canse of Valentinian's murder was the two barbarians' desire to revenge the death of Aetius; and, to a certain extent, the whole people and army of Rome, by witnessing it unmoved, made the crime their own. It was the extraordinary conduct of Maximus after the murder, in admitting the assassins to his most intimate connsels, which naturally raised a suspicion that he was their accomplice, bat this suspicion does not appear ever to have reached the stage of proof. The following words of Prosper no doubt express all that the immediate contemporaries of the two emperors knew about the chief actors in the tragedy.
' As soon as this parricide' (the murder of Valentinian by the friends of Aetius) ' had been perpetrated, Maximus, a man who had twice filled the office of consul, and was of patrician rank, assumed the imperial dignity. It had been supposed that he would be in all ways serviceable to the imperilled commonwealth, but he very soon showed what disposition he was of, since he not only did not punish the murderers of Valentinian, but even received them into the circle of his friends, and moreover, forbidding the widowed Empress to moarn the loss of her
lond, within a very few days he constrained her to contract a NOTE $D$. marriage with himself.'

This scandal of his precipitate marriage with the widow of his predecessor, and the ruin which resulted from it for Rome, made evidently a deep impression on the minds of contemporary and succeeding annalists, especially in the Eastern Empire, and disposed them to put the harshest constraction on all his previous actions. It is carious to note how the suspicion which is but faintly marked in the pages of Prosper, and is not even alluded to in those of Sidonias, deepens and hardens in the later historisns.

The Spanish ecclesiastic, Idatius (fl. about 400-470), says that - Maximus was racked by a disturbing fear of great commotions. Through desire of reigning he had contribated by his wicked advice to the deaths of the persons slain by Valentinian, and even to that of Valentinian himself.'

Marcellinus, a Count of the Eastern Empire (whose chronicle ends at 558), says, ' Valentinian the Prince, by the stratagem of Maximus the Patrician, by whose deceit Aetius also perished, was mangled in the Campus Martius by Optila and Traustila.'

But the anti-Maximian prejudice reaches its height in Procopius (fl. about $500-560$ ) who has unfortunately made the largest contribation to the history of this Emperor with the smallest claim to be regarded as a trustworthy authority. In the long and disagreeable romance with which he favours us, Valentinian is represented as winning the ring of Maximus from him at play, entrapping his wife to the palace by means of this ring, and then seducing her. The dishonour of his wife fills the mind of Maximus with thoughts of vengeance, in order to accomplish which he first of all induces Valentinian to assassinate Aetius, and then, ' without any trouble, he killed the Emperor and took the sovereignty.' He marries Eudoxia, and incautionsly tells her one night that it was for love of her that he killed her late husband. As soon as day dawns she sends the fatal message to Gaiseric, knowing that she will receive no help from Byzantiam.

It is not worth while to point out the internal improbabilities of this story, the jumble of different motives which it ascribes to the chief actors, the disparity of years between Valentinian the seducer and his victim (who was mother of a grown-up son and

NOTE D. wife to the elderly Maximas), and other points which might be remarked upon. The history into which it is inserted is thoroughly inaccurate in a chronological point of view (for instance, it representa the fall of Aquileia as succeeding the death of Aetins), and Procopius, even in reference to the events of his own time, is notoriously apt to let his history degenerate into a mere 'chronique scandaleuse,' inserting apparently many an unauthentic piece of gossip, simply because it is unsavoury. Gibbon truly remarks that 'Procopius is a fabulons writer for the events which precede his own memory.' Whatever judgment we may be disposed to pass on the alleged share of Maximus in the murder of his predecessor-and I am disposed to ask for a verdict of 'Not Proven'-at least let the fables of Procopius no longer pass current as History.

## CHAPTER II.

## THR VANDALS FROM GERMANY TO ROME.

## Authoritios.

Sowree: :-
For the events which happened daring the Vandala' stay in bookiII. Spain Idatius is our best anthority.

For the Vandal Conquest, Procopros. This Historian, Secretary, and Commissarist Officar to Belisarius is well known as the chief anthority for the events of the reign of Justinian. He flourished from about 500 to 560 , and wrote, besides other histories, two books, De Bello Fawlalico. The Vandalic war, which it is his main object to describe, is of course that in which Belisarius overthrew the Vandal Kingdom (533-534). Bat he devotes seven chapters of the First Book (thirty-six pages in the Bonn edition) to a description of the foundation of that Kingdom by Gaiseric and the chief events of his life.

Although Procopins is an authority of the first class for the events of which he was himself an eye-witness, he is somewhat slip-shod and inaccurate as to those events concerning which he had to gather his information from others. Hence his chronology is often erroneons: and when he is separated by a considerable distance of time from his subject, it seems clear that he cannot always have ased the best material which contemporary historians might have afforded him. He has also an extreme love of historical gosesip, and generally leans to the ill-natured view of a man's character. But the reader will see by the references how large a part of our knowledge of the Vandal settlement in Africa is derived from this source, only partially trustworthy as we must admit it to be.
bookiti. Victor Vitensis, an African bishop, who was driven irto
Ca. 2. banishment for the faith by Huneric, son of Gaiseric, wrote about 486 a History of the Persecution of the African Province in five books. He is therefore an all but contemporary authority even for the early part of the Vandal settlement.

He used to be cited ap Victor Uticensis. It is now admitted that Fitensis is the corrept form. Vita appears to have been a city in the Byzacene provfnce, but its exact position is unknown.

The Life of St. Augustine by Possidius, Bishop of Calama, his disciple and friend, gives us some particulars as to the siege of Hippo and the death of the great African Father.

Another authority which the stadent will sometimes find quoted is Victor Cartrnnensis, but this name raises a curious question of literary good faith. It is admitted that there was a Victor, bishop of Cartenna in Marretanis, who lived at the time of the Vandal invasion and engaged in controversy with the Arians. Some theological works of his are preserved, but they contain nothing of importance for history. In 1836, however, a French author named Mareus pablished an 'Histoire dea Vandales,' containing numerous and important quotations from Victor Cartennensis concerning the political institutions of the Vandals. These quotations profess to be made from a work published at Madrid (1653) called 'Mientras' Schediasmata Antiqua.' The carious part of the story is that no other acholar has yet been able to find a copy of these 'Schediasmata,' though the libraries of Paris, Madrid, Rome, Naples, Florence, London, Oxford, Berlin, Vienns, Göttingen, and Munich have all been searched for the precious volume. Was it then a literary forgery on the part of Marcus? That natural suggestion is to some extent rebutted by a statement of Professor Waitz (Deuteche Verfassungageschichte, I. 23I, n. 3: third edition) that he met Marcus at Dijon, who seems to have satisfied him that he had veritably handled the apocryphal Mientras. Professor Hübner (in a paper published in the proceedings of the Royal Prussian Academy for 1861) suggests that the work consulted by Marcus was really a MS. now extant at Madrid, called 'Schediasmata Latina de rebus diversis,' written by a certain Tomas Tamayo de Vargas, and this suggestion is adopted by Wattenbach (Deutechlands Geachichtsquellen, II. 396). But why Marcus should have said Mientras when he meant de Vargas is not very clear. Nor does the sug-
gestion really help the anthority of the so-called Victor Carten- bookin. nensis, for de Vargas, though personally honest, appears to have Се. 2. been the disciple and unwitting accomplice of the Jesait Geronimo de la Higuera, who begriled the tedium of the last years of the seventeenth century by forgeries, on a most extensive scale, of the missing works of chroniclers of the fifth, sixth, and seventh centuries. There is thas a double chance of fraud. Marcus the Frenchman may be laughing at us by citing a nonexistent 'Schediasmata,' or Higuera the Spaniard may have foisted on de Vargas a sparions. Victor. In this state of things the student will for the present do wisely to accept no assertion as to Vandal polity which is made on the authority of 'Victor Cartennensis.'

Guides:-
The best gaide to the history of the Vandals is Dr. Felix Papencordt's 'Geschichte der Vandalischen Herrschaft in Afrika' (Berlin, 1837)-an admirable specimen of a German monograph of the best type. This book received the prize of the 'Académie Royale des Inscriptions et Belles Lettres' in August, 1836. Cardinal Wiseman, in his 'Recollections of the last Four Popes' (pp. 148-9), gives an interesting sketch of this 'most promising young German scholar, cut off before he had time to fulfil the expectations of his friends.' . . ' His acquaintance with mediaeval history,' says the Cardinal, 'was amazing : he remembered the dates of the most insignificant events, and would make excursions into the desolate border tracts in the mountains between Rome and Naples to visit the theatre of the most puny action between pagnacious barons in Central Italy.' In my copy of Roncalli's 'Chronica' I find the antograph 'Papencordt,' with the note ' 2 Scudi Rom, 8 August, 1836.'

Dahn's 'Könige der Germanen' (part 1), and 'Urgeschichte der Germanischen and Romsnischen Völker' (vol. 1), will also be found very useful by the student.

In the Germania of Tacitus, the best contribution The Vanmade by any Roman writer to the science of ethnology, ditaned by the author says (cap. ii.):
' My own opinion is that the Germans are the aboriginal inhabitants of their country, with the least
noos in possible admixture of any foreign element. For in old
Ca. 2. times all national migrations were made by sea rather than by land, and the inhospitable ocean which washes the shores of Germany has been seldom visited by ships from our world. Besides, putting the perils of a tempestuous sea out of the question, who would leave behind him the pleasant shores of Asia, Africa, or Italy. and set sail for Germany, with its ugly laudscape, its rigorous climate, its barren soil ; who, I mean, except a pative of that land, returning thither?
' In ancient songs, the sole kind of annals possessed by this people, they celebrate the name of a certain Tuisco, an earth-born deity, and his son Mannus, as the original founders of their race. To Mannus they aseign three sons, after whom are named three tribes, the Ingaevones, who live nearest to the coean, the Hormiones in the middle of the country, the Istaevones who occupy the remainder. Some, however, presuming on the antiquity of their tribes, affirm that the aforesaid god had many other sons, from whom many gentile appellations are derived, e.g. Marsi, Gambrivii, Suevi, Vandalii. These, they say, are the real and ancient names, that of Germans is a modern one, first given in fear by the vanquished Gauls to the warriors who crossed the Rhine to invade them, and afterwards proudly assumed by the conquerors.'

This interesting passage, besides showing us the Deutsch nationality in its earliest stage, then as now called German by the foreigner but not in its own home; besides giving us the name of the primeval Mann, who corresponds to the Adam of the Hebrews, and suggesting some other interesting ethnological speculations; bringe before us the Vandals as already
a powerful and long-descended tribe in the days of воокiir. Tacitus, that is at the close of the first century of our era.

The slightly earlier author, Pliny, in the geographical and by part of his Historia Naturalis ${ }^{1}$, mentions the Vindili as one of the five great Germanic races, and the Burgundians as one of their sub-branches. There can be no doubt that these are the same people as the historic Vandals, who are indeed always called Bandili or Bandeli by the Greek historians.

The Vandals were nearly allied in blood to the affinitioe Goths. 'The greatest names of this confraternity of V vander. nations,' says Procopius, 'are Goth and Vandal and Visigoth and Gepid. They all have fair skins and yellow hair; they are tall of stature, and goodly to look upon. They all possess the same laws, the same faith, Arian Christianity; and the same language, the Gothic. To me they appear all to have formed part of one nation in old time, and afterwards to have been distinguished from each other by the names of their leaders.' The general description therefore which has Their been already given of the Visigoths will apply to the Vandals; but by combining the testimonies of various chroniclers, we may find some traits of character which belonged specially to the Vandal race, Thus, their disposition seems to have been wanting in some of the grander features of the Gothic. They were perhaps more subtle-witted ${ }^{2}$, but they wete even more greedy of gain. They were confessedly less brave in war ${ }^{8}$,

[^104]boorinl and they were more cruel after victory. On the other CH, 2. hand, they were conspicuous even among the chaste Teutonic warriors for their chastity, and both in Spain and Africa their moral standard was, and for some time continued to be, far above that of the uncleanly-living Roman provincials.

Barly history of the Vandals.

The home of the Vandald, when we first meet with them in history, appears to correspond with the central and eastern part of Prussia, but a loose aggregation of restless tribes must not be too definitely assigned to any precise district on the map ${ }^{1}$. While they were settled here they fought under their two leaders, Ambri and Assi, a memorable battle with their neighbours, the Langobardi. The legends concerning this battle, which resulted in the complete defeat of the Vandals, are reserved for the Lombard portion of this history? As the Roman Empire grew weaker, the Vandals pressed southward, and eventually they gave their name (Vandalici Montes) to the Riesen Gebirge (Giant Mountains) between Silesia and Bohemig.

Marcomannic Wir.
167-175
and 177-181.

The southward movement of the barbarians, of which this Vandal migration formed part, brought on that great struggle known as the Marcomannic War,
crafty nation of the Vandals.' Salvian (vii. 7) says that 'God, by handing over the Spanish nation to the Vandals for punishment, showed in a double degree his hatred of the sins of the flesh, since the Spaniards were couspicuous for their immorality and the Vandals for their chastity, while on the other hand the latter were the weakeat of all the barbarian tribes.' Their rapid decline in martial vigour after the death of Gaiseric points to the same quality in their character.
${ }^{1}$ Jordanes (De Rebus Geticis, iv) speaks of the Vandals at this period of their history as pressed upon by the victories of the Goths during their settlement by the Baltic.
${ }^{2}$ See Paulus Diaconus: Hist. Langobard, i. 7, 10.
in which the German tribes on the Middle Danube boorim. strove, almost successfully, to pierce the gap between $\frac{\text { ca. } 2 .}{167-18 r_{1}}$ Pannonia and Dacia, and to establish themselves per- ${ }^{167-18 r}$. manently within the limits of the Empire. In the heroic contest which Marcus Aurelius, the philosopherEmperor, waged against these barbarians, a contest which well-nigh over-taxed both his energies and those of the Empire, he seems to have had at first the Vandals for his foes ${ }^{1}$; but, at the conclusion of the war, we find the Asdingi, whom we know to have been a Vandal tribe, making their peace with Rome, and receiving from the Emperor settlements in Dacia. When, upon the death of Marcus, his son Commodus made his unsatisfactory peace with the Marcomanni, the Vandals were one of the tribes taken under Roman protection, againgt whom the Marcomanni were forbidden to declare war.

A generation later, 0 the Emperor Caracalla, in one of Caracalla. his boastful letters to the Senate, prided bimself on the fact that whereas the Vandals and Marcomanni had previously been friendly to one another, he had succeeded in setting them at variance ${ }^{3}$. If we look at that curious specimen of map-making, the Tabula Peutingeriana. (which is thought to have been originally executed in the time of Caracalla's father Severus ${ }^{4}$ ),

[^105]soosin. we shall aee a striking comment on these words: for
CH. 2. there, immediately on the other side of the broad limitary stream of the Danube, we see in straggling letters the name Vandvis, and a little beyond, but almost intermingled therewith, the name MarcomannI. Such close juxtaposition was very likely to breed hostility between two barbarous tribes.

Anrelian 271.

More than half a century passes: and the Emperor Aurelian, the great restorer of the Roman power in the Danubian lands, gains a signal victory over the Vandals, We know nothing concerning the battle; we only hear of the negotiations which followed it. The Vandals sent ambassadors to sue for peace. After hearing their lengthy harangues, on the following day Aurelian mustered his army and asked for its advice whether he should accept or reject the terms of the barbarians. With one consent the army shouted for peace, which was accordingly granted, the Kings of the Vandals and several of their chief nobles, readily giving their sons as hostages for its due observance. The mass of the Vandal host returned to their Dacian home, the Emperor granting them sufficient provisions to last them till they reached the Danube. Notwithstanding this concession, 500 men, straggling from the main body of the returning host, committed cruel devastations on the plains of Moesia. For this breach of the treaty all the marauders who could be caught were put to death by their King ${ }^{1}$.
duction to the Tabula Peutingeriana (p. 15). The insertion of Constantinople in the Tabula is believed to be the work of a mediseral copyist (p. 18).
${ }^{1}$ See Dexippus (Excerpt 2, de Bellis Seythicia, p. 19, ed. Bonn) and Priscus (Excerpt 11, p. 126, ibid.).

A select portion of the Kandal host remained in the boormi. Imperial camp. One of the conditions of the peace Oह. 2. was that they should supply 2000 horsemen as foederati anxiliarien to the Roman army; and this stipulation seems to have army. been faithfully observed, for the army list of the Roman Empire at the commencement of the fifth century shows us 'the Eighth Wing of the Vandals serving in Egypt ${ }^{1}$.' It was probably in this way that in the next century Stilicho, a man of Vandalic extraction, entered the service of that Empire which he afterwards ruled.

A few years later a fragment of the Vandal nation, Proban. which seems to have wandered to the Rhine in com-sotilement pany with a troop of Burgundians, was by adroit in in Britain. tactics defeated by the Emperor Probus. Many were slaughtered, but some were taken prisoners; Igil, the Vandal leader, being one of the latter class. These prisoners were all sent to the island of Britain, where, in some obscure insurrection against the Emperor, they did good servioe to their recent conqueror ${ }^{2}$.
> ${ }^{2}$ 'Sub dispositione viri spectabilis comitis rei militaris per Aegyptam:Ala Octava Vandilorum Neae.' Notitia Imperii, Oriens, cap. xxv. The situation of Nea is not known. The number of the $A l a$ is stated by Joannes Lydus (De Magistratibus, i. 46) to have been 600 men. It was always composed of cavalry.

 (Zosimus, i. 68. Cf. Vopiscus: Vita Probi, xviii). The statement sometimes attribated to Camden, that there was a fortress near Cambridge, on the Gogmagog Hills, built by these Vandal captives and named after them Vandlebary, is not made in that form by Camden, and does not really rest on his authority, but on that of Gervase of Tilbury, from whom he quotes it ; nor does it seem to be more than a piece of fantastic etymology. The words of Gervase 'the Vandals, who made a camp here when they ravaged part of Britain and cruelly
$\underset{\text { c. } 2 \text { m. }}{\text { borin. }}$ Near the end of the reign of Constantine there came C. 2.

Defeat by the Goths, betwrean 331 and 337. a crisis in the fortunes of the Vandal nation. They were then dwelling in Moravia and the north-west of Hungary, having the Marcomanni of Bohemia as their western neighbours, the Danube for their frontier to the south. (Geberich, king of the Goths, whose territory bordered upon theirs to the east, determined to get him glory upon the Vandals, and sent a challenge to their king, Visumar. The two armies met by the Hungarian river Maros ${ }^{1}$, and fought through a long day doubtfully. At length the Goths prevailed, and Visumar, with a great part of his host, lay dead upon the Settlement field. The scanty remnant of the nation entreated in Pansonia. Constantine to permit them to enter the limits of the Empire, and settle as his subjects in the province of Pannonia. The position was not unlike that in which the Visigoths themselves were placed forty years later when they sought the Moesian shore of the Danube, flying from the terrible Huns. The permission was granted, and for nearly seventy years the Vandals wereobedient subjects of the Roman Emperors ${ }^{\text {a }}$. During this time it is likely that they made some advances in civilization; they probably often served in the Roman army, and learnt something of the legionary's discipline.
massacred the Christians,' show the thoroughly unhistorical character of his information, which, moreover, has nothing to do with the exiles under Probas (see Camden's Britannia by Gough, ii. 213 , ed. 1806).
${ }^{1}$ That is, supposing the name given by Jordanes, Marisia, to be correct. The Marus, now March, a river of Moravia, which flows into the Danube above Presburg, would suit the rest of his geographical description better.

2 [Vandali] ' Pannoniam sibi a principe Constantino petiere, ibique, per lx annos plus minus sedibus locatis, imperatoram decretis at incolae famularunt' (Jordanes de Reb. Get. c. 22).

It was without doubt during the same period that they boorin. embraced Christianity under that Arian form which Ca. 2. Ulfilas was teaching to their Gothic neighbours and ${ }^{337-466}$. conquerors. At a later date, when they were invading Spain, we are told that they carried the Bible with them and consulted it as an oracle ${ }^{1}$. It was of course the translation of Uliflas which thus became the Urim and the Thummim of the Vandal.

At length, in the year $406^{2}$, the Vandals, or a portion of the confederacy which went by that name, left their Pannonian settlements, and linking their destinies with those of the Turanian tribe of Alans and with their High-German kinsmen the Suevi, they marched northwestwards for the Rhine, intent on the plunder of Belgic Gaul. There is no need to accept the suggestion ${ }^{3}$ that 'Stilicho invited them.' After the fall of that statesman, everything that had gone wrong in the Empire for the last twenty years was conveniently debited to his account. But no invitation was needed to set any Germanic tribe in motion towards the

[^106]воокim. Empire in the year of the Nativity 406. The foun-
CB. 2. tains of the great deep were broken up. Radagaisus and Alaric, with their mighty nation-armies, had crossed the Alps and poured down into Italy. One, indeed, had failed, and the other had only partially succeeded, but both had shown plainly to all 'Varbaricum' that 'Romania' was now at its last gasp, and would have enough to do to defend itself in Italy, without any hope of permanently maintaining its hold on its rich outlying provinces, such as Gaul and Spain. The Teuton adventurer was swept across the Roman boundary by a current as strong as that which drew the Spanish ${ }^{-}$ adventurer across the Atlantic in the days of Cortez and Pizarro.

Battle with the Franke.

Of the struggles of the Vandals with the Franks we have only dim rumours. We hear, however, of a great battle, in which 20,000 Vandals were slain, their king Godigisclus, himself of the royal lineage of the Asdings, being among the number of the dead ${ }^{1}$. It is said, indeed, that only the timely arrival of their allies, the Alans, saved them from utter destruction; but, however this may be, they crossed the Rhine frantier, and after three years of war and probably of wild ravage of the cities of Gaul, drawn southwards by the impulse which ever attracted the barbarian to the sunnier climate, and powerfully helped by the dissensions among the Romans themselves, which had arisen out of the sudden elevation of the upstart British soldier Constantine ${ }^{2}$, they stood, after three years' time, at the foot of the Pyrenees and thundered at the gates of

[^107]Spain ${ }^{1}$. The kinsmen of Honorius, Verenianus and воокiII. Didymus, who had loyally struggled to guard this rampart against usurpers and barbarians, had been, rather ${ }_{13}^{28}$ Sopt. 409 . more than a year before, treacherously slain by Con- They ontor stantine, and thus but a feeble resistance, or no resistance at all, was opposed to the fierce tide of Vandals, Alans, Suevi, which swept through the Pyrenean passes and ravaged the Hither and FartherSpain without mercy.

Of the twenty years which followed, some mention Struggee has already been made in describing the career of winvoderam Ataulfus, It may be remembered that in 414, five years after the Vandals had entered Spain, the Visigothic shieftain followed them thither. There he and his successors carried on a long and bloody struggle with their fellow-Teutons, during part of which time the Goths professed to fight as champions of Rome, and for the remainder on their own account. The provinces, lately fertile and flourishing, were so harried by friend and foe that the Vandal soldiery were fain to buy wheat at thirty-six shillings a pint, and a mother slew and ate her own children.

At length the barbarians and the representatives of Truce the Empire concluded some sort of peace or truce, of besmeon which a hint is given us by the declamation of dhake and Orosius ${ }^{2}$, and a somewhat more detailed but still perplexing account in the pages of Procopius. 'Then,' says be, 'Honorius made an agreement with Godigisclus ${ }^{3}$, on condition that they [the Vandals] should settle

[^108]воокiII. there, not for the devastation of the country. And C. 2. whereas the Romans have a law that if men do not keep their property in their own hands, and an interval of time elapses which amounts to thirty years, then they have no longer the right to proceed against those who have dispossessed them, but their recourse to the Courts is barred by prescription. The Emperor passed a law that the time during which the Vandals should sojourn in the Roman Empire should by no means be reckoned towards this thirty-years prescription.' Difficult as it is to see how such a law would work out in the actual experience of Roman or Vandal land-holders, it well illustrates the attitude of Imperial statesmen and jurists towards all the barbarian intruders. Every peace made with them was considered to be really only a truce. However securely the Visigoth might seem to reign at Toulouse, the Ostrogoth at Ravenna, or the Vandal at the New or the Old Carthage, the Roman Augustus and his counsellors looked upon their dominion as only a parenthesis, an unfortunate parenthesis, in theage-long life of the great Republic, and in their own counsels admitted no derogation thereby to the imprescriptible rights of the sovereign Empire ${ }^{1}$. $\times$

Geographical distribation of the conquerors of Spain. The settlement of the barbarian nations in Spain seems to have been on this wise. The Suevi were in the North-west of the peninsula, the Visigoths in the North-east, the Alans in Portugal, while the Vandals occupied two widely-sundered allotments. One tribe which seems to have borne the same name as the royal clan, that of Asdingi ${ }^{2}$ was settled close to the Suevi in

[^109]Gallicia; the other and probably the larger tribe, that boormi. of the Silingi, took up its quarters in Baetica, the Ca. 2. modern Andalusia ${ }^{1}$.

In the year 416 Constantius, then the accepted suitor Dofeats of Placidia, by some cunning stratagem captured a king $\begin{gathered}\text { of the } \\ \text { tadels. }\end{gathered}$ of the Vandals named Fredibal, and sent him as a captive to Honorius., before whose chariot he may possibly have walked in chains when the phantomEmperor in the following year celebrated his triumph at Rome. But on the whole it was the hand of Wallia the Visigoth that fell most heavily on the Vandals and their allies. In 418 the Silingian Vandals in Baetica were absolutely 'extinguished' by the Goths, and the Alans were so terribly cut to pieces by the same people, that the few survivors willingly merged their nationality in that of the Gallician Vandals, whose king is said to have assumed thenceforward the title ' King of the Vandals and Alans ${ }^{3}$ ?,
stated, but is a very probable conjecture of Mascou's from Dio Cassius, lixi. 12.
${ }^{2}$. The derivation of Andalusia from the Vandals must now be considered more than doubtful. Papencordt (p. 16, n. 1) quotes Casiri as his authority for the assertion that the true form of the name is Hanclalusia, the Arabic equivalent for Hesperia, and that it was originally given by the Moors to the whole country of Spain.
${ }^{2}$ As we hear nothing of Fredibal's relationship to Guntheric or Gaiseric he was probably King of the Silingian Vandals. It seems to me more probable that Constantius was Fredibal's captor than Wallia, though the entry in Idatius is not clear. It is as follows:-
'(Anno Honorii) xxm.'
'Constantins Placidiam accepit uxorem.
'Fredibalom regem gentis Wandalorum sine ullo certamine ingeniose captum ad Imperatorem Honorium destinat.
' $x \times m$. Wallia rex Gothorum, Romani nominis caush intra Hispanias caedes magnas efficit barbarorum.'

- Idatius s. a. xxrv Honorii. 'Wandali Silingi in Baetica per Wal-
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In 419 war broke out between this latter, newlytinited people and their neighbours the Suevi: Guntheric apparently gained a victory over the Suevic king Hermanric, and drove him and his followers into the fastnesses of the Asturias ${ }^{1}$, where they were subjected to a strict blockade. In the following year, however, under pressure from Asterius, the Roman governor of Spain, Guntheric broke up this mountain-siege, left Suevi and Romans alike to work their will in the North of Spain, and marched across the Peninsula to Baetica. There the Asdingian Vandals settled themselves in the fair land lately occupied by their Silingian brethren (some remnants of which nation may possibly have joined them), and there gazing Eastward and Westward over the waters of the Mediterranean and the Atlantic, they began to dream of maritime greatness.
$\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { Compaign } \\ \text { of Continus }\end{array}\right.$ In the closing years of Honorius, the Court of
 energy, made an attempt to recover Baetica from the Vandals. Castinus, Master of the Soldiery (the same officer who in the following year set up the Arch-notary Joannes as Emperor in derogation of the claims of the son of Placidia), set sail with a large body of troops, and, having effected a junction with the Goths, invaded
liam regem omnes extincti. Alani qui Wandalis et Suevis potentabantur, adeo caesi sunt a Gothis at extincto Atace rege ipeorum, panci, qui superfuerant, abolito regni nomine de Gunderici regis Wandalorum, qui in Galloecia resederat, se patrocinio subjugarent.'
${ }^{1}$ ' Inter Gandericum Wandalorum et Hermericum Suevoram reges certamine orte. Suevi in Nercasis montibus obsidentur a Wandalis' (Idatias). There can be little doubt that some of the mountains of Asturias are here referred to. Dahn (Könige der Germanen, vi. 547) quotes Masdeu as suggesting the mountains of St. Maria de Arvas between Leon and Oviedo, which agree well enough with the geographical requirements of the history.

Baeticn. But there were jealousies and divided counsels boorim. at the Imperial head-quarters. We have seen how ca. 2. Bonifacius, the second in command, although, by the admission of all men, one of the bravest soldiers of the day, unable to bear the petty jealousy and insulting arrogance of his incapable superior, hastily travelled from Ravenna to Porto, and thence set sail for Africa, which province he afterwards held for Placidia and her children against his rival's puppet-Emperor Joannes ${ }^{1}$. Still, notwithstanding this defection, the Imperial arms in Spain seemed likely to be victorious. The Vandals were besieged, apparently in one of the cities of Baetica, and suffered such severe privations that they were on the point of surrender. . Castinus, however, 'that inept commander ${ }^{2}$, rashly engaged in battle with men made desperate by famine, was deceived by his Gothic allies, sustained a signal defeat, and fled in disorder to Tarragona ${ }^{3}$.

At length, after the Vandals had sojourned nearly Inditation twenty years in Spain, came the day when Count Bonifisdus, Bonifacius, ill-requited for bis loyalty to Placidia and 428. her children, slandered, outlawed, and driven to the brink of destruction, sent that fatal Embassy, fatal for himself and for his country, by which he invited the barbarians into Africa. - The Vandals had already, without this invitation, shown that they were not disposed to accept the frontiers of Baetica as the fate-fixed limit of their dominion. In 425 , after sacking Cartha-

[^110]VOL. II.

воокın. gena and Seville, and roaming for plunder over the CR. 2. whole Tarraconensian province, they had laid waste the Balearic Isles-which came perhaps at this time permanently under their rule-and bad invaded Mauretania, but apparently without then gaining any foothold south of the Pillars of Hercules ${ }^{1}$. The messengers of Bonifacius found Guntheric and his bastard brother Gaiseric at the head of the Vandal state.' They proposed ${ }^{2}$ (it is said) that the conquests to be effected in Africa should be considered as made on joint account, and should eventually be divided into three parts, one for each of the barbarian kings, and one for the Roman Count. The proposal was accepted, and the Vandals began to prepare ships and men for the great expedition. But before the enterprise was set in hand, Desth of Guntheric died. A century after the event, a rumour ${ }^{3}$ King Guntheric. obtained credence that he, like Bleda, the brother of Attila, was slain by the partner of his throne. But the contemporary chronicler Idatius, writing as he does in Spain, gives no hint of any such an imputation, but in some mysterious manner connects the death of the Vandal king with an act of sacrilege at Seville. - ' (Gunderic, king of the Wandals, baving taken Hispalis [Seville], when, in his impious elation, he had stretched

[^111]forth his hand against the church of that city, speedily $\underset{\text { ch. } 2.2}{\text { Boor }}$. perished, being by the judgment of God attacked by a C. 2. demon.' A fever (Spain's natural revenge upon her northern invaders), followed by raging madness and death, is perhaps the historical equivalent of this rhetorical statement.

But, whatever the cause of the death of Guntheric, Gaiseric
 and the sole conduct of the African invasion, were dals. thereby vested in thé hands of his bastard brother. For fifty years that brother was, except during the short meteoric career of Attila, the foremost figure in Europe, and we pause therefore for a moment to collect such light as the faint tapers of the chronicles afford us on the character and aspect of Gaiseric ${ }^{1}$.
${ }^{1}$ His name is commonly written Genseric ; but there can be little doubt that the great Vandal's real name was Gaiseric, and that is the form which I have therefore preferred to use.

| Idatius (5th centory) calls him | Gaisericus, |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Prosper of Aquitaine (5th century) and } \\ \text { Victor Vitensis (5th century) }\end{array}\right\}$ | Geisericus. |
| At the end of Prosper's Chronicle he is called Genseric. This is probably an alteration by a later hand. |  |
| Jordanes and Procopius (6th century) | Gizerichus. |
| Chronicon 'Cuspiniani' (end of 5 th century) | Geserica |
| Malchus and Cassiodorus (early part of 6th century) | Ginsericus. |
|  | Gensericus. |

The incorrect form which has been accepted by History seems to have been that which was current at Byzantium.

It will perhaps be objected that we have coins bearing Genseric's name spelt in the usual manner. But Julias Friedlander, the chief authority on the subject of Vandal Numismatics, shows strong ressons

воокiir. Till he arose, his nation, though willing enough to

CE. 2. join in the great plundering expeditions of the North, can scarcely be said to have prevailed in any encounter with an enemy. Defeated long ago by Geberich in Moravia, defeated more recently by the Franks on the borders of the Rhine, generally worsted in Spain by the Visigoths, the nation seemed upon the whole to be gradually losing ground, and justifying the general impression of 'Varbaricum,' that the Vandals were less warlike than their neighbours. During the long lifetime of Gaiseric this imputation at any rate was never made against them. His nimble mind ${ }^{1}$ and his unshaken courage proved to be the steel point needed to give penetrating power to the Vandal impact. He was cruel, not a doubt of it; his savage deeds look ghastly by the side of the knightly career of Alaric or Ataulfus. He was greedy of gain, but none of the northern invaders was greatly superior to him in this respect. But be had that power of estimating his own resources and the resources of his foe, that faculty of inventing useful political combinations, that transcendent ability in adapting his means to his chosen ends, which denote the successful man of business in the marketplace of Empire. In his strong, remorseless commonsense, in the awe-struck tone with which, a century after his death, people still spoke of him as the cleverest

[^112]of all men ${ }^{1}$, there is something which reminds us of his воокin. fellow-Teuton (we might almost say his fellow-Prussian), Се. 2. who, like him, besieged and took the chief city of the : 428. Latin races. If Attila was the Napoleon of the fifth century, we may perhaps look upon Gaiseric as its Bismarck.

Yet the outward presentment of the Vandal king $\underset{\text { mard out }}{\text { nap- }}$ was by no means like that of the stalwart Prussian paearance. colonel of cuirassiers. 'A man of moderate stature,' says Jordanes, 'and limping in his gait, owing to a fall from his horse.' He goes on to say that this man, ' most renowned in the world by his slaughter of the Romans, was deep in mind, eparing of speech, a despiser of luxury, tempestuous in his wrath, greedy of gain, full of far-reuching schemes for harassing the nations, ever ready to sow the seeds of contention, and to play. upon the animosities of mankind.'

Another Byzantine rhetorician ${ }^{2}$, speaking of the change which came over the Vandal nation after the death of their mightiest king, says, ‘they fell into every kind of effeminacy and had no longer the same vigour in action, nor kept together their former reserves, which Gaiseric ${ }^{3}$ always held in readiness for every expedition, so that he was quicker in striking than any one else in making up his mind to strike.'

The resources wielded by this iron will and remorse-Gainerio's less heart were pertinaciously directed to two great creed objects, the humiliation of the Roman Empire and the extirpation of the Catholic faith. His hatred towards

[^113]вóokiII. the professors of the orthodox creed was, according to Сн. 2. the Spanish Bishop, Idatius, attributed by some persons to the fact that he was himself an apostate from their ranks ${ }^{1}$. If this story be true (it will be seen that Idatius himself does not vouch for its accuracy), it may be owing to the fact that the Vandal prince as the son of some Gaulish or Spanish concubine of Godigisclus was brought up in his mother's form of faith which, on attaining manhood, he abjured in favour of the Arian creed of his martial forefathers ${ }^{\text {? }}$.
428. Such was the man, who, in the month of May, $428^{3}$, mustered all the families of his nation and of the Alans on the northern shore of the Straits of Gibraltar in obedience to the call of Bonifacius. But before he set sail on his new enterprise, he struck one parting blow at an old enemy. Hearing that Hermigarius ${ }^{4}$, King of the Suevi, was devasting some of the provinces near to his line of march, he turned back with-a troop of his followers, pursued the pursuing marauder, and came up with him near the city of Merida. Many of the Suevi were slain; Hermigarius fled from the field, mounted on a steed which as he trusted should carry him swifter than the east wind, but was whelmed in the rapid waters of the Gaudiana ${ }^{5}$. He died almost in sight of

[^114]the towers of Merida, and the churchmen of that city booniri. saw in his fall a divine judgment for an insult which Cer. 2. he had offered to their sạintly patroness Eulalia, one of ${ }^{428}$. the child-martyrs in the persecution of Diocletian ${ }^{1}$.

The Suevi thus punished, Gaiseric again addressed Numberhimself to the invasion of Africa. Befure embarking, poople. in order doubtless to facilitate the orderly transport of the assembled multitude, the king had all the males of his nation numbered, 'from the feeble old men to the babe born yesterday, and found that they amounted to 80,000 persons. Such a number, representing at the utmost 50,000 fighting men, encumbered with women, children, and dotards, should not have been formidable to the once well-garrisoned and well-stored provinces of Africa ${ }^{2}$. But the line to be defended was a long Number of one, there was discord in the camp of the defenders, the Impe and although twelve legions of Infantry and nineteen in Africa. 'vexillations' of Cavalry were nominally assigned to the defence of Africa in the attenuated state of the Imperial army in the fifth century, that force, even if it were all enlisted on the side of loyalty, probably composed a less powerfut army than two legions in the

[^115]booril days of Caesar the Dictator ${ }^{1}$. It should be stated, how-
Сะ. 2.
428.

Survey of Roman Africa. ever, that there were certain limitary garrisons, probably composed in great part of barbarian foederati, whose warriors, from the analogy of the troops who defended the frontier walls of Britain and Germany, pay well have amounted to a very considerable number ${ }^{2}$.
Let us briefly survey the political and social condition of the vast territory for which Gaiseric and his Vandals have set sail, determined to reap from it a harvest of plunder, and possibly hoping to erect in it an enduring empire. The whole coast line from the Pillars of Hercules to the borders of the Cyrenaic Pentapolis was under Diocletian divided into seven provinces.

1 Mauretania Tingitana
2 Do. Caesariensis.
3 Do. Sitifensis.
${ }^{2}$ At the time of the Notitia (Occ. vii. compared with v.) we find stationed 'intra Africam'-

Three Legiones Palatinae, Armigeri propugnatores seniores Armigeri propugnatores juniores Cimbriani.
One Auxilium Palatinum, Celtae juniores.
One Legio Pseudo-Comitatensis of uncertain name.
Seven Legiones Comitatenses,
Secundani Italiciani
Primani
Secundani
Tertiani
Constantiaci
Tertio Augustani
Fortenses,
and (as above stated) nineteen ' vexillations' of Cavalry.
${ }^{2}$ From the Notitia Dignitatum (Occ. xxiii, xxix, and xxx, ed. Böcking) we learn that there were sixteen limitary garrisons in Proconsular Africa, eight in Mauretania Caesariensis, and fourteen in Tripolitana.

5 Africa Procomsularis or Zeugitana.
6 Byzacena.
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7 Tripolitana.
(1) With the westernmost province, that of which Tingitana. Tangiers was the capital and which corresponds with the modern kingdom of Fez, we have now no concern. At the time of Diocletian's reconstitution of the Empire it was separated from the other African provinces, and assigned to the diocese of Spain and the prefecture of the Gauls ${ }^{1}$. The reason for this arrangement doubtless was ${ }^{2}$ that the province comprised nothing but a strip of Atlantic coast-line reaching from Tangiers to Sallee, separated by more than 200 miles of roadless desert from the next province on the east, and therefore, as accessible only by sea, most naturally connected with the great and civilized country on the northern side of the Straits of Gibraltar. Probably, then, from all that is about to be said touching the Vandal conquests in Africa, Tingitana may be safely excluded. We may infer tiat, in so far as it had any government at all and was not abandoned to mere Moorish barbarism, it still formed a part of the Roman Empire.
(2 \& 3) The two next provinces, Mauretania Caesar- Cnomrieniensis and Sitifensis once belonged to the kingdom of simitifendin. Bocchus (who in the great Civil War took the side of Caesar against the Senate), and for more than seventy years after his death were governed by his descendants, but under Caligula they were formally annexed to the A.D. 40. Empire, the general employed in suppressing the revolt, which was occasioned by this change, being the same

[^116]boorinl Suetonius Paulinus who, twenty years later, was to lead Cı. 2. his legions against the black-robed Furies of Anglesea, and to pierce the dense masses of Britons that swarmed round the indignant Boadicea.

These two provinces, which occupied about threequarters of the modern territory of Algiers, had shared very imperfectly, if at all, in the civilising influence of Carthage, and though there were in them probably large breadths of cornland between the mountains and the sea, there were not many towns besides the great commercial city of Caesarea, once the capital of the Mauretanian kings. No doubt these provinces formed a part, but neither the most highly prized nor the most hardly won part of the new heritage of the Vandals ${ }^{1}$.
(7) The same description would probably suit the easternmost province, which from its three chief cities ${ }^{2}$ derived that name of Tripolitana by which it is still known. Fourteen ' limitary' bodies of Imperial troops attested the difficulty with which the long and straggling frontier was guarded from the invasions of the Garamantes and the other nomadic tribes of Fezzan, who, from the ambush of their oases, poured fitfully across the desert to attack the cities of the seaborne stranger. A strange and mysterious region it is: almost unknown in history except for the fact that it gave the Emperor Severus to Rome; but one of which

[^117]we may possibly hear more, if ever the pressure of вeekin. population or the means of subsistence should force the Cв. 2 . Italian or some other nation on the opposite shore of the Mediterranean to see what harvests may be reaped in the land of the Lotuseaters of the Odyssey.
( $4,5 \& 6$ ) The three provinces which have not yet been Numidia,
 described, Numidıa, Proconsularis or Zeugitana, and $\underset{\text { Briscens. }}{\text { lat }}$ Byzacena, formed the very heart and centre of the Roman dominion in Africa. On this subject I cannot do better than quote the words of the great German scholar ${ }^{1}$ who has written, with a fulness which no future historian is likely to surpass, the history of the Imperial provinces. ' Roman civilisation entered upon the heritage partly of the city of Carthage, partly of the kings of Numidia, and if it here attained considerable results, it should never be forgotten that it, properly speaking, merely wrote its name and inscribed its language on what was already there. Besides the towns, which were demonstrably founded by Carthage or Numidia, both states guided the Berber tribes, which had some inclination to agriculture, towards fixed settlements. Even in the time of Herodotus the Libyans westward of the bay of Gabes were no longer nomads, but peacefully cultivated the soil ; and the Nutnidian rulers carried civilisation and agriculture still further into the interior. Nature, too, was here more favourable to husbandry than in the western part of North Africa; the middle depression between the northern and the southern range is indeed here not quite absent, but the salt lakes and the steppe proper are less extensive than in the two Mauretanias. The military arrangements were chiefly designed to

[^118]moorim plant the troops in front of the mighty Aurasian Сн. 2. mountain-block, the Saint-Gotthard of the southern frontier-range, and to check the irruption of the nonsubject tribes from the latter into the pacified territory of Africa and Numidia. . . . Of the details of the warfare [against these tribes of the desert] we learn little ; it must have been permanent, and must have consisted in the constant repelling of the border-tribes, as well as in not less constant pillaging raids into their territory.'

Economic condition of these provincee.

The plains between the Aurasian mountains and the sea, well-irrigated and rich in grain, in oil, and in wine, so far back as in the days of Agathocles, had probably increased in fruitfulness during at least the earlier centuries of the Empire. Carthage herself, indeed, lay in ruins for the greater part of the two centuries which. intervened between the Third Punic War and the dictatorship of Julius Caesar ${ }^{1}$; but there seems no reason to suppose that even during this interval the smaller cities (such as Utica and Hippo, which had sided with Rome against Carthage), or the bright villas which dotted the plain, and attested the long influence of the Carthaginians, were abandoned to desolation. At any rate, when the new Roman Carthage arose in all her luxury and pride, the three provinces nearest her, or so much of them as was embraced between the mountains and the sea, basked in the sunshine of her prosperity. An unfavourable element in the condition of the African provinces was probably the vast estates belonging to the Imperial exchequer. Enormous confiscations were practised in the days of Nero, and that

[^119]the process had not ceased in the days of Honorius is boorini. evident from the fact that a special Count was ap- Ce. 2 . pointed to administer 'the patrimony of Gildo ${ }^{1}$,' whose rebellion was suppressed by Stilicho in the year $398^{2}$. These latifundia, cultivated by slaves and administered too often by corrupt and oppressive functionaries, were probably $\&$ blot upon the general prosperity of the province. And no doubt, here as elsewhere throughout the Empire, the process of the degradation of the cultivator into a serf, and the cruel impoverishment of the middle classes by ruinous taxation had been going on throughout the fourth century. Still, from the pages of Salvian and Augustine we may safely infer that there was, at any rate relatively, a large amount of wealth, and culture, and prosperity in the three most important African provinces, up to the day when the first footprint of the Vandal was seen on the Numidian sands.

It would be an interesting enquiry, had we sufficient was thore evidence on which to form a judgment, how far the mitico ele civilisation which prevailed in Africa in the fifth mentinimetho century of our era was Aryan, and how far still Semitic of thagel in its character. The language of the Phoenician settlers who first founded cities and established markets on the Libyan shore, the language in which Hiram spoke to Solomon and Jezebel to Ahab, was still spoken from Tangier to Tripoli at the time of the Christian era, and was even used in the days of Tiberius by the colonies which prided themselves on their derivation

[^120][^121] Latin, first in official then in social life. At the end of the fourth century the relative position of the two languages seems to have resembled that of English and Welsh in our own day in the Principality. Latin was the language of the wealthy and fashionable, but a priest who was unacquainted with Punic was in danger, at least in the country districts, of becoming isolated from his congregation. Just in the same way, too, as the representatives of the earlier race in our own land call themselves not Welsh but Cymry, so the true old national name, that name which recalled to a Hebrew the contemporaries of his forefather Abraham, the name of Canaanites was still naturally applied to themselves by the Punic contemporaries of St. Augustine ${ }^{2}$.

Latin Fathers of the A frican Church.

But upon the whole there can be no doubt that during the five centuries of the Empire the Latin language and literature had been striking deeper and deeper roots in the African world. It is one of the common-places of Church history that in the early ages of Christianity the chief of the Latin-speaking champions of her cause were African provincials. Tertullian, Cyprian, Arnobius, Lactantius, all men of African birth,

[^122]were conspicuous as Christian apologists in the third and boorini. fourth centuries, and the catalogue ends with the name Cr. 2. of the greatest of all, Augustine of Hippo. The first translation of the Bible into Latin, the so-called ' Itala,' is generally supposed to have been due in great part to the labours of African ecclesiastics; and-a less enviable distinction-the frst great schism, Novatianism undoubtedly originated in the Church of Carthage.

A century after the Vandal invasion of Africa it was still the opinion of the men of letters at Constantinople that the Roman provincials, in that continent, spoke Latin more flnently than the citizens of Rome itself ${ }^{1}$. It is very likely true that there was an affected prettiness, a want of spontaneity and naturalness about this Carthaginian Latin ${ }^{2}$; still, the fact that Roman rhetoric was so extensively and successfully taught in the African provinces-a fact which receives abundant confirmation from the 'Confessions' of St. Augustinethrows an important light on the progress of Roman civilisation in that region.

Such then, in brief outline, was the state of the Prosprity African provinces in the fifth century after Christ ; and and greattheir prosperity-for after making every necessary Curther

 rois 'lradoùs duadérotau. The generous Prefect Phocar, though really able to speak Latin perfectly, professed to want an African master of the language in order to assist the destitute Speciosus.
${ }^{2}$ Mommeen says (I.c. p. 342), ' But the black spot of the African literary character is just its scholasticism. . . . The language swarmed partly with scholastic reminiscences, pastly with unclassical or newly-coined words and phrases. There is a lack of both the graceful charm of the Greek and of the dignity of the Roman. Significantly we do not meet in the whole field of Africano-Latin anthorship a single poet who deserves to be so much as named.'

воокin. deduction we must still believe them to have been
Св. 2. prosperous-was all summed up and symbolised in the glory and magnificence of their capital, the ' happy Carthage ${ }^{1}$ ' of her Roman lords. We have already seen ${ }^{2}$ the picture drawn by the stern Salvian of the seductive immorality of the great African city, but even through all his denunciations there runs a reluctant acknowledgment of her surpassing beauty. Topographers dispute, and will perhaps long dispute, as to the exact limits of the old Phoenician city, but there cannot be much doubt as to the general position of its Roman successor, and the main features of the landscape around it are still unchanged. There Carthago lay upon her superb isthmus looking forth upon her lake and her sea, even the sea land-locked and with the two-horned mountain of the Hot Springs ${ }^{8}$ rising to the south of it. Below, was her harbour the celebrated Cothon, once blocked up by the mole constructed by Scipio during the last fatal siege, but now probably again opened to the commerce of the world. Northwards, the long sad street of tombs stretched up to the Hill of Camart. In the city itself, besides the baths, the forum, the amphitheatre, and all the other accustomed splendours of a Roman city, were five temples bearing witness by the names of their tutelary gods to that antique civilisation of the sons of Canaan which Rome might crush but could not obliterate. These were the temples dedicated under the Empire to Aesculapius, to Saturn, to Juno, to Hercules, and to Mercury, but which had once borne the means of Ashmon, of Moluch, of Ashtaroth, of

[^123]Melkarth, and of Baal-Ammon. Some of these, it is true, may have been destroyed in the outbreak of Christian zeal which marked the close of the fourth century in Africa; but the temple of Juno Coelestis at any rate still remained, for it was consecrated in 425 by Aurelius, Bishop of Carthage, as a temple of the newer faith which had come forth from Palestine to claim all the shores of the Mediterranean for its heritage.

On an eminence within the city rose the stately Tre Byrsa Byrsa, the Acropolis of Carthage, bounded by a wall of thage. two miles in circumference ${ }^{1}$. Here, according to the legend transmitted by the Greeks, was the scene of Dido's famous purchase from the natives of ' a hide of land' which she interpreted to mean so much land as could be encompassed by a bull's hide ${ }^{2}$ cut into strips. Modern philologists, struck with the obvious absurdity of supposing that Dido and her Phoenicians would resort to the Greek language for the name of their new city, have preferred to connect Byrsa with Bozra, a name well known to us from the Hebrew Scriptures as descriptive of the mountain fortress of the Edomites ${ }^{3}$.

[^124]boorini. Here, at any rate, appear to have been situated the
Ch. 2. chief buildings not only of Punic Carthage, but of its Roman successor: here was the Temple of Ashmon, or Aesculapius, and here in all probability the lordly Praetorium, once inhabited by the great Proconsul of Africa, but soon to receive the retinue of the Vandal king.

Relative position of the Proconsul and the Count of Africa.

I have said that the Proconsul of Africa once dwelt in the Praetorium of Carthage, and this was certainly bis abode in the first century of the Christian era, but at the time which we have now reached, he may have been thrust out of his palace, or if still dwelling there, he may have been reduced almost to insignificance by the overshadowing might of his military rival, the Count. The position of the Proconsul was a somewhat peculiar one. The whole diocese of Africa, including all its six provinces, bounded by Tingitana on the $W$ est, and Cyrenaica in the East, was, as we have already seen, part of the Prefecture of Italy. According to analogy it should have been all subject to its own Vicarius, who should have been responsible for the whole to the Praefectus Praetorio Italiae. In fact, however, at the time of the Diocletianic reorganisation of the Empire, only five provinces (the two Mauretanias, Numidia, Byzacena, and Tripolitana) were placed under the Vicarius Africae, while the Proconsul of Zeugitana (otherwise called the Proconsul of Africa, as his, though the smallest, was by far the richest and most important of all existence of an unused root slices, see שitity). If the legend about Dido's bargain was, as seems probable, home-grown, Byraa may bave been derived from a kindred root to this. The s:milarity with the Greek $\beta$ ípoa was, of course, a mere coincidence.
${ }^{2}$ Vol. i. p. 619.
the Provinces) was retained under the immediate order воокill. of the Praetorian Prefect of Italy. If, as seems highly c.. 2. probable, the Vicarius as well as the Proconsul had his residence at Carthage ${ }^{1}$, there was already some material provided for jealousies and heart-burnings between the civil governors of the Diocese. But, from what we know of the cause of affairs throughout the fourth and fifth centuries, and especially from the glimpses vouchsafed to us of the history of Roman Africa during that time, we may safely say that the Proconsul, venerable as was the name of hie office and great as his theoretical authority, was ever losing more of the substance of power, and that his losses were the gains of the military ruler of the Diocese, the far-feared Count of Africa?
${ }^{1}$ This is made probable, but is not actually proved by the language of Salvian (De Gubern. Dei, vii. 16) which is, in itself, an interesting description of the condition of Carthage on the eve of the Vandal invasion.
' I speak of Carthage, once the mightiest enemy of Kome, and now like another Rome in the world of Africa. She alone is sufficient for my purpose as an example and a witness, since she contained within herself all the resources whereby throughout the whole world the good order of the commonwealth is established or maintained. For there are all the appliances of the offices of State, the schools of the liberal arts, the lecture-rooms of the philosophers, in short, all the institutions for training students either in literature or in morsls. There, too, are the military forces and the authorities in command of the army, the honour of the proconsul, that daily judge and ruler, proconsul, indeed, in name but a very cousal in power (' illic honor proconsularis, illic judex cotidianus et rector, quantum ad nomen quidem proconsul, sed quantum ad potentiam consul'); there, fiually, are all the distributors of wealth, the rulers, so to speak, of every street and equare, who, with every imaginable variety of rank and name, govern all the regions of the city and all the members of the nation.'
${ }^{2}$ The official staff of the Proconsul Afrieae is described in the ryth chapter of the Notitia Occidentis: that of the Vicarius Africue in the 19 th, and that of the Comes Africae in the 23 rd chapter. Pupencordt
woокin. This was the office which, in the middle of the reign of

Сн. 2
Heraclian Comes Africae, 408-413. Bonifacius Connes Africse, 42 2i?-432. Honorius had been held by Heraclian, and which was now held by Bonifacius.

From this sketch of Roman Africa we return to trace the fortunes of its Vandal invaders. We have seen that in the month of May, and probably in the year 428, Gaiseric, with the whole body of his countrymen (the males alone of whom numbered 80,000 souls), set sail in the ships of Bonifacius for the coast of Africa. Of the details of their first conquests we know nothing '. All that we can say is that in the early part of 430 , only three cities remained which had not been sacked by the barbarians, but these three were the stronglyfortified towns of Hippo, Cirta ${ }^{2}$, and the capital of the province, Carthage. We know not when Cirta fell.

Niege of Hippo. A peculiar interest attaches to the Vandals' siege of Hippo, which was commenced about the end of the month of May, $43^{\circ}$. This town, situated on the seacoast about 180 miles west of Carthage, and represented by the modern French-Arabic city of Bona, was, as every
(p. 29) considers that all three dignitaries, Vicarias, Proconsul, and Comes resided at Carthage.
${ }^{1}$ Papencordt (p. 69) points out that we have in the Theodosian Code (xii. 6. 33) an ordinance entrusting the care of the magazines of provisions in the Proconsular and Byzacene provinoes to the Curiales. This ordinance being dated from Ravenna, 15 Feb., 430, looks as if at that time the Imperial Court did not consider these two provinces in danger from Gaisoric's movements. Perhaps it was framed in sone interval of truce obtaived by the mediation of Bonifacius.
${ }^{2}$ There can be little doubt that this is the place meant by Pessidias, the biographer of St. Augustine, when he says, 'Vix tres superstites videbat ex innumerabilibus ecclesiis, hoc est Carthaginensem, Hipponensem et Circensem.' Possidius, it is true, speaks of churches, but we may conclude that if the Vandals had ruined all the other churches, they had also taken the towns.
one knows, the abode of the great bishop and father, воокim.
Augustine. There he was busily employed, adding a $\frac{\text { C. } 2}{430}$. Confutation of Julian of Eclana, the Pelagian heretic, to the vast library of books ${ }^{1}$ which already owned him as author, when the news came of the Vandal invasion. He heard of the burnings, the massacres, the torn-up fruit-trees, the churches levelled to the ground, which everywhere marked the progress of the barbarian hosts through the orderly and quiet province, the beautiful land which from everty side seemed smiling upon the stranger ${ }^{2}$. Bishop after bishop asked his counsel whether they should stay in their sees, or fly to one of the few remaining strongholds. His first advice was, ' Remain with your flocks and share their miseries.' ' What,' said one, 'is the use of our remaining, simply to see the men slain, the women ravished, the churches burned, and then to be put to the rack ourselves to make us disclose the hiding-place of treasures which we have not?' They pleaded the words of Christ, 'When they persecute you in one city, flee into another,' and Augustine, reflecting on the examples of Cyprian and Athanasius, who bad for a time quitted their bishoprics, with some hesitation, and with some limitations, admitted the plea. So it came to pass that Possidius, Bishop of Calama, to whose pen we are indebted for this account of the last days of his master, with many other bishops from all the country round, were shut up in Hippo, sitting at the feet of the great doctor of the

[^125]boor iil. African Church, and listening to 'that river of eloquence Ca. 2. which had once flowed forth abundantly over all the meadows of the Church, but was now almost dried up with fear, to that fountain sweeter than honey which was being turned into the bitterness of wormwood ${ }^{1}$. So the good bishops sat, 'often talking together over these calamities, and reflecting on the tremendous judgments of God daily exhibited before us, saying, ' Righteons art thou, 0 Lord, and thy judgment is just,' mingling our tears, our groaus, and our sighs, and praying the Father of Mercies and the God of all Consolation that he would see meet to deliver us from this tribulation ${ }^{2}$.'

Count Bonifacius.

But, shut up in the same town of Hippo, was one man more sad at heart and more weary of life than Augustine himself, the author of all this misery, and the betrayer of his trust, Bonifacius Count of Africa. It has been already told ${ }^{3}$ how, by the intervention of his friends, his character was cleared at Rome, and he returned to his old loyalty to Placidia. Too late, however, for the desolated province. 'When with the most earnest entreaties and a thousand promises he besought his late allies to depart from Africa, they would not listen to his. words, but thought he was making fools of them !.' A battle followed, in which he was defeated, and in consequence we find him now within the walls of the old capital of the Numidian kings (Hippo Regius) directing the defence of the beleaguered city, and listening to the tragic stories told by each fresh fugitive, of the ruin wrought in his

[^126]province by his own invited guests, He had re- bookiil. pented,
'Ay, as the libertine repents who cannot
Make done undone, when thro' his dying sense
Shrills "lost througb thee ${ }^{\text {? }}$."

It is strange to reflect that this, the most miserable man in all Africa, whose treason bad brought such innumerable woes upon his people, was the same man who bad sighed after a monastic life, and had scarcely been persuaded to continue to discharge the duties of a husband and a general. A conscience, this, which was always above or below the average common-sense morality of ordinary men.

The generalship of Bonifacius, or the prayers of Daath of Augustine, or the natural unskilfulness of the northern tine. barbarians in the siege of walled cities, enabled Hippo to make a successful defence. For fourteen months the Vandals blockaded the town, from May 430 till July 431. In the third month of the siege, the great Bishop angust 28, of Hippo died, in the seventy-sixth year of his age ${ }^{430}$ (Pros). and the fortieth of his episcopate. He had often uttered the maxim ${ }^{2}$ that even the aged and experienced Christian ought not to depart out of the world except in a state of profound penitence for all sins committed after baptism ; and acting on his own principle, he had the penitential Psalms of David copied for him by his friends, and gazed constantly at the wall to which the sheets thus inscribed were affixed. For ten days before his death he ordered that, except when the doctor visited him, or his meals had to be brought to his bedside, no one should enter his chamber, in order that all his waking thoughts might be given to prayer. So,

[^127]soor ril. amid the sorrows of the siege, in silence and contrition,
CH. 2.
431.

Siege of Hippo raised. passed away the spirit which, more mightily than any other since the age of the Apostles ended, has moulded the thoughts of the European nations concerning the dealings of the Almighty with mankind.
In the fourteenth month of the siege the Vandals, pressed by famine, broke up from before the walls of Hippo. Soon after, Bonifacius, being joined by large reinforcements from Rome and Byzantium (the latter under the command of the veteran Aspar), tried conclusions once more with Gaiseric in the open field ${ }^{1}$. The Romans were again defeated. Aspar returned to Byzantium and Bonifacius to Rome, where (as has been before related) he received his death-wound from Aetius ${ }^{2}$.
435. Treaty be tween Valentinian and Gaiseric.

Three years passed. It became clear to the Imperial Court that the Vandals would never be forced to relinquish their prize. It bad also become clear to the mind of Gaiseric that it would be wise to consolidate his conquests, that Carthage would not easily be wrested in fair fighting from a watchful foe, and that it was time for his people to desist from mere marauding ravages and to settle down as lords of the soil in such part of Africa as the Emperor might be forced to surrender. Accordingly, on the ith February ${ }^{3}$, 435, peace was concluded between the Emperor and the Vandal, the chief conditions being apparently that the latter was to leave unmolested the city of Carthage, and that part of the Proconsular Province which lay

[^128]immediately, around it; was to pay a yearly tribute, boorim. and to send his son Huneric to Rome as a hostage for Ci. 2. his fidelity. On the other hand, Gaiseric's rule over the 435. part of Africa which he had already conquered, and which probably included the remainder of the Proconsular Province, Byzacena and Numidia, was recognised under the formula probably in frequent use on similar occasions that 'this portion of the Empire was given to the Vandals to dwell in'.' The treaty was signed at Hippo, which city appears to have fallen into the hands of the Vandals, and to have been burned by them ${ }^{2}$. Probably it may have been rebuilt, re-occupied by an Imperial garrison, and now handed over to Gaiseric, but as to these vicissitudes in its history we cannot speak with certainty ${ }^{3}$.

Procopius greatly praises the forethought and moder- Gaiseric's ation which Gaiseric showed in concluding this peace. remenons foring He says that he had reflected on the possibility that Rome and Byzantium minght again combine their forces against him, and that another time he might not be able to resist their united strength, that he was sobered rather than puffed up by the good fortune which he had already experienced, and remembered

[^129]$\underset{C=2}{\text { нorin. how often the gods delight to trip up human prosperitr. }}$
C. 2. No doubt this was the attitude which the Vandal wished to assume, but considering how easily the tribute might be left unpaid, the hostage enabled to escape, the promise broken, and on the other hand of what immense importance to the establishment of the Vandal rule was the recognition of its legitimacy even for a few years by the only source of legitimate authority in the Western Empire, we shall not find much difficulty in believing that the moderate and sober-minded barbarian got the best of the bargain.

In point of fact, the promise to desist from further attacks on the Proconsular Province held good for rather less than five years. We have already had occasion briefly to notice ${ }^{1}$ those vain and futile battlings to and fro in Southern Gaul between the Romans at Narbonne and the Visigoths at Toulouse, which preceded by about twelve years the far wiser confederacy of both nations against the terrible Attila. While all the energies of Rome, and all the intellect of Aetius, who was the brain of Rome, were concentrated on the next move in this purposeless struggle, suddenly, without warning, Gaiseric, (says Prosper) ' of whose friendship no doubt was entertained, attacked Carthage, under cover of peace, and converted all its wealth to his own use, extorting it from the citizens by various kinds of torments ${ }^{\text {? }}$. This happened on the 19th October, 439. We may conjecture that the

[^130]hostage Huneric bad been before this upon some pre- воокirr. text or other recalled from Italy.

Now at length the great prize was won, and the ${ }_{\text {a }}^{439}$. Vandals were undoubted masters of Africa./ Their roile incarcchief, who for ten years or more had been leading them from victory to victory, seems now for the first time to have assumed the full title of king ${ }^{\text {t }}$. His true statesmanlike instinct is shown by the fact that as soon as he touched the coast, or a l least as soon as the docks and harbours of Hippo and Carthage were in his power, he, the leader of a tribe of inland barbarians, who had been indebted to the friendly offices of Bonifacius for the transport of his people across the Straits of Gibraltar, turned all his energies to shipbuilding, and soon possessed incomparably the most formidable naval power in the Mediterranean. The remaining thirty-seven years of his life, especially the later ones, were made merry by perpetual piratical expeditions against Italy, against Sicily, against Illyria, against the Peloponnesus, against the rich and defenceless islands of the Aegean ". There was a joyous

[^131]${ }_{\text {B }}^{\text {BoOK }}$ III impartiality in these expeditions, an absence of any CB. 2. special malice against the victims of them, a frank renunciation of all attempts to find a pretext for making them, which is thoroughly characteristic of their author. Once when his armament was lying in the harbour of Carthage, all ready for sailing, and when the brigand-king had come limping down from the palace which had been dwelt in for centuries by the Proconsuls of Africa, as soon as he set his foot on board, the pilot asked for orders to what land he should steer. The object of the expedition was the only point which the king bad not yet tronbled himself to determine. 'For the dwellings of the men with whom God is angry,' he said, and left the decision of that question to the winds and the waves. This was the true counterpart of the stories about 'the scourge of God,' with which Legend has falsely invested the history of Attila ${ }^{1}$.

The Carthaginian Nemetis.

So it came to pass that again after nearly six centuries of quiet submission to the rule of Rome, the name of Carthage became terrible to the dwellers by

[^132]the Tiber. The poets of the period described Gaiseric's воокin. invasions of Italy as a fourth Punic War ${ }^{1}$, and it was $\frac{\text { Cн. } 2 .}{440}$ scarcely a license of poetry so to speak of them. We are reminded of the mediaeval superstitions about Vampire-spirits inhabiting the bodies of the dead and sucking the blood of the living, when we find this Teutonic people entering the long-buried corpse of the Punic nationality, and striking, from its heart, deadlier lolows at Rome than ever were delivered by Hamilcar or Hannibal. We know not on what scale God writes his lessons for the nations, and we fear to push too far the paradox expressed in the old proverb, ' The fathers have eaten sour grapes, and the children's teeth are set on edge.' But, remembering the ignoble jealousy, the cruelty born of fear, with which the Romans prepared for and' consummated the 'deletion' of their fallen enemy, in the Third Punic War, we cannot but feel that there is something like a judgment of the Eternal Righteousness in the conspicuous part assigned to the city and harbour of Carthage in harassing and embittering the dying days of Rome.

During the years immediately following the fall dhe vand in of Carthage, Sicily appears to have been the main Sicily. object of the Vandal expeditions. Gaiseric was, in the year 440 , moving up and down through the island, cruelly wasting her fruitful valleys, when the tidings brought to him that Sebastian, a brave man and son-in-law to Bonifacius, had landed in Africa, caused him to return to Carthage. Sebastian,

[^133](Panegyric of Avitus, 444-5.)
fookini. however, as we shall hereafter see, came not as an Сн. 2.
$\square$ $+4^{1}$. enemy but as a suppliant, and Gaiseric, we may presume, returned to his career of spoliation. Next year an expedition fitted out by the Eastern Emperor ander the command of Areobindus and two other generals, came to dispute the sovereignty of the Western seas with the Vandal king. But as was so often the case with these laboriously prepared Byzantine armaments, the generals wrangled and procrastinated ; the favourable momentif there were one-for striking was lost, and the expedition failed to accomplish anything for the reconquest of Africa, and did much to increase the miseries of the unhappy Sicilians ${ }^{1}$.

Treaty between Gaimeric and Valentinian.

Fate of Sicily.

In the next year (442) the army was recalled to defend the Eastern Empire from one of Attila's inroads, and Velentinian, feeling it hopeless to continue the contest single-handed, concluded another treaty with Gaiseric by which possibly Sicily or some portion of it was surrendered ${ }^{2}$, and Africa was divided by certain fixed limits between the Emperor and the Vandal ${ }^{3}$. Unfortunately these 'fixed limits' have not been men ${ }^{d}$. tioned by the historians, and it must remain doubtful how much of Mauretania on the west and Tripolitana on the east may still have owed a precarious allegiance to the Roman Empire.
But the fate of Sicily is less doubtful. It is clear that either at this time or some years later, it became a recognised part of the Vandal dominions, and so remained till there was no longer a Western Emperor

[^134]to claim it. Then probably in the year 477, the greater boon mir. part of it was ceded by Gaiseric to Odovacar, the Cle. 2. barbarian ruler of Italy, on condition of his paying
477.
an annual tribute. But already, as we see, the great island is falling into that condition of partial detachment from the great peninsula, which generally marked its history under its Greek lords, and which was so frequently again to prevail in the Middle Ages, and even down to the days of our fathers ${ }^{1}$.

As for Gaiseric, though peace was formally concluded between him and Valentinian, we need not suppose that the buccaneering exploits of the Vandal king were ended. Pretexts were doubtless still found for the visits paid with each returning spring to some ' nation with whom God was angry,' and if serious war was not being waged, life was still made exciting by light-hearted piracy.

The few details which are preserved as to the Intefnal internal adinistration of Gaiseric, and hi mannerdminitraof purcelling out the of Gaired of parcelling out the conquered territory among his followers, are of great value, as affording one of the earliest illustrations of that great land-settlement of the victorious Teutons which was one day to form the basis of the Feudal System.
'He arranged,' says Procopius ${ }^{2}$, 'the Vandals and Alans into regiments ${ }^{8}$, over whom he set no fewer than eighty colonels, whom he called Chiliarchs (captains of thousands), so creating the belief that his forces amounted to 80,000 men. Nevertheless the number of the Vandals and Alans was said in the

[^135]- BookiII. previous time (in the time before the invasion) not to amount to more than 50,000 ; but the natural increase of the population, together with their practice of admitting other barbarians into their confederation, had enormously added to their numbers. The names, however, of the Alans, and of every other barbarous tribe in the confederacy except the Moors, were all merged in the one designation of Vandals.
Land. 'Among the provincials of Africa, if he saw any man
settlement after the conquest. flourishing in reputation and wealth, he gave him, with his lands and other possessions, to his sons Huneric and Genzo, as servile property ${ }^{1}$. From the other Africans he took away the largest and best part of their lands, and distributed them among the nation of the Vandals; and from that time these lands are called the Vandal Allotments (Sortes Vandalorum) unto this day. The former possessors of these lands were for the most part left poor and free-at liberty, that is, to take themselves off whither they would. Now all these estates which Gaiseric had bestowed upon his sons and the other Vandals were, according to his orders, free from the payment of all taxes. But all the land which seemed to him to be of poorer quality, he left in the hands of the former owners, so burdened however with taxes and public charges that nothing berond a bare subsistence could be reaped by the nominal possessors. Many of these tried to flee, but were arrested and put to death ; for sundry grievous crimes were laid to their charge, the greatest of all, according to his estimate, being the attempted concealment of treasure. Thus did the African provincials fall into every kind of misery.'

[^136]We are able to supplement the information as to the воокiir. land settlement piven by Pracopius by an important Ce. 2. sentence from Victor Vitensis. ${ }^{1}$. ' He ' [Gaiseric] thus disposed of the several provinces : reserving to himself the Byzacene and Abaritan provinces, Getulia and a part of Numidia, he portioned out the Zeugitana or Proconsular province to his army by the tie of inheritance?.' The Proconsular province, as has been said, was that corner of the coast line in the middle of which Carthage was situated, the smallest of all the provinces, being only about a hundred miles wide by fifty long, but doubtless also by far the richest. Numidia bordered it on the west, the Byzacene province ${ }^{3}$ on the south. No such province as Abaritana was known to the Imperial geographers: but it was probably a small district in the Proconsular province. ${ }^{4}$

The historical student who considers the account thus given by Procopius and Victor of the Vandal landsettlement will see that we have here the germs of the same state of society which prevailed in France under the Karolingian monarchs and out of the inevitable decay of which arose the Feudal System.

1. We have first a vast Royal Domain (dominicum) Domain the land of 'Dominus noster, Gaisericus.' If we take the expression of Victor literally, this domain included
[^137]ноокIII. nearly the whole of the two great provinces of Numidia Cr. 2. and Byzacena, as well as some part of Proconsularis Probably, however, we may interpret it by the light of Procopius' explanations, and infer that Gaiseric chose for himself and his sons all the valuable estates in these provinces ${ }^{1}$ leaving the poorer soils in the hands of the old cultivators. The immense domain so chosen was cultivated of course entirely by slaves, and Gaiseric chose especially those who have been the richest and most influential proprietors, appropriating them and their slaves to service on his domain land. The insolence of the barbarian was gratified by thus reducing the proudest, wealthiest, and most refined of the provincials to the condition of menials absolutely dependent on his will. But in course of time no doubt superior education and the old habits of command would assert themselves. These aristocratic slaves would become intendants, stewards, managers of their fellow-slaves. If the experiment had been continued for a sufficient length of time (which it was not in the case of the Vanduls) these highly-educated slaves would have become supple courtiers, and would have perhaps proved a formidable counterpoise to the descendants of Vandal chiefs, who once looked upon Gaiseric himself as scarcely more than first among his peers. In fact, very soon after the settlement (in 442) there was an actual conspiracy among the nobility against what they considered the overgrown power and pride of their king: but the plot was detected and the conspirators atoned for their share in it by a death of torture. The suspicions and

[^138]jealousies engendered by this conspiracy were very bookin. detrimental to the Vandal state ${ }^{1}$.
2. The Vandal Allotments (Sortes Vandalorum) de- Allotments note the next class of lands, those which are divided esldiere, or. among the warriors of the conquering nation. Divided, dalorum. surely, by lot ${ }^{2}$, in a manner which suited well the ardent love of games of hazard inherent in these Teutonic nations, and in accordance with a custom widely diffused among them, as is testified by the occurrence of the same word, sors, among the Visigoths in Spain, among the Burgundians on the banks of the Lake of Geneva, and among the Ripuarian Franks of the Rhine. The estates were hereditary-this we-learn from Victor's express testimony ${ }^{3}$-but though hereditary they doubtless carried with them some obligation of service in that 'army' to which they were originally 'portioned out.' Except for this implied obligation of military service they were free from all taxes. These Sortes Vandalorum were, as before said, chiefly to be found in the rich Proconsular province, where they must have clustered thickly, perbaps overflowing a little into

[^139]воок inf. the neighbouring Numidia ${ }^{1}$. Here doubtless the power
CH. 2. of the old Vandal nobility was greatest, and the spirit of Vandal nationality the strongest. Here, if it had been written in the book of Fate that an enduring German kingdom of North Africa should be founded, would the speech of the Vandals have struck the deepest root, and the songs of Vandal minstrels as to the bye-gone ages spent in the forest of the Elbe and the Danube would have been the longest preserved.

Lands left to the provincials.
3. There remain the poor, the unimproved, the outlying lands, abandoned half-contemptuously to the Roman provincials, who tilled, and crouched, and paid where their fathers fought, and ruled, and robbed. Would this kind of holding in the course of centuries have sunk down into the 'base-tenure' whence our copyholds sprang, or would it have slowly risen into what our ancestors called free-socage? In other words, would these down-trodden provincials have developed into villeins or freeholders? That is an interesting question, the answer to which is drowned by the trumpets of Belisarius. But, nevertheless, it is worth while noticing that we have here in Africa, half way through the fifth century after Christ, a division of the nation into two distinct classes, a burdened, tax-paying, toiling commonalty, and a lordly, untaxed, warrior class above them-that same division which in France lasted on to the days of our grandfathers, and was shattered by the oath of the Tiers Etat in the Tennis-Court of Versailles.

Enslared provincials.

But it is not to be supposed that a majority of the subject population were left, even in this degraded state, to enjoy the blessings of freedom. The vast estates of the king, his sons, and the Vandal warriors,

[^140]required vast tribes of slaves to cultivate them, and to воокin. slavery accordingly, as has before been said, the bulk C. 2. of the provincial population were reduced. A story which is told us by Procopius ${ }^{1}$, and which has something in the ring of it that reminds one of the fardistant legendary moralities of Herodotus, brings this wholesale enslavement of the people clearly before us. 'The Byzantine general Aspar, as was before said, brought help to the Roman Provincials of Africa, but was defeated by the barbarians. After the battle, Gaiseric ordered all the captives to be mustered in the courtyard of his palace that he might allot them masters suitable to their several conditions. There then they were collected in the open air, and as the noonday sun'-the fierce sun of Libya-' beat hotly on their heads, most of them sat down. But one among them, who was named Marcian, carelessly composed himself to sleep ; and while he lay there an eagle, so they say, with outspread wings, hovered over him, now rising, now falling, but always contriving to shelter him, and him only, from the sun by the shadow of her wings. From the window of an upper chamber Gaiseric watched this occurrence, and being a quick-witted man, at once perceived that there was in it something of the nature of an omen. So he sent for the man, and asked him who he was, and whence he came. He replied that he was a confidential servant, or domesticus, as the Romans call it, of Aspar. On hearing this, and reflecting what the bird had done'-the typical Eagle of Rome-'and comparing it with the influence which Aspar possessed at the court of Byzantium, Gaiseric saw clearly that the captive before him would attain to some high career.

[^141]bookin To kill him, however, did not appear to be at all the right thing to do: for that would only show that the omen had no significance, since certainly the bird would never have taken the trouble to overshadow, as future Emperor, a man who was just on the point of dying. And besides, he had no just cause for patting him to death. Nor could he do it if he was really destined to wear the purple, since what God has resolved upon, Man will never be able to hinder. He therefore bound him by an oath that if he was restored to freedom he would never bear arms against the Vandals. Thus was Marcian liberated, and came to Byzantium, where, not long afterwards, upon the death of Theodosius II, he was made Emperor.' He is the same Marcian with whom we have already made acquaintance as the husband of Pulcheria, the courageous defender of the Empire against Attila, the prince who saw in his dreams the broken bow, on the night when the mighty Hun expired. - And, though (says Procopius) in all other respects be made an excellent ruler, he never seemed to take any thought for the province of Africa,' mindful as he was of his vow not to bear arms against the Vandals.

The land-settlement, the outlines of which are thus preserved to us, was probably completed soon after the capture of Carthage in 439 . We have seen that by the peace of 442 some fragments of African dominion, probably in Tripolitana and Mauretania were still left to the Empire, but after the death of Valentinian III (455) the Vandal dominion spread unchallenged over these as well as over all the islauds of the Western Mediterranean ${ }^{1}$.

[^142]As to the administration of government in this wide book inf. territory, there are not wanting indications that here, as in so many other portions of the Empire, much was of the Roro still left in the hands of the trained Roman officials $\begin{gathered}\text { man mas } \\ \text { ohine of }\end{gathered}$ Doubtless the lawless will of the Vandal king could ${ }^{\text {govern. }}$ make itself felt wherever it pleased. Doubtless, proeerved. subject to that omnipotent will, the great nobles, each in his own circle, could exercise unchecked dominion. Still there remained an infinite number of details of daily government in a community which, though half ruined was still civilised, and these details the German conquerors had neither intellect nor patience to arrange. They remained therefore in the hands of the Roman bureaucracy, and hence it is that we still, even under the Vandal kings, meet with a Proconsul of Carthage ${ }^{1}$, a Primarius Provinciae, and a Praepositus Regni ${ }^{3}$, though to attempt now to settle the exact functions of these governors would be a hopeless task.

With all the barbarous violence and contempt of Absence of the rights of the subject population which character- opprosesion. ised the Vandal conquest, it deserves one praise: it was not financially oppressive. While the Imperial government, with phrases of law and right for ever on its lips, was practically sucking the life-blood out of the people by its Indictions and its Superindictions, its Angaria and its Chrysargyron, Gaiseric, though

Victor Vitensis proceeds (i. 4): 'Valentiniano adhuc Imperatore, reliquas licet jam exterminatas Provincias defendente. Port cajus mortem, totius Africae ambitum obtinuit, necnon et insulas maximas, Sardiniam, Siciliam, Corsicam, Ebusam, Majoricum, Minoricam vel alias multas superbiâ sibi consuetâ defendit.'
${ }^{1}$ Victur Vitensis, V .4.
${ }^{2}$ Vita S. Fulgentii, cap. 14.
${ }^{3}$ Victor Vitensis, ii. 5. (I owe these references to Papencordt.)

воок III. helping himself and his soldiers to all the fairest lands
Св. in the province, did leave to the poor provincial liberty to live on the sterile soil which he contemptuously abandoned to him. Procopius expressly assures us that when the Emperor Justinian regained Africa it was no longer possible to discover in the public archives the amount of taxes which ought to be paid by each property, since Gaiseric, in the beginning of his reign, had thrown up the whole system and destroyed the registers ${ }^{1}$.

At first sight this seems contradictory to the same author's statement previously quoted, that the lands abandoned to the Romans were 'so burdened with taxes and public charges that nothing beyond a bare subsistence could be reaped by the nominal possessors.' On reflection, however, we may perhaps come to the conclusion that in that passage Procopius is speaking chiefly of the great Roman land-owners, whom it was evidently part of the Vandal policy to worry out of existence. The mass of cultivators and the little burgesses in the towns, who were known under the Empire as Curiales, were, it seems, practically untaxed. The grievous discontent which arose in the province when this operation was reversed by the Roman re-conquest, and when the people found that in their liberator they had gained á relentless task-master, is a striking testimony to the general lightness of the financial yoke of the Vandal kings.

Whence comes the stigma of - Vandal. igm ${ }^{\prime}$ '

In all that has yet been said concerning the career of this people, little has appeared to justify that charge of senseless and brutal destructiveness with which the word 'vandalism' makes us familiar. We have heard

[^143]of the pillage of towns-that, of course, is one of the boormir. commalas of barbaric 2. reduced to slavery-but the slave-dealer followed also in the track of the Roman armies; even of the fruittrees being rooted up-but that was consistent with the cruel logic of war, being done in order to prevent the inhabitants from deserting the towns and prolonging a guerilla campaign in the country on such support as they could derive from the produce of the orchards. We have yet, however, to see the Vandal in his most repulsive aspect, that of a religious persecutor; and when we have beheld him in this capacity, the kernel of truth and the large envelope of passionate exaggeration which both together make up the common idea of 'vandalism' will be more clearly perceived and more easily separated from one another.

The Vandals, like almost every other Teutonic nation, Arianiam had sbared in that great process of religious change $\begin{gathered}\text { Vandals. }\end{gathered}$ of which the bishop Ulfilas was the most conspicuous instrument. Little as their deeds savoured of Christianity, they were, by profession, Christians, holding, as a matter of course, the Arian creed of their great apostle.

They came then with all the rancour of the Arian- Provious Catholic feud, which had now endured for more than a redighous century, bitter in their hearts. And they came into a Aarficas in province which was, beyond all the other provinces of the Roman Empire, undermined by hot volcanic fires of theological passion and bigotry. There is much in the religious controversies of Africa in the fourth and fifth centuries which reminds us of the bloody disputes between Episcopalian, Presbyterian, and Independent at the time of our own 'Great Rebellion.' Even the very names of men, not of one party only, have a

воокin. Puritan sound about them: ' What-God-wills,' 'ThankoСе. 2. to-God,' 'Given-by-God ',' and so forth, recall the 'Praise-God Barebones' and his piously named confederates of those stormy days. In Africa, over and above the ordinary religious dissensions of the fourth and fifth centuries, there was a special strife, the
Dunatisth. Donatist, which had arisen out of the cowardly conduct of some bishops and presbyters during the persecution ${ }^{303-313}$. of the Church by Diocletian and his successors. A hundred and twenty years had elapsed since that time, and it might have been thought that purely personal questions, such as whether this bishop had under terror of death delivered up the sacred bouks to the Imperial officers, or whether that presbyter had with too great eagerness grasped the crown of martyrdom, might have been now allowed to slumber in oblivion. But sects and churches have long memories, and the Donatists, the Cameronians of Africa, were still as earnest in discussing the election of the so-called 'traditor ${ }^{2}$ ' Caecilian to the see of Carthage, as if that event had happened yesterday instead of four generations ago. Round the Donatists, and in more or less close connection with them, were grouped the wild, Cirumeel- fanatical Circumcelliones, savage boors, whose zeal, liones. where it was not assumed as a cloak for rapine and lust, must have been hovering on the verge of insanity, who carried fire and sword through the villages of Africa, and whose war-cry, 'Praise be to God ${ }^{3}$ ', was

[^144]heard in those villages with greater terror than the sookiII. roar of the Numidian lion. The portrait of all these ca. 2 . fanatics, being drawn only by their antagonists, must be received with much caution, but after making every conceivable allowance for exaggeration, we cannot avoid the conclusion that in this instance Christian common sense was represented by the party which successfully maintained its title to the envied designation, Catholic. But, Donatists and Catholics having both appealed to the state, and judgment having gone in favour of the latter, they, not unnaturally, according to the ideas of that age, but most unwisely according to our manner of thinking, brought down the iron hand of Imperial despotism with all its weight upon their foes.

It happens that the greater part of the laws against legisia. the Donatists ${ }^{1}$ which are preserved to us belong to Hon of the reign of Honorius and the first twenty years of ansine theationn the fifth century, and we are thus able to see clearly mirrored in the Roman statute-book the theological animosities and the petty persecutions which preceded the advent of the Vandals into Africa ${ }^{2}$. The power of

[^145]Bookini buying, selling, and bequeathing property was denied Сн. 2. to the Donatists, ' whom the patience of our Clemency has preserved until now, but who ought to be branded with perpetual infamy, and shut out from all honourable assemblies, and from every place of public resort.' Their churches were to be taken from them and given to the Catholics. They were to pay fines, varying, according to their condition in life, from $£ 25$ to $£ 8000$ sterling (those wild boors, the Circumcelliones, were to pay $\delta^{25}$ a head); and these fines were to be repeated as often as the offender renewed his communion with the Donatist Cburch. The slaves and the semi-servile agricultural labourers were 'to be prevented from audacious acts of this kind by the severest punishment ;' ' to be recalled from their evil religion by more frequent blows'-if blows still proved ineffectual, to lose the third part of their accumulated savings (peculium). We have here, it is true, not a ruthless or bloodthirsty persecution, but we have a great deal of injustice of a very galling kind, perpetrated under the name of religion, just the kind of quiet, crushing, monotonous intolerance by which the Hapsburgs extirpated the Protestantism of Styria, and the English Parliament strove to extirpate the Papistry of Ireland. There can be no doubt that the Catholics had thus earned a rich legacy of hatred and revenge, which was punctually paid to them when the Vandals, heretics like the Donatists, entered Africa ${ }^{1}$.
llbs. of silver, a little more than $\mathfrak{£ 2 5}_{\mathbf{2}}$ sterling. After one of the officials of higher rank had paid the fine five times, 'if he be not then by his losses recalled from the error of his ways, let him be referred to our Clemency, that we may pass some more severe sentence concerning the capital which belongs to him, and concerning his rank in life.'
${ }^{1}$ We might naturally expect to find the Donatists, though ortho-

We will now hear a little of what Victor Vitensis bookim. has to tell us of the Vandal persecutions in the reign Cr. 2. of Gaiseric. His style is declamatory and he is full of Vioternis prejudices, both national and ecclesiastical, but he is all $\frac{\text { on the }}{}$ thal but a contemporary-writing, as he does, 'in the six- parroen- tions of the tieth year after that cruel and savage nation reached catholice. the boundaries of our miserable Africa, -and he gives us that life and colour which we ask for in vain from the meagre and cautious annalists.
'The wicked rage of the Vandals was especially de Perredirected against the churches and basilicas, the ceme- ${ }^{\text {evtiono }}$ teries and the monasteries, and they made bioger lorum, bonfires of the houses of prayer than of whole cities and towns. If by chance they found the door of the holy house fast closed, it was who should soonest force an entrance by thumping it down with his right hand; so that one might truly say, "They break down the carved work thereof at once with axes and hammers. They have cast fire into Thy sanctuary; they have defiled by casting down the dwelling-place of Thy name to the ground." Ah, how many illustriousi.2. bishops and noble priests were put to death by them with divers kinds of torments in the endeavour to compel them to reveal what treasures they had of gold or silver, belonging to themselves or to their churches. If, under the pressure of the torture, they easily revealed their possessions, the persecutors plied them with yet more cruel torments, declaring that part only lad been surrendered, not the whole; and the more they gave up the more they were supposed to
dox, taking sides with the Arians against their Catholic persecutors: bat Papencordt (pp. 284-286) shows some ground for believing that this was not the case either before or after the Vandal Conquest.

воокiII be keeping back. Some had their mouths forced open C.. 2 with stakes and crammed with noisome filth. Some were tortured by having strings tightly twisted round the forehead or leg-bone ${ }^{\text {I }}$. Some had bladders filled with sea-water, with vinegar, with the dregs of the olive presses, with the garbage of fishes, and other fuul and cruel things laid upon their lips. The weakness of womanhood, the dignity of noble birth, the reverence due to the priesthood-none of these considerations softened those cruel hearts; nay, rather, where they saw that any were held in high honour, there was their mad rage more grievously felt. I cannot describe how saany priests and illustrious functionaries had heavy loads piled upon them, as if they were camels or other beasts of burden, nor how with iron goads they urged them on their way, till some fell down under their burdens and miserably gave up the ghost. Hoary hairs enwrapping the venerable head like whitest wool won for the bearer no pity from those savage guests. Innocent little children were snatched by the barbarian from the maternal embrace and dashed to the ground. Well might our captive Zion sing "The enemy said that he would burn my borders and slay my infants and dash my little ones to the
i. 3. earth." In some large and stately buildings [probably churches], where the ministry of fire had proved insufficient to destroy them, the barbarians showed their contempt of the edifice by levelling its fair walla with the ground; so that now those beautiful old cities have quite lost their former appearance, and many whole towns are now occupied by a scanty remnant

[^146]of their former inhabitants, or even left altogether Bookini. desolate.

- Yea, and even to-day, if any buildings remain, they are continually laying them waste, as, for instance, the Temple of Memory, that worthy appendage to the Theatre of Carthage, and the street called the Street of Heaven ${ }^{1}$, both of which they have destroved from top to bottom. Then too, the large basilica, where the bones of the blessed martyrs Perpetua and Felicitas are laid, the church of Celerina, and others which they have not destroyed, they have, with the license of tyrants, enslaved to their own religious rights. Did they see any strongholds which they were unable to carry by the rush of their barbarian fury, they collected vast multitudes around the walls and slew them with the bloody sword, leaving their carcases to putrefy under the ramparts, that they might slay with the stench those whom their arms were powerless to assail.'

This last sentence may serve as an example of the Untruststyle in which the indictment against the Vandals has charracter been framed. It is evident that they committed all socount, the excesses which might be expected from a horde of triumphant barbarians, greedy beyond measure of gold, and utterly reckless of human life, but it is also evident that the very blunders of their savage warfare have been made to appear as parts of a diabolical machinery of cruelty by the ecclesiastical pamphleteer ${ }^{\text {? }}$.

When we come to the details of the Vandal perse-

## ' ' Via Coelestis.'

${ }^{2}$ Gibbon sensibly remarks, 'I cannot believe that it was a usual stratagem to slanghter great numbers of their prisoners before the walls of a besieged city, for the sole purpose of infecting the air and producing a pestilence, of which they themselves must have been the first victims.' (VoL. iv. p. 182, ed. Smith.)

воок ini. cution of the Catholics under Gaiseric (for we bave no

CH .2.
Very few clear cames of martyrdom. present concern with that which happened in the next generation), we find further reason to suppose that there has been some exaggeration in the passages already quoted. Two bishops, Papinianus and Mansuetus, seem to have been burnt, but there is something in the language of the historian here which leads us to conjecture that this was the work of cruel pillagers victor.Vit rather than a solemn state-sunctioned martyrdom. The i. 5 Bishop of Carthage, 'What-God-wills ${ }^{1}$ ', and a great multitude of his clergy, were put on board unsound ships and sent out to sea, but they were favoured with a prosperous wind, and arrived in Campania, safe in body, though stripped of all their possessions. The churches of Cathage were claimed for the Arian worship, among them two stately and noble edifices outside the walls, which commemorated respectively the martyrdom and burial ${ }^{2}$ of St. Cyprian. 'But who,' says the good Victor, 'can bear to remember without tears that Gaiseric ordered us to bear the bodies of our dead, without the solemnity of hymns, in silence to the grave?' When this silent-burial grievance of the African Catholics assumes so prominent a place in the catalogue of their woes, we may perhaps conclude that the religious persecution, considered apart from the mere rapine of the barbarians, was not extremely severe.

A deputation of bishops and leading men of the
${ }^{1}$ Quod-vult-Deus.
${ }^{2}$ The latter church was called Mappalia, 'the Hnts,' showing the
humble origin from whence it had sprung. It is interesting to meet
again this word Mappalia, which Sallust mentions as the name of the
long, hull-shaped dwellings of the Numidian rustics in the time of
Jugurtha.
provinces which the Vandals had divided among them- $\mathbf{~ B o o r i n i . ~}$ selves, waited upon the King, when he had gone down, Cr. 2 . as his custom was, to the coast of Numidia ${ }^{1}$, perbaps to inhale such freshness as might be found in the seabreezes. They pleaded with him to restore to the Orthodox some places in which they might worship God. 'What? Are you here still?' he bade his interpreter ${ }^{2}$ say to the bishops. 'I decreed the banishment of your whole name and race: and yet you dare to ask for such things.' And so great was bis anger that he would fain have drowned them all at once in the Mediterranean at his feet, bad not his counsellors after long entreaty persuaded him to abandon his purpose. They departed and continued their service of God in such lowly dwellings as they could obtain, not unlike probably to those in which Paul had discoursed till break of day, and the elders of Ephesus had fed the flock of God. For some years, we infer from the language of the historian, this unobtrusive worship of the Catholics was permitted, if not expressly sanctioned. Then came victor vit. denunciations and calumnies, especially against those priests who officiated 'in the regions which paid tribute to the Palace.' If one of these, in his sermons to his flock, happened to mention the name of Pharaoh, or Nebuchadnezzar, or Holofernes, or any similar tyrantand we may conjecture that these references were rather more frequent than were absolutely needful to explain the Lessons for the day-he was accused of speaking against the person of the King, and banishment was his immediate sentence. For this cause a whole batch

[^147]воокiII. of bishops (among whom we find 'He-has-God,', Bishop

CH. 2. of Teudala) was banished at once, and the Holofernes of their denunciation would not allow the consecration of any successors to their sees. At length, on the i. 8. urgent entreaty of Valentinian, he permitted the Orthodox Church of Carthage to ordain for itself a bishop, the gentle and charitable 'Thanks-to-God,,' who for 454-457. three years governed the Metropolitan See with general approval. On his death there was another long interval of widowhood for the Churches, till at last, about the year 475 , towards the very end of the reign of Gaiseric, on the intercession of Zeno, Emperor of the East, the surviving bishops were permitted to return from the widely-scattered seats of their long banishment.

Other oppressions of the Catholic congregations.

Vict. Vit. i. 12. of the bishops, other oppressions were practised upon the Orthodox. The demand made in the time of Diocletian for the surrender of sacred books and vessels was repeated. The officer of the barbarians, a man with the Roman name of Proculus, who was sent to enforce this demand, finding his authority resisted, laid violent hands on all the treasures of the sacristies that he could find, and adding contumely to rapine, caused the beautiful altar-cloths which were already used in the Churches to be cut up into shirts and drawers for lis followers. The sacrilege was remembered, and was deemed to have been divinely punished when, not long after, Proculus died of cancer in the tongue. In a town called Regia a battle took place between Catholics and Arians for the possession of the Church, which reminds us of the last fatal fray in St. Mark's Chapel at Florence at the time of the downfall of Savonarola. It was

[^148]Easter-time : the Catholics were celebrating the festival, boor inf. and the Arians finding the doors of the Church closed against them, under the guidance of a Presbyter named Andiot ${ }^{1}$, got together a band of armed men and proceeded to hammer at the doors, to mount the roofs of the neighbouring houses, to shoot their arrows through the windows of the Church. The people within the Church loudly chanted the defiant Alleluia; especially one Lector, who was sitting in the pulpit, made his voice heard above the tumult. An arrow which was shot through the window transfixed his throat, still quivering with the holy hymn; the roll from which he was singing dropped at his feet, and the Lector fell down dead. In rushed the assailant Arians and slew around the altar nearly all the survivors from the previous fight, the older men being especially selected as victims of their wrath.

We have seen how it fared with churches and The king's churchmen at the hands of the Vandals; let us now behariour see how individual laymen were dealt with. Sebastian, vian. vich. vit. the before-mentioned son-in-law of Bonifacius, a keen- i. ${ }^{\text {i. }}$ witted counsellor and brave warrior, had shared the ill-fortune of his kinsman, and after the fatal conflict between him and Aetius, had been driven forth from Ravenna and wandered over the face of the earth. First Constantinople and then the Visigothic court had been his asylum, and he had won Barcelona from the Empire for Theodoric. At last in $440^{2}$ he quarrelled with the Visigoths also and sought refuge in Africa. Gaiseric, who had feared him as a foe, welcomed him as a suppliant, and would gladly have promoted him to

[^149]soonirr. great honour. But he was a Catholic, and for that
C.. 2. reason formidable to the Arian king who could not reckon upon him with certainty while he belonged to the rival Church. One day, in the presence of his courtiers and Arian bishops, Gaiseric said to Sebastian, 'I know that your faith is firmly pledged to me and mine, but it would make our friendship more lasting, if here, in the presence of these holy men, you would profess yourself a follower of the same religion which is dear to me and to my people.' Sebastian answered, 'I beseech you, oh king, order that a loaf of the finest and whitest flour be now brought hither.' The king, wondering what could be his meaning, gave the order : the bread was brought, and Sebastian said, ' Oh king! to prepare this white bread and make it fit for the royal table, the wheat had to be separated from the chaff, the flour to be carefully bolted from the bran, the mill-stone, water, and fire had each to do their work upon it before it attained this spotless purity. Even so have I been from my youth up separated from all heretical contagion, the Church has made me hers by the water of baptism, and the fire of the Holy Spirit has purified me. Now if by crumbling up this bread into little pieces and baking it afresh you can increase its whiteness, then I will take up with another faith and become an Arian as you desire me. $\sigma$ But if not I remain a Catholic.' The king saw that he had the worst of the argument for that time, 'but afterwards he tried a different sort of logic and put that brave man to death.'

The same command 'to pass over to the sect of the Arians' was given to four men of Spanish birth, Arcadius, Probus, Paschasius, and Eutychius, who had
served Gaiseric with fidelity and stood high among his bourirr. counsellors. Their persistent refusal was punished by Cॄ. 2 . exile, tortures, and eventually by martyrdom. A young lad named Paulillus, brother of Paschasius and Eutychius, whose beauty and talents had gained him a high place in the royal household, was for the same reason cruelly flogged and then sent into vile bondage. The crown of martyrdom was not awarded him, that the king might be spared the disgrace of being vanquished by a boy of such tender years ${ }^{1}$.

Eventually the order was given that none but Arians Parsecushould be tolerated about the Court and person of the $\begin{gathered}\text { tion of } \\ \text { irngast. }\end{gathered}$ king. A certain Armogast, who must have been $a_{i_{i} 1_{4}}^{\text {Vict. }}$ Teuton by his name, and who seems to have been a Count ${ }^{2}$ by office, refused to conform to the Courtly religion. The persecutors tried to change his resolution with the rack and the cord, but the cords, we are assured, broke like spider's webs when the saint looked towards heaven. They hung him head downward by one foot from the ceiling, and he slept as sweetly as if he had been on a feather bed. His master, Theodoric, the king's son, wished to slay him out of hand, but was wisely warned by his Arian chaplain, 'If you kill him with the sword, the Romans will preach him up as a martyr.' The former Count was therefore seut into the fields dig ditches and to keep sheep. There he soon died, but not before he had disclosed to a faithful disciple the approaching day of his death, and the place destined for his burial, a place apparently obscure and sordid, but where the obedient disciple,

[^150]воок III. when he came to dig, found a sarcophagus of the most

CR. 2. splendid marble prepared for the reception of the saint's body.
The Come An example of firm adherence to the faith was found dian-Confessor.

Vict. Vit. i. 15 . where it would scarcely have been looked for, among the comic actors who performed before the new barbaric Court. A certain 'arch-mime,' named Masculanus, bad been long pressed by the king, with flatteries and promises, to join the religion of the dominant caste. As he ever stood firm, Gaiseric gave public orders for his execution, but, with his usual hard craftiness, being determined not to present the Catholic Church with a single martyr more for her veneration, he gave the following secret commands to the executioner. 'If he flinches at the sight of the sword and denies his faith then kill him all the more ${ }^{1}$, for then he cannot be considered a martyr. But if he remains firm, sheathe your sword again and let him go free.' Perhaps the acting of the executioner, perplexed by such intricate orders, failed to deceive the practised eye of the arch-comedian. At any rate he stood 'firm as a pillar on the solid rock of Christ,' and saved both life and truth. 'And thus,' says the historian, 'if that envious enemy refused to allow us a martyr, he could not prevent our having a confessor ${ }^{2}$, and a glorious one.'
Vict. vit. In a similar manner a certain Saturus, steward over i. 16 . himself conspicuous in many discussions with the Arians, was ordered to change his religion. Riches

[^151]and honours were promised him in the event of his boorm. compliance; tortures for himself, poverty for his children, another and apparently a hated husband for his of Simaturus. wife, were to be the punishments of his refusal. That wife joined her entreaties to those of the persecutors, begging him not to subject her to the yoke of a base and unworthy h $\neq$ sband, 'while the husband Saturus, of whom I have so often boasted, still lives.' 'Thou speakest as one of the foolish women speaketh,' replied the African Job. ' If thou truly lovedst thy husband, thou wouldst not seek to entice him to his second death, I am ready to give up wife and children, and house, and lands, and slaves ${ }^{1}$, that I may continue to be a disciple of Christ.' The cruel and unjust sentence was executed. 'Saturus was spoiled of all his substance, was worn down with punishment, was sent away into beggary. His wife was given to a camel-driver. He was forbidden to return to the Court; they took every thing from him, but they could not take away the white robe of his baptism.'

The reader has now before him the chief evidence The Afriagainst the Vandals as religious persecutors during the $\begin{gathered}\text { cantions } \\ \text { conse }\end{gathered}$ first generation after their conquest of Africa. He may illustrate
 reasonably ask why there should be set before him, with tions of so much detail, facts which have no direct bearing on $\begin{gathered}\text { and Arians } \\ \text { throughout }\end{gathered}$ the History of Italy. The answer is that our informa- the Emtion as to the social aspects of the struggle between Romans and Barbarians in Italy itself during the fifth century is so miserably meagre, we might almost say

[^152]воок III во absolutely non-existent, that we must be content to Cн. 2. supply the deficiency to the best of our power from what we know of the mutual relations of conquerors and conquered, of Arians and Orthodox, in other provinces of the Empire, especially in Africa and Gaul And this peculiar attitude of the Teutonic nations towards their Catholic subjects in the dawn of the Middle Ages, tanding as it did to sever for a time the connection of the Orthodox Clergy with the State, and to throw them back into somewhat of their old position as men of the people, and sympathisers with the people, is so important with reference to the subsequent growth and development of the Spiritual Power, that it cannot be said we are wasting time in considering it a little more closely.

Character of the persectu- 1 tion.

Churches demolished.

Bishopa banished.

Reviewing then the indictment which has been framed by Victor Vitensis against the persecutor Gaiseric, we come to the following conclusions:-
I. It is clear that the Churches were as a rule either handed over to the Arians for their worship, or else destrosed. And it is this wanton demolition and desecration of ecclesiastical buildings which more than anything else has caused the name of Vandalism to be synonymous in later days with senseless destructiveness.
2. The bishops were for the most part banished, and their flocks were forbidden to elect successors to them. The Vandal king, himself surrounded by Arian bishops, knew, better probably than Decius or Diocletian, how sore a blow, according to the prevailing theories of ecclesiastical organization, he was thus dealing at the very existence of the Church. But under the influence of occasional solicitations from Rome and from Byzantium, he wavered more than once in the execution of this
stern policy ; and even had he been always constant to booriir. it, one cannot easily see how the mere mandate of the C․ 2. king could have permanently and universally prevented the consecration of at least some bishops, and the transmission of the episcopal prerogatives, throughout the whole province of Africa.
3. Individual Catholics were not as a rule persecuted Individual on account of their faith. Occasionally the headstrong generally arrogance of the king or his sons was roused into fury by the discovery that the officers of their household, or the menials who ministered to their amusement, would not yield servile obedience to their nod in all things, but claimed a right in matters appertaining to God to act according to the dictates of their own consciences. But even in these cases, from mere motives of expediency, Gaiseric was intensely anxious to avoid making new martyrs for the Catholic Church. And as to the great mass of the people, the down-trodden slaves who tilled the vast domain lands of the crown, or the hungry coloni who eked out a scanty subsistence on the edge of the desert, or even the traders and artisans of Hippo and of Carthage, Gaiseric was too much of a statesman to attempt to convert them wholesale, by persecution, to Arianism, and probably too little of a theologian to care greatly whether truth, or what he deemed to be error, was being suppliad as food to the souls of all that base-born crew. In the heart of the Teuton invader there perhaps lurked the thought that the confession of Nicaea was good enough for slaves, and that it was well for the free-born warrior of the north to keep his own bolder speculations to himself. The willingness to persecute was clearly in the hearts of these Vandals. They did not in the slightest degree recognise the right of the

воокiri. individual conscience to decide for itself how best to
Cह. 2. express its loyalty to the Great Maker. But they had some dim perception what it was worth while for the ruler to attempt, and what be had better leave to itself. And, above all, their action in the Church, as in the State, was rude, fitful, and ill-sustained. The quiet, grinding oppression which the Roman Caesars practised upon the Donatist and the Arian, bore to the spasmodic outbreaks of Vandal bigotry the same relation which the pressure of a hydraulic ram bears to the random strokes of a child's hammer ${ }^{1}$.

Gaiseric receives Endoxis's message.

Such then was the state of the Vandal kingdom, when, in the year 455, twenty-seven years after the passage of the Barbarians into Africa, and sixteen after their conquest of Carthage, the cry of the widowed Eudoxia for help reached the court of Gaiseric ${ }^{2}$. Little stimulus did the great Buccaneer need to urge him to the spoil of the capital of the world. It was clear that 'the city with which God was angry' this time was Rome, and the pilot had not to ask his master twice for sailing orders. ' It was in the early days of June when the sentinels at Ostia saw the Vandal fleet in the offing. The helpless consternation which prevailed at Rome has been already described,-no attempt to man the walls, not even courage enough to parley with the enemy, only a blind universal sauve qui peut which the Emperor himself would fain have joined in, bad he not been arrested by the indignant people, and torn limb

[^153]from limb by the Imperial domestics, a sacrifice to the Boor iII. Manes of Valentinian.

On the third day ${ }^{1}$ after the death of Maximus, ${ }^{455}$. Gaiseric, with his yellow-haired Vandal giants, appeared dale at at the before the gates of the defenceless city. Utterly defence- Rome. less, as far as the weapons of the flesh were concerned; but the majestic Bishop Leo, followed probably by a train Intereesof venerable ecclesiastics, met him outside the gates of $\begin{gathered}\text { sion of } \\ \text { Pope } \\ \text { Le }\end{gathered}$ the city, eager to discover whether the same spiritual weapons which he had wielded so well three years before against the mighty Hun by the banks of the Mincio would avail now by the banks of the Tiber against the yet more dreaded Vandal. The Pope's success was not complete, yet it was something. Gaiseric's sole object was booty, not power now, nor revenge, only that simple and intelligible motive which led Pizarro and his adventurers to the capital of the Incas, and which made their eyes gleam when they gazed upon Atahualpa's room of gold. This being Gaiseric's one desire, he could well afford to concede to the Pope that there should be no putting to death, no burning of public or private buildings, and he also granted, what it must have been harder for a Vandal to yield, that no torture should be applied to compel a discovery of hidden treasure. Having framed this secular Concordat with the occupant of the chair of St. Peter, the Vandal king passed in, and rode slowly through the unresisting city. For fourteen days-that interval at least was distinctly fixed on the memories of the Romans, and every chronicler reports it as the same, whatever their variations on other points-for fourteen

[^154]bookini days the city was subjected to 'a leisurely and unhinСв. 2. dered ${ }^{\prime}$ ' examination and extraction of its wealth. The gold, the silver, and the copper were carried away from the Imperial Palace, and stored with business-like thoroughness in the Vandal galleys. The churches were probably despoiled of their ornaments and plate. The Temple of Jupiter Capitolinus was pillaged, and half of its roof was stripped off, ' which was made of the finest copper, with a thick coating of gold over it, magnificent and wonderful.' Why only half should have been taken we know not ; such moderation is surprising and almost painful to behold. Possibly the Barbarians commenced the laborious process in the belief that they were stripping off solid gold, and desisted from it when they found that their reward would be only copper gilt. Statues too, good store of them, were carried off and loaded upon one of Gaiseric's vessels. Most unhappily, this one ship, out of all the fleet, foundered on the return voyage. The marble limbs of many a Nymph and Faun, of many a dweller on Olympus, and many a deified dweller on the Palatine, must have been lying for these fourteen centuries, fathoms deep in the Sicilian or Carthaginian waters. If the engineers of the electric cable in spinning their marvellous web from continent to continent should come across the sunken cargo of that Vandal trireme, may it be in our own day, and may we see that harvest from the deep!

But on the whole it is clear from the accounts of all the chroniclers that Gaiseric's pillage of Rome, though insulting and impoverishing to the last degree, was in no sense destructive to the Queen of cities. Whatever

[^155]he may have done in Africa, in Rome he waged no war bookmi. on architecture, being far too well employed in storing C.e. 2. away gold and silver and precious stones, and all manner of costly merchandise in those insatiable hulks which were riding at anchor in the Tiber. Therefore, when you stand in the Forum of Rome or look upon the grassgrown hill which was once the glorious Palatine, blame if you like the Ostrogoth, the Byzantine, the Lombard, blame above all, the Norman, and the Roman Baron of the Middle Ages, for the heart-breaking ruin that you see there, but leave the Vandal uncensured, for, notwithstanding the stigma conveyed in the word 'vandalism,' he is not guilty here '.

Among the spoils which were carried in safety from vesels Rome to Carthage were, we are told, the sacred veasels of temple of the Jewish Temple with the sculptured effigies of ${ }^{\text {casried off. }}$ which, on the Arch of Titus, we are all familiar. No contemporary historian refers to them, and we might have been disposed to reject the story of their capture as a romance of later writers, but that in the next century we find Procopius, the friend and companion of Belisarius, distinctly asserting that on the fall of the Vandal monarchy, these vessels with countless other treasures, golden saddles, golden carriages for the ladies of the court, hundreds of thousands of talents of silver, and all kinds of ornaments inlaid with precious stones, were found in the palace of Gelimer, great grandson of Gaiseric. All the rest of the glittering spoil was taken to Byzantium, and having given lustre to the triumph

[^156]воокiII. of Belisarius, was there retained; but the vessels which
Cn. 2. had been consecrated to the service of Jehovah were carried back to Jerusalem, and placed in the Christian churches there, a Jew, who saw them among the spoil, having pointed out to a friend of the Emperor's that their presence (like that of the Ark in the towns of the Philistines) had brought capture and desolation first on Rome and then on Rome's Vandal conquerors.

Captivity of Eudozis and ber daughtera.

But the fortunes of the sacred vessels of the Jewish worship have carried us eighty years away from our present moorings. We return to Gaiseric and his trea-sure-laden fleet. He took back with him to Carthage Eudoxia, the widow of two Emperors and the daughter of a third. It was probably a greater kindness to take her as a captive to Carthage than to leave her face to face with the exasperated people of Rome, upon whom her blind desire for revenge on Maximus had brought so much misery. In the captive train also were her two daughters, Eudocia and Placidia, and (strange companion of their adversity) the son of Aetius, Gaudentius, who had once aspired to the hand of one of them. But the match upon which Aetius had set his heart so earnestly was not to be brought about by their common captivity. Gaiseric gave the elder princess, Eudocia, in marriage to his son Huneric, being the second princess of the house of Theodosius who was wedded to a Teutonic prince. One would like to believe tbat the young Vandal, while a hostage in Rome, had won the heart of the daughter of the Emperor ; but as he must certainly have returned before the surprise of Carthage (439) this cannot be His fer wife mas but a babe in arms when he ins loiterir, the palace of her father. The other prindess, Placidia, with her mother, after seven
years detention at Carthage, where they were treated boonimi. with all honour and courtesy, was sent to Constantinople, on the earnest entreaty of the Emperor Leo. She married the Roman Senator Olybrius, whose name we shall meet with among the last Emperors of Rome.

Besides the Empress and her daughters, the Vandal Other host carried a great multitude of Roman citizens back coptives. with them into captivity. It was like one of the great transportations of unwilling multitudes which we read of in the Jewish Scriptures as practised by a Shalmaneser or a Nebuchadnezzar. The skilful craftsman, the strong labourer, the young and handsome cupbearer, the experienced house-steward, were all swept away, all ruthlessly sundered from one another, husbands from wives, and parents from children, and distributed as bondslaves through Morocco, Algiers, and Tunis ${ }^{1}$. It is a strange thought, how many drops of pure Roman blood may now be flowing through the veins of the half-civilized inhabitants of Northern Africa, A Kabyle robber from Mount Atlas, with cotton burnous, such as I remember to have seen in captivity on the Isle St. Honorat, near Cannes, spreading his carpet, turning his face towards the setting sun, and jabbering out his long and rapid prayer from the Koran, may be a truer descendant of the Fabii and the Camilli than any living inhabitant of the Eternal City.
The sufferings of the unhappy captives from Rome Charity of were to some extent, but it could only be to a small tieas. extent, alleviated by the charity of the saintly Bishop of Carthage, 'Deo-Gratin $\mathrm{He}^{\prime}$ ? all the gold and

1 'They took many thousands of captivis, lecordi-1 sach by their age or their akill (arte) pleased them,' are the words of Yrosper.

ноок III silver vessels of his church in order to ransom such
C. 2. captives as he could, and as much as possible to prevent the disruption of the family ties of those whom he could not ransom. There were no proper warehouses for receiving all this vast human live-stock which the freebooters had brought back with them. He placed two large basilicas at their disposal; he fitted them up with beds and straw; he even took upon himself the heavy charge of the daily commissariat. Sea-sickness, pining for home, the sad and awful change from the luxury of the Roman villa to the miseries of a Vandal slave-ship, had prostrated many of the captives with disease. He turned his church into an infirmary : notwithstanding his advanced age and his tottering limbs, day and night he went the round of the beds of his patients, following the doctors like a careful nurse, making himself acquainted with the state of each, seeing that each received the food and medicine which was suited to his condition. Often, while he was thus moring through the wards of his basilica-hospital, intent on his work of mercy, must the words 'Deo Gratias' have risen to the feeble lips of the sufferers, who, perhaps, scarcely knew themselves whether they were expressing gratitude to Heaven or to Heaven's fitlynamed representative on earth. Before his charitable work was complete, his life, which had been threatened more than once by the violence of the Arian party, who were jealous even of his goodness, came to a peaceful close; and when they heard that he was taken from them, the captive citizens of Rome felt as if they were a second time delivered into the hands of the Barbarians. He was buried secretly in
an unusual place, to guard his body from the pious bookin. irreverence of relic-hunters, who would have dis- Сн. 2. membered the venerable corpse in their eagerness to obtain wonder-working memorials of so great a saint.

And so we leave the many thousands of Roman captives to the unrecorded sorrows of their house of bondage.

## NOTE E. Chronology of the Vandal Kings.

nOTE E. There are some difficulties besetting the subject of the Chronology of the Vandal dominion in Africa, and though no question of importance tarns upon them, and I have no reason to dissent (except in one particular where I follow Tillemont) from the ordinary chronology as given in Gibbon's ' Decline and Fall,' it may be worth while to point out what these difficulties are, and on what foundation the received chronology rests.

The first point, the only one that can now be considered a matter of controversy, is the date of the Passage of the Fandals into Africa. As to this it may be well to quote the entry of Prosper in full.
" Hiehio et Ardabcri Coss [=427].
"Bonifacio, cujus potentia gloriaque in Africa augebatur, bellum ad arbitrinm Felicis, quia ad Italiam venire abnaerat, publico nomine illatum est, ducibus Mavortio et Galbione et Sinoce, cajus proditione Mavortius et Galbio, cum Bonifacium obsiderent interempti sunt : moxque ipse a Bonifacio dolo detectus occisus est. Exinde gentibus, quae uti navibus neaciebant, dum a concertantibus in auxilium vocantar, mare perviam factum est, bellique contra Bonifacium coepti in Sigisvultum Comitem cura translata est.
"Gens Vandalorum ab Hispaniis ad Africam transiit."
Frlice et Tauro Coss [ $=428$ ].
Then follow the events of this year which do not relate to Africa.
The anthor of the Chronicon Imperiale, or the so-called 'Tiro,' says ' VIII Theodosii II post mortem Honorii[=43I], Wandali in Africam transfretantes, ingentem, laceratâ omni provinciâ, Romanis cladem dedere.' (This is evidently wrong, as Augastine's death during the siege of Hippo by the Vandals is quite clearly fixed to 430 .)

Idatius writes 'V Theodosii II post mortem Hono:ii, Gaisericus No'te e. rex de Baeticae provinciae litore cum Wandalis omnibus eorumque familiis mense Majo ad Mauretaniam et Africam relictis transit Hispaniis.'

Clinton truly remarks that, according to Idatius' chronology, the fifth year of Theodosius II after the death of Honorius would be 429 , since he gives Honorins' reign a year more than its due, and thus pats his death in 424 instead of 423.

Still, as a matter of fact, the fifth year of Theodosius II after his uncle's death woald be not 429 but 428 ; and it is clear that some of Idatius' dates require correction. Take, for instance, the papal accessions. Celestine I's accession is four years too late, 426 instead of 422 ; that of Sixtus III two years, 434 instead of 4.32 ; that of Leo I one year, 441 instead of 440 . Evidently there is a tendency at this part of Idatius' Chronicon to bring down his dates too low, and this may be in part owing to his having made the reign of Honorias too long by one year (Tillemont makes this remark. 'Idace ne le met néanmoins qu'en 429, mais ce serait s'éloigner beaucoup de S. Prosper. Et peutétre cela ne vient-il que de cequ'il a mis la mort d'Honoré un an trop tard, ce qui brouille beaucoup sa suite').

Cassiodorws here, as elsewhere, for the most part, does little more than transcribe Prosper.

Marcellinus has no entry on the subject.
Fictor Tunnunensis does not begin till 444.
Isidore, in his ' Historia Wandalorum,' has nnder 'Era quadringentesima sexagesima septima' [the 467th year of the Spanish Era corresponding with A. D. 429]. 'Gesericas frater Gunderico succedit in regno annis quadraginta. Qui ex Catholico effectus Apostata in Arianam primus fertur transisse perfidiam. Hic de Baeticae provinciae litore cum Vandalis omnibus eorumque familiis ad Mauritaniam et Africam relictis transit Hispaniis.

Isidore appears bere to be transcribing Idatias, and therefore adopts his chronology. Isidore's own Vandal chronology, as we shall see farther on, is an inconceivable muddle of errors.

The Chronicon Paschale (sen Alexandrinum) has the entry ' 11 th year of the 20th Indiction [428] Consulship of Felix and Taurus. Under these Consuls the Vandals entered Africa.'

This date, 428 , I believe to be the true date of the Vandal in-
note e. vasion of Africa, Herein, I follow Tillemont, and differ from Pagi, Gibbon, and Clinton, who put it in 429.

My reasons for this view are-
(I) The Paschal Chronicle, which seems to be accurate in its dates at this point, is the only one, except Prosper, which mentions the names of the Consuls under whom the event occorred. Any one who studies the principle on which these lists are composed, will see how extremely easy it is for an event to be dated a year too high or too low, when only the number of the regnal year is given. Much less is the chance of error when the date is linked with the names of the Consuls.
(2) It is generally admitted that Prosper's apparent date (427) is too early, since the war waged by the Court of Ravenna against Bonifacius, which was the cause of the invitation, only began in that year, and there is some reason to respect the statement of Idatins that the Vandals crossed in May.

I am not sure, however, that Prosper is really an adverse authority to the date 428. It will be seen that he describes under 427 at some length the war against Bonifacius, and then at the end of this entry, and immediately before 'Felice et Tauro Coss,' says ' Gens Vandalorum ab Hispaniis ad Africam transiit.' He perhape, therefore, means to describe under 427 rather the events which led up to the crossing of the Mediterranean than the crossing itself.

The over-ranning of at least four African provinces, and the capture of all their cities bat three, are events quite sufficient to fill up the two years between 428 and the siege of Hippo.

The next event of importance in Vandal chronology is the
Taking of Carthage. This is fired by the consenting voice of Prosper, Idatius, Cassiodorus (who must be looked apon as only an echo of Prosper), Marcellinus, and the Paschal Chronicle to the year 439 (Consulship of Theodosius and Festus). 'Tiro,' who assigns it to 444, may be safely pronoanced inaccurate. Idatins and Marcellinus agree that the capture was in the month of October, Idatins placing it on the Igth and Marcellinus on the 23rd of that month. The Paschal Chronicle also places it $\mu \eta \nu$ : ' $\Upsilon \pi \in \rho \beta \epsilon \rho \epsilon \tau a l \varphi$, which corresponds with October.

Prosper says that Carthage was taken by the Vandals 'Anno postquam Romana esse coeperat duxxxy' (alias dixxinir). The Vatican MS. of Prosper says 'Cartago capitur a Vandalis anno
postquam Romana esse coeperat quingentesimo octogesimo nOTEE. quarto.' As the year of the Roman capture of Carthage was B. C. 146 , these dates correspond to 439,437 , and 438 respectively.

Still, as before said, there can be no doubt that the true date is near the end of October, 439.

The Death of Gaiseric took place on or about the 25th January, 477: We get this date from Victor Vitensis (I. 17), who says (reckoning from the capture of Carthage), 'Duravit in regno annis triginta septem mensibus tribus': and who is confirmed by the appendix to Prosper (Augustan MS. in Roncalli's Chronica I. 702). 'Post consulatum' (this, as Clinton remarks, must be corrected to 'Consulatu') 'Theodosii XVII et Festi, Geisericus Wandalorum Rex Carthaginem ingreasus eat die xiv Kalendarum Novembrium [ $=19$ October, 439 ; the date given by Idatins]. 'Qui regnarit eandem Africam civitatem annis rxxvii, mensibas iii, diebus vi.'

Gaiseric therefore died 25 January, 477.
Reigns of Gaiseric's successors. From the same appendix to Prosper (Roncalli I. 702) we get our most accurate chronology of these reigns.
' Post hanc regnavit Hunerix, filius ejus annis vii, mensibus $x$, diebus $x$ viii.'

Accession of Huneric, 25 Jam. 477.
Death of $\quad 13$ Dec. 484.
' Post eam regnavit Guntamundas Gentunis ejusdem Hunerici Regis fratris filius annos xi, menses ix, dies xi.'

Accession of Gunthamund, 13 Dec. 484.
Death of
24 Sep. 496.
'Post quem regnavit Trasamundus Gentunis filius annos ruvi, menses viii, dies iv.'

Accession of Thrasamund, 24 Sep. 496.
Death of " $\quad 28$ May, 523 .
' Post quem regnavit Hildrix filins Hunerici annos viii, dies viii.'

Accession of Hilderic, 28 May, 523 .
Dethronement of „ 5 Jane, 53 .
'Quo regnante assumpta tyrannide Geilamer regnum ejus invadit in quo sedit annos iii, menses iii.'

Accession of Grlimer, 5 June, 53 I.
End of reign of " 5 Sep. 534 .
note e. But this brings down the dethronement of Gelimer a year too low, as we know that the expedition of Belisarius against Carthage sailed in June, 533, and had accomplished all its work, inoluding the captivity of Gelimer himself, by March, 534 . We find also that we have one year too many, from the summation made by Prosper's continuer himself. 'Fiont ergo ab exordio Regis Geiserici usque ad exitum Wandalorum anni xciii, menses x , dies xi.'

But the numbers above given add up to 94 years, 10 months, and 16 days. We therefore reduce the reign of Gelimer to ii years and iii months (agreeing herein with the tenour of the narrative of Procopius), and thus the end of the reign of Gelimer is brought to 5th September, 533: almost the exact date of Belisarius' landing in Africa, According to the view of an Imperialist chronicler the Vandal domination in Africa would end de jure as soon as Justinian's army entered the province.

Upon the whole it must be admitted that this chronology has been preserved with great accuracy, and it accords with the general course of the history.

Very different is the judgment which must be passed upon the only system of Vandal chronology, which has any pretension to compete with that of Prosper and his continuer ${ }^{1}$, namely, that of Isidore of Seville. This Spanish bishop (who lived from about 560 to 636 ) in his ' Historia Wandalorum,' which is compiled chiefly from Idatins and Victor Tunnunensis, gives us a series of dates, which is apparently very complete, but which must be the result of some bewildered back-reckoning of events, and is entirely and hopelessly inaccurate. His dates are given according to the Spanish Era or Era of Augustus, which corresponds with $3^{8}$ в.c.; bat translating them into dates of the Christian Era they are as follows:-
Irruption of the Vandals and allied'
nations into Gaul . . . A.D. $366^{2}$ should be 406.
Their entry into Spain
408 " 409.
Division of Spain between Vandals,
Alans, and Suevi
411

[^157]Reign of Gunderio
18 years
Accession of Gaiseric (who reigned 40 years $^{1}$ ).
Accession of Huneric (who reigned 7 years and 5 months).
Accession of Gunthamund (who reigned i2 years).
Accession of Thrasamand
(who reigned 27 years and 4 months).
Accession of Hilderic
(who reigned 7 years and 3 months).
Accession of Gelimer . . . 522 n 531
Fall of the Vandal monarchy
' Africa was recovered by Belisarius in the 97th year of the entry of the Vandals.' This agrees sufficiently well with Isodore's reckoning (525-429=96). 'The kingdom of the Vandals had lasted 113 years from King Gunderic to the death (interitam) of Gelimer.' According to Isidore's reckoning this interval was 114 years ( $525-411=114$ ), and moreover it was not terminated by the death of Gelimer, bat by his defeat and captivity. It will be seen that the dates of Haneric's and Gunthamund's accossions do not correspond with the periods allotted for the reign of their predecessors. And the whole chronology is so hopelessly at variance with history that the expedition of Belisarins against Carthage is brought to the year 524-5, two years before the accession of Justinian to the Empire. It is clear that Isidore did not understand the rudiments of the subject abont which he professed to inform his readers, and that his scheme of chronology is absolutely worthless.

Of the other chroniclers, Marcellinus Comes does not mention the accessions of the Vandal kings, but is of course acquainted with the true date of the completion of the conquest of Africa (534). He puts it, however, in the 96th year after the capture of Carthage by the Vandals. According to his own dates it should have been the 95th year.

Fictor Tunnunensis is utterly wrong in his earlier Vandal chronology, but struggles into accuracy for the later period. He has evidently been the chief author of confusion to Isidore.

[^158]note e. He pats the death of Gaiseric 'Anno Regni xl' in the year 464 instead of 477.

This mistake, which vitiates all this part of his chronology, perhaps arises from a confusion between the accession of Gaiseric (which may have happened in 424) and the capture of Carthage in 439. But, even so, it is only in round numbers that Gaiserie can be aaid to have reigned at Carthage for 40 years.

The reign of Huneric is said by Victor to have lasted 7 years and 5 months ( 7 years, 5 months, and 18 days, according to Prosper's continuer), but to have ended in 479, though his accession is placed in 464. By this arithmetical blunder 8 years of the redundant 13 , arising from the ante-dating of the death of Gaiseric, are silently cancelled and Haneric's death is made only five years too early.

Gunthamund comes to the throne in 479, reigns 12 years (instead of II years, 9 months, and II days), and dies in 497. The whole of the redundant years are now cancelled, and Thraenmand actually comes to the throne a year too late ( 497 instead of 496).

Thrasamund reigns 27 years and 4 months (instead of 26 years, 8 months, and 4 days), and dies in 523 , the correct year.

Hilderic comes to the throne in 523, and reigns 7 years and 3 months (instead of 8 years and 8 days). This would probably bring his deposition to 530 , bat Victor agrees with Prosper's continuer in fixing it for 531 . The fall of Gelimer is assigned to the year of Belisarius' landing in Africa, 533.

Probably these inaccuracies of the ecclesiastical chroniclers and their desperate attempts to remedy them by a suspension of the laws of arithmetic, are due to the fury of the Vandal persecution, which had caused the registers of the charches to fall into hopeless confusion.

## CHAPTER III.

## THE LLETTERS AND POEMS OF APOLLINARIS SIDONIUS.

## Aathorities.

## Sources:-

Apollinaris Sidonios: edited and translated by Grégoire bookili. and Collombet ( 3 vols., Lyons and Paris, 1836 ). The notes are Ce. 3. full, but both in them and the translation the editors have a disagreeable habit of evading the real difficulties of their anthor.

A somewhat more scholarly edition, but without notes, has recently been put forth by C. Baret (Paris, 1878).

The edition by Christian Luetjohann, which forms the eighth volume of 'Auctores Antiquissimi' in the 'Monumenta Germaniae Historica' (1887), must now be considered as superseding all others, at least as far as the text is concerned.

## Guides:-

Dr. Fertig's ' Apollinaris Sidonius und seine Zeit' (Würzbarg and Passau, 1845,6 and 8 ) is an interesting and helpful sketch. 'Saint Sidoine Apollinaire et son Siècle' (2 vols.) by Albbe Chaix (Clermont-Ferrand, 1866) has some useful information, especially as to ecclesiastical affirs, and is pervaded by a healthy local patriotism, but is too diffuse. The use which Guizot makes of the works of Sidonius in his ' Histoire de la Civilisation en France,' is known to every stadent.

Eiger Emperors, and a space of twenty-one years, 455-476. separate the capture of Rome by Gaiseric from the familiar date of the fall of the Empire of the West,

Book III. It is worth while to do more than enumerate the mere Сн. 3. names of these shadowy Emperors, of whom only one, Majorian, has anything of the dignity of manhood, and who might all, with that one exception, share the title of the last of them, Augustulus, 'The Little Emperor'. Is not Avitus as Severus, and Glycerius as Nepos? May we not take for granted all this history of monotonous feebleness, these sham elections and involuntary abdications, this burlesque of the awful tragedy of the earlier Caesars, and planting ourselves at once in the year 476 , learn amid what accompaniments the twelve centuries of Roman dominion expired?

Reasons for not leaving t etory of the last twenty-one jears of the Empire untold.

Such is naturally one's first thought, but it may well be modified on further reflection. If physiologists have found the study of the humblest forms of life useful, as illustrating the connection between the animal and vegetable worlds, and if some of them have descended into the lowest zones of organic existence in the hope of bringing up from thence some further light on the great problem of Life itself, it may well be, in like manner, that from the study of these, the lowest types of an Emperor which Rome has to set before us, we may learn something as to that inextinguishable idea of the Caesar which not all the storms of the Middle Ages were able utterly to destroy. We shall observe how, even in his deepest degradation, there was something which marked off the Roman Imperator from the Barbarian King. Above all, we shall see how reluctantly even the world of the Northern Invaders parted from the idea of Caesarian rule; how willingly they would have kept the pageant Augustus in his place, if he had been simply able to sit upright in his world-too-wide throne; how, notwithstanding all the
rude blows of Goth, and Hun, and Vandal, the Roman booriir. Empire rather died of internal decline than was slain Or. 8. by the sword of an enemy.

Unfortunately the materials out of which we have to Unestisreconstruct the history of this quarter of a century are chacracter singularly meagre and unsatisfactory. Had the genius of oriarma of a Tacitus, or even the clear, calm intellect of a Sallust, thrown its light over this troublous time, much more had it been possible for a De Tocqueville to have analysed the causes, and a Carlyle to have painted the scenes of this revolution, we might have learned from it many a lesson, useful even in our own day to those who labour to preserve an aged empire from falling. But what can we do when the only really trustworthy authorities for the events of the time are the Annalists, that is to say, some six or seven men, who having the whole history of the world from Belus and Nimrod downwards to relate, can spare only a line or two, at the outside a paragraph of moderate length, for the occurrences of the most eventful years in their own lives. The history of modern Europe, if told by Annalists of this type, would run into some such monld as this -
'A.D. 185 I. The Queen reigning in England, and Louis Bonaparte being President of the French Republic, there was opened in a certain park near to London, a great market-place for all the wares of the world. That was the Palace of Crystal. The Queen of England gave birth to a son, who was named Arthur. Bishops, in obedience to the see of the Holy Peter, had been sent to England. Whom the adherents of the other Church, which is called the Protestant Church, being unwilling to receive, passed a law for-
bookmi. bidding any man to say "God speed" unto them, or
Cr. 8. to salute them by the names of their dioceses. That was called the Ecclesiastical Titles Act. In Paris, the President of the Republic bade many persons to be shot.
' A.D. 1852. The Repablic of France was changed into an Empire, Louis Buonaparte being declared Emperor. He was nephew of the Emperor Napoleon.
'A.D. 1853. The Emperor of Russia sent a proud man, named Menschikoff, as an ambassador, to the Sultan of the Ottomans. There was much dissension between the Emperors of Russia and France touching a certain silver star in the sanctuary at Bethlehem.
' A.D. 1854. It was fought most bloodily between the nation of the Russians on the one side, and those of France, England, and Turkey on the other, in the peninsula which is called the Chersonesus Taurica.
' A.D. 1855. After much slaughter the August City (Sebastopolis) in the Chersonesus Taurica was taken by the armies of France and England, whom the island of Sardinia had also joined.
' A.d. 1856. Peace was made in Paris between the nations which were at war. That was called the Peace of Paris. The treaty was signed by all the ambassadors, using a feather which had been plucked from the wings of a certain eagle. Now the eagle is the emblem of power in France and in Russia, but not in England, for in England the lion is the National emblem. That feather had a silver handle fastened to it, beautiful and costly, and it was given to the wife of the Emperor Napoleon. She was a very beautiful woman, and was named Eugenia.'

No one who has read the chronicles of Idatius, of

Prosper, and of Marcellinus will consider this an unfair boок iri. specimen of their mode of writing annals. After all, Cв. 8. the most important events are there, and we are grateful mingled to the patient scribes who have preserved even so much $\begin{aligned} & \text { lind pry of }\end{aligned}$ for us from the sea of oblivion which was rising high the Annearound them, but from such scanty chronicles as these it is impossible to deduce with certainty the true proportions of those events or their exact relation to one another. We can excuse the brevity of the Annalists, but it is much harder to excuse their occasional prolixity. When we find one of the best of them (Marcellinus) devoting only four lines to the capture of Rome by Alaric, and fifty-four to an idle legend about the discovery at Emesa of the head of John the Baptist, it is difficult not to grumble at the want of appreciation of the relative importance of things which must have existed in the mind of the writer, though he was no monkish recluse but a layman and a governor of a Province.

It is perhaps not surprising that in Italy itself there Why His. should have been this utter absence of the instinct not mitton which leads men to record the events which are going in the fifth on around them for the benefit of posterity. When History was making itself at such breathless speed and in such terrible fashion, the leisure, the inclination, the presence of mind, necessary for writing History, might well be wanting. He who would under happier auspices have filled up the interval between the bath and the tennis court by reclining on the couch in the winter portico of his villa, and there languidly dictating to his slave the true story of the abdication of Avitus or the death of Anthemius, was himself now a slave keeping sheep in the wilderness under the hot Numidian sun, or

воокin. shrinking under the blows of one of the rough soldiers
Св. 8. of Guiseric.

We find it much more difficult to understand why the learned and leisurely Provincials of Greece, whose country for more than a century ( $396-5,7$ ) escaped the horrors of hostile invasion ${ }^{1}$, and who had the grandest literary traditions in the world to inspire them, should have left the story of the downfall of Rome unwritten. But so it was. Zosimus, seeing and foreseeing the inevitable decay, commenced the lamentalle history, but none of his compatriots (if we except the slight references of Procopius) seems to have had the spirit or the inclination to finish it.
Intellect of The fact seems to be that at this time all that was the age wasted on theologioal squabbles. left of literary instinct and historiographic power in the world had concentrated itself on theological, we cannot call it religious, controversy. And what tons of worthless material the ecclesiastical historians and controversialists of the time have left us! Blind, most of them ${ }^{2}$, to the meaning of the mighty drama which was being enacted on the stage of the world, without faith enough in a living God to believe that he could evolve a fairer and better order out of all the chaos round them, anticipating perhaps, the best among them, the speedy return of Christ and the end of the world, they have left us scarcely a hint as to the inner history of the vast revolution which settled the Teuton in the lands of the Latin; while they force upon us details, endless and wearisome, as to the squabbles of self-seeking monks

[^159]and prelates over the decrees of the Council of Chal- boormin. cedon. They describe to us how with stealthy step CL. s. Timothy the Weasel crept into the Patriarchate of Alexandria; his brawls, his banishments, and his death. They are anxious to inform us that Peter the Stammerer succeeded Timothy the Weasel in the Egyptian see, and that Peter the Fuller, his contemporary at Antioch, obtained his episcopate by bloodshed, and signalised it by adding four words to a hymn ${ }^{1}$. Who really cares now for the vulgar bickerings which the ecclesiastical historians relate to us with such exasperating minuteness? The Weasels, the Fullers, and the Stammerers, are all deep in mummy-dust. To the nonChristian the subject of their controversies is imaginary; to the Christian the pretensions of these men of violence and blood to settle anything concerning the nature of the spotless Son of Man are a blasphemy.

To sum up then; from the Annalists we get some Sidonius grains of fine gold, from the Literati of Greece we get authoriity nothing, from the Ecclesiastical Historians we get chiefly for ther iffo rubbish, concerning the history of these eventful years, of period. One man alone, he whose name stands at the head of this chapter, gives us that more detailed information concerning the thoughts, characters, persons of the actors in the great drama which can make the dry bones of the chronologers live. This is Caius Sollius A pollinaris Sidonius, man of letters, Imperial functionary, country-gentleman and bishop, who, notwithstanding much manifest weakness of character, and a sort of epigrammatic dulness of style, is still the most interesting literary figure of the fifth century.

[^160]$\underset{\substack{\text { в. } \\ \text { c. } \\ \text { min. }}}{ }$ Sidonius was born at Lyons about the year 430. His

CE. 8.
His birth and nncestors. father, grandfather, and great-grandfather had each held the high office of Praetorian Prefect in Gaul Upon the whole they had been faithful to the line of Theodosius, though one of them, the grandfather, had derived his office from the usurper Constantine. Such high honours, enjoyed for three generations without any serious reverses, would alone have carried the family of Apollinaris high among the noble houses of Gaul at a time when the hierarchy of office, reaching from the Emperor to the Notary, was incomparably the most important factor in the social system of the provinces. But besides this official position, the wealth, the culture, and the respectable, if not heroic, character of most of the near ancestors of Sidonius placed him at the outset of life on a vantage-ground, from which, whatever he had of literary ability could soon make itself recognised. A man thus situated, born near the centre of the national affairs, and surrounded from his cradle with influential and hereditary friends, knows nothing of that difficulty of 'emerging' which is so forcibly described in the wellknown lines of a Roman poet ${ }^{1}$.
His edncer- Sidonius received at Lyons as good an education probably as a young Roman noble of the fifth century could have met with anywhere in the Empire. It was an education however in words rather than things. Men had ceased to believe in the Olympian gods; so the schoolmasters taught their scholars the name of every Nymph and every Muse. All earnest thought about the nature of the world and the mind of man ran in Christian channels; so they taught elaborately the

[^161]speculations of every Greek philosopher from Thales to bookni. Chrysippus. The sword of the barbarian was carrying C.s. 8 . everything before it in the world of politics; so they went on teaching all the arts of rhetoric by which brilliant orators had won honour for themselves or exile for their adversaries from the sovereign multitude in the cities of free Greece. But though it is easy for us to see how little the teaching of these schools can have done in helping the student to face any of the real difficulties of his after-life, we must, on the other hand, do justice to the vast amount of intellectual activity which still remained in the Empire and which this teaching both denoted aud fostered. Sometimes we think of the hundred years between Theodosius and Theodoric as wholly filled with rapine and bloodshed. Sometimes we carry back into the fifth century the thick darkness which hung over the intellectual life of Merovingian France or Lombard Italy. In both these estimates we are mistaken. A careful perusal of the three volumes of the Letters and Poems of Sidonius reveals to us the fact that in Gaul at any rate the air still teemed with intellectual life, that authors were still writing, amanuenses transcribing, friends complimenting or criticising, and all the cares and pleasures of literature filling the minds of large classes of men just as though no Empires were sinking and no strange nationalities were suddenly rising around them. We need not believe, upon the authority of the highly-wrought panegyrics of Sidonius, that he had a score of friends all more eloquent than Cicero, more subtle than Plato, and diviner poets than Homer or Virgil; but the interesting fact for us is that such forgotten philosophers and poets did exist in that age, and that their works, produced in

воок in. lavish abundance, seem to have had no lack of eager CR. 8.

Impulse towards rhetoric from the oration of Nicetius. studenta.

The impulse towards rhetoric, which was conspicuous in every part of the career of Sidonius, may very likely have been communicated by an oratorical display which he witnessed, in early adolescence, at Arles the Roman capital of Gaul. There, at the commencement of the year 449, the general Asturius was to assume the office of Consul. A crowd of Roman dignitaries assembled to witness the ceremony. In the centre, on a curule chair, sat Apollinaris, Praetorian Prefect of Gaul, and by his side stood his son, the young Sidonius. As one after another of the great persons of the State, consulares, praesides, masters of horse, and masters of foot, tribunes, bishops, notaries, advanced to kiss the purple robe of the representative of the Emperor, each one doubtless spared a less formal salutation for the bright, highly-cultured lad who was watching the scene with eager interest, and with a mind keenly conscious, as it ever was, of the great difference between those who have rank and position and those who have them not. The new Consul was proclaimed, the slave, who was always forthcoming on these occasions, received the buffet from his hand which bestowed freedom ${ }^{1}$, the largesse (sportula) and the ivory tablets, upon which the names of the two new magistrates had been inscribed, were distributed to the people. Then stood forth Flavius Nicetius, and in brilliant, well-chosen words, pronounced the customary panegyric on the virtues and capacities of Consul

[^162]Asturius. The pompous periods, the applause which bookint. followed, the compliments paid and received by the ск.s. smooth-tongued orator, produced a profound impression on the boyish imagination of Sidonius, and we may perhaps conjecture that he secretly resolved that he too would one day be a Prefect like his father, an orator like Nicetius, and a Consul like Asturius. The first two of his aspirations were realised.

> 'The rest the gods dispersed in empty air.'

Sidonius was probably about twenty-one years of age Attile't when the blast of Attila's invasion swept over Belgic ${ }_{4}^{\text {inrasion. }} 451$ Gaul. Sheltered behind the walls of Lyons be felt, in all likelihood, not even the outskirts of the storm. But he may have conversed with Lupus, Anianus, and others of the chief actors in the defence of Gaul, and no doubt his imagination was powerfully impressed by all that he saw and heard of that 'horde of many-nationed spoilers' who, according to the lines which have been already quoted from him ${ }^{1}$, hewed down the trees of the Thuringer Wald to bridge with their rafts the bosom of the Rhine. There was even a possibility that Sidonius might have been the historian of that eventful campaign. His friend Prosper, successor of Anianus in the see of Orleans, urged him to undertake the task. He began to write, apparently in prose, and occupied himself with the origin of the barbarians who composed the host of Attila. But his genius was all for epigram or pompous panegyric. Plain historical narrative wearied him, and moreover the duties of his episcopate (for the work was commenced in the later period of his life) seemed to call him to other occupations. Even the

[^163]bookiII. fragment which he wrote has perished, and we regret
Ca. 8. its loss, for though he was not well-fitted by nature or education to be the historian of such a war, he would assuredly have preserved for us some interesting details with reference to that year of terror.

## Marriage

 ofSidonius.About the time of the Hunnish invasion, or soon after, Sidonius married. His wife, Papianilla, was the daughter of the most powerful citizen of Auvergne, of that Avitus whom we have already met at the court of Theodoric, cementing the alliance between the Romans and the Visigoths against Attila, and whom we are shortly to meet again in a more exalted station. Sidonius was related by descent to the family of Avitus, and this new tie linked him very closely to the mountainous land of the Arverni (the modern Auvergne) with which henceforward his life became more nearly associated than with his own foggy city of Lyons. His marriage also brought him more decisively forward on the broad stage of Imperial politics, and during the years which intervene between 455 and 469 we shall have frequently to rely on his letters and poems for our sole information is to the events which occurred at the court of the Western Emperors.

He retires to Avitacum.

In the year 469 he finally retired from public life and from the court of the Caesars, and took up his abode at the charming villa of Avitacum in Auvergne, part of his wife's dowry, a place of which he has given us, evidently in imitation of the younger Pliny, a description which, though prolix and too much laboured, is not devoid of interest. In this description, notwitbstanding one or two minor discrepancies, which may be easily accounted for by the changes in the configuration of land and water wrouglit during the course of fourteen centuries,
we can still recognise the characteristic features of the boornir. shores of the Lac d'Aydat. This little lake, which is about twelve miles to the south-west of Clermont-Ferrand, lies near the junction of the two great volcanic ranges of the Monts Dôme and the Monts Dore. From two summits of the former range (the Puy de la Vache and the Puy de Lassolas) descended, in that far distant age when the volcanoes of Auvergne were still glowing against the midnight sky, a great stream of molten lava, which has left a wilderness of rock five miles long and in some places a mile wide, sprawling over the once fruitful valley. This stony cataract, with its signifcant Celtic name, La Cheyre ${ }^{1}$, though ugly and desolate itself, has been the cause of beauty to the landscape, for the little stream of Pontava coming down from some other mountains on the west, and finding its course impeded by this barrier of lava, has formed the lovely little lake of Aydat, at the south-western corner of which (if this identification be correct) once stood the villa of Sidonius. There is, of course, no trace of that stately dwelling now. A few humble cottages cluster round the little Romanesque Church, which dates from the twelfth century, and has three round buttress-towers on each side, built apparently only for strength not for ornament. Inside the church, high up on the north wall of the chancel, is a long flat stone coffer built into the wall, and bearing on its front the words

HIC ste [SUNT] DVO IÑOCENTES $\oplus$ ET S. SIDONIVS.
There is a mystery about ' the two Innocents,' nor is it probable that this is the actual burying-place of the poet-bishop, but it may very probably contain some

[^164]poorini relic of the saint, to whom in fact the church appears
C'7. 8. to be dedicated. There is a deep well in an adjoining house said to be of Roman excaration, and a few strokes of the pickaxe in the soil of the little village street bring to light pieces of undoubtedly Roman cement, an evidence probably of a once existing pavement.

But leaving these faint archaeological traces of a past which almost eludes our research, it is pleasant to climb the most easterly of the two hills between which Aydat nestles, and there with the unchanged, or but slightly changed, face of Nature before us, to read the description of his villa given by the Gallo-Roman nobleman. He writes to his friend Domitius, and says ${ }^{1}$ :-
' We are now at Avitacum : that is the name of this property, which having come to me in right of my wife, is even sweeter than a paternal inheritance. A mountain on the west, steep though not rocky ${ }^{2}$, sends forth lower hills, as if from a double focus, which are about four acres apart. But while the ground broadens out sufficiently to afford a fitting vestibule for the house, the sides of the hills hold straight on their course through the valley up to the margin of the villa, which has two fronts, one to the north and the other to the south ${ }^{\text {8 }}$.' Sidonius then goes on to describe with much detail the bath-house, the fish-pond, the women's apartment (triclinium matronale), the pillared portico over-

[^165]looking the lake, the winter-parlour (hiemale triclinium), воок m. the little dining-room (coenatiuncula), and the summerparlour (diversorium aestivum), looking towards the north. 'This room,' he says, 'lets in the daylight, but not the sun, a narrow closet being interposed' (apparently between it and the south face) ' where the drowsy grooms of the chamber sit nodding, though they may not lie down to sleep ${ }^{1}$. How pleasant it is here to let the chirp of the cicalas beat upon one's ear at noon, the croak of the frogs in the twilight, the swans and geese calling upon their mates at night, the cocks crowing in the small hours of the morning, the crows with their augural voice, three times repeated, saluting the ruddy face of rising Aurora, and at daybreak Philomela trilling among the fruit-trees, or Progne (the swallow) twittering upon the palings. To this concert you may join the pastoral Muse, goddess of the seven-holed reed, for oftentimes in their nightly rivalry of song the sleepless Tityri of our mountains make their notes heard in the meadows above the tinkling bells of their flocks. And yet, believe me, all this strife of varied sounds only plunges one into the deeper slumber.
' Below us lies the lake, winding down towards the east, and sometimes when the winds ruffle it, it moistens the stones of the villa, whose foundations are laid in its sandy shores. Its right bank is abrupt, winding and wooded, its left open, grassy, and level. By nautical measurement it is seventeen furlongs in length. A stream enters it which has foamed over the rugged rocks that seek to bar its passage, but which has a short period of tranquillity before it mingles with the

[^166]воок ini lake. Its exit is through hidden subterranean channels,
Сн. 8. which afford a passage to the water, but not to the fish, and these latter, forced back into the lake's slothful tranquillity, grow fat in their prison, and daily swell out a greater extent of pink flesh under their gleaming bellies. Sometimes from the villa we see the fisherman launching forth into the deep, spreading out his nets with their corks floating on the water, or arranging his hook-armed cords at certain well-marked intervals, in order that the greedy trout in their nightly prowlings through the waters may fall into the snares which are laid for their cannibal tastes. For surely it is a fitting stratagem that fish should be tempted by fish to rush upon their own destruction. Sometimes, when the winds bave fallen, the surface of the fickle deep is cloven by a whole fleet of pleasure-boats. In the middle of the lake is a little island, where, upon a natural heap of stones, rises a goal often worn by the blades of the rowers' oars in their nautical contests. For this is the point round which they must steer when they would imitate the Sicilian boat-races of our Trojan ancestors, and many a comic shipwreck takes place here as one boat dashes into another.'

Such, greatly abbreviated and freely translated (for it is hardly possible to translate Sidonius literally), is the description, the not unpleasing description, of the home of a great Gaulish noble under the Empire ${ }^{1}$.
${ }^{1}$ It will be seen that I have adopted the theory that the Avitacum of Sidonius is the modern Aydat. The only other site whose claims are worth considering is the lake of Chambon, about twenty-five miles south-west of Clermont, and immediately under the range of Mont Dore. Having only seen Liac d'Aydat and not Lac Clambon, I have no right to express any opinion of my own as to the respective claims of the two sites, but certainly the argoments of Abbe Cregut in his

After a year or two of seclusion Sidonius re-entered bookirr. public life in a new capacity. He was elected Bishop of the chief city of the Arverni (now called Clermont- eleoted Ferrand), and he continued in the same see for the Arimop of remaining eighteen years of his life ${ }^{1}$. This election ${ }^{47 \mathrm{x} \text { or } 472 .}$ seems to have been a voluntary tribute of respect on the part of his fellow-citizens to an unstained private character, and to the memory of an official career which, if not signalised by any brilliant services to the State, had at least not been abused to sordid and ignoble ends. His position in the literature of the age was both a recommendation and a stumbling-block. It was an honour for a rural diocese in the mountains to have as its president a man who had recited amid the applause of the multitude the panegyrics of three Emperors, whose statue in brass stood between the Greek and the Latin Libraries in the Forum of Trajan, whose letters were humbly prayed for and treasured up as invaluable literary possessions by all the rhetoricians and philosophers of Gaul. Yet, on the other band, his very panegyrics were crammed full of the conceits of Pagan mythology; his Epithalamia, though morally pure, turned, according to the fashion in such compositions, on the voluptuous splendours of the dwelling of Venus.

Essay on Avitacum (Clermont-Ferrand, 1890 ), seem to me very convincing on bebalf of Aydat. The similarity of name (the earlier form of Aydat is Aydac) and the persistent local cultus of St. Sidonius are both of them argaments of weight, but the strongest point is, in my judgment, the character of the exit of the river from the lake. 0 wing to the nature of the wild jumble of rocks (la Cheyre) which form the eastern end of the lake, the Pontuva does escape from it 'per colls sabterranea,' a feature of the landscape which we. are told is entirely wanting at Chambon.
${ }^{1}$ His wife Papianilla was still alive at the t:me of his elevation to the episcopate.

воокiII. on the charms of the bride, surpassing those of all the Сн. 8. heroines of classical antiquity, and on the success of Cupid in piercing with his arrows the bridegroom's heart. This was not exactly the kind of composition which it was considered safe or decorous for a Cbristian Bishop to indulge in, so soon after the great struggle between the new and the old faiths, and while the religion of the Olympian gods, though prostrate and wounded to the death, still, by a few convulsive spasms, showed signs of a vitality not yet wholly extinct. Sidonius felt the incongruity as strongly as any one, and as, unlike the Cardinal de Retz ${ }^{1}$, he was determined to bring his private life into conformity with the sacred character which he had assumed, he broke off abruptly and finally from the service of the Muses. He could not indeed bring himself to suppress poems which were in his view ao charming as his Panegyrics and Epithalamia, but he wrote no more verses of this description Invocations to the Holy Spirit take the place of invocations to Apollo, and the names of the Martyrs meet us

[^167]instead of those of the Argonauts. The result is not boorimi. a happy one, and to a taste formed by the Christian Cis. 8. hymnology of subsequent ages, the later poems of Sidonius are rather less attractive than his earlier ones.
Sidonius appears to have made an excellent Bishop, His suc. according to the notions of his day, which scarcely ex- Biehop. pected every prelate to rise to the saintliness of a Polycarp, but would not have tolerated his sinking to the infamy of a Borgia. He applied himself with earnestness to the study of the Scriptures, in which he had probably not been well instructed as a child. He steered through the theological controversies of a diffcult time with an unimpeached reputation for orthodoxy. His experience as a Roman official helped him to govern his diocese with the right apportionment of firmness and suavity. His unfailing good-nature joined to a certain ingredient in his character, which can only be described as fussiness, made him the willing counsellor and confidant of his people even in their business difficulties, in the law-suit, and the family quarrel. Above all, his hearty sympathies with the Romanised population of Gaul, and his antipathies, national and religious, to their Arian and barbarian conquerors, made him willing to risk life and fortune, and even his dearly-loved social position, on bebalf of the liberties of Auvergne. During the years while the struggle between the Arverni and the Visigoths was going on, the courtier and the rhetorician were lost in the patriot, and his life rose into real grandeur. At the close of the struggle (475) Sidonius had to feel the full weight of the displeasure of the Visigothic king, Euric, who was now undisputed master of Auvergne. He was banished from his diocese, and miab banishkept, probably for about a year, in captivity in the

воокin. fortress of Livia, not far from Carcassonne ${ }^{1}$. His con-
Cr. 8. finement was not of the most rigorous description; he was allowed to employ himself, if he wished, in literary labour, and his quarters for the night seem to have been appointed him in a private dwelling-house. But his days were occupied with harassing duties, and both study and sleep were driven away from his evening hours by the clamours of two Gothic hags, whose window looked upon the court-yard of his lodging, and whose life was passed in one perpetual round of scolding, intoxication and gluttony. The fastidious Roman noble, forced into hourly companionship with these scenes of barbarian vulgarity, passed his nights in sighing for the seclusion of his mountainous Auvergne, for the baths, the lake, and the fish-ponds, the airy summer apartment, and the chorus of rural voices of his own beloved Avitacum.

His return from exile

At length, by the mediation of his friend Leo, a Roman, a lover of literature ${ }^{2}$, and the chief minister at

[^168]the court of Euric, he was restored to his home and boorinil. diocese ; and the remaining years of his life were passed Cas 3 . diocese ; and the remaining years of his life were passed in comparative tranquillity, but probably with an impaired fortune, and certainly with an ever-present pang of humiliation at the enforced subjection of his highspirited Arverni to the degrading yoke of the barbarians. He had probably not reached his sixtieth and death. year when (about 489) ${ }^{\text {I }}$ he was carried off by a fever. He died with Christian calmness and hope. When he felt his end approaching be desired his attendants to carry him to the church where he had been wont to officiate, and lay him before the altar. A multitude of men, women, and children crowded into the church after his bearers, and filled it with their passionate lamentations. 'Why art thou deserting us,' they cried, ' 0 good shepherd? Who will take care of us, thy orphans, when thou art gone? Who will feed us with the salt of the true wisdom? Who will guide us into the fear of the Lord as thou hast done.' He gently rebuked their want of faith, and said, 'Fear not, my people. My brother Aprunculus still lives, and he will be your Bishop.' Then with a prayer to his Creator he yielded up his life. His dying words were verified by the election of Aprunculus (a fugitive for the sake of the Catholic faith from the wrath of the Burgundian king) to fill the vacant see ${ }^{?}$.

[^169]Boorini. The end of Sidonius was in harmony with the digni-
CR. s.

Conflict of Confictor
te Be Bishop
copal life. He played his part as a Christian Bishop and the ${ }^{2 n d}$ Poet the the charanter of Sidonius. fied thoughtfulness which had marked his whole episwell; and yet, without imputing to him any shade of eonscious insincerity or hypocrisy, it is difficult when reading his letters and pre-eminently his letters to his brother Bishops, to resist the conviction that he was, in a certain sense, playing a part throughout; that be was essentially an author or a courtier, and only accidentally a divine. That strong bias of the mind towards the Invisible which impelled St. Augustine, through all his immoralities, through all his years of Manicheanism, to ponder continually on the relation of his soul to the God of the Universe ; that keen intellectual interest in the Scriptures which drew St. Jerome into Palestine, and supported him through all the heroic toil of his translations and his commentaries; these are qualities which it would be absurd to mention in connection with the character of Sidonius. But though his taste probably preferred the mythology of Greece, his reason accepted the doctrines of Christianity. The career of secular office was closed to him by the hard circumstances of those stormy times. The Church offered him a safe and honourable retreat from war and revolution. The voices of his fellow-citizens called him to a post of dignity in that Church; and he therefore accepted the retreat and the dignity, and made his life harmonise fairly well with his new vocation. If some sprays of the poet's laurel were still seen under the mitre of the bishop, if his thoughts were sometimes running on Helicon and Parnassus when he was celebrating the Divine mysteries in the basilica of Arverni, at least he kept his secret well, and made his actions
congruous to his character as a shepherd of the Christian boorini. flock.

He was by the general voice of his people recognised Canonived. as a saint after his death, and the Church of Clermont still, upon the 21st of August, the day of his death, celebrates the festival of Saint Sidonius. The only reason for any hesitation about canonising him would appear to be that he had never claimed any power of working miracles, that he was not, as a biographer ${ }^{1}$ says, ' one of those great thaumaturgic pontiffs whose glory was made common property, and whose virtues were immortalised by the generous instincts of Gaul;' but the entire absence of all pretentions of this kind will not be accounted a demerit by the present age. In his attitude towards men of other faiths than his own, he showed a tolerance of spirit more like the eighteenth century than the fifth. He could not but deplore and condemn the fury of the Arian persecutors, but he speaks with some kindliness of the. Jews. 'Gozolas is the bearer of these letters of mine, a Jew by nation, and a man for whose person I should feel a cordial regard if he did not belong to a sect which I despise ${ }^{2}$.' And again, 'This letter commends a Jew to your notice. Not that I am pleased with the error in which that nation is involved, and which leads them to perdition, but because it becomes us not to call any one of them sure of damnation ${ }^{3}$ while he yet lives, for there is still a hope that he may turn and be forgiven 4.' This is the language of an orthodox Catholic, but certainly not of a man who is by nature a persecutor.

Of the literary style of Sidonius it is difficult to speak

[^170]rookini. with fairness. His obscurity, his long and uncouth
Сп. 8.

His literary style. words, often clumsily coined from the Greek, his con-stantly-recurring epigrams, which, when examined, generally turn out to have as much point in them as the clever things which a man utters in his dreams, his preposterous and monotonous adulation of his correspondents, evidently dictated by the desire to receive -their adulation in return, his frigid conceits, his childish display of classical learning, which after all was neither deep nor thorough ${ }^{1}$,-all these qualities make much study of the works of this author emphatically a weariness to the flesh. But it is doubtful how far he is to be blamed individually, and how far his age is responsible for the faults of his style. Latin poetry had fallen during the fourth century into the hamds of elegant triflers, of the composers of triple and quintuple acrostics ${ }^{3}$, and the manufacturers of vapid centoes ${ }^{3}$. Claudian had snatched the Latian lyre out of the hands of these feeble poetasters, and made it give forth some manlier harmonies ; but even Claudian, with his courtierlike exaggerations, and his creaking mythological machinery, was not a very safe guide to follow. Suffice it to say, without attempting further to apportion the blame of a most miserable style between the author and his age, that in his poems, Sidonius bears the same re-

[^171]lation to Claudian that Claudian bears to Virgil, and that воокnir. in his letters he is as far from attaining the purity of Ce. 8 . style of the younger Pliny as the latter is from rivalling the easy grace of Cicero. It remains to reproduce from the pages of Sidonius some of his most striking pictures of social life among the Romans and Barbarians.

## 1. Roman Life. The Church Festival, and the Game at Tennis ${ }^{1}$.

- Sidonius wishes health to his friend Eriphius.
' You wish me to send you the verses which I made to please that most respectable man your father-in-law. I will do so ; but as, in order to understand this trifle, you wish to know the scene and the cause of its composition, you must not complain if the preface is more longwinded than the work itself.
'We had assembled at the Sepulchre of St. Justus [at Lyons]; there was a procession before dawn, to celebrate the yearly festival of the saint, and a great multitude had assembled, larger than the basilica could hold, though it was surrounded with spacious arcades. When the office of Vigils was ended (chanted by monks and clergy in alternate choruses) we parted from one another, but did not go far, that we might be in readiness for Tierce, when the priests should return to celebrate it. The crowd in the church, the many lights, and the closeness of the weather (for it was summer, though just passing into autumn) had made us feel as

[^172]воок III. if we were being stewed, and we longed for the fresh air.
CH. 8. So when the various ranks of citizens dispersed, we who belonged to the first families of Lyons, decided to make our rendezvous at the tomb of Syagrius, which was scarce a bowshot from the church. Here some reclined under the shade of a trellis-work covered with the leaves and clusters of a vine ; others, of whom I was one, sat on the green sward, which was fragrant with flowers The conversation was full of light fun and banter; and what was best of all, there was no talk about great people or the incidence of taxation, not a word to compromise anybody, not a person whom anybody else thought of compromising. Any one who could tell a good story, and adorn it with proper sentiments, was listened to most eagerly. But really there was such general merriment that it was not easy to hear any story distinctly to the end. At length we got tired of idleness, and discussed what we should do. The young men voted for tennis, the elder ones for the tables [backgammon]. I was prime champion of the ball, of which, as you know, I am as fond as of my books. On the other side, my brother ${ }^{1}$ Domnicius, a man full of wit and courtesy, shook the counters about in the tables, and thus, as with a sound of a trumpet, summoned his party to the dice-box. I played for a long time with a troop of students till my limbs, which had grown numb, were made supple again by the healthful exercise. Then the illustrious Philimatius, as Virgil says ${ }^{2}$,

[^173]boldly joined the group of tennis-players. He had once воокin. plad Сн. 3. played the game well, but that was when his years were fewer. Poor man! he was often forced from the place where he was stationed, by the mid-current of eager players; then, when he had to keep the middle of the ground, he could neither ward off nor dodge the quickly-flying ball. Moreover he often met with a catastrophe and fell flat on the ground, from which be raised himself slowly and laboriously. So that the upshot of the matter was that he was the first to retire from the rush of the game, which he did with deep sighs and a fearful stitch in his side. Very soon I left off too, out of kindness to him, that he might not be mortified at so soon showing signs of distress. So, when we were seated again, the sweat running down his face obliged him to ask for a basin of water. It was brought him, and with it a thick cloth which, cleaned from yesterday's dirt, happened to be hanging on a pulley behind the door of the porter's lodge. While he was slowly drying his cheeks he said, "How I should like you to dictate four lines of poetry on the cloth which does me this service." "It shall be done," said I. "But so as to bring in my name in the metre?" "What you ask for is possible." "Dictate them, then." To which I answered, smiling, "You know the Muses will not like it if there are any by-standers when I commune with their holy band." He said, very politely, but with that jocosely passionate manner of his, "Take care, Mr. Sollius, that you don't much more exasperate Apollo if you ask for secret interviews with his young ladies." Imagine the applause which greeted this sally, as sudden as it was happily conceived. Then, without more delay, I called to my side his amanuensis, who was

воок iII. standing near with his tablets in hand, and dictated the Сн. 8. following epigram :
'Oh Towel! in the early morn, when the bath has made him glow,
Or when with heated brow he comes at noontide from the chase,
Into thy thirsty reservoirs let the big sweat-drops flow, When Philimatius shall wipe on thee his handsome face.'

- Scarcely had our friend Epiphanius read over what had been written, when word was brought us that the time was come for the bishop to leave his private apartment, and we all rose up. Pray pardon the verses which you asked for. Farewell.'


## 2. Roman Iife. The Country-house ${ }^{1}$.

'Sidonius wishes health to his friend Donidius.

- You ask me why, though I set out for Nismes some time ago, I have not yet returned home. I will tell you the agreeable cause of my delay, since I know that the things which please me please you too.
- The fact is that I have been spending some days in a very pleasant country with two most delightful men, my hereditary friend Tonantius Ferreolus, and my cousin A pollinaris. Their estates adjoin one another and their houses are not far apart, a long walk but a short ride. The hills which rise behind are covered with vineyards and oliveyards. The view from each house is equally charming; the one looks upon woods, and the other over a wide expanse of plain. So much for the dwellings : now for the hospitality shown to us there.
'As soon as they found out that I was on my return

[^174]journey, they stationed skilful scouts to watch not only воок in. the high-road but every little track and sheep-walk into Ch. 3. which I could possibly turn aside, that I might not by any chance escape from their friendly snares. When I had fallen into their hands, not very reluctantly I must confess, they at once administered to me a solemn oath not to entertain one thought of continuing my journey till seven days were over. Then, every morning a friendly strife arose between my hosts whose kitchen should first have the honour of preparing my repast, a strife which I could not adjust by a precisely equal alternation of my visits, although I was bound to one house by friendship and to the other by relationship, because Ferreolus, as a man who had held the office of Prefect, derived from his age and dignity a claim beyond that of mere friendship to take precedence in entertaining me. So we were hurried from pleasure to pleasure. Scarce had we entered the vestibule of either house when lo! on one side the pairs of tennis-players stood up to oppose one another in the ring ${ }^{1}$; on the other, amid the shouts of the dicers, was leard the frequent rattle of the boxes and the boards. Here too were books in plenty; you might fancy you were looking at the breast-high book-shelves of the grammarians, or the wedge-shaped cases of the Athenaeum, or the well-filled cupboards of the book-sellers ${ }^{2}$. I observed however that if one found a manuscript beside the chair of one of the ladies of the house, it was sure to be on a religious

[^175]ноокin. subject, while those which lay by the seats of the fathers
С. 3. of the family were full of the loftiest strains of Latin eloquence. In making this distinction, I do not forget that there are some writings of equal literary excellence in both branches, that Augustine may be paired off against Varro, and Prudentius against Horace. Among these books Origen, 'the Adamantine,' translated into Latin by Turranius Rufinus, was frequently perused by readers holding our faith. I cannot understand why some of our Arch-divines should stigmatise him as a dangerous and heterodox author.

- While we were engaged, according to our various inclinations, in studies of this nature, punctually as the water-clock ${ }^{1}$ marked 5 [ 1 I a.m.], there would come into the room a messenger from the chief cook to warn us that the time for refreshment had arrived. At dinner we made a full and rapid meal, after the manner of senators, whose custom it is to set forth a large banquet with few dishes, though variety is produced by sometimes cooking the meat dry and sometimes with gravy. While we were drinking we had merry stories told, which at once amused and instructed us. To be brief, the style of the repast was decorous, handsome, and abundant.
'Then rising from table, if we were at Voroangus (the estate of Apollinaris) we walked back to the inn where was our baggage, and there took our siesta ${ }^{2}$ ); if at Prusianum (the name of the other property) we had

[^176]to turn Tonantius and his brothers-nobles as they boorin. were, and our equals in age-out of their couches, as we could not easily carry our sleeping-apparatus about with us.
' When we had shaken off our noontide torpor, we rode on horseback for a little while to sharpen our appetites for supper. Both of my hosts had baths in their houses, but neither of them happened to be in working order. However, when my attendants and the crowd of their fellow-revellers, whose brains were too often under the influence of the hospitable wine-cup, had made a short pause in their potations, they would hurriedly dig a trench near to the fountain or the river. Into this they tossed a heap of burnt stones, and over it they would weave a hemisphere of hazel-twigs. Upon this framework were stretched sheets of coarse Cilician canvas, which at once shut out the light, and beat back the steam rising from the hot flints sprinkled with water. Here we often passed hours in pleasant and witty talk, while our limbs, wrapped in the fizzing steam, gave forth a wholesome sweat. When we had spent as long as we chose in this rude sudatorium, we plunged into the heated waters to wash away the perspiration ; and, having so worked off all tendency to indigestion, we then braced our bodies with the cold waters of the well, the fountain, or the river. For I should have mentioned that midway between the two houses flows the river Vuardo ${ }^{1}$, red with its tawny gravel, except when the melting snow makes pale its waters, gliding tranquilly over its pebbly bed, and wellstocked with delicate fish.
'I would also describe the luxurious suppers which

[^177]воokin. we used to sit down to, if my talkative vein, which Сн. 8. knows no check from modesty, were not summarily stopped by the end of my paper. And yet it would be pleasant to tell over again their delights if I did not blush to carry my scrawl over to the back of the sheet. But now, as we are really in act to depart, and as you, with Christ's help, are going to be good enough to pay us an immediate visit, it will be easier to talk over our friends' suppers when you and I are taking our own; only let the end of this week of feasting restore to me as soon as possible my vanished appetite, since no refinements of cookery can so effectually soothe an overcharged stomach as the remedy of abstinence. Farewell.'

## 3. Roman Life. The new Basilica ${ }^{1}$.

The Bishop Patiens, an earnest and liberal-handed man, raised in his city of Lyons a magnificent church, which was dedicated to the popular Gallic saint, Justus. Sidonius and two other poets, the most eminent of their age and nation, were requested to write three inscriptions which were to be engraven on tablets at the west end of the building. The church itself, after witnessing some interesting passages of mediaeval history, was destroyed in the religious wars of the sixteenth century; and these lines written by Sidonius, and by him transcribed at the request of a youthful admirer, alone remain to testify of its departed glories. The chief reason for quoting them is the proof which they afford that the use of mosaics on the walls and of golden decorations on the ceiling was not

[^178]confined, as we may have been inclined to suppose, to воокiur. those places where Byzantine taste was predominant. Cr. s. Many touches in the following description would suit some of the still surviving churches of Ravenna. The Atrium or oblong porch in front of the church, the triple doorway from the Atrium into the nave, and from the outside of the building into the Atrium, the ' forest of columns' within, and the slabs of marble in the windows, are all also characteristic of the ecclesiastical architecture of Constantine and his successors ${ }^{1}$.

Sidonius uses the metre called hendecasyllabic

$$
--|-u v|-u|-u|-u
$$

to which he was very partial, and which has been employed in the following translation:
> 'Stranger! come and admire this temple's beauty, Know, 'twas reared by the zeal of Bishop Patient. Here put up the request that earns an answer: Here shall all of thy heart's desires be granted. See how shines from afar the lofty building Which, square-set, nor to left nor right deflected, Looks straight on to the equinoctial sunrise.

Inly gleams there a light: the golden ceiling Glows so fair that the sunbeams love to wander Slowly over the sun-like burnished metal. Marbles varied in hue, with slabs resplendent, line the vault and the floor, and frame the windows ${ }^{\text {? }}$.
${ }^{1}$ All these points occur in the description of Constantine's 'Church of the Saviour' at Jerusalem, and Justivian's Church of St. Sophia at Constantinople, given in Smith's Dictionary of Christian Antiquities, art. Charch.
: ' Distinctum vario nitore marmor
Percurrit cameram, solum, fenestras.'
'The windows (of St. Sophia) are filled with slabs of marble, pierced with equare openings filled with thick pieces of cast glass' (Smith's Dict. of Christ. Antt. l. c.).

Letters and Poems of Apollinaris Sidonius.

BOOK III.
Сн. 8.

And, in glass on the walls, the green of apring-tide Bounds the blue of the lake with winding margent ${ }^{1}$.

Here a portico, three-arched, fronts the gazer, Reared on pillars from Aquitanian quarriea. There its counterpart stands, an inner portal, At the Atrium's end, three-arched and stately; While within, and around the floor of worship Rise the stems of a slender marble forest.

Fair it rises, between the Road and River; Here it echoes the horseman's clanging footfall And the shout of the slave who guides the chariot. There, the chorus of bending, hauling bargemen, As they pace by the turgid Arar's waters Send to heaven the joyful Alleluia!

Sing thus! Wayfarers sing by land or water, Sing at sight of the house which all may enter, Where all learn of the road that leads to safety.'
4. Roman Life. The family setting out for the country?

Evodius had asked Sidonius to furnish him with twelve verses to be engraved on the inside of a large shell-shaped silver basin which he was about to present to Ragnabild the Visigothic queen. Sidonius replies as follows:-
'Sidonius wishes health to his friend Evodius.
: 'Ac sub versicoloribus figuris Vernans herbida crusta sapphiratos Flectit per prasinum vitrum lapillos.'
As the meaning of these lines is not very clear, I have ventured to interpolate a memory of Ravenna. In the vaulted roof above the tomb of Galla Placidia, one a bright mosaic picture of two stags drinking, and the pool between them is blue, lined with emeraldgreen grass ('sapphirati lapili' surrounded with 'prasinum vitram'). ${ }^{2}$ Ep.iv. 8.
' When the messenger brought me your letter, inform- boorim. ing me that you were about soon to visit Toulouse at the command of the king, we too were leaving the town for a place in the country some way off. From early morning I had been detained by one cause or another, and the arrival of your letter only just gave me an excuse to shake off the crowd of attendants and try to satisfy your request while I was either walking or riding. At the very break of day my family had gone forward, meaning to pitch the tent when they had accomplished eighteen miles of the journey. The spot which they would then reach was one which many reasons combined to make desirable for the purpose of a halt; a cool spring in a shady grove, a level lawn with plenty of grass, a river just before our eyes well stocked with fish, and a favourite haunt of water-birds; and besides all this, close to the river's bank stood the new house of an old friend, so immensely kind that neither by accepting nor by refusing can you ever get to the end of his civilities.
' Hither then my people had gone before me and here I stopped for your sake, that I might send your slave back by the shortest way from the chief town in the district. By this time it was four hours and more after sunrise ; already the sun which was now high in the heavens had sucked up the night-dews with his increasing rays; we were growing hot and thirsty, and in the deep serenity of the day a cloud of dust raised by our borses' feet was our only protection against the heat. Then the length of the road stretching out before us over the green and sea-like plain made us groan when we thought how long it would be before we should get our dinner. All these things, my dear Sir, I have

воокiu. mentioned to you that you may understand how adverse the circumstances of my body, my mind, and my time were to the fulfilment of your commission.'
Sidonius then gives the verses, twelve in number, which were to be engraved in twelve grooves, reaching from the centre to the circumference of Queen Ragnahild's silver basin. The heat and the remoteness of the prospect of dinner must have been unfavourable to his courtship of the Muse, for the verses are vapid, and there is scarcely a thought in them which would survive translation ${ }^{1}$.

## 5. Roman Life. The Fortune-hunter?

In the early days of the Episcopate of Sidonius a certain Amantius asked him for letters of introduction to Marseilles. With his usual good-nature Sidonius gave him a letter to Graecus, Bishop of that city, describing him as a poor but honest man, who transacted what we should call a commission-business in the purchase of cargoes arriving at the seaports of Gaul. He

[^179]had been lately appointed a Reader in the Church-a воок in. post which was not incompatible with his transactions in business-and this gave him an additional claim on the good offices of the two Bishops ${ }^{1}$. The letter concluded with the expression of a hope that Amantius might meet with splendid success as a merchant, and might not regret exchanging the cold springs of Auvergne for the fountain of wealth flowing at Marseilles ${ }^{2}$.

Not long after, Sidonius discovered that he had been imposed upon by a swindler, that the modest young man who desired an introduction to Marseilles was in fact too well known at Marseilles already, and that the honest broker was an impudent and mendacious fortunehunter. Having occasion to write again to Graecus, who had asked him for 'one of his long and amusing letters,' he thought that he could not do better than send him the history of Amantius, though the Bishop of Marseilles must have been already in good part acquainted with it, and the Bishop of Arverni must have been conscious that the part which he had played did not reflect great credit on his shrewdness. After a complimentary preface, the letter proceeds thus:
' His native country is Auvergne; his parents are persons in a somewhat humble position in life, but free and unencumbered with debt; their duties have been in connection with the service of the Church rather than of the State. The father is a man of extreme frugality, more intent on saving up money for his children than on pleasing them. This lad accordingly left his home

[^180]${ }^{2}$ Ep. vi. 8.
boorim. and came to your city with a very slender equipment Сн. 8. in all respects. Notwithstanding this hindrance to his ambitious projects he made a fairly successful start among you. Saint Eustachius, your predecessor, welcomed him with deeds and words of kindness, and put him in the way of quickly obtaining comfortable quarters. He at once began to cultivate assiduously the acquaintance of his neighbours, and his civilities were well received. He adapted himself with great tact to their different ages, showing deference to the old, making himself useful to his coëvals, and always exhibiting a modesty and sobriety in his moral conduct which are as praiseworthy as they are rare in young men. At length, by well-timed and frequent calls, he became known to and familiar with the leading personages of your city, and finally even with the Count himself. Thus the assiduous court which he paid to greatness was rewarded with ever-increasing success; worthy men vied in helping him with their advice and good wishes; he received presents from the wealthy, favours of one kind or another from all, and thus his fortune and his hopes advanced "by leaps and bounds ${ }^{1}$."
' It happened by chance that near the inn where he was lodging there dwelt a lady of some fortune and high character, whose daughter had passed the years of childhood, yet had scarcely reached the marriageable age. He showed himself very kind to this girl, and made, as her youth allowed him to do, trifling presents to her of toys and trash that would divert a girl, and thus, at a very trifing expense, obtained a firm hold on her affections. Years passed on; she became old enough

[^181]to be a bride. To make a long story short, you have bookin. on the one side a young man, alone, poorly off, a cas. stranger, a son who had skulked away from home not only without the consent, but even without the knowledge of his father; on the other, a girl not inferior to him in birth, and superior to him in fortune; and this fellow, through the introduction of the Bishop because he was a Reader, by favour of the Count because he had danced attendance in his hall, without any investigation as to his circumstances by the mother-in-law because his person was not displeasing to her daughter, woos and wins and marries that young lady. The marriage articles are signed, and in them some beggarly little plot of ground which he happened to possess near our borough is set forth with truly comic pomposity. When the solemn swindle was accomplished, the poor beloved one carried off his wealthy spouse, after diligently hunting up all the possessions of his late father-in-law, and converting them into money, besides adding to them a handsome gratuity drawn from the easy generosity of his credulous mother-in-law, and then, unrivalled humbug that he was, he beat a retreat to his own native place.
'Some time after he had gone, the girl's mother discovered the fraud, and had to mourn over the dwindling proportions of the estates comprised in her daughter's settlement, at the very time when she should have been rejoicing over the augmented number of her grandchildren. She wanted to institute a suit for recovery of her money, on the ground that he had fraudulently overstated his property; and it was in fact in order to soothe her wrath that our new Hippolytus ${ }^{1}$ set forth for

[^182]Book inr. Marseilles, when he first brought you my letter of introC. 3. duction.
' Now, then, you have the whole story of this excellent young man, a story, I think, worthy of the Milesian Fables or an Attic comedy. It remains for you to show yourself a worthy successor of Bishop Eustachius by discharging the duties of patronage to the dear youth whom he took under his protection. You asked me for a lengthy letter, and therefore if it is rather wordy than eloquent you must not take it amiss Condescend to keep me in your remembrance, my lord Pope ${ }^{1}$.'

What was the issue of the quarrel between the amatory Amantius and his mother-in-law we are not informed, but as he acted twice after this as lettercarrier ${ }^{2}$ between Sidonius and Graecus, we may conjecture that the affair of the settlement took some time to arrange.

## 6. Roman Life. The Master murdered by his Slaves ${ }^{3}$.

'Sidonius wishes health to his friend Lupus.
' I have just heard of the murder of the orator Lampridius, whose death, even if it had been in the course of nature, would have filled me with sorrow on account of our ancient friendship. Long ago he used, by way of joke, to call me Phoebus, and I gave him the name of the Odrysian bard [Orpheus]. Once, when I was going to visit him at Bordeaux, I sent forward to him

[^183]${ }^{2}$ Nugi-gerulus is the curious term used by Sidonius.
${ }^{3}$ Ep. viii. 11 (mach abridged in translation).
 for Apollo.'

Then follows the poem in hendecasyllabics. Phoebus directs his favourite Muse, Thalia, to go before him to Bordeaux, to knock at the door of one Orpheus whom she will find there, charming all nature by his minstrelsy, and to tell him that Phoebus has left his home, that already his oars are splashing in the rapid Garonne, that he will soon be at the house of his friend. The remembrance of these long-past, merry days draws from Sidonius a sentence in prose, which comes nearer to poetry than anything else written by him. ' 0 necessitas abjecta nascendi, vivendi misera, dura moriendi ${ }^{1!}$ ' He proceeds-
' See whither the fickle wheel of Fortune leads us. I confess I loved the man, though in his character there were mingled some traits unworthy of his real virtues. He was of a hasty temper, easily moved to anger by slight offences, and there was a taint of cruelty in his nature, though I used to seek to extenuate it by calling it severity. . . .
'The worst and most fatal fault which he committed was in resorting to astrologers in order to learn what the end of his life should be. They were natives of some of the cities of Africa, men whose dispositions were as burning as their sun. They concurred in naming to him the year, the month, and the day which, in their jargon, would be "climacteric" for him ; and when they had cast his nativity they predicted for him a bloody fate, because all the planets which had risen prosperously upon his birth set in sinister aspects and

[^184]воокiII. with lurid fires. However false and deceptive the preСв. 3. dictions of these mathematicians as a rule may be, in the case of our friend they were strictly correct both as to the time and manner of his death. For having been held down in his own house, and strangled by his own slaves, he died by the same death as Lentulus, Jugurtha, Sejanus, and even Scipio of Numantia. The least melancholy part of the business is that the parricidal deed was discovered as soon as morning dawned. For no one could be so dull as not to see the signs of foul play on first inspection of the corpse. The livid skin, the starting eyes, the yet lingering traces of anger and pain in the face told their own tale. The earth too was wet with his blood, because after the deed was done the villains had laid him face downwards on the pavement to make it seem as if he had died of hæmorrhage. The chief agent in the crime was taken, tortured, and confessed his guilt. Would that I could say that our friend was altogether undeserving of his fate. But he who thus pries into forbidden mysteries, deviates from the safe rule of the Catholic faith, and while he is using unlawful arts must not complain if he is answered by some great calamity.'

## 7. Roman Life. The Oppressive Governor ${ }^{1}$.

'Sidonius wishes health to his friend Pannychius.
' If you have not already heard that Seronatus is returning from Toulouse, let this letter inform you of the fact. Already Evanthius ${ }^{2}$ is on his way to Clausetia, and is forcing people to clear away the rubbish

[^185]from the works that bave been let out on contract, and bоок ini. to remove the fallen leaves from his path ${ }^{1}$. Poor man! Сн. 3. if there is an uneven surface anywhere, he himself, with trembling hand, brings earth to fill up the trenches, going before the beast whom he is escorting from the valley of Tarmis, like the little mussels who pioneer the mighty body of the whale through the shallow places and rocky channels of the sea.
'Seronatus, however, as quick to wrath as he is unwieldy in bulk, like a dragon just rolled forth from his cave, comes towards us from the district of Gabala, whose inhabitants he leaves half dead with fright. This population, scattered into the country from their towns, he is now exhausting with unheard-of imposts ${ }^{2}$; now entangling them in the winding meshes of false accusations, and scarcely permitting the labourers at length to return home, when they have paid him a year's tribute in advance. The sure and certain sign of his approaching advent is the gangs of unhappy prisoners who are dragged in chains to meet him. Their anguish is his joy, their hunger is his food, and he seems to think it an especially fine thing to degrade before he punishes them, making the men grow their hair long, and the women cut theirs. If any here and there meet with a chance pardon, it will be due to a bribe, or to his flattered vanity, but never to compassion.

- But to set forth all the proceedings of such a beast would exhaust the rhetoric of a Cicero and the poetry of a Virgil. Therefore, since it is said that this pest is approaching us, (whose ravages may God guard us from !) do you forestall the disease by the counsels of

[^186]booriII. prudence; compromise your lawsuits if you have any;
Cz. 8. get security for your arrears of tribute; do not let the wicked man have any opportunity of hurting the good, or of laying them under an obligation. In fine, do you wish to hear what I think of Seronatus? Others fear his fines and his punishments: to me the so-called benefits of the robber seem even more to be dreaded.'

We do not know what was the subsequent history of this oppressive governor, nor how long the crushed provincials had to endure his yoke. In another letter ${ }^{1}$ Sidonius speaks of him as 'the Catiline of our age, fawning on the barbarians, trampling on the Romans, joking in Church, prenching at the banquet, passing sentence in bed, sleeping on the judgment-seat ; every day crowding the woods with fugitives, the villas with barbarians, the altars with criminals, the prisons with clergymen; insulting prefects, and conniving at the frauds of revenue-officers, treading under foot the laws of Theodosius, and exalting those of Theodoric' [the Visigoth], 'every day bringing forth old accusations and new exactions.' And he states in conclusion that if Anthemius, the then reigning Emperor, affords them no assistance against the tyranny of Seronatus, 'the nobility of Auvergne have resolved to sacrifice either their country or their hair,' that is, to retire either into exile or into monasteries.

## 8. Roman Life. The Country Magnate ${ }^{2}$.

'Sidonius wishes health to his friend Industrius.
'I have just been visiting the Right Honourable Vectius ${ }^{3}$, and have studied his actions at my leisure, and

[^187]from close quarters. I think the result of my investi- bоокiu. gations is worth recording. In the first place I will Ces. s. mention what I consider the highest praise of all ; the house and its master both exist in an atmosphere of unsullied purity. His slaves are useful; his rural ${ }^{1}$ labourers well-mannered, courteous, friendly, obedient, and contented with their patron. His table is as ready to welcome the guest as the retainer; his civility is great, and yet greater his sobriety.

- Another and less important matter is that he of whom I speak is inferior to none in the arts of breaking horses, training dogs, and managing falcons. There is the utmost neatness in his raiment, elegance in his girdles, and splendour in his accoutrements. His walk is dignified, his disposition serious: the former well maintains his private dignity, the latter is set upon preserving public faith. He is equally removed from spoiling indulgence and from bloody punishments, and there is a certain austerity in his character, which is stern without being gloomy ${ }^{2}$. Moreover he is a diligent reader of the sacred volumes, with which he often refreshes his mind while in the act of taking food for the body. He frequently peruses the Psalms, and yet more frequently chants them, and thus, in a novel fashion, acts the monk, not under the habit of a recluse, but under the uniform of a general ${ }^{3}$. He abstains from game, though he consents to hunt, and thus, with a delicate and unobtrusive religiousness, he

[^188]ноок iil uses the processes of the chase but denies himself its Сн. 8. produce.
' One only daughter was left to him on her mother's death as the solace of his widowerhood, and her he cherishes with the tenderness of a grandfather, the assiduity of a mother, and the kindness of a father. As to his relations towards his household, when he is giving orders he "forbeareth threatening;" when he receives their advice he does not spurn it from him as valueless; when he discovers a fault he is not too persistent in tracing it; and thus he rules the state and condition of those who are subject to him, more as a judge than as a master; you would think that he rather administered his house as a trust than owned it as an absolute possession.

- When I perceived all this industry and moderation in such a man, I thought it would be for the common good that the knowledge of it should be thoroughly and widely spread abroad. To follow such a life, and not merely to don a particular [monastic] habit, whereby the present age is often grievously imposed upon, would be a useful incitement for all the men of our profession' [the clerical]. 'For-let me say it without offending my own order-when a private individual shows such excellent qualities as these, I admire a priest-like layman more than a priest himself. Farewell.'

9. Roman Life. The Juvenile Sexagenarian ${ }^{1}$.
[This letter is addressed to the subject of the preceding one.]
'Sidonius wishes health to his friend Vectius.

[^189]' Lately, at the request of the Hon. ${ }^{1}$ Germanicus, I boor irr. inspected the church of Cantilla.
'He himself is certainly one of the most noteworthy men of the district, for although he has already put sixty years behind him, every day, in dress and manners, he becomes, I will not say more like a young man, but actually more boyish. His robe is closely girt around him, his buskin tight-laced, his hair is cut so as to make it look like a wheel, his beard is cropped close to the chin by pincers which pierce to the bottom of each fold of his skin. Moreover, by the blessing of Providence, his limbs are still strongly knit, his sight is perfect, he has a firm and rapid gait, in his gums there is an untouched array of milk-white teeth. With no weakness in his stomach, no tendency to inflammation in his veins, no perturbation of his heart, no distress in breathing, no stiffness in his loins, no congestion of his liver, no flabbiness in his hand, no bending of his spine, but endowed with all the health of youth, he claims nothing that belongs to age but reverence.
' In consideration of all these peculiar benefits which he has received from God, I beg you, as his friend and neighbour, and one whose example justly exerts a great influence over him, to persuade him not to trust too much in these uncertain possessions, nor to cherish an overweening confidence in his own immunity from disease ; but rather to make a decided profession of religion, and so become strong in the might of renewed innocence. Let him thus, while old in years, be new in merit; and since there is scarcely any one who is devoid of hidden faults, let him openly show his penitence and give satisfaction for those wrong thiugs

[^190]bookiin. which he has committed in secret. For a man in his Cr. 8. position, the father of a priest and the son of a bishop, unless he lead a holy life himself, is like a briar, rough, prickly and unlovely in the midst of roses, from which it has sprung, and which it has iteelf produced.'
> 10. Roman Life. Teachers and Pupils, Masters and Slaves ${ }^{1}$.

'Sidonius wishes health to his friends Simplicius and Apollinaris.
'Good God ${ }^{2}$ ! how do the emotions of our minds resemble a sea strewn with shipwrecks, the tempests which sweep over them being the evil tidings which messengers sometimes bring to us. A little while ago I was, together with your son ${ }^{3}$, Simplicius! revelling in the delicate wit of the Hecyra of Terence. I sat beside the young student forgetting my clerical profession in the delight which the human nature of the play afforded me. In order that I might help him to follow the flow of the comic verses more easily, I kept before me a story with a similar plot, the Epitrepontes of Menander. We read at the same pace, we praised our authors, we laughed over their jokes, and, according to

[^191]our respective tastes, he was captivated by the reading, BOOK $\operatorname{CB} \boldsymbol{8}$. and I by his intelligence.

- Suddenly there stood by my side a slave of my household, pulling a very long face. "What is the matter ?" said I. "I have just seen," said he, "at the gate the reader ${ }^{1}$ Constans, returning from my lords Simplicius and Apollinaris; he says that he delivered your letters to them, but has lost the replies which were entrusted to his care." When I heard this the calm, bright sky of my gladness was overspread with a cloud of sorrow, and so much was my bile stirred by the untoward intelligence thus brought me, that for many days I inexorably forbade that most stupid Mercury to venture into my presence. For I should have been vexed if he had lost any ordinary letters entrusted to him by anybody, but how much more, yours, which, so long as my mind retains its vigour, will always be deemed least common and most desirable.
' However, after my anger had gradually abated with the lapse of time, I enquired of him whether he had brought me any verbal message from you. Trembling and prostrate before me, stammering and half-blind with the consciousness of his offence, he answered that all those thoughts of yours, by which I had hoped to be charmed and instructed, were committed to those unlucky letters which had disappeared on the way.
'Go back therefore, dear friends, to your tablets ${ }^{2}$, unfold your parchments and write over again what you wrote before. For I cannot bear with equanimity

[^192]воокin. this unlucky failure of my hopes unless I know that Cz .8. you are assured that your written speech has never reached me. Fare you well.'

## i i. Roman Life. Hubbands and Wives, Parents and Children ${ }^{1}$.

'Sidonius wishes health to his wife Papianilla.
'The quaestor Licinianus, who has just arrived from Ravenna, as soon as he had crossed the Alps and touched the soil of Gaul, sent letters forward to announce his arrival, stating that he was the bearer of an imperial ordinance, bestowing the honour of the Patriciate on your brother and mine Ecdicius ${ }^{2}$, whose titles will rejoice you as much as mine. This honour comes very early if you consider his age, though very late if you look to his merits. For he has long ago paid the price for his new dignity, not with gold but with steel, and though a private individual, has enriched the treasury, not with money, but with trophies of war.
'This debt, however, under which your brother, by his noble labours, laid the Emperor Anthemius, has now been honourably discharged by his successor Julins Nepos, a man whose character, no less than the success of his arms, entitles us to hail him as Supreme Augustus. The promptitude of the act makes it all the more praiseworthy, for one Emperor has at once done what the other a hundred times promised to do. Henceforward, therefore, our best men may with joyful certainty spend their strength in the service of the

[^193]Commonwealth, knowing that even if the Emperor boorini. dies the Imperial Dignity will fithfill perform ca. promise by which their devotion has been quickened.
' Meanwhile you, if I rightly read your affectionate heart, will derive, even in these gloomy times, great solace from these tidings, and will not be diverted from sharing in our common joy even by the terrors of the siege which is going on so near you. For I know right well that not even my honours, which you legally share, will bring you so much gladness as this intelligence; since though you are a good wife you are also the best of sisters. Wherefore I have made haste to inform you in this congratulatory letter, of the augmented dignity which, through the favour of Christ our God ${ }^{1}$, has been bestowed upon your line, and thus I have at the same time satisfied your anxiety and your brother's modesty, to which, and not to any want of affection on his part, you must attribute his silence respecting this promotion.
' For myself, great as is my rejoicing at the added honours of your family for which you have hitherto sighed impatiently, I rejoice even more at the harmony which reigns between Ecdicius and me. And I pray that this harmony may continue as the heritage of our children, for whom I put up this prayer in common, that even as we two have, by God's favour, added the Patrician dignity to the Praefectorial rank which we inherited from our fathers, so they may yet further enhance it by the office of Consul ${ }^{2}$.
'Roscia ${ }^{\text {s }}$, our common charge, salutes you. Favoured

[^194]воокiu. above most other grand-children, she is fondled in the св. 3 . kindest embraces of her grandmother and aunts, while at the same time she is being strictly trained, and thereby her tender age is not rendered infirm while her mind is healthily informed ${ }^{1}$. Farewell'

## 12. Roman Life. Debtor and Creditor. The Courtier turned devout ${ }^{2}$.

'Sidonius wishes health to his friend Turnus.
' Well indeed with your name, and with your present business, harmonises that passage of the Mantuan poet-
'Turnus I what never god would dare To promise to his suppliant's prayer, Lo, here, the lapse of time has brought E'en to your hands, unasked, unsought?'

Long ago, if you remember, your [late] father Turpio, a man of tribunician rank, obtained a loan of money from an officer of the palace named Maximus. He deposited no security either in plate or in mortgage on land; but as appears by the written instrument prepared at the time, he covenanted to pay twelve * per cent. to the lender, by which interest, as the loan has lasted for ten years, the debt is more than doubled. But your father fell sick, and was at the point of death : in his feeble state of health the law came down
${ }^{1}$ ' Tenerum non infirmatur aerum sed informatur ingenium.'
${ }^{2}$ Ep. iv. 24. It will be seen that Sidouius plays upon the name of his correspondent, which recalls the antagonist of Aeneas.
'Aeneid ix. 6, 7 (Conington's translation).

- 'Cauta centesima est foeneratori'. Interest, by the Romans, was reckoned monthly; and this expression, therefore, means one per cent. per month, or twelve per annum.
upon him harshly to compel him to refund the debt: Bookiri. he could not bear the annoyance caused by the Collectors ${ }^{1}$, and therefore, as I was about to travel to Toulouse, he, being now past hope of recovery, wrote asking me to obtain from the creditor, at least, some moderate delay. I gladly acceded to his request, as Maximus was not only an acquaintance of mine, but bound to me by old ties of hospitality. I therefore willingly went out of my way to my friend's villa, though it was situnted several miles from the highroad. As soon as I arrived he himself came to meet me. When I had known him in times past he was erect in his bearing, quick in his gait, with cheery voice and open countenance. Now how greatly was he changed from his old self! His dress, his step, his bashfulness, his colour, bis speech, all had a religious cast : besides, his hair was short, his beard flowing: the furniture of his room consisted of three-legged stools, curtains of goat's hair ${ }^{2}$ canvas hung before his doors : his couch had no feathers, his table no ornament; ven his hospitality, though kind, was frugul, and there was pulse rather than meat upon his board. Certainly, if any delicacies were admitted, they were not by way of indulgence to himself, but to his guests. When he rose from table I privily enquired of his attendants what manner of life was this that he was leading, a monk's, a clergyman's, or a penitent's. They said that he was filling the office of priest which had been lately laid upon him by the goodwill of his fellowcitizens, notwithstanding his protests.
' When day returned, while our slaves and followers

[^195]воокin. were occupied in catching our beasts of burden, I С. 8. asked for an opportunity for a secret conversation with our host. He afforded it: I gave him an unexpected embrace, and congratulated him on his new dignity : then with my congratulations I blended entreaties. I set forth the petition of my friend Turpio, I arged his necessitous condition, I deplored the extremities to which he was reduced, extremities which seemed all the harder to his sorrowing friends because the chain of usury was tightening, while the hold of the body upon the soul was loosening. Then I begged him to remember his new profession and our old friendship, to moderate, at least, by a short respite the barbarous insistance of the bailiffs barking round the sick man's bed; if he died, to give his heirs one year in which to indulge their grief without molestation ; but if, as I hoped, Turpio should recover his former health, to allow him to restore his exhausted energies by a period of repose.
' I was still pleading, when suddenly the kind-hearted man burst into a flood of tears, caused not by the delay in recovering his debt, but by the peril of his debtor. Then suppressing his sobs, "God forbid," said he, "that I as a clergyman should claim that from a sick man which I should scarcely have insisted upon as a soldier from a man in robust health. For his children's sake too, who are also objects of my pity, if anything should happen to our friend, I will not ask anything more from them than the character of my sacred calling allows. Write then to allay their anxiety, and that your letters may obtain the more credit, add a letter from me in which I will engage that whatever be the result of this illness (which we will still hope
may turn out favourably for our brother) I will grant a bookini. year's delay for the payment of the money, and will forego all that moiety which has accrued by right of interest, being satisfied with the simple repayment of the principal."
' Hercupon I poured out my chief thanks to God, but great thanks also to my host who showed such care for his own conscience and good name: and I assured my friend that whatsoever he relinquished to you he was sending on before him into heaven, and that by refraining from selling up your father's farms, he was buying for himself a kingdom above.
' Now, for what remains, do you bestir yourself to repay forthwith the principal at least of the loan, and thus take the best means of expressing the gratitude of those who, linked to you by the tie of brotherhood, haply by reason of their tender years, scarcely yet understand what a boon has been granted them. Do not begin to say, "I have joint-heirs in the estate: the division is not yet accomplished: all the world knows that I have been more shabbily treated than they : my brother and sister are still under age: she has not yet a husband, nor he a curator, nor is a surety found for the acts and defaults of that curator." All these pretexts are alleged to all creditors, and to unreasonable creditors they are not alleged amiss. But when you have to deal with a person of this kind who foregoes the half when he might press for the whole, if you practise any of these delays you give him a right to re-demand as an injured man the concessions which he made as a good-natured one. Farewell.'.

From these glimpses of the social life of the Roman
roorin. Provincials in the middle of the fifth century, we turn Ch. 8. to consider what light of a similar kind the correspondence of Sidonius throws on the internal history of the Barbarians with whom he was brought in coutact. His first description is kindly and appreciative: so much so, that it has been conjectured that it was meant to be shown to the gratified subject of the portrait. In his other character-sketches of the Barbarians, as we shall find, the shallow contempt of the heir of civilisation for the untutored children of Nature is more distinctly visible.

## 13. Barbarian Life. The Visigothic King ${ }^{1}$.

'Sidonius wishes health to [his brother-in-law] Agricola.
' You have many times asked me to write to you a letter describing the bodily appearance and manner of life of Theodoric ${ }^{2}$, king of the Goths, whose love for our civilisation is justly reported by common fame. I willingly accede to your request, so far as the limits of my paper will allow, and I praise the noble and delicate anxiety for information which you have thus exhibited.
' Theodoric is " a noticeable man," one who would at once attract attention even from those who casually beheld him, so richly have the will of God and the plan of nature endowed his person with gifts corre-

[^196]sponding to his completed prosperity. His character bookill. is such that not even the detraction which waits on Ca. 3. kings can lessen the praises bestowed upon it ${ }^{1}$. If you enquire as to his bodily shape, he has a well-knit frame, shorter than the very tallest, but rising above men of middle stature. His head is round and domelike, his curling hair retreats a little from the forehead towards the top. He is not bull-necked ${ }^{2}$. A shaggy arch of eyebrows crowns his eyes; but if he droops his eye-lids the lashes seem to fall well-nigh to the middle of his cheeks ${ }^{3}$. The lobes of his ears, after the fashion of his nation, are covered by wisps of over-lying hair. His nose is most beautifully curved ; his lips are thin, and are not enlarged when the angles of his mouth are dilated ${ }^{4}$ : if by chance they open and show a regular, buf rather prominent set of teeth, they at once remind you of the colour of milk. He cuts every day the hairs which grow at the bottom of his nostrils. At his temples, which are somewhat hollowed out, begins a shaggy beard, which in the lower part of his face is plucked out by the roots by the assiduous care of his barber. His chin, his throat, his neck, all fleshy without obesity, are covered with a milk-white skin, which when more closely inspected, is covered with a youthful glow. For it is modesty, not anger, which so often brings this colour into his face.

[^197]FOL, II. A a

воокiII. ' His shoulders are well-turned, his arms powerful,
Сн. 8. his fore-arms hard, his hands wide-spread: he is a well set-up man, with chest prominent and stomach drawn in. You can trace on the surface of his back the points where the ribs terminate in the deeply recessed spine. His sides are swollen out with prominent muscles. Strength reigns in his well-girded loins. His thigh is hard as horn : the leg joints have a very masculine appearance: his knee, which shows but few wrinkles, is especially comely. The legs rest upon full round calves, and two feet of very moderate size support these mighty limbs ${ }^{1}$.
' You will ask, perhaps, what is the manner of his daily life in public. It is this. Before dawn he attends the celebration of divine service by his [Arian] priests, attended by a very small retinue. He shows great assiduity in this practice, though if you are admitted to his confidence you may perceive that it is with him rather a matter of habit than of religious feeling. The rest of the morning is devoted to the care of the administration of his kingdom. Armed nobles ${ }^{2}$ stand round his chair : the crowd of akin-clothed guards are admitted to the palace in order to ensure their being on duty; they are kept aloof from the royal presence that their noise may not disturb him, and so their

[^198]growling talk goes on before the doors, shut out as boormir. they are by the curtain, though shut in by the railings ${ }^{1}$. Within the enclosure are admitted the ambassadors of foreign powers: he hears them at great length, he answers in few words. In negotiation his tendency is to delay, in action to promptitude.
' It is now the second hour after sunrise: he rises from his throne and spends his leisure in inspecting his treasury or his stables. If a hunting day is announced, be rides forth, not carrying his bow by his side-that would be beneath his kingly dignity-but if in the chase, or on the road, you point out to him beast or bird within shooting distance, his hand is at once stretched out behind him and the slave puts into it the bow with its string floating in the air, for he deems it a womanish thing to have your bow strung for you by another, and a childish thing to carry it in a case: When he has received it, sometimes he bends the two ends towards one another in his hand, sometimes he lets the unknotted end drop to his heel, and then with quickly moving finger tightens the loose knot of the wandering string ${ }^{2}$. Then he takes the arrows, fits them in, sends them forth, first desiring you to tell him what mark you wish him to aim at. You choose what he has to hit, and he hits it. If there is a mistake made by either party, it is more often the sight of the chooser than the aim of the archer that is at fault.

[^199]sookiII. 'If you are asked to join him in the banquet, which, however, on non-festal days, is like the entertainment of a private person, you will not see there the panting servants laying on the groaning table a tasteless heap of discoloured silver. The weight then is to be found in the conversation rather than in the plate, since all the guests, if they talk of anything at all, talk of serious matters. The tapestry ${ }^{1}$ and curtains are sometimes of purple [cloth], sorretimes of cotton. The meats on the table please you, not by their high price, but by the skill with which they are cooked, the silver by its brightness, not by its weight. The cups and goblets are so seldom replenished that you are more likely to complain of thirst than to be accused of drunkenness. In short, you may see there Greek elegance, Gallic abundance, Italian quickness, the pomp of a public personage, the assiduity of a private citizen, the discipline of a king's household. Of the luxury which is displayed on high-days and holidays ${ }^{2}$ I need not give you any account, because it cannot be unknown even to the most unknown persons. Let me return to my task.
'The noontide slumber, when the meal is ended, is never long, and is frequently omitted altogether. Often at this time he takes a fancy to play at backgammon ${ }^{3}$ : then he collects the counters quickly, views them anxiously, decides on his moves skilfully, makes them promptly, talks to the counters jocularly, waits his turn patiently. At a good throw he says nothing, at a

[^200]bad one he laughs; neither good nor bad makes him boorm. lose his temper or his philosophical equanimity. He Сн. 3. does not like a speculative game either on the part of his adversary or himself, dislikes a lucky chance offered to himself, and will not reckon on its being offered to his opponent. You get your men out of his table without unnecessary trouble, he gets his out of yours without collusion ${ }^{1}$. You would fancy that even in moving his counters he was planning a campaign. His sole auxiety is to conquer.
' When a game is on hand, he drops for a little time the severity of royal etiquette, and invites his companions in play, to free and social intercourse. To tell you what I think, he fears to be feared. At the end he is delighted to see the vexation of a conquered rival, and takes credit to himself for having really won the game, when his opponent's ill-temper shows that he has not yielded out of courtesy. And here notice a strange thing : often that very complacency of his, arising from such a trifling cause, ensures the successful carriage of serious business. Then petitions, which have well-nigh been shipwrecked by the injudiciousness of those who favoured them, suddenly find a harbour of safety. In this way, I myself, when I have had somewhat to ask of him, have been fortunate enough to be beaten, and have seen my table ruined with a light heart, because I knew that my cause would triumph.
' About the ninth hour [ 3 o'clock] comes back again all that weary turmoil of kingship. The suitors return, the guards return whose business it is to remove them. Everywhere you hear the hum of claimants, and this is protracted till nightfall, and only ceases when it is cut

[^201] palace, where they keep watch till bedtime arrives. At the supper sometimes, though rarely, comic actors are introduced who utter their satiric pleasantries: in such fashion, however, that none of the guests shall be wounded by their biting tongues. At these repasts no hydraulic organs blow, no band of vocalists under the guidance of a singing-master intone together their premeditated harmony. No harpist, no flute-player, no choir-master, no female player on the tambourine or the cithara, makes melody. The king is charmed only by those instruments under whose influence virtue soothes the soul as much as sweet sounds soothe the ear. When he rises from table the royal treasury receives its sentinels for the night, and armed men stand at all the entrances to the palace, by whom the hours of his first sleep will be watched over.
' But what has all this to do with my promise, which was to tell you a little about the king, not a great deal about his manner of reigning? I really must bid my pen to stop, for you did not ask to be made acquainted with anything more than the personal appearance and favourite pursuits of Theodoric: and I sat down to write a letter, not a history. Farewell.'

## 14. Barbarian Life. Syagrius and his Germanic neighbours ${ }^{1}$.

'Sidonius wishes health to his friend Syagrius.
'As you are grandson of a Consul, and that on the

[^202]paternal side, as you are sprung (which is more to our воокill. present purpose) from a poetic stock, descended from Ca. 3 . men who would have earned statues by their poems if they had not earned them by their services to the state, all which is shown by those verses of your ancestors which the present generation studies with unimpaired interest,-as these are your antecedents, I cannot describe my astonishment at the ease with which you have mastered the German tongue. I remember that in your boyhood you were well trained in liberal studies, and I am informed that you often declaimed before a professional orator with force and eloquence. But since this is the case, pray tell me whence your soul has suddenly imbibed the oratory of an alien race, so that you who had the phraseology of Virgil flogged into you at school, you who sweated over the long and stately sentences of Cicero, now swoop down upon us like a young falcon from the German language as though that were your old eyrie.
' You cannot imagine how I and all your other friends laugh when we hear that even the barbarian is afraid to talk his own language before you lest he should make a slip in his grammar ${ }^{1}$. When you are interpreting their letters, the old men of Germany, bent with age, stand in open-mouthed wonder, and in their transactions with one another they voluntarily choose you for arbitrator and judge. A new Solon when you have to discuss the laws of the Burgundians, a new
is apparently the same person as the son of Aegidius, the so-called 'Roman King of Soissons,' whose defeat in 486 was one of the first steps in the upward career of Clovis.
${ }^{1}$ 'Te praesente formidet facere linguae suae barbarus barbarismam.'
boos ijf. Amphion when you have to evoke music from their
Ca. 8. three-stringed lyre, you are loved and courted, you please, you decree, you are obeyed. And though the barbarians are equally stiff and lumpish in body and mind, yet in you they learn and love the speech of their fathers, the disposition of a Roman.
' It only now remains for you, oh most brilliant of wits, to bestow any spare time which may still be yours on reading [Latin], and so to retain that elegance of style which you now possess. Thus while you preserve your Latin that we may not laugh at you, you will practise your German that you may be able to laugh at us. Farewell'

## 15. Barbarian Life. Roman Intriguers at the Burgundian Court ${ }^{1}$.

A young kinsman of Sidonius, also named Apollinarie, had been brought into some danger through the calumnies of informers who represented to the Burgundian prince Chilperic that he was secretly plotting for the surrender of Vaison, a border fortress, to 'the new Emperor,' Julius Nepos.

Sidonius writes concerning these informers to Thaumastus, the brother of the culumniated man, with sympathetic indignation.
'These are the men, as you have often heard me say, under whose villanies our country groans, longing for the more merciful barbarians. These are the men before whom even the great tremble. These are they whose peculiar province it appears to be to bring calumnious accusations, to carry off men from their

[^203]homes, to frighten them with threats, to pillage their boorm. substance These are the CB . substance. These are the men who in idleness boast of their business, in peace of their plunder, in war of their clever escapes, in their cups of victories. These are they who procrastinate your lawsuit if you engage them, who get it postponed if you pass them by, who are annoyed if you remind them of their engagement, and forget it-after taking your fee-if you do not..... These are the men who envy quiet citizens their tranquillity, soldiers their pay, post-masters their tariffs, merchants their markets, ambassadors their functions, tax-farmers their tolls, the provincials their farms, the burgesses their guild-dinners ${ }^{1}$, the cashiers their weights, the registrars their measures, the scribes their salaries, the accountants their fees, the guards their largesse, the cities their repose, the publicans their taxes, the clergy their reverence, the nobles their birth, their betters their precedence, their equals their equality, the officials their power, the ex-officials their privileges, the learners their schools, the teachers their stipends, the taught their knowledge.
' These are the men drunken with new wealth, who by the vulgar display of their possessions show how little they are accustomed to ownership, the men who go in full armour to a banquet, in white robes to a funeral, in hides to church, in black to a wedding, in beaver-skin to the litany. No set of men suits them, no time seems to hit their humour. In the market they are very Scythians, in the bed-chamber they are vipers, at the banquet buffoons, in confiscations harpies,

[^204]воокin. in conversation statues, in argument brute-beasts, in
Сп. 8. business snails, in enforcing a contract usurers. They are stone if you want them to understand, fire if they have to judge, quick to wrath, slow to pardon, panthers in their friendship, bears in their fun, foxes in their deceit, bulls in their pride, Minotaurs in their rapacity.
'Their firmest hopes are founded on the uncertainties of the times; they love to fish in troubled waters; yet fearfal both from natural cowardice and from an uneasy conscience, while they are lions at court they are hares in the camp, and are afraid of a truce lest they should be made to disgorge, of war lest they should have to fight.'

The good bishop's invective rolls on still through some sentences, which need not be inflicted on the reader. Though well-nigh out of breath with following Sidonius' headlong rhetoric, he may still have gathered from it the important fact that the chief instruments of such oppression as was practised by the barbarian invaders upon the provincials were men who were themselves of Roman origin.

## 16. Barbarian Life. The physique of the Burgundians.

While our poet was residing at Lyons (apparently) he was asked by one of his friends, an ex-consul named Catulinus, to compose an epithalamium, perhaps for his daughter's marriage.
In a short, humorous poem of apology Sidonius incidentally touches off some of the physical characteristics of the Burgundians, by whom he was surrounded, and who, it is important to observe, troubled him, not by
their hostility, but by their too hearty and demonstrative bоок inl. friendship ${ }^{1}$.
' Ah me! my friend, why bid me, e'en if I had the power, To write the light Fescennine verse, fit for the nuptial bower 9 Do you forget that I am set among the long-haired hordes, That daily I am bound to bear the stream of German words, That I must hear, and then must praise with sorrowful grimace (Diegust and approbation both contending in my face). Whate'er the gormandising sons of Burgundy may sing, While they upon their yellow hair the rancid butter fling?

Now let me tell you what it is that makes my lyre be dumb: It cannot sound when all around barbarian lyres do hum. The sight of all those patrons tall (each one is seven foot high), From my poor Muse makes every thought of six-foot metres fly. Oh! happy are thine eyes, my friend: thine ears, bow happy those !
And oh! thrice happy I would call thine undisgusted nose. Tis not round thee that every morn ten talkative machines Exhale the smell of onions, leeks, and all their vulgar greens. There do not seek thy house, as mine, before the dawn of day, So many giants and so tall, so fond of trencher-play That acarce Alcinous himself, that hospitable king, Would find his kitchen large enough for the desires they bring. They do not, those effusive souls, declare they look on thee As father's friend or foster-sire-but, alas! they do on me.

But stop, my Muse! pull up! be still ! or else some fool will say
"Sidonins writes lampoons again'." Don't you believe them, pray !'

The tenor of these verses reminds us of an epigram ${ }^{3}$ of
${ }^{1}$ Carm. xii. The metre of the original is hendecasyllubic.
${ }^{2}$ For the explanation of this allusion soe Chap. 5 .

- 'Inter hails Goticum, akapjam jam matjam jad driggkam, Non audet quisquam dignos educere versus. Culliope madido trepidat se jungere Baccho Ne pedibus non stet ebria Musa suis.'
This epigram is quoted from the Anthologia Latina by Massmann and other editors of Ulfilas. It is valuable as containing four Gothic

воок irf unknown authorship, but composed probably in the On. 8. ffth century.
> 'Round me the hails of the Goths, their akapjaim and matjam and drinkam,
> Harshly resound: in such din who could fit verses indite 1 Calliope, sweet Muse, from the wine-wet embraces of Bacchus Shrinks, lest her wavering feet bear her no longer aright.'

## 17. Barbarian Life. The young Frankish chief and his retinue ${ }^{1}$.

'Sidonius wishes health to his friend Domnitius.
' You are fond of inspecting armour and armed men. What a pleasure it would be for you could you see the royal youth Sigismer, decked out like a suitor or a bridegroom, in all the bravery of his tribe, visiting the palace of his father-in-law, his own horse gorgeously caparisoned, other horses, laden with blazing gems, going before or following after him ; and then, with a touch of modesty which was especially suitable to his circumstances, in the midst of his outriders and rearguard, he himself walked on foot, in crimson robe with burnished golden ornaments and white silken mantle, his ruddy cheeks, his golden hair, his milkwhite skin repeating in his person those three colours of his dress. Of all the petty kings and confederates who accompanied him, the appearance was terrible words-hails, 'your health' (the drinking shont, also found in 'wassail'); skapjan, 'to make or frame;' matjan, 'to eat;' drinkan, 'to drink,' together with jah, the Cothic 'and,' which takes at the end by acsimilation the letter of the following word.
${ }^{1}$ Ep. iv. 20. The assignment of a Frankish nationality to Sigismer is only a probable conjecture. Domnitius or Domnicius, the correspondent to whom this letter is addressed, is the enthasiastic dicoplayer of the first letter. (See p. 322.)
even in their peaceful garb; they had the lower part воокin. of the foot down to the heel bound about with boots Cr. 8. of bristly ox-leather, while their knees and their calves were without covering. Above, they had garments coming high up the neck, tight-girdled, woven of various colours, scarcely approaching their bare legs; their sleeves draped only the beginning of their arms, they had green cloaks adorned with purple fringes; their swords, depending from their shoulders by baldrics, pressed in to their sides the reindeer's skins ${ }^{1}$, which were fastened by a round clasp. As for that part of their adornments which was also a defence, their right hands held booked lances and battle-axes for throwing, their left sides were overshadowed by round shields whose lustre, silvery at the outer circumference and golden at the central boss, declared the wealth as well as the taste of the wearers. All was so ordered that this wedding procession suggested the thought of Mars not less emphatically than of Venus.
'But why spend so many words on the subject? All that was wanting to the show was your presence. For when I remembered that you were not looking upon a sight which it would have so delighted you to behold, I translated your feelings into my own, and longed for you as impatiently as you would have longed for the spectacle. Farewell.'

It is interesting, but somewhat perplexing, to observe that some of the details of the dress of these undoubtedly Teutonic warriors would fit equally well with the Celtic Highlanders of Scotland.

[^205]
## i8. Barbarian Life. The Saxon Sea-rovers ${ }^{1}$.

At the end of a long letter, written by Sidonius to his friend Nammatius, after dull compliments and duller banter, we suddenly find flashed upon us this life-like picture, by a contemporaly hand, of the brothers and cousins of the men, if not of the very men themselves who had fought at Aylesford under Hengest and Horsa, or who were slowly winning the kingdom of the South Saxons.
' Behold, when I was on the point of concluding this epistle in which I have already chattered on too long, a messenger suddenly arrived from Saintonge with whom I have spent some hours in conversing about you and your doings, and who constantly affirms that you have just sounded your trumpet on board the fleet, and that, combining the duties of a sailor and a soldier, you are roaming along the winding shores of the Ocean, looking out for the curved pinnaces of the Saxons? When you see the rowers of that nation you may at once make up your mind that every one of them is an arch-pirate; with such wonderful unanimity do all at once command, obey, teach, and learn their one chosen business of brigandage. For this reason $I$ ought to warn you to be more than ever on your guard in this warfare. Your enemy is the most truculent of all enemies. Unexpectedly he attacks, when expected he escapes, he despises those who seek to block his path, he overthrows those who are off their guard, he

[^206]always succeeds in cutting off the enemy whom he roorim. follows, while he never fails when he desires to effect CB. 8. his own escape. Moreover, to these men a shipwreck is capital practice rather than an object of terror. The dangers of the deep are to them, not casual acquaintances, but intimate friends. For since a tempest throws the invaded off their guard, and prevents the invaders from being descried from afar, they hail with joy the crash of waves on the rocks, which gives them their best chance of escaping from other enemies than the elements. ${ }^{-1}$
' Then again, before they raise the deep-biting anchor from the hostile soil, and set sail from the Continent for their own country, their custom is to collect the crowd of their prisoners together, by a mockery of equity to make them cast lots which of them shall undergo the iniquitous sentence of death, and then at the moment of departure to slay every tenth man so selected by crucifixion, a practice which is the more lamentable because it arises from a superstitious notion that they will thus ensure for themselves a safe return ${ }^{1}$. Purifying themselves as they consider by such sacrifices, polluting themselves as we deem by such deeds of sacrilege, they think the foul murders which they thus commit are acts of worship to their gods, and they glory in extorting cries of agony instead of ransoms from these doomed victims

- Wherefore I am on your behalf distraught with many fears and various forebodings; though on the other hand I have immense incitements to hope, first,

[^207]воокпII becuuse you are fighting under the banner of a victori-
Ca. 8. ous nation; secondly, because I hold that the power of chance is limited over wise men, among whom you are rightly reckoned ; thirdly, because it is often when our friends at a distance are the eafest that our hearts are filled with the most sinister presentiments regarding them. . . . .
'I send you the Libri Logistorici ${ }^{1}$ of Varro, and the Chronology of Eusebius, a kind of literary file with which, if you have any leisure amidst the cares of the camp, you may rub off some of the rust from your style after you have wiped the blood from your armour. Farewell.'

## 19. Barbarian Life. The woman wrongfully enslaved.

The following account of the captivity and bondage of a poor woman of Auvergne incidentally illustrates the troubled condition of Gaul, while it astonishes us by the legal doctrine contained in it. Apparently the maxim with which our own courts are familiar, that 'a bond-fide purchaser of stolen property, without notice of the theft, may justify his holding,' even applied to the most outrageous of all thefts, that of liberty; and a woman wrongfully enslaved, but in the hands of a bona-fide purchaser, could not claim her freedom.
'Sidonius wishes health to "Pope" Lupus?.
'After that expression of homage which is endlessly

[^208]due, though it be unceasingly paid, to your incom- bookinl. parably eminent Apostleship, I take advantage of our Ch. 8. old friendship to set before you the new calamities of the humble bearers of this letter, who, after having undertaken a long journey, and at this time of the year, into the heart of Auvergne, have returned with no fruit of their labour. A woman who was nearly related to them was by chance carried off by an inroad of the Vargi ${ }^{1}$-a name borne by some local bandittiand was taken some years ago into your district and there sold. This they ascertained on indubitable evidence, and followed tardily but surely the indications which they had received. But in the meantime, before they arrived upon the scene, she, having been sold in market overt, was living as a household slave in the family of our friend the merchant? A certain Prudens who, they say, is now living at Troyes, appeared to vouch for the contract of her sale, which was effected by men unknown to me, and his subscription, as that of a fit and proper witness ${ }^{3}$, is now shown attached to the deed of sale. You who are present on the spot will, from your exalted position, be easily able to test each link in this chain of wrongful acts. The affair is all the more criminal because, as I am informed by the bearers of this letter, one of the woman's fellow-travellers was actually killed when she was carried off.

[^209]bookill. 'But since the relations, who brood over this criminal affair, desire that your judgment should apply the remedy, I think it will be befitting both to your office and your character to devise some compromise whereby you may at the same time assist the grief of one party and the peril of the other. By some wise and wellconsidered sentence you may thus make the former less distressed, the latter less guilty, and both more secure; lest otherwise, such is the disturbed state of the times and the district, the affair go on to an end as fatal as was its beginning. Condescend to remember me, my lord Pope.'

## 20. Barbarian Life. The 'Levite' of Auvergne ${ }^{1}$.

Another illustration of the sufferings of the poorer inhabitants from the storms of barbarian conquest, is afforded by the following letter of intercession on behalf of a man of 'the Levitical order.' By this term Sidonius probably means to indicate a person who, though married, and working for his livelihood, filled (like Amantius the fortune-hunter) the office of Lector (reader) in the church.
'Sidonius wishes health to Pope Censorius [Bishop of Auxerre].
'The bearer of this letter is dignified by an office which raises him into the Levitical order. He with his family in avoiding the whirlpool of Gothic depredation, was swept, so to say, by the very weight of the stream of fugitives, into your territory; and there, on the possessions of the church over which your holiness presides, the hungry stranger threw into the half-
ploughed sods his scanty seeds, the produce of which boorim. hers that he may be allow tor deductions. If you should be inclined to grant him as a servant of the faith this favour, namely, that he shall not be required to pay the quota which is due to the glebe, the poor man, whose notions are as bounded as his fortune, will think himself as well-off as if he was again tilling his native fields. If, therefore, you can let him off the lawful and customary rent, payable out of his very trifling harvest, he will return from your country as thankful as if he had been splendidly entertained. If you will also by his hands bestow upon me with your wonted courtesy a reply to this letter, I and my brethren living here will receive that written page as if it had come straight down from heaven. Condescend to remember me, my lord Pope.'

With this notice of the poor expatriated 'Levite' we finish our study of the social life of the falling Empire as pourtrayed from the works of Apollinaris Sidonius. But little effort is required to draw the necessary inferences from the condition of the GalloRomans to that of the Italians. From the shores of Como or Maggiore, as from the mountains of Auvergne, may many a needy tiller of the soil have been 'swept away by the tide of flight from the conquering Visigoths.' Many a Neapolitan or Tarentine woman of Greek descent and Italian nationality may have been carried away like the poor Gaulish woman by wild marauders following in the track of the invading armies, sold as a slave, and not even the place of her bondage discovered for years by her friends. The habits of the Saxon freebooters may help us to understand the life of bold piratical adventure led by the
rookiII. Vandals, though we must not attribute the harsher
Се. 8. features of heathen savagery to the Arian followers of Gaiseric. And in the pictures of the court and retinue of Theodoric and Sigismer we have probably some strokes which will be equally applicable to every Teuton chief who led his men over the Alpine passes into Italy, from Alaric to Alboin.

It is impossible not to think with regret of the wasted opportunities of Apollinaris Sidonius. Here is a man who evidently hungered and thirsted for literary distinction even more than for consular dignity or saintly canonisation. Yet he has achieved nothing beyond a fifth-rate position as a 'prest-classical' author, and with difficulty do a few historical enquirers, like Gibbon, Guizot, Thierry, keep his name from being absolutely forgotten by the world. Had he faced the new and strange nationalities which were swarming forth from Germany, in the simple, enquiring, childlike attitude of the Father of History, he might have been the Herodotus of Mediaeval and Modern Europe. From him we might have learned the songs which were sung by the actual contemporaries of Attila and Gundahar, and which formed the kernel of the Niebelungen-Lied ; from him we might have received a true and authentic picture of the laws and customs of the Goths, the Franks, and the Burgundians, a picture which would have in turn illustrated and been illustrated by the poetry of Tacitus' Germania, and the prose of the Black-letter commentators on English Common Law. He might have transmitted to us the full portraiture of the great Apostle of the Germanic races, Ulfilas, the secret causes of his and their devotion to the Arian form of Christianity, the Gothic
equivalents of the mythological tales of the Scandi- booriir. navian Edda, the story of the old Runes and their Ca.s. relation to the Moeso-Gothic Alphahet. All these details and a hundred more, full of interest to Science, to Art, to Literature, Sidonius might have preserved for us, had his mind been as open as was that of Herodotus to the manifold impressions made by picturesque and strange nationalities. But he turned away with disgust from the seven-foot high barbarians, smelling of leeks and ouions, and by preference told over again for the hundredth time and worse than any of his predecessors, the vapid and worn-out stories of Greek mythology. Most truly has our own Wordsworth said,
> ' We live by Admiration, Hope, and Love, Aud even as these are well and wisely fired In dignity of being we ascend '!

For want of the first two qualities and others which spring up around them, Sidonius has missed one of the grandest opportunities ever offered in literature.

[^210]
## CHAPTER IV.

## AVITUS, THE CLIENT OF THE VISIGOTHS.

## Aathorities.

Sources:-
bOokili. Oor chief anthority is of course Sidonios, the son-in-law and flatterer of the Emperor.

The chroniclers Idatios, Victor Tunnunensis, and (especially) Anonymos Cuspiniani, notice this reign in their usual brief terms. Marcellinus is silent aboat it, reflecting probably the hostile feelings of the Eastern Court towards the new Emperor. A new chronicler, Marius, Bishop of Aventicum (Avenches in Switzerland), tales ap the work of Prosper and continues it down to the year 581. He appears to have been born aboat 530 , and to have died after a twenty years' episcopate in 594. (See Monod's Eludes critiques sur les sources de C Histoire Mérovingienne, p . 153.) He is thus in no sense a contemporary, bat he occasionally supplies some useful details, especially as to the movements of the Bargandians, who were masters of Switzerland at the period which we are now considering.

Joannes Antiochenus, an Eastern chronicler of the seventh century, throws some additional light on the fall of Avitus.

Gregory of Tours, born probably in 538, who began his History of the Franks about 576 , and died about $595^{1}$, adds some little information, of a questionable kind.
${ }^{1}$ The new edition of Gregory of Tours, in the Monumenta Germaniae Historica, accepts the date of 594, which Monod assigns for the death of Gregory (op. cit. p. 38). But the argumenta for the date 595, alleged by Clinton, Fasti Romani, i. 834, do not eoem to me to be fully answered.
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When Gaiseric and his Vandal horde withdrew from book ini. the scene of their depredations, silence and prostration С. 4. seem to have fallen upon the city of Rome. There was ${ }_{\text {state of }}^{455 .}$ no attempt to raise a new Emperor to the dignity Rume after which had been held by the murdered Valentinian and ture of the the murdered Maximus: possibly no one was found courageous enough to offer himself for so perilous a preëminence. So in the heart of the once arrogant Queen of the World reignied for two months the apathy of despair. At length on the fourteenth of August, some two months after the capture of the city, the news arrived that the Gaulish provinces had raised to the vacant throne a nobleman of Auvergne, named Avitus ${ }^{1}$, who had assumed the purple at Arles on the tenth of July. The Imperial City bowed her head and accepted her new lord without remonstrance.

Avitns had already once played a conspicuous part Birthand in Imperial politics when it had devolved upon him to of Avitus. cement that alliance between Rome and the Visigoths by which the power of Attila was shattered on the
${ }^{1}$ FAMILY OF AVITUS.


воокiI. Mauriac plains. We are in possession of some other Сн. 4. details of his previous life, but they come to us from the pen of a great manufacturer of indiscriminate panegyric, and it is not easy to say what are the actual events to which they correspond. He was descended from a family, several members of which had held high commands in the army and the state, and which was, by the labours of antiquaries, connected with the old patrician families of Rome ${ }^{1}$. He was born, in all probability, about the time of the death of Theodosius, and would therefore be close upon his sixtieth year when he arrayed himself with the Imperial purple? It was told of him that in early boyhood he came one day upon a she-wolf, rabid with hunger, and snatching up a fragment of rock which lay close by, hurled it at the savage creature and broke her skull. To the studies of Cicero and Caesar which engaged his childhood, succeeded in youth the delights of boar-hunting and falconry. Yet his reading had perhaps not been wholly fruitless, for he had scarcely arrived at man's estate, when, being chosen by his neighbours to head a deputation to Constantius, he pleaded so eloquently for
> ${ }^{1}$ This is the explanation I would suggest of the diffioult lines-
> ' Gentisque suae, te teste, Philagri, Patricius resplendet apex.' Sidonius, Carm. vii. 156-157.

Philagrius was a man of letters, renowned for the magnificence of his library (Carm. xxiv. 93). Unless the question related to the anciont Patriciate of Rome and Avitus' shadowy descent therefrom, it is difficult to see why Philagrius should be avouched as an authority.
${ }^{2}$ We get the approximate date of the birth of Avitus from the fact that he was a very young man ('ephebus') when he was sent on a deputation to Constantins, who was not yet married to Placidis, probably therefore about 415. This points to 394 or 395 for the year of his birtt.
some remission of taxation that the admiring Governor boomin. granted all his requests.
In middle life he served with some credit under the His greatest captain of the age, Aetius, in the wars which mixitiofyte. he waged in Belgic Gaul, and in Noricum, on the Lower Rhine, and the Middle Danube. Once at least he exposed his person to some danger in a hand-to-hand encounter. The Roman generals were at this time (about the year 439) with marvellous impolicy bringing the Hunnish hordes into Gaul to fight their battles against less barbarous barbarians. Litorius, that rash and feather-headed general, was marching a troop of these squalid auxiliaries through Auvergne, on his way from Brittany, which he had conquered, to the Gothic capital Toulouse, which he hoped to conquer. The so-called auxiliaries of Rome carried fire and sword, insolence and robbery, through the province which was conspicuous above all others by its fidelity to Rome. One of these wild mercenaries happened to quarrel with a man engaged in the service of Avitus, and struck him a mortal blow. The man in dying breathed his master's name, and coupled with it a prayer for vengeance. Avitus, when informed of his servant's death, at once donned his armour and sought the Hunnish camp. We need not believe the strained language of the Panegyrist, who solemnly informs us that in his rage for his murdered servant he slew as many of the Huns as Achilles slew Trojans after the death of Patroclus: but we seem bound to accept his story of the future Emperor's single combat with the murderer, which ended, after the third passage of arms, in Avitus breaking the Hun's breastplate, and transfixing his breast with his spear, which being thrust

воокin. vigorously home, stood out behind the back of the CR. 4. caitiff. 'The blood and the life together ebbed away through the double wound.'

His retirement to Anvergne.

Shortly after this event, Avitus, who had already held three commands in the army, was raised to the high civil office of Praetorian Prefect in Gaul, an office which may perhaps have occupied six years of his life, from 439 to 445 . From these duties he retired to his estate in the heart of Auvergne, to that very villa of Avitacum overlooking the lake, and overlooked by the mountains, of which we have already heard a description from the pen of its next possessor, Sidonius. For the family of Avitus consisted of two sons, Ecdicius and Agricola, and one daughter, Papianilla. This daughter is the lady whom Sidonius married about the year 452, and most of our information about the career, as well as the dwelling-place of the Arvernian Emperor, is derived from the verses or the letters of his fluent son-in-lam.

His influence at the Visigothic Court.

The connection which most powerfully influenced the life of Avitus, and which alone gave him any chance, a small one at the best, of being remembered in history, was a friendship which, while still a boy, he formed with the Visigothic monarch at Toulouse, and which on the side of the barbarian was continued into a second generation. A brother of the young Arveruian, named Theodorus, had been sent as a hostage to the court of Theodoric I. Avitus went to Toulouse to visit Theodorus, and by some unexplained charm of manner, or beauty of character, so won upon the Gothic king that he offered him large sums of money if he would renounce his Gallo-Roman nationality, and take up his permanent residence at the court of

Triple Alliance: Empire, Visigoths, Burgundians. 379
Toulouse. This offer was rejected, scornfully rejected, $\begin{gathered}\text { Boonimin. } \\ \text { Ca. } 4 .\end{gathered}$ says his panegyrist; but there is some reason to think -cs. 4. that Avitus may have discharged for a time the duties of Governor to the young Visigothic princes ${ }^{1}$. His powerful intercession is said to have saved Narbonne (436) when sorely blockaded by the barbarian arms, and at the last stage of famine. And on a more eventful day (in 451), as has been already described, Avitus was the chosen intermediary between Rome and Toulouse, the man who by his personal influence with Theodoric I, did more than any other single individual to mould the great Roman-Gothic alliance against Attila, which saved Europe from becoming Tartar.

That alliance had done its work, and apparently was Utiilised for dissolved, when the terror from the Hun was over. tion of taBut the thought probably suggested itself both to the new Triplo new Visigothic king, Theodoric II, and to his Gaulish friend, that it might be revived, and might serve a useful purpose for both of them in the troubled state of Roman politics after the murder of Valentinian III. Avitus had been drawn by the Emperor Maximus from his retirement, and invested with the office of Magister utriusque Militiae (Captain-General of horse and foot), which gave him complete control over all military matters in Gaul. The three-months' reign of Maximus had been well employed by the new general in checking the inroads of the tribes dwelling by the lower Rhine, and his credit with the soldiers and the provincials was

[^211]воокiII. at a high point, when tidings arrived in Gaul of the

CB. 4. Vandal sack of Rome and the vacancy of the Empire. Possibly the young oratorical son-in-law, Sidonius, was employed to furbish up the old friendship with the Visigoth, and he may have gained a point or two for the aspirant to the purple by diplomatically losing a few games on the backgammon-board of Theodoric.

Chief barbarian nations of Weatern Europe at this time.

Four great Germanic nations were at this time supreme in Western Europe: the Vandals, the Visigoths, the Burgundians, and the Suevi. A fifth, that of the Franks, one day to be the mightiest of them all, was as yet scarcely peeping over the horizon. The Vandals, as we know, ruled Africa from Carthage, the Visigoths South-Westeru France from Toulouse, the Burgundians were settled in the valley of the Rhone, and their chief capital was Lyons; the Suevi held the greater part of Southern and Western Spain, and their capital was Astorga. The Vandals and Visigoths were sworn foes ever since the cruel outrage practised by Gaiseric on his Visigothic daughter-in-law. The Burgundians and Visigoths lived in a state of simmering unfriendliness, not ofteu passing into vindictive war. The Suevi, who were now by the departure of the Vandals the only barbarian power left in the Peninsula, carried on a desultory warfare with Roman Spain, but at this time were living at peace with their Visigothic neighbours from whom they were divided by the Pyrenees, and their king Rechiarius had married a sister of the reigning Theodoric.

Such being the position of affairs, the transaction which suggested itself, at some time in the summer of 455 , to the minds of the most powerful men at Arles and Toulouse must have been something of this nature,
'Let us join forces and form a Triple Alliance. To you, воокin. Avitus, shall fall the Imperial Purple: we Visigoths Cu. 4. will assert your claims against any other competitor, ${ }_{T h e}{ }^{45}$ and if need be, protect you against the hated Vandal.
 name and the rights of the Empire for an enterprise ethe felp of the Roman which we are meditating against the Suevi. Though name. we have been settled for the last half century chiefly on the Northern side of the Pyrenees, we have never entirely renounced the hope of including Spain in our dominion. That was the vision of the great Ataulfus, brother-in-law of Alaric, that and the welding of Roman and Visigoth into one harmonious commonwealth; and if we can now make this compact with you, our nobler and firmer Attalus, his vision may yet become a reality. And lastly, if you, Burgundians, instead of harassing us by your aimless warfare, will join our great expedition, the territories in the valley of the Rhone, which you now hold by a friendly compact with the Empire, shall be enlarged-does not the new Augustus consent to this ?-and it may be that you shall reach even to the Mediterranean Sea.'
Such was probably the honest prose of the trans- The league action which raised the nobleman of Auvergne to the veiled headship of the Empire; but in diplomacy and in pretended poetry it of course assumes a very different aspect. The Visigothic king, no doubt in collusion with Avitus, threatened an invasion of Roman Gaul. The Master of the Soldiery assembled his troops, but consented to assume once more the office of ambassador to Toulouse, in order to avert the horrors of war from the provincials. He sent before him Messianus, a high functionary of Gaul. At the appearance of this messenger,
mookiri. many a sturdy Visigoth, intent on the rapture of

## CH. 4.

 coming war, foreboded that the magical influence of Avitus would again prevail, and that they would be balked of the hoped-for struggle. Soon their fears were confirmed. The Master himself appeared on the scene erect and stately. Theodoric came forth to greet him, attended by his brother Frithareiks ${ }^{1}$ (the king of peace). His welcome to the Roman was eager but confused; and the three, with joined hands, entered the gates of Toulouse. It was a fortunate coincidence (if it was a mere coincidence) that just as they entered the town the news arrived of the murder of the Emperor Maximus, and the capture of Rome by Gaiseric-news which considerably improved the prospects of the new partnership.The Visigothio Connail.

On the next day a grand council of the Visigothic warriors was held. From necessity rather than choice, the veteran chiefs who assembled there did not reflect the magnificence of the sovereign. Their robes were threadbare and greasy, their scanty skin-cloaks scarcely reached down to the knee, and their boots, made of horse's hide, were hitched up around the calf by a shabbily-tied knot ${ }^{2}$. So were the men attired whose 'honoured poverty' was welcomed into the councils of the nation.

The Gothic king questioned the Roman officer as to the terms of the peace which he was come to propose

[^212]| 'Squalent vestes ac sordida macro |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Lintea pinguescunt tergo, nec tangere possunt |  |
| Altatae suram pelles, ac poplite nudo |  |
| Peronem pauper nodus suspendit equinum.' |  |
| Sidonius, Carm. vii. 454-7. |  |

between the two nations. Avitus replied, dilating on bookim. the old friendship which had existed between him and C.. 4. the first Theodoric. ' $\mathrm{He}, \mathrm{I}$ am sure, would not have 455. denied my request. You were a child then, and cannot remember how he, in compliance with my advice, withdrew his blockading army from Narbonne, when that city was already pale with famine, and was forced to feed upon the most loathsome victuals.'
> ' E'en thou-as well these hoary chieftains knowIn those young days beheld'st in me no foe. Of have I pressed thee, weeping, to my heart, When thy nurse came, refusing to depart. Now once again I come thy faith to prove, And plead the rights of that ancestral love. If faith, affection, filial reverence die,
> - Go ! hard of heart, and peace to Rome deny.'

So far Avitus: a murmur of rough voices through the council testified their approbation of his pleadings for peace. The next lines in the play fell to Theodoric ; and he spoke his part with great animation and correctness. He enlarged on his old friendship for Avitus, his reluctance to break off that friendship, his willingness to serve 'the venerable might of Rome and the race which, like his own, had sprung from Mars,' his desire even to wipe out the memory of the guilt of Alaric by the benefits which he would confer on the Eternal City. But there was one price which must be paid for his services. If Avitus would assume the diadem, the Empire should have in the Visigoth the most faithful of allies: if not, the war once proclaimed must rage on. If the General wished to save the world, he must govern it ${ }^{1}$.

воок III. The Master of the Soldiery heard these words, which Ca. 4.
455.

Return of Avitus to Arles,
and olever tion to the Imperial dignity. were ratified by the solemn oath of the royal brothers, with an appearance of profound sadness. He returned to Arles, whither the tidings preceded him, that the desired peace with the Goths could only be obtained by the elevation of Avitus to the Imperial dignity. The chief officials of Gaul were hastily summoned to the Castle of Ugernum (now Beaucaire, on the Rhone, a few miles above Arles) ; the proposal to declare Avitus emperor was carried by acclamation, vanity perhaps concurring with policy in the scheme of giving a Gaulish ruler to Rome ${ }^{1}{ }^{\bullet}$ On the third day after the assembly at Ugernum Avitus appeared upon a highheaped agger ${ }^{2}$ surrounded by the soldiery, who put upon his head a military collar, to represent the true Imperial diadem, which was probably in safe custody at Ravenna. The new Augustus wore still the same melaucholy countenance with which he had first listened to the flattering proposal of Theodoric; and it is possible that by this time the sadness may have been not all feigned, some conviction of his own inability to cope with the weight of the falling Commonwealth having already entered his soul.

No details as to the reign of Avitus.

The story of Avitus' elevation to the throne has seemed worth telling, because it illustrates the manner in which the great barbarian monarchies influenced the

[^213]fortunes of the dying Empire, the degrees in which воокirl. Force and Art were still blended in order to secure obedience to its behests, and the nature of the tie 455 . which bound those later 'Shadow-Emperors' to their by no means shadowy Patrons. But of the reign of this Emperor, which lasted only sixteen months, we have but a few faint details from the Annalists, which leave us little more to say than that he reigned, and that he ceased to reign.

The autumn of 455 was probably employed in an expedition to the province of Pannonia, an expedition which, we are asked to believe, reunited to the Empire regions which had been lost to it for generations. It is possible that in the complete collapse of Attila's power, Rome may have successfully reclaimed some portions of her ancient dominion by the Danube ; but it is difficult to conjecture the motives which could have sent the new Emperor forth on so distant an expedition, while the terrible and unsubdued Vandal was still crouching at his gates ready to repeat his spring.

On the first day of the year 456 Rome witnessed the Consulahip usual splendid pageant which announced that the supreme Augustus condescended to assume the bistoric office of consul, and to mark the year with his name ${ }^{1}$.

[^214]воокin. Among the solemnities of the day, the young Sidonius

Св. 4.
456. Panegyrio of Sidonins. recited, in the hearing of the Senate and the people ${ }^{1}$, a panegyric 602 lines long, after the manner of Claudian, which he had composed in honour of his father-in-law?. This panegyric is the source-the doubtful source, it must be admitted-from which have been drawn the facts previously related concerning the private life of the Arvernian Senator and the manner of his elevation to the throne. The attempt to emulate Claudian's panegyrics on Honorius and Stilicho is evident, but the failure to reach even Claudian's standard of excellence is equally evident. The old, worn-out mythological machinery is as freely used, and with even less of dramatic fitness and truth. Jupiter convokes an assembly of the gods; all the Olympians of the first and second rank attend it. Thither also come all the great river-gods of the world ${ }^{3}$, the Rline, the Po , the Danube, the Nile. And thither at last, with bent head and flagging steps, without a helmet, and scarce able to drag the weight of her heavy lance, comes unhappy
difficulty as to the non-patrician rank of the family of Avitus. See note to p. 376.

1 'Sistimus portu geminse potiti Fronde coronee
Quam mihi indulsit popalus Quirini
Blattifer vel quam tribuit Senatas
Quam peritorum dedit ordo consors Judiciorum.'
(Ep. ix. 16.)
Is it possible that the last two lines can refer to a still existing order of knights, still theoretically invested with the Judicia 9
${ }^{2}$ This is now seventh of the 'Carmina' of Sidonius, which are not arranged in order of date.
${ }^{3}$ The last of these is happily enough described-
'The Nile whom all know for his source unknown.'
'- et ignotum plus notus Nile per ortam.'

Rome. She begins at first with some naturalness and book iri. spirit, longing for the happy days when she was still small, obscure, and safe, before greatness had brought its harassing penalty. She recurs with dread to the omen of the twelve vultures seen by the Etrurian augur on Mount Palatine at the foundation of the city. If those twelve vultures did truly mean, as some supposed, that she should have twelve centuries of greatness, her day is done, for the allotted time expired eight years ago (in A.D. 447).

Soon, however, the unhappy Queen of the World wanders off into mere Roman history. She repeats to great Jove a versified compendium of Livy, and condenses the lives of the first twelve Caesars into an equal number of lines, which might have been prepared as a Memoria Technica by a Roman schoolboy.

The father of gods and men takes up the tale, and shows that he is not to be outdone in knowledge of Livy and Tacitus. Then, having vindicated his scholarship, he tells her that he has prepared a man for her deliverance, born in Auvergne, a land fertile in heroes. This destined deliverer is Avitus, whose respectable life and fortunes Jupiter describes in 460 lines of unbroken monologue. We listen in weariness to the long, level narrative, and think what a change has come over the Court of Olympus since, in a few majestic words, the Thunderer granted the earnest prayer of silverfooted Thetis. Then Jupiter nodded, now his hearers.

To the taste of the Romans of the fifth century, sidonius however, the fluent hexameters of the young Gaulish rewardel poet probably appeared really meritorious. At any as statue. rate they were written by the son-in-law of Augustus, and consequently every good courtier was bound to

воокiII. admire them. The Senate decreed that 'an everlasting CE. 4. statue' of brass should be raised in honour of Apollinaris Sidonius, which should stand between the Greek and Latin libraries in the Forum of Trajan ${ }^{1}$.
War be- While the new Emperor was thus inaugurating his tween Visigothe and Suevi. using the new alliance for his own purposes. Embarsies passed to and fro between the king of the Visigoths and the king of the Suevi. The former, whose messengers were accompanied by the Gaulish Count Fronto, as representative of Rome, called upon his Suevic brother-in-law to cease from the attacks which he had been lately making on Roman Spain, the Empire and the Visigothic monarchy being now united in mutual league, and the invaders of the one being the enemies of the other. To this embassy Rechiarius returned a haughty answer: ' If thou complainest of what I am doing here, I will come to Tholosa where thou dwellest; there, if thou art strong enough, resist me.' This insolent defiance hastened the warlike preparations of Theodoric. Early in the year 456 (apparently) he invaded Spain with an enormous army, to which the two kings of the Burgundians, Gundiok and Chilperic, brought their promised contingent; and he was able to assert (probably thereby commanding some assistance from wavering provincials) that he came 'with the will and by the ordinance of Avitus the Emperor.'
> ' Cum meis poni statuam perennem Nerva Trajanus titulis videret Inter auctores utriusque fixam Bibliothecae.' (Sidonius, Ep. ix 16.)
> ' Nil vatum prodest adjectum laudibus illud
> Ulpia quod rutilat porticus aere meo.'

(Sidonius, Carm. viii. 7-8.)

This campaign destroyed the greatness of the Suevic bookin. kingdom ${ }^{1}$. Rechiarius was defeated in a great battle $\frac{\text { Ce. } 4 .}{456 .}$ at the river Urbicus, twelve miles from Astorga (5th October). Theodoric pushed on to Braga, took that place on the twenty-eighth of October, and though that day was a Sunday, and the victory had been a bloodless one as far as his host was concerned, he used his success in a manner which horrified his contemporaries; carried off vast numbers of men, women, and children into captivity, stripped the clergy naked, filled the holy places ' with horrors of horses, cattle, and camels,' and in short repeated all the judgments which the wrath of God had suffered to fall on Jerusalem. The fugitive Rechiarius was taken prisoner next year 'at a place called Portucale' (Oporto), and after some months' captivity, was put to death by his vindictive brother-in-law, who could not forget his insulting message about the visit to Toulouse.

While Theodoric was thus engaged with the Suevi, Ricimer's news was brought to him of an important victory which his Imperial ally had gained over the Vandals. Sixty of their ships had set sail from the harbour of Carthage; they had reached Corsica and cast anchor there, seeming to threaten Italy and Gaul at once. The brave and capable Count Ricimer followed them thither, outmanouvred and surrounded them with his fleet, and slew of them a great multitude ${ }^{2}$.

[^215]воокiil. So far all seemed going well with the Romano-

Ch. 4. Ricimer. Gothic confederation, and the moment when Hesychins, the Imperial ambassador, presented himself at the camp of Theodoric in Gallicia with these tidings, with presents from the Emperor, and with the further intelligence that his master had come to Arles, probably to meet his Visigothic ally,-this moment was probably the apogee of the new combination. But there was a worm at the root of this apparent prosperity. Ricimer was after his late victory the idol of the army and the most powerful man in the Empire, and Ricimer had determined to shatter the new alliance. Nor was such a determination wonderful, since this strange and perplexing character who, for the next sixteen years, played the part of King-maker at Rome, was himself the son of a Suevic father, though of a Visigothic mother, and was not likely to hear well-pleased the tidings of the sack of Braga and the countless horrors which had befallen his countrymen at the hand of the ally of Avitus.

Deporition of A ritus.

He resolved that the Arvernian Senator must lay aside the purple, and he probably had the popular voice with him when he pronounced Avitus unfitted for the emergencies of the Empire. The Gaulish nobleman was a man of unspotted private character, and had once possessed some courage and capacity for war, but he was fond of ease, perhaps of luxury, and the almost childlike simplicity and openness of his nature, to say nothing of his sisty years, unfitted him to cope with the lawless intriguers, Roman and Barbarian, by whom he was surrounded. Famine broke out in Rome, and for moverat, regi Theuderico nuncistur occisa per Avitum.' 'Per Avitum' depends, I think, on ' nunciatar,' not on 'occisa.'
this the people blamed Avitus (who had now returned bоокin. into Italy) and the crowd of hungry dependents whom ca. 4. he had brought with him from Gaul. Under popular 456. pressure he was compelled to dismiss his Visigothic body-guard. Having no funds in his treasury wherewith to pay them, he stripped the public buildings in Rome of their copper (completing perhaps the halffinished Vandal spoliation of the temple of Jupiter Capitolinus), and turned the copper into gold for his Gothic friends. All this of course increased his unpopularity in Rome ${ }^{1}$. The revolt, now openly headed by Ricimer and his young comrade Majorian, spread to Ravenna On the rith of September, Remistus, the Patrician (an official who is otherwise unknown to us), was killed in the palace at Classis. The Emperor fled from Rome, hoping to reach his native and friendly Gaul. But he was taken prisoner at Placentia by Ricimer, who now held the all-important office of Master of the Soldiery. On the 17 th of October, the Patrician, Messianus, a Gaul, and probably the intimate friend of Avitus, the same who had acted as his avantcourier to the court of Theodoric the year before, was put to death. Avitus himself was spared. Even the stern Ricimer could not bring himself to take the life of the innocent old man. But he was stripped of the His consepurple, and (strange fate for an Augustus) was conse- Brishop, crated Bishop at Placentia. Of the name of his See ${ }^{2}$ and of his subsequent fate we have no certain information. It seems probable that he died by a natural

[^216]$\underset{\text { ce. }}{\text { Bor III. death, though possibly hastened by disappointment and }}$ Ce. 4. alarm, within a twelvemonth after he had abdicated the
456. and death. Empire ${ }^{1}$. A tradition, recorded by Gregory of Tours (who was himself a native of Auvergne), related that the forlorn Bishop-Emperor, fearful for his life, left Italy by stealth to repair to the tomb of Julian the martyr, an Arvernian saint, whose protection he hoped to purchase by rich presents, the wreck it may be of his Imperial splendour; that he died on the road, but that his body was taken and buried at the feet of the martyr in the village of Brioude in Auvergne. Few things in the fitfully-illuminated history of the times are stranger than the fulness of information which is given us as to the rise of this unfortunate Emperor, and the barrenness of the history of his fall. And yet he was the keystone of a great and important political combination, a combination which, had it endured, would certainly have changed the face of Europe, and might have anticipated the Empire of Charles the Great in favour of a nobler nation than the Franks, and without the interposition of three centuries of barbarism.

[^217]NOTE F. On thb Allgged Immoralitirs of Avitus.

Tas charges made by Gibbon (cap. xxxvi. note 25), and NOTE F. repeated by his copyists, against the moral character of this Emperor, rest on no solid basis of evidence.

1. In the contemporary chroniclers there is no hint of anything of the kind.
2. Victor Tannunensis, who, though not a contemporary (he died 56y), seems to have had access to full and trastworthy sources of information, calls Avitus 'a man of entire simplicity' ('vir totins simplicitatis'). It is true that the MSS, waver here between Anitias and Avitus, but the latter is evidently intended. Again, he says that Ricimer, 'sparing the inoffensiveness of Avitus' (' cujus innocentiae parcens'), allowed him to live after he had dethroned him. No doubt these expressions are meant to be somewhat contemptuous of the intellect of Avitus, bat they would hardly be used of a man who was guilty of the wanton profligacy which Gibbon ascribes to him.
3. The very fact of his ondination as bishop, at that period of the Church, and under such a strict disciplinarian as Pope Leo I, is almost a guarantee for the correctness of his private life.

What then are the opposing testimonies?
4. Gregory of Tours (ii. II) says-' Avitus, one of the Senators, and, as is very manifest, a citizen of Auvergne, when he had schemed for the Imperial dignity of Rome, wishing to act laxurionsly (laxuriose agere volens) was cast forth by the Senate, and ordained Bishop at the city of Placentia. But finding that the Senate, still indignant, wished to deprive him of life he sought the Basilica of St. Julian, \&c.'

Gregory (who died about 595) is in no sense a contemporary, and is not a first-rate authority for what happened in Italy at

NOTE F. this period, Ganl, under the Frankish kings in the sixth centary, being the ground upon which he is really strong. In this particular instance it is almost certain that he has over-stated the share of the Roman Senate and under-estimated that of Ricimer in the deposition of Avitus. It is true that Gregory, as being himself a native of Auvergne, might have some special informstion as to the life of his countryman. But let bis authority be taken for what it is worth; it establishes, at the worst, a charge of 'luxary' against Avitus.
5. An anonymous epitomiser of Gregory, said by some to be Fredegarius (who lived in the middle of the seventh century), but of whose name and date we really know nothing, tells a disagreeable story about the capture of Trier by the Franks, which was occasioned by the dishonour inflicted by the Emperor Avitus on the lovely wife of the Senator Lacius, a crime aboat which the Emperor was foolish enough to jest in the hearing of the outraged husband, who, in revenge, delivered up the city to the Franks (' Dom Bouquet, Recueil des Historiens des Gaules,' ii. 395). But it is quite clear that this story, whatever its truth may be, relates to events which occurred more than forty years before Avitus' accession to the Empire, and that the insertion of his name is a mere slip on the part of the epitomiser. Paragraph VI describes the nsurpation of the Imperial title by Jovinus (about 41I). Paragraph VII contains the abovementioned story about the cause of the fall of Trier, and that event, as we know from Gregory (ii. 9), also occurred in or about the year 41 . Paragraph VIII mentions a campaign of Castinus against the Franks (417). Paragraph IX gives the accession of Chlodeo, assigned to 428 , and the reign of his son Merovens. Then at last in Paragraph $X$ we have a short notice (in the words of Gregory of Tours) of the real Avitus, his luxurious life, ordination as a bishop, and death.

It is plain therefore that Paragraph VII does not relate to Avitus the Emperor, and that his name has been substituted for that of some other Roman Emperor residing at Trier, probably Jovinus, by a clerical error of the epitomiser. Gibbon's attempt to transfer the story to Rome by the remark that 'it seems more applicable to Rome than to Trier' is quite inadmissible. The story is an account of the circumstances which led to the fall of Tricr, or it is notbing.

Maratori's criticism (Annali d' Italia, iii. 174 ) is here sounder note $F$. than that of Gibbon or even of Tillemont.

Upon a review of the whole evidence it is contended that, except for a vague and feebly-supported charge of 'luxury;' the moral character of Avitus is without a stain.
[It is satisfactory to find so careful a writer as Holder-Egger entirely concurring in the view here taken: 'Avitus as Emperor can never have been in Trier, as Fredegarius represents him to have been. If a germ of historic truth, as is probable [?], larks in this story, some confusion of persons, due to a similarity of names, must have caused it to assume its present shape. We mast not follow Gibbon in considering this tale as historic fact.' Neaes Archiv, ii. 274, n. I.]

## CHAPTER V.

## SUPREMACY OF RICIMER. MAJORIAN.

## Authorities.

Sources:-
Book III. It will be seen that our chief information as to this reign is
Сн. 5. again drawn from the Poems and still more from the Letters of Sidonivs.

Of the Annalists Idatius is perhaps the least meagre, and as the turning-point of Majorian's career was in Spain, this Spanish bishop may be quoted with some confidence for that event.

The Anonymus Cuspiniani, as usual, supplies us with dates and Procopius with romance.

Analogy between the fall of the Roman and that of the Ottoman Empire.

There is danger in endeavouring to illustrate the history of a long-past age by the vivid light of modern politics; danger from the incompleteness of our knowledge of the present, and danger from the heat of controversy with which every topic debated by men struggling fur place and power in the world of action around us, must necessarily be environed.

But the correspondence between the position of Old Rome at the point of her history which we have now reached, and that of 'New Rome,' or Constantinople, at the present day, is in some respects so close that we are almost compelled to notice it. The obvious differences between the conditions of the two Empires are many, but the resemblances are more, and more striking. The Roman, like the Turk, having been the terror of the world, had become its pity. He had lost,
like the Turk, his unce pre-eminent faculty of founding воов in. Empires; he had lost the faculty of generalship, and, ca. в. unlike the Turk, he had lost the mere animal courage of the common soldier. A world of new and alien nationalities was seething round him, nationalities which had a prophetic instinct that to them and not to him belonged the Future of Europe; nationalities whose gentlest and most friendly touch meant ruin to the old order of things, yet nationalities which, strange to say, did not, with one exception, wish to destroy his Empire if by any means the breath of life could still be preserved in it. What 'the Frank' is to the Ottoman of to-day, the Barbarian was to the subjects of Honorias and Valentinian.

I have said that there was one exception. The Vandal, during the last quarter of a century of the independent life of Rome, was her one implacable enemy. He had had his hour of triumph in 455 ; intent on pillage rather than on conquest he had not then sought permanently to annex Italy to his Empire, but he remained watching her death-struggles, gloating over her feeble misery, and perhaps speculating on the day when she would fall without effort into his hands, and Rome be ruled as a dependency from Carthage.

We have seen some reasons for supposing that this Barborian result was dreaded by the other Teutonic nations in the $\begin{gathered}\text { ndren- } \\ \text { turer }\end{gathered}$ West of Europe, and that political combinations, rude Corrt. and well-nigh forgotten, were formed in order to keep Rome for the Romans, even as they have been formed in our own day to keep Stamboul for the Turks. But a more undoubted point of resemblance is the career of the many Teutonic adventurers who brought their knowledge of war, their energy, their courage, and

воокиш. sometimes their unscrupulousness to the service of the Сп. 6. dying Empire. Merobaudes and Bauto, Arbogast and Gainas, were the prototypes of the German and English officers who in our own day have reorganised the armies or commanded the fleets of the Sultan, and led the expeditions of the Khedive. Not more strange to us probably is the affix of Pacha to an English surname than were, in the ears of the men of that generation, the titles of Consul or Patrician when borne by a fullblooded Barbarian. And these alien administrators of the State and Army of Rome resembled those 'Frankish' admirals and generals employed by the Ottoman Porte, in the knowledge that, however great the actual power which they might possess, the appearance of sovereignty would always be denied to them. As none but a lineal descendant of Othman can sit on the throne of Soliman, so, even in the most degenerate days of Rome, public opinion, if not positive law, forbade that any one who was the son of a barbarian father and a barbarian mother should be robed in the Imperial purple.
Ricimer. Such a Romanised Teuton was Rioimer ${ }^{1}$, the man
${ }^{1}$ FAMILY CONNECTIONS OF RICIMER

who for sixteen years after the deposition of Avitus воовin. was virtually head of the Roman commonwealth. It $\frac{\text { Ci. } 5 .}{456-472 .}$ is worth while to notice how intimately he was connected with two if not three of the ruling barbarian families. He was the son of a Suevic father, who His family probably enough was sprung from the royal family of his nation. His mother was daughter of Walia, king of the Visigoths, the successor and avenger of Ataulfus; and his sister was married to Gundiok, king of the Burgundians. A man thus connected, and concentrating in his hand whatever yet remained of the forces and the treasure of Rome, was well placed for repelling that storm of Vandal invasion which was the most pressing danger of the Empire.
Historians are unanimous in condemning the cha- and racter of Ricimer, and, as we shall see, not without reason. He raised his unhappy puppets one after another to the Imperial throne, and one by one, as he grew tired of their subservience or was irritated by their opposition, was cast aside and broken by his hand. There is not a word in the Chroniclers, not a line in the venal panegyrics of Sidonius, to suggest that he had a heart accessible to any generous or tender emotion. A cold, self-seeking player with men as with counters he appears from first to last. But let us endeavour to understand what he was and why Rome bore with him. There can be little doubt that as a general he was the greatest whom the Empire could produce. That destruction of the Vandal fleet off Corsica ${ }^{1}$, of which the Chroniclers give us such scanty details, was probably a great achievement, and one which liberated Italy and Gaul for years from the fear of another regular inva-

[^218]bookini. sion. He thus succeeded, as it were of right, to that CH. 6. great position in the State which had been held before him by Stilicho and Aetius. But both these generals had served the Emperors only too well for their own safety. The feeble Honorius had compassed the death of Stilicho; the dissolute Valentinian had planned the assassination of Aetius. Ricimer resolved that his life should not be at the mercy of any similar palace intrigue, and as soon as any of the retainers, whom he permitted to use the name of Caesar, showed signs of acquiring an independent authority in the State which might be dangerous to his authority and life, he gave the word to some trusty barbarian henchman, and the purple robe was found to be enveloping a corpse. There is only one thing to be said in mitigation of our abhorrence for this man; and that is that he does seem to have been faithful to Rome. We do not find any trace of that disposition to make a separate bargain for himself, which so often comes out in the lives of the statesmen of a collapsing monarchy. Rome seems to have understood this, to have accepted him, with all his odious qualities, as 'the necessary man' for the situation, and she may have owed it to this acquiescence in his rule that the Vandal invasion, often threatened, never actually arrived during the sixteen years of his domination.

Ricimer ${ }^{1}$ was probably already a man in middle life

[^219]when he thus came to the helm of the Roman State. boor iII. He was simply Count Ricimer when he achieved his Cm. 5. Corsican victory. That exploit it was, in all likelihood, which earned for him the office of Master General of the Soldiery. A pause ensued upon the deposition of Avitus, perhaps in order to allow time for communications with Byzantium, but during this interval there can be no doubt that the Master of the Forces wielded the whole powers of the State. In four months' time (on the 28th February, 457) Ricimer abandoned his office of Master of the Soldiery in favour of a young general named Majorian, while he himself assumed the proud title of Patrician ${ }^{1}$. This title carried with it the right to be called the father of the Emperor (as soon as an Emperor should be declared) and practically a life-tenure of the office of Prime Minister.

The extraordinary development of the power of 'the offoc Patrician' is one of the unexplained changes in the con- of thencician. stitutional history of the last days of the Empire. The caste of Patricians had, as every one knows, lost their exclusive civil privileges long before the close of the Republic. Under the Empire most of the still surviving Patrician families perished by slow decay, or fell victims to the terrible trade of the delator (informer). The Emperor Constantine revived the name, not now as an hereditary order in the State, but as a personal dignity, conferring high honour on the wearer but probably no power. The words of Zosimus (the only
means 'famnas' (compare the Gothic 'vaila-merjan, to proclaim gladtidings: 'meri-tha,' fame). Thus Ricimer $=$ 'famous ruler.'
${ }^{1}$ 'Constantino et Rufo Coss. Ricimer Mag. Mil. Patricius factus est prid. Kl. Marcias. Et factus est Majorianus Mag. Mil. ipso die' (Anon. Cuspiniani).

VOL. II.

воокin. historian apparently who describes this innovation) are Са. 5. these ${ }^{1}$ : 'The dignity of Patrician was first introduced by Constantine, who passed a law that those who were honoured by it should take precedence of the Praetorian Prefects.' This enactment is lost. Only one law in the whole Theodosian Codes, which decrees that 'even the splendour of the Patriciate' is to be considered subordinate in rank to the Consular office, mentions the name of the new dignity, which moreover does not occur from beginning to end of the 'Notitia Dignitatum.' Evidently 'the Patrician' of the fifth century, like 'the Premier'. and 'the Cabinet' of our own day, was a term more familiar to the mouths of ordinary men than to the written documents of the constitution.

For the last twenty years of his life the great Aetius wore the name of Patrician ; and we may perhaps conjecture that it was during that time that men, seeing him ever the foremost figure in the state, of which he was the real ruler, came to look upon the new designation as something more than a mere title of courtesy, and upon the holder of it as an irremovable depositary of power above the rooving, changing throng of Consuls and Praetorian Prefects. The words of a contemporary chronicler, describing the deposition of Avitus, 'And

[^220]his Patrician Messianus was killed ${ }^{1}$,' seem to imply an boonimi. especial connection between the Patrician and the Em. Сп. 5 . peror, just as we should say ' a Colonel and his Major,' but not 'a Colonel and his Captain.' But howsoever and whensoever the peculiar pre-eminence of the Patrician began, there can be no doubt that it existed during the period which we are now considering, and that citizens of Rome must have spoken of the Patrician with at least as much awe as the citizens of Constantinople speak of the Grand Vizier, or the subjects of Louis XIII. spoke of the Cardinal.

The official 'Father of the Emperor' was not long in Elopation providing himself with a son. His young comrade, of Minan to the the Majorian, 'was raised to the Empire on the Ist of April ${ }_{4}^{\text {Empire. }}$ in the camp at Columellaee, àt the sixth milestone' no doubt from Ravenna ${ }^{\text {s }}$. The Emperor Leo, who, two months before, upon the death of the brave old Marcian, had been in a somewhat similar manner raised by $h i s$ barbarian patron Aspar to the Eastern throne, approved the choice, and the two Emperors, between whose characters there was no little resemblance, reigned together with more harmony and more unity of purpose than had often marked the counsels of Ravenna and Constantinople.
The new Emperor, Julius Valerius Majorianus, came Provions of an official stock. His maternal grandfather, Majorian, history of was Master General of the Soldiery in 379 when Theodosius was raised to the Empire. The elevation of that-

[^221]boorini. Emperor took place at Sirmium (not far from Belgrade), and Majorian's head-quarters were then at Acincus, well-known to us under its modern name of Buda as the western half of the capital of Hungary. The son-in-law of the elder, and father of the younger, Majorian was a faithful comrade of Aetius, and reached the 'respectable' office of Quaestor. The future Emperor served his apprenticeship to arms under his father's friend, and was rising high in the service when suddenly Aetius dismissed him from his military employments. No reason was assigned for this harsh step, but the young officer and his friends maintained that it was solely due to the envy of the Patrician's wife, who feared that the fame of her husband and son would suffer eclipse by Majorian's growing reputation ${ }^{1}$. He retired for the time to his estate, and to the pursuits of agriculture, but when Aetius himself fell under the dagger of the assassin, his fortunes naturally revived, and Valentinian III. called him forth from his seclusion to bestow upon him one of the highest posts in the army. In this position he probably co-operated with Ricimer in the overthrow of Avitus ${ }^{2}$. What is more certain is that, as already related, he was raised on the last day of February, 457 , to the dignity of Master of the Soldiery, and on the ist of April in the same year was saluted as Augustus.

At once a flash of something like the old defiant spirit

[^222]of Rome shewed her enemies that she had again a воокinf. soldier for Emperor. In the short interval between Ca. s. February and April, Majorian had sent an expedition which successfully repelled an inroad of 900 Alamanni, anainat the who had forced their way over the Rhaetian Alps to the northern shore of Lake Maggiore. He was next sum-moned-to Campania, to whose rich plains Gaiseric had this year directed his piratical fleet. The lordly Vandal, and the fat with luxurious living, sat lazily in his galley while the Mauretarian peasant, himself a slave, ravaged the country, dragging off captives, cattle, spoil, everything that could be carried away, and swept them into the holds of the Vandal war-ships. Such was the picture of arrogant and indolent rapacity when the troops of Majorian appeared on the scene. In an instant all was changed; horses were landed, suits of mail were donned, poisoned arrows were fitted to the string, and fiery darts were brandished in the hand. On both sides the trumpets sounded, and the dragon ensigns floated sinuously to the breeze. Then came the clash of opposing squadrons, soon followed by the flight of the Vandals. Horses and men crowded into the water in an agony of fear, and only the strongest swimmers succeeded in reaching the ships. When the fight was over, Majorian roamed over the battle-field examining the bodies of the slain. Among them was a well-known corpse, that of the husband of Gaiseric's sister. All the wounds of the Roman soldiers were in front; all those of the Vandals in the back. Such is the account which Sidonius gives of the encounter. After making every deduction for rhetorical amplification, we are bound to believe that the Vandal was worsted in a skirmish, and retired from the shores of Campania.
bookiII. A campaign in Pannonia apparently followed; the Се. . obscure details of which need not be given here. But it may be observed that among the subject nations who are represented as following the standards of Majorian are mentioned the Rugian and the Ostrogoth ${ }^{\text { }}$. So invariable was the course of barbarian movement into Italy. The tribes who were to be the next conquerors of Rome always first figured as her stipendiaries.

The second year of Majorian's reign was signalised by his accepting the office of Consul in conjunction with his Byzantine colleague, Leo. Scarcely since the palmy days of the Republic had two men so worthy of that famous dignity ridden behind the Lictors and Fasces

His letter to the Senate. Novellae Majoriani, Tit. III. and given their names to the year. The address of Majorian to the Senate, written at Ravenna and preserved among his laws, makes a show of moderation and deference for that ancient body, which though it was probably understood by all concerned to be only a piece of acting, was yet gracious and dignified acting. He says that having been elected by the free choice of the Senate, and by the will of his valiant army, he consents to assume a dignity for which he has himself no desire, in order that he may not be accused of ingratitude to the Commonwealth, nor seem to wish to live only to himself. He implores the favour of Heaven, and asks

[^223]for their co-operation with the Emperor of their choice. Bookil. - Let them take heart as to their own fortunes. As a Ca. $\frac{\mathrm{s}^{8} .}{45 \text {. }}$ private man he always condemned the infamy of informers, and he is not going to encourage them now that he is Emperor. The military affairs of the State shall receive the ceaseless attention of himself and his father and Patrician Ricimer. They two together by hard service in the field have freed the State of the Roman world from foreign foes and civil broil, and with the help of Providence they will yet preserve it.'
' Fare ye well, Conscript Fathers of the most venerable order.'

The years 458 and 459 were probably spent in war Traneacwith the Visigothic king, naturally indignant. at the Gani. overthrow of his candidate for Empire. It would necessarily be waged in Gaul, but we know nothing concerning it but the result, a glorious one for Majorian. In the year 459 'Ambassadors were sent to the Gallicians by Nepotian, Master of the Soldiery, and Sunieric the Count, announcing that Majorian the Augustus, and Theodoric the King, have ratified with one another the firmest bonds of peace, the Goths having been overcome in a certain conflict ${ }^{1}$.'

But though we know nothing else of these campaigns Majorina in Gaul, they have a certain interest for us as having and Bidobeen the means of bringing Majorian within the orbit of the universal panegyrist, Sidonius. That unfortunate courtier must have seen with deep chagrin all his hopes of official advancement blasted by the de-

[^224]booriil thronement of his father-in-law. Apparently he did Се. 6. not accept the triumph of the party of Ricimer without a struggle. Did he actually join himself to the Visigoths, and fight under their banners against Rome? Did he stir up revolt among the Gaulish provincials, and strive to maintain the cause of some other claimant to the purple? Did the city of Lyons join the revolt, and was she only reduced to obedience by the motley army of Majorian after a stubborn resistance? Such are some of the conclusions drawn by commentators from a fer obscure passages ${ }^{1}$ in the works of Sidonius, who naturally describes the conversations of the Olympian deities with much greater minuteness than his own exertions on behalf of an unsuccessful cause.

[^225]The provoking silence of the chroniclers prevents us boozim. from either affirming or denying these conclusions. One can only say that it is extraordinary that a civil Сп. 5. war, and the reduction by force of so important a city of the empire as Lyons (if these events really occurred) should have been left altogether unnoticed by the historians.

However this may have been, there is no doubt that sldonius $\underset{\text { stonee for }}{\underset{\text { for }}{ }}$ Sidonius was in disgrace, that the triumphant Emperor his treason was at Lyons, and that a hint was given that a pane- panagric. gyric would be the price of the poet's restoration to favour. The broker in this transaction was the Emperor's secretary, Petrus, himself a man of letters and a distinguished diplomatist. The panegyric was accordingly composed and recited, no doubt in the Emperor's hearing, amidst the applause of the courtiers. It was a hard task for the son-in-law of Avitus to bring his flowing rhetoric to glorify the rival, perhaps the executioner of his relative. But the instinct of reverence for success carried Sidonius safely through his perilous undertaking. In 603 lines (one more than he had given to his father-in-law) he sang the joy of Rome in the triumphs of Majorian, and the very difficulty of the enterprise invigorated his Muse. The personifications are decidedly less tedious, the imagery more imaginative, the flow of declamation more animated, in this work than in the panegyric on Avitus ${ }^{1}$.

[^226]воокIII. This is the plan of the poem. Rome sits on her

Се. $\overline{\text {. }}$ throne, and receives the homage and the appropriate presents of the nations from India to Spain. To her the poem. enters Africa, 'the third part of the world,' her black cheeks scarred, and the ears of corn which crowned her bending forehead all broken. She complains that she is made miserable by the insolent happiness of one man (Gaiseric), the robber, the maid-servant's son, who has insinuated himself into her home, and made himself master of her resources. She calls on Rome to deliver her from this hateful vassalage; on Rome, now able to strike by the strong arm of Majorian, whose parentage and past exploits she recounts at considerable length. That Rome may not think the exploit beyond her strength, she informs her that Gaiseric is now sodden and enervated by the life of vicious luxury which he has been leading. His pale cheeks and bilious habit show that his endless banquets have at last begun to tell upon his health. What Capua was to Hannibal, the cook-shops of Carthage have been to the Vandal.

Rome, in a few dignified words, assures Africa of coming succour. Gaul, which for nearly eighty years has been left unvisited by Emperors, has now been visited by Majorian, who has corrected the disorders caused by that long absence, and who is now coming 'through these wars to thy war. Why waste we our time in speaking? He will arrive : he will conquer.'

Here ends the allegorical part of the poem. Then, in his own person, and with some poetic fire, Sidonius recounts the later exploits of the Emperor ; the fight universally received tradition that it was the good offices of Maecenss, that procured the pardon of Horace after the battle of Philippi.
by Maggiore, the defeat of the Vandal pirates, the boorini. passage of the Alps by his motley armament.
''Twas Winter. Through the marble-shining Alps The rocks affronting Heaven, the cliffs whose brows Threaten incessantly the waffarer
With the dry deluge of the avalanche', Through these thy foot first passes: thou the first
Dost plant thy pole upon the slippery slopes.
And now the host has reached the midmost pass:
Their limbs begin to stiffen with the cold;
Blocked in the narrow windings of the way,
To walk, or e'en to creep incapable,
So great the glassy smoothness of the ground.
Then one, by chance, from out that straggling file,
Whose wheel the frozen Danube once had worn,
Exclaims, "I choose instead the gory sword
And the chill awfulness of quiet death.
A rigid torpor binds my stiffening limbs, With fire of frost my parchèd frame consumes ${ }^{2}$.
We follow one who labours without end, Our stripling leader ${ }^{3}$. Now the bravest brave, Monarch or people, safe are housed in camp, And, e'en in camp, lie under shaggy hides. But we-we change the order of the year. What he commands transcends e'en Nature's laws. He bends not ever from his ruthless schemes And grudges Victory to the angry sky. Oh, where and of what nation was he born
Whom I, the Scythian, cannot cope with? Where, Under what rock Hyrcanian did he grow, Sucking the milk of tigers 9 To this pitch

## - Siccamque minantes

Per scopulos pluviam.'
'Quodam mihi corpus adustum
Frigoris igne perit.'
So Milton-
'The parching air
Burns frore, and cold performs the effect of fire.'
${ }^{3}$ The picture of the young Napoleon crossing the Alps on his way to Marengo will suggest itself to every reader.

BOOKIII.
Сп. $\sigma$.
458.

His prond answer.

What drearier clime than mine has hardened him? Lo, where he stands upon that topmost peak, Urges his shivering ranks, and laughs at cold, Hot with his spirit's ardour. When I heard, Long since, the bugles of a Northern king, They told me the Imperial arms of Rome And Caesar's household dwelt in soft repose, Lapped in perennial luxury. For me Nought boots it to have changed my former lords If this be Roman kingship."

More he had said,
But from thy cliff thou hurlest thy words of scorn, "Whoe'er thou art whom daunts the difficult way, Cut with thine axe the hanging water's hide ', And make thee steps out of the frozen wave. Stop those unmanly murmurs. Sloth is cold, But work will warm you. Soldiers ! look on me! Hath Nature given me the Centaur's limbe; The wings of Pegasus; the plumed heels Of Zetes or of Calais? Yet I crunch E'en now the snowy summit of the Pass. You groan beneath a winter in the Alps. I promise you a soldier's recompenseA summer 'neath the sun of Africa." Thus with thy voice thou cheerest the fainting ranks; Thus thine example stirs them. Every toil By thee ordained is first by thee endured. The crowd with eagerners obey thy laws, Seeing their author is their promptest slave.'

Passing on from the story of Majorian's campaigns, the poet here interweaves a little skilful panegyric on his friend Petrus, and then comes to the practical part of his effusion. 'Look upon the ruined estate of our city of Lyons, and lighten her load of taxation.'
> 'And since to these o'erwearied hearts of ours, Our only Hope, thou comest, help our fall:

1 'Frange cutem pendentis aquae, scalptoque fluento Sit tibi lympha gradus.'

And while thou passest turn a pitying eye On this thy city, Lyons' Conqueror!
Broken with toil, she looks to thee for rest.
Peace hast thou given: give hope for days to come.
The ox, after short respite from the plough,
Better resumes his struggle with the soil.
Our Lyons sees herself bereft of all,
Oxen and corn, the serf, the citizen.
While still she stood she felt not all her bliss:
Captive, she knows her past prosperity.
Oh Emperor! when Delight is ours once more,
'Tis sweet to muse on vanished Misery.
Though sack, though fire have laid our glories low,
Thy coming pays for all. Ruin herself
Shall please us if she make thy triumph more.'

The word triumph suggests the thought of the Emperor's car climbing the Capitolian slope, of the mural and civic crowns encircling his forehead, of all the spoils of the defeated Vandal borne proudly before him. 'I will go before thee through the struggling crowds. I will make my feeble note heard through all their noisy shoutings. I will say that thou hast conquered seas and mountains, the Alps, the Syrtes, and the Libyan hordes; but I will say that before and beyond all these victories, thou hast conquered my heart by thy clemency.'

Who could resist such energy of praise? Not sldonines Majorian, whose frank and hearty nature accepted the ind foce fhad flattery with all goodwill, and who appears to bave not by the $\begin{gathered}\text { bapor, } \\ \text { Emper }\end{gathered}$ merely pardoned the poet, but received him into the poration reduo. circle of his friends. Emboldened by the success of his simon of hin. first petition, Sidonius essayed another of a more personal kind than that which he had already preferred on behalf of his fellow-citizens. He himself individually was groaning under the weight of a heavy assessment,
bоокim. perhaps imposed upon him as a penalty for some insur-
On. $\delta$.

The ban. quet at Arlee, and the lampoons of Sidonius. rectionary movements after the downfall of Avitus. We are not able to ascertain the precise mode of this assessment, but it is clear that it was denoted by heads (capita), and that a wealthy or an obnoxious citizen paid taxes upon so many more capita than his poorer or more loyal neighbours. Sidonius considered that he had at least three capita too many; that is, probably, that his taxes were fourfold what they ought to be. In a short epigrammatic poem he reminds the Emperor of a certain fortunate hunting excursion of his, in which he had killed three animals on one day-a stag, a boar, and a serpent ${ }^{1}$, and hints that another day's sport of the same kind would now be acceptable. Hercules killed the three-headed monster Geryon; let Majorian, the new Hercules, knock the three capita from the poet's taxability, and give him a chance of unharassed life. The answer to this curious petition is not stated, but it was probably favourable, since the author included the epigram in the list of his published poems.

Majorian's war with the Visigoths detained him for more than a year in Gaul, which he afterwards revisited, and Sidonius had frequent access to the Imperial presence. To the end of his life but slight solicitation was needed to draw from him the story of the high doings which he witnessed 'in the times of Augustus Majorian.' One of these anecdotes, though trifling in iteelf, may serve to introduce us into the private life of a Roman Emperor of the last days. The scene is laid at Arles,

[^227]the capital of Roman Gaul ; the time is the year $46 I^{1}$. воок III. There had suddenly appeared in the city a copy of ce. 5 . anonymous verses, bitterly satirising some of the chief persons in the Imperial Court, cleverly hitting off the favourite vices of each, and all but mentioning their names. The nobles were furious, and none more so than a certain Paeonius, a demagogue turned courtier, a man who had played a little with revolutionary intrigue and then sold himself for office, a slave to money-getting till the time came when he saw an opportunity of bartering money for position, and purchasing a highly-placed husband for his only daughter by a lavish and unusual dowry. This was the person who, born in obscurity though not in poverty, had clambered up, no one exactly knew how, during the troubles and anarchy at Rome, to the distinguished position of Prefect of the Gauls. This was he who, having been among the courtiers most severely lashed by the anonymous satirist, was the keenest in his endeavours to find out and punish the author. That author, there can be little doubt, was Sidonius himself. He affects to consider it a great injustice that the piece should have been fathered upon him; but in the letter ${ }^{2}$ (written several years later) in which he tells the story, he nowhere expressly repeats his denial, and the impression left on our minds is that though, as a nobleman and a bishop, he deemed it decorous to disavow the lampoon, as an author he was very proud of the excitement which it had occasioned.

[^228]bookin. At the time when the satire appeared, Sidonius was Ca. 反. still at his country-house in Auvergne; but pablic opinion, guided by Paeonius, tried him for the authorship, and found him guilty, in his absence. When he appeared at Arles shortly afterwards, and, having paid his respects to the Emperor, descended into the Forum, what unaccountable change had come over his former friends? One came up to salute him, bowed profoundly, so as almost to touch his knees, and passed on; another, with gloomy face, stalked past him without uttering a word; the greater number skulked behind a column or a statue, so as to avoid the disagreeable necessity of either saluting or ignoring him. Sidonius professes to have been utterly bewildered by this strange conduct, till at length one of the number, deputed by the rest, approached and saluted him. 'Do you see those men?'said he. 'Yes, I see them, and view their odd conduct with wonder, but certainly not with admiration.' 'They know that you have written a lampoon, and all either detest or fear you in consequence.' 'Who? What? Where? When? Why?' Sidonius asked in well-simulated wrath. Then, with greater composure and with a smile on his face, ' Be good enough to ask those angry gentlemen whether the base informer who dares to accuse me of such an offence pretends to have seen the lampoon in my handwriting. If he does not, they will do well to retract their charge, and behave a little less offensively.' With this equivocal denial, the courtiers were, or professed themselves to be, satisfied, and they came forward promptly and in a body to clasp his hand and kiss him on the cheek.

The next day the Emperor gave a banquet in connection with the games of the amphitheatre. Among the
invited gueste were the consul of the year, two ex- booriII. consuls, two other men of high rank, and Paeonius and Sidonius, whose black looks at one another no doubt cansed much secret amusement to their fellow-guests and to the Emperor himself. Host and guests, eight in all, reclined upon the trielinium (triple couch) with the table in the midst. It is interesting to observe the order of precedence. The most distinguished guest, Severinus (the consul for the year), reclined at the end (or 'horn,' as it was called) of the left-hand couch. Opposite to him, at the first seat of the right-hand couch, reclined the Imperial host. The other guests lay according to their order of precedence, counting from the seat of Severirus; and so it came to pass that Paeonius, as ex-prefect of Gaul, reclined in the fourth place, at the middle couch, and that Sidonius, who as yet had no official rank, was the lowest placed among the guests, but by that very inferiority was brought into the closest contact with the Emperor.

When the banquet was nearly ended, Majorian began to talk. First, in few words, to the Consul Severinus. Then ensued a more lively dialogue on literary subjects with the consular who lay next him. Camillus came next, a consular, and nephew of a consul. 'Brother Camillus,' said the Emperor, 'you had an uncle, for whose sake I think I may congratulate myself on having given you a consulship.' 'Do not say a consulship, Lord Augustus! Call it a first consulship.' This clever hint, that further favours of the same kind would be welcome, was received with a tumult of applause, notwithstanding the Emperor's presence. Then passing Paeonius by unnoticed, the Imperial host put some question to Athenius, the fifth in order of the
boorini guests. Paeonius rudely interposed a reply. The EmCe. 5. peror noticed the discourtesy, and the peculiar smile which played upon his face (for he greatly enjoyed a joke, and had a happy way of sharing in it without compromising his dignity) amply avenged Athenius ${ }^{1}$. The latter, who was a wily old fellow, and who already had a grudge against Paeonius for taking precedence of him at the banquet, slily said, 'I don't wonder, Emperor, that my neighbour has stolen my place, since he is not ashamed to take the words out of your mouth.' 'A fine opening this for satirists!' said the sixth guest, whose turn in the conversation was now come. Thereupon the Emperor turned his head round to his nextdoor neighbour and said, ' I hear, Count Sidonius, that you are a writer of satires.' 'I hear it, too,' he answered.

Majorian (laughing). 'Spare ourselves at any rate.'
Sidonius. 'In refraining from forbidden jests I spare myself.'

Majorian. 'And what shall we do to those who molest you?'

Sidonius. 'My lord Emperor! let my accuser accase me in public. If he makes good his charge, I am ready to pay the penalty : but if, as is probable, I succeed in refuting it, let me have the leave of your Clemency to write what I like against him.'

The Emperor glanced at Paeonius, to see if he consented to the conditions; but the ex-prefect sat silent, with a blush of anger and shame upon his face. 'I will grant your request,' said Majorian, 'if you will this

[^229]moment put it into verse.' 'Agreed,' answered Sido- Booriil. nius. He turned round and looked at the servant as if asking for water to dip his fingers in. There was an instant's pause while the nimble slave ran round the triclinium. Then said the Emperor, 'The verses are to be improvised, remember:'

> 'Who says I write Satires i Dread sovereign! I cry, Let him prove his indictment, or pay for his $\mathrm{li}^{1}{ }^{1}$;'
was the immediate repartee of Sidonius. There was again a tumult of applause, and the Emperor, in a tone perhaps of mock solemnity, called God and the Commonwealth to witness that the poet should henceforth write whatever he chose, adding that he considered it to be the duty of the wearer of the purple to repress this kind of vague and unproven accusation, brought by malice against innocent members of the nobility. Sidonius bowed his head and modestly uttered his thanks; Paeonius turned pale, dejection succeeded to rage, and he looked like a criminal on his way to execution. Soon after, the guests rose up. When they had donned their cloaks (chlamydes) and gone a few steps from the Imperial presence, the consul fell on the neck of the favoured courtier, the two consulars ${ }^{2}$ kissed his hand, and Paeonius, with fawning and pitiable gestures, implored pardon. On the intercession of the other members of the party, Sidonius consented to grant it, and to promise that he would leave Paeonius unlashed by his satires if he would take warning by the miserable

[^230]sookin. success of this attempt to blacken his character and Ca. 5. cease to molest him for the future.

The story of this banquet at Arles is no doubt trivial enough, and may seem scarcely worth the telling, bat it

Social deference still paid to the Emperor. illustrates the immense social deference which was still paid to the name of Augustus, and the glamour of the purple robe. When we are reading the history of fardistant times, we are sometimes disposed to marvel how men could be found willing to take prominent positions in the world, when the state of affairs was so hopeless that they must inevitably become either the pity or the laughing-stock of the universe. Perhaps the explanation is to be found in the fact that so long as Power commands the reverence of the few score of persons with whom it comes into daily contact, it will have irresistible attractions for mankind. Further than its own immediate environment it need not and will not look: least of all will it trouble itself about the sort of figure that it will make in History. Here was Julius Majorianus, struggling bravely it is true, but almost desperately, for the last tatters of the Roman inheritance that were left to him by the Rhone and the Ebro; yet his favour still gave life, a harsh word from his lips or a frown on his brow sent the unhappy object of his displeasure out of the Imperial presence, pale, trembling, half-choked with terror; the courtiers still contended for the smile of 'the Purple-wearer' as eagerly as when he was the master of sixty legions, and when none could escape his wrath or stay his hand, from Cheriot to Caucasus.

Iegislation of Majorian

The short reign of Majorian was a time of consider458. his consulship (during which his head-quarters seem
to have been at the palace in Ravenna) marked by воокin. his additions ('Novellae') to the Theodosian code. But Cu. 6 . the laws all tell one tale; all speak, in one relation or another, of the desperate misery which was engulfing the inhabitants of Italy. Population was decreasing so Lama fast that the Emperor, notwithstanding the strong feel- calinecey. ing of the Church in favour of virginity, and against Norelloon, second marriage, found himself compelled to forbid Tit vii. women to take the veil under forty years of age, and to command all childless widows to marry a second husband within five years of the death of the first, or else to forfeit half their property to their relatives or to the exchequer. The cost of maintaining a family was so great; Tit in. the rivalry for the paternal inheritance so keen, that in many instunces an unpopular son or brother was forced into the ranks of the clergy and actually ordained Priest against his will. Where such an offence was proved to have been committed, the unjust parents were condemned to forfeit a third of their property to the unwillingly consecrated son, who was permitted to return into the world, a forced ordination having no binding power. The Archdeacon who might have wittingly co-operated in the offence, was liable to a fine of ten pounds of gold ( $£ 4 \infty 0$ ). A curious provision that if a Bishop had been consecrated without his consent the ordination could not be impugned, is perhaps a concession to the harmless comedy of the Nolo Episcopari, which was so commonly played in those days. Or possibly it may have proceeded from an uneasy consciousness of the Legislator's own share in the forced consecration of his predecessor at Placentia.

Majorian's laws are remarkably outspoken as to the rapacity of the tax-collectors, especially those who were

воок III. clothed with military authority, whose extortions he

Са. 6.
Against rapadous Tax-col. lectors. Nor. Maj. vincial judge, too often supine and cowardly and ready Tit. IV.

The De fensor. Tit. V. bowels of the unhappy Provinciale, they are safe from punishment, for none cares to accuse them before a proto cringe and fawn at the mere sight of an officer's belt, while the expense and vexation of an appeal to the Imperial court is so great that most men will submit to any injustice rather than resort to it.' A change in administration, bringing fiscal questions under the more immediate notice of the Governor of the Province, was meant to remedy this evil, which may have been partly relieved by another short but emphatic edict concerning the election of the Defensor, that singular official of whose functions some account has been already given ${ }^{1}$ and who was perhaps the only functionary whom Power has ever avowedly created as a safeguard against its own exorbitances. The harassed citizens were daily leaving the towns, to pick up a precarious subsistence in the remote country districts, where they were at least safe from the hated presence of the Apparitor ${ }^{3}$ and the Canonicarius ${ }^{8}$. In order to check this process of depletion, Majorian ordained that in accordance with ancient usage, the magistracy and people of each considerable town should assemble and choose a Defensor, who, when confirmed in his office by the Emperor, might avail to keep the insolence of the revenue officers in check aud tempt back the scattered citizens to their homes.
Lhe exactions of the tax-gatherers, themselves very
sbout the likely (as is the custom in decaying States) often

[^231]- Tax-collector.
defrauded of their lawful salaries, were sometimes so воокiil extravagant as to be almost amusing. Thus continual $\frac{\text { Cu. } 6 \text {. }}{\text { Nor. Maj. }}$ objection was made to taking the Imperial Solidus $\underset{\mathrm{Tit} . \mathrm{I} \text {. }}{\mathrm{Non}, \mathrm{M}}$ (twelve shilling piece), even though it was of full weight ; and some strange tricks, the nature of which we can but faintly conjecture, were played upon the popular partiality for gold pieces with the head of Faustina ${ }^{1}$, coins which, if they represented the pure undepreciated currency of the Antonine period before the terrible debasements of the coinage in the third century, were not undeserving of a high place in public favour. All this elaborate machinery of injustice was destroyed, as far as mere decrees could destroy it, by Majorian, and the officers of the Tribute were ordered to take all coins alike which were of full weight, except those minted of Gaulish gold, which was admitted to be of an inferior quality.

Some other unwarranted importunities of the official Illogal hierarchy were repressed by the same series of decrees. Nor. Maja. Servants of the Governors asking for New-Year's Gifts ${ }^{2}$, Presents on the first day of the month ${ }^{3}$, or Drink-money ' (literally Dust-money, an indemnification for the dust which the messenger had contracted on his journey), all these were punished by a fine of $£_{40}$ for each offence. Governors of Provinces were not

[^232]воокm. to be at liberty to half-ruin a city by taking up their
Се. 6. quarters therein for an indefnite time, and calling upon the inhabitants to bring a constant supply of rare and costly delicacies to their table. Three days provisions for himself and suite, on a scale of expense to be settled by the Prefect, were all that the Governor might require annually from each city.
Tit. Iv. These enactments, together with a remission of arrears of tribute of more than eleven years' standing, seemed to show a generous consideration for the poverty of the exhausted people. They were however Ti. I. to some extent counterbalanced by a little clause in the longest edict, which stated that now that the cultivator was relieved from so many presents to governors and other illegal exactions, he could not think it burdensome if his land-tax ${ }^{1}$, which now stood at two per thousand on capital (equivalent perhaps to two per cent. on income ${ }^{2}$ ), was increased by one quarter so as to stand thenceforward at two-and-a-balf per thousand.

Destruction of public buildings.

One more law must be noticed, since it shows the disintegrating influences which were already at work upon the buildings of old Rome, influences internal and domestic, which, far more than the transitory visits of Goth or Vandal, have brought about her present desolation.
Nov. Maj. 'We, as Rulers of the Republic, are determined to Tit. VI. remedy the detestable process which has long been going on, whereby the face of the venerable city [of Rome] is disfigured. For it is too plain that the public edifices, in which all the adornment of the city

[^233]consists, are being everywhere pulled to pieces at the soonin. suggestion of the city officials, on the pretence that C. 6. stones are wanted for the public works. Thus the stately piles of our old buildings are being frittered away, and great constructions are ruined in order to effect some trifling repair. Hence, too, it arises that private individuals engaged in house-building, who are in a position to curry favour with the city judges, do not hesitate to supply themselves with materials from the poblic buildings, although these which have so much to do with the splendour of the city ought to be regarded with civic affection, and repaired rather than destroyed.
'We therefore decree that no buildings or ancient monuments raised by our forefathers for use or beauty, shall be destroyed by any man; that the judge who orders their destruction shall pay a fine of fifty pounds of gold [ $£ 2000$ ]; and that the clerks and other subordinates who have fulfilled his orders shall be beaten with clubs and have their hands struck off-those hands that bave defiled the ancient monuments which they ought to have preserved.
' The buildings which are altogether past repair shall be transferred, to adorv some other edifice of a not less public character.'

It is interesting to observe that this decree, so purely Roman and local in its character, was like the others issued from Ravenna (roth July, 458).

But it was not for legislation, nor for administrative Majorina reform, but for war that Julius Majorianus had been "warior. robed in the mantle of the Caesars. To him all the Roman world looked with hope, to exorcise the cruel
boomkin. and mocking fiend that had entered the corpse of CarOn. 6. thane. If the Vandals could be subdued, he was surely the man to do it. He had felled the forests of the Apennines, and filled the harbours of the Upper and Lower Sea with Roman triremes. His campaign in Gaul had been successful, and the haughty Visigoth was now his submissive ally. It might have been expected that he would repeat the exploit of Scipio Africans, transport his troops to the Libyan shore, and fight another Zama within a week's march of Carthage. For some reason not clearly explained to us, possibly because he knew of disaffection among the Mauretanian and Numidian allies of Gaiseric, he adopted a different course. He determined to make Spain his base of operations, and to assemble his nary, consisting of 300 ships $^{1}$, in that magnificent bay, one of the finest natural harbours in the Mediterranean, which we call Carthagens, and which then still bore the name of 'the New Carthage.' It seemed as if history was about to repeat itself, and as if Spain might play the same part now, in the thirteenth century of Rome, which she had played in the sixth century, when the Hasdrubals and the Scipios fought there. But while all Europe was watching the movements of the Roman triremes in that spacious bay, suddenly the enterprise collapsed. Gaiseric first laid waste with fire and sword the provinces of Mauretania which Majorian meant to make his base of operations, and poisoned the wells along his expected line of march. Then by some stratagem, of which we know nothing, the Vandals, 'warned by traitors,' carried off the ships from out of the Bay of Carthagena One chronicler ${ }^{2}$ places the

[^234]scene of this mysterious event not at Carthagena itself, boorimf. but at Elice (now Elche), a sea-side town about forty miles north of Carthagena, often visited by modern travellers who wish to see the forests of palm-trees which impart to it a thoroughly Oriental aspect, and have earned for it the name of 'the Palmyra of Europe.' ' No Palm of Victory for me,' may have been the thought of Majorian as he sadly turned his face north-wards-the preparations of three years wasted, and vengeance on the Vandal indefinitely postponed.

This happened in May, 460. On the second of Deposition August in the following year he was dethroned and of Man put to death near the city of Tortona (in the southeast corner of the modern Duchy of Piedmont). No cause is assigned by any of the chroniclers for his fall, except 'the jealousy of Ricimer, acted upon by the counsels of envious men;' nor is anything told us of the circumstances of his death. Probably enough, the early successes of Majorian were the real cause of his ruin, for which his final disaster furnished the pretext.

The high estimate usually formed by historians of The Majo. the character of Majorian, and of what, under happier of thenga auspices, he might have accomplished for the restora- vandaby tion of the fortunes of Rome, is justified by nothing so Proopius. much as by the impression which he produced on his most unwearied enemies, the Vandals. The Byzantine historian, Procopius, writing a century after these events, and describing the overthrow of the Vandal Empire by Justinian, gives us the following paragraph about Majorian, which must surely have been derived from Vandal sources, and may possibly have formed part of some song or Saga about Gaiseric. Scarcely a

воови. detail in the picture is historically true, and the chief С. 6. event recorded-the visit to Carthage-is almost certainly fictitious, but the portrait, taken as a whole, and especially if drawn by enemies, is undoubtedly the likeness of a hero.
De Bello 'I ought also to make mention of Majorian ${ }^{1}$, who Vandalico, I. 7 . some time before [Anthemius] occupied the Western Throne. For this Majorian, who surpassed all that had been emperors of Rome in every virtue, could not tamely eudure the misery of Africa, but collected in Ligaria 2 most potent armament against the Vandals, and determined to head the expedition himself, being a man eager to take his full share in every hardship, and especially in every danger.
' Now, thinking it would be expedient to ascertain previously the forces of the Vandals, the temper of Gaiseric, and the good or bad dispositions towards him of the Libyans and Moors, he took this duty upon himself. He therefore sent himself as his own ambassador, under a feigned name, to the court of Gaiseric ; and, fearing lest he might be discovered, and so ruin both himself and his enterprise, be hit upon this plan. As all men knew that bis hair was so yellow as to be likened to pure gold, he applied to it a wash invented expressly for the purpose, and was able within the appointed time to turn it into a bluish black.
' Now, when he came into the presence of Gaiseric, among other devices of that king to strike terror into the soul of the supposed ambassador, he was led as a friend into the arsenal where all the weapons were collected, which were many and extremely wonderful. At his entrance, say they, all these arms stirred of

[^235]their own accord, and made such a clash and uproar boorim. that Gaiseric thought an earthquake was happening. С. 5. But when he came forth and enquired about the earthquake, and could meet with no one who knew anything about it, great fear fell upon him, though be was still far from conjecturing who had been the cause of this portent.

- Majorian then, having accomplished all that he intended, departed to Liguria, and leading his army by land, marched to the Pillars of Hercules, intending to cross by those straits, and so conduct his troops from thence to Carthage. Now when Gaiseric heard this, and perceived that he had been imposed upon in the matter of the embassy, great fear fell upon him, and he set everything in readiness for war. The Romans, on the other hand, relying on the proved valour of Majorian, were in good bopes that they should win back Africa for the Empire. But all these hopes were foiled by the death of Majorian, who was attacked by dysentery. He was a man in all things gentle to his subjects, but terrible to his enemies.'


## CHAPTER VI.

supremacy of ricimer (continued). severdi in, the LUCANIAN, A.D. $46 \mathrm{I}-465$. anthemius, the Client OF BYZANTIUM, A.D. 467-472.

## Authorities.

Sources:-
booriil. Tire Panegyric and Epistles of Sidonits, as quoted in the C. 6. text.

The Chroniclers as before, with the addition of Cassiodords, minister of Theodoric the Ostrogoth (480-575). Now that he no longer has Prosper to copy from, his chronicle beoomes valuable as an independent authority.

We are also compelled here, in the great dearth of contemporary information, to rely occasionally on Thbophanes, though a Byzantine historian of a poor type. Theophanes was born in 758 and died in 817. He was of noble birth, embraced the monastic life, and took part in the Iconoclastic controversy as a vehement upholder of the worship of images. His 'Chronographia' extends from the accession of Diocletian to the second year of the Emperor Michael I. (284-813).

For the life of Marcellinus our chief authority is the Lexicon of Soidas (of uncertain date, possibly not later than Theophanes).

For the quarrel between Anthemius and Ricimer the main authority is Ennodius, De Vita Epiphanii, described in the text. Ennodius, Bishop of Ticinum, lived from about 473 to 52 I .

For the close of the reign of Anthemins come valuable details are furnished by the recently discovered fragments of the history
of Joannes Antiochends. The anthor was an orator of Antioch, bookiil. probably of the seventh century, who wrote the history of the Empire from the Creation to the great earthquake and fire at Antioch in 526. Holder-Egger (Nenes Archiv, I. 295) considers that Joannes had before him the history of Priscus and thence drew some valuable information. The few remains of his work which have been discovered are published in Müller's ' Fragmenta veteram Historicorum Graecorum.' Phiscus, of Panium (described Book ii, Chap. 2), gives the diplomatic history of the times with some fulness. Unfortunately we have his work only in fragments, relating to the negotiations in which the Empire was engaged, but as far as his information goes we may trust him thoroughly, as a contemporary and a man of truthful character.

Paulus Diaconvs (about 720 to 790) in his Historia Romana, a continuation of Eatropius, gives us some valuable fragmente of information, but cannot be considered a first-rate anthority for this period, though he will be invaluable for later centuries.

## Guide:-

From this point onwards to the close of the Western Empire I am under constant obligation to Pallmann, Geschichte der Völkerwanderung. His second volume deals with the cycle of events which led to the elevation of Odovacar to supreme power in Italy, and with his subsequent overthrow by Theodoric the Ostrogoth.

Libius Severos, 'a Lucanian by nation,' was the acoession man whom Ricimer had selected to wear the diadem H, seter snatched from the head of the murdered Majorian. He was proclaimed Emperor at Ravenna, on the nine- Anonymus teenth of November, 461. He died at Rome on the fifteenth of August, 465. These two dates sum up in truth the whole of our knowledge respecting this faint shadow of an Emperor. It should, perhaps, be added that one authority states that be 'lived religiously ${ }^{1}$.'

[^236]воокin. To one who is familiar with the name of the La-

Св. 6.
461-465.
His meek charscter canians, and who remembers the part which this stern Sabellian tribe, dwelling in the extreme south of Italy, played in three of Rome's greatest wars (the Pyrrhic, Second Punic, and Social), it seems strangely incongruous that the only contribution which Lucania furnished to the list of Roman Emperors should have been this meek inoffensive cipher-Augustus, who 'lived religiously,' and died quietly at Rome after four years of sovereignty, neither by his life nor by his death making a ripple on the downward stream of the Empire's fortunes.
and death. Did Ricimer poisan him 1

The only question which can mise a momentary interest in connection with this Emperor is as to the manner of his death. Was it due to the ordinary course of nature or to the hand of Ricimer? Cassiodorus, who is a good authority, and who wrote about half a century after these events, says cautiously, ' as some aver, by the hand of Ricimer, Severus was taken off by poison in the palace at Rome.' On the other hand, all the other chroniclers, one or two of whom are yet nearer in date than Cassiodorus, tell us simply that 'Lord Severus died;' and Sidonius, in a poem recited in the presence of Ricimer and his next succeeding puppet, says,
> 'Angust Severas now by Nature's Lawo Hath mingled with the company of gods '?'

Though it is hazardous to determine what a poet bent on praising Power will not say, it seems probable that had the common voice of fame in the year 467 con-

[^237]nected the death of Severus with the poison-cup in boormi. the hand of Ricimer, that subject would have been $\frac{\text { сн. } 6 \text {. }}{461-465 .}$ judiciously evaded by Sidonius ${ }^{\text {. }}$

The four years of the nominal reign of Severus seem Faregn to have been a time of desultory and exhausting strife. ItalyThe rule of Ricimer, if accepted as a disagreeable necessity by the inhabitants of Italy, was regarded with aversion by their neighbours, and we may infer that the hatefulness of the man more than counterbalanced the undeniable capacity of the general and the statesman. To understand the course of events during this obscure ${ }^{2}$ time, we must look at the relations existing between the court of Ravenna and those of the four following cities, Constantinople, Carthage, Soissons, and Spalato.

1. Leo, 'the Emperor of the Eastern Romans,' with Lee, beheld, evidently with deep displeasure, the downfall the Emeror of and murder of Majorian, a kindred spirit to his own, and the substitution of the puppet-Emperor Severus. The chronicler, who most faithfully represents the sympathies of the Byzantine Court ${ }^{3}$, uses such expressions as these: 'Severus invaded the place of Majorian,' 'Severus, who snatched the sovereignty of the West,' and refuses to insert him in his proper year in the list of Consuls. When the 'Romans of the West' applied for ships to replace the three hundred destroyed at Carthagena, the loss of which left them at the mercy of Gaiseric's invasions, Constantinople

[^238]sooriil coldly replied that the existing treaties with the VanСп. 6. 461-465. dals would not allow of its rendering this assistance. It despatched indeed during this interval one or two embassies to the court of Gaiseric, exhorting him to abstain from invading Sicily and the Italian provinces; but an embasey more or less was a matter of no concern to the Vandal monarch, and he continued his depredations unmoved by the Byzantine rhetoric.

## with Gai. veric, king of the Vandale;

2. Gaiseric himself had his reasons for viewing the course of events at Rome with displeasure. He bad a candidate of his own for the Imperial Purple, and was deeply offended at that candidate's rejection. It will be remembered that after the sack of Rome he carried the Empress Eudoxia and her two daughters as state-prisoners to Carthage. Incessant embassies ${ }^{1}$ from Byzantium had prayed for the surrender of these royal ladies whose captivity, like that of Placidia half a century before, was felt to be an especial insult to the majesty of an Augustus. At length, in the seventh year of their exile, Gaiseric sent the widowed Empress with one daughter to Constantinople, and this was no doubt the occasion of that treaty of alliance between Africa and the East which Leo refused to endanger when the Romans applied to him for help.
[^239]The other daughter, Endocia, Gaiseric had already given boorin. in marriage to his son Hunneric-an ill-assorted union, Ca. 6. for the lady was a devout Catholic and her husband a most bitter Arian. Placidia, the sister who was allowed to retire to Constantinople, was the wife of a. Roman Senator, named Olybrius, and it was this man, bound to him by a somewhat loose tie of affinity, as being his son's brother-in-law, whom Gaiseric desired to place, and as we shall see, eventually did place for a few months on the Western throne.

Here then was one grievance of the Vandal against Ricimer. Another was the refusal to comply with his claim to have all the property of Valentinian III and Aetius given up to him. The claim to the late Emperor's wealth of course rested on the alliance between his daughter and the Vandal prince. The more preposterous demand for the property of Aetius was probably in some way connected with the fact that his son Gaudentius had been also carried captive to Carthage. But, whatever the foundation for them, these two demands were urged by the Vandal king with insolent pertinacity, and were the occasion of countless embassies. As they were not complied with, and as the friendship now established between Carthage and Constantinople forbade him to molest the cosats of Greece, Gaiseric decided that 'the nation with whom God was angry ${ }^{1}$ ' was the Italian. Every year, with the return of spring, he sailed his piratical fleet to the coasts of Campania, or Sicily, or Apulia. He avoided the large towns, fearing to find there sufficiently large bodies of troops to check his advance, and fell by preference on the villages and unwalled towns, carrying off all

[^240]воокмI the moveable wealth, and making slaves of the inhabit-
Сн. 6. 461-465. ants. This man's instincts were essentially those of the robber rather than the conqueror. He was, 80 to speak, the representative of that brood of pirates whom Pompey exterminated, the forerunner of those countless spoilers of the sea, Saracen, Moorish, Algerian, by whom the Mediterranean coasts have been wasted, almost down to our own day.
mith 3. The romantic and mysterious career of Aegidius, Atyrant' of comrade of Majorian, Master of the Roman Soldiery, Soiseone; voluntarily chosen king of the Franks during the exile of an unpopular chieftain, lies beyond our proper limits, and some of its chief events rest on too doubtful authorities to make it desirable here to describe it at length. But we are fully warranted in saying that he ruled as an independent governor, possibly with the title of king, at Soissons (in Belgic Gaul), that he bitterly resented the death of his old companion-in-arms, Majorian, and was preparing to avenge it upon Italythat is, upon Ricimer-that, probably in order to further these purposes of revenge, he sent ambassadors 'across the Ocean to the Vandals,' and that Rome ${ }^{1}$ remained for a considerable time in the greatest terror and distress in anticipation of this new Gaulish invasion. Eventually however be was 'drawn off from war with the Italians by a difference with the Visigoths respecting frontiers, which led to a campaign, in which Aegidius performed acts of the greatest heroism ${ }^{2}$.' In this war
464 Frederic, brother of the Visigothic king, was killed, and apparently Aegidius himself died (or was treacherously

[^241]slain) soon after. The Visigoths annexed a large part booriin. of his territory, but the city of Soissons and his strange $\frac{\text { Ca. } 8 \text {. }}{46 \mathrm{r}-465}$. ill-defined power descended to his son Syagrius, whose acquaintance we have already made as a correspondent of Sidonius, and with whose overthrow by Clovis every student of French history is familiar, as one of the earliest incidents in the career of the young Merovingian ${ }^{1}$.

Possibly the English reader is more familiar with the name of Aegidius than he is aware of. For some unaccountable reason the French have modified that name into Gilles. Saint Gilles, the hermit of Languedoc, who lived about a hundred years after Count Aegidius, attained great renown both in France and England. The parish of St. Giles' in London and the name Giles, once so common, especially in the rural districts of England, are thus linked certainly, if somewhat obscurely, with the memory of the 'Tyrannus' of Soissons and the friend of Majorian.
4. We pass from Soissons by the Aisne to the long with Mararcades of Spalato, among the bays and islands of the ollinnos, Dalmatian coast.- Here ${ }^{2}$, in the vast palace of Dio- Dalmatia. cletian, lived and reigned Marcellinus ${ }^{3}$, ' Patrician of the East,' ' ruler of Dalmatia and of the Epirote Illyrians.' The pupil of Aetius and the counsellor of Majorian, he had in the deaths of those two men a double reason for withdrawing from the blood-stained

[^242]${ }^{3}$ Not to be confounded with the chrunicler of that name.
boorim. circle of Roman politics. Yet he does not seem, like

Ca. 6.
461-465. Aegidius, to have broken with Ricimer immediately upon the death of his friend. He fought in Sicily at the head of the Imperial troops, and achieved some considerable successes over the Vandals. Finding however that Ricimer was endeavouring, hy bribes, to steal away the hearts of his soldiers, and knowing that he could not hope to vie in wealth with the Suevic Patrician, he retired to Dalmatia, and there founded an independent and hostile principality. 'A reasonable and noble man,' we are told ', 'learned, courageous, and statesmanlike, keeping his government free, not serving the Roman Emperor, nor any prince or nation, but ruling his own subjects in righteousness.' Apparently one of the few men in high office who still clung to the old Pagan religion and worshipped Jupiter Capitolinus, while all the rest of the world was ranging itself for or against the Council of Chalcedon; practising divination and holding long conversations with a certain philosopher Sallust, who shared his most secret counsels and dwelt in his palace; this relic of an earlier world, deposited by the vicissitudes of the times upon the shores of Dalmatia, is one of the most unique Gigures of the age, and we would gladly know more of his history. What concerns our present purpose however is the settled hostility which he displayed for some years to the domination of Ricimer, and the constant fear which pervaded Italy during that time of an invasion from the opposite coast of the Adriatic. At length (probably about 465) the good offices of Byzantium were asked and obtained; an ambassador was sent by

[^243]the Eastern Emperor to entreat Marcellinus to lay aside boonirir. his plans of revenge; he complied with the request, cin 8 . and, as we shall soon see, even co-perated once more with Rome against the Vandals.

Neither of these two men, Aegidius and Marcellinus, No Roman founded any enduring monarchy ont of the fragments of diventureor the Empire; nor did any other Roman succeed in the thounding attempt. All the political reconstruction was the in the the felf work of barbarian hands. Yet on the dissolution of trak of the Alexander's Empire, states and monarchies innumerable ${ }^{\text {Empire. }}$ were established throughout Asia and Africa by Greek adventurers. When the Khalifate fell, Saracen chiefs profited by the ruin. When the Mogul Empire of Delhi lost its vitality, Mohammedan as well as Hindoo Rajahs founded sovereignties which endured for many generations. In the early part of this century the Ali Pasha of Egypt entirely succeeded, and the Ali Pasha of Albania all but succeeded, in rendering themselves virtually independent of the Ottoman Porte. Reasons might probably be easily assigned why no such success was attainable by a Roman Prefect of the Praetorium, or Master of the Soldiery, but we cannot wonder that the experiment was made, nor should we have been surprised if it had been made more frequently.

Other enemies besides those whom we have enume- Invalion of rated were probably making Ricimer's position at the belm of the Commonwealth a difficult one. In the year 464 Beorgor, king of the Alans, was routed and 6 February. killed by the Patrician, 'at Bergamo, at the foot of the mountains ${ }^{1}$.' We hear nothing more about this

[^244]booriII descent of the savage half-Tartar tribe into the plains Св. 6. 461-465. of Lombardy. Possibly Beorgor was the successor of that Sangiban, king of the Alans, who fought, with doubtful fidelity, under Aetius on the Mauriac Plains, and he may have forced his way over the Splugen from Coire to Chiavenna, and thence to Bergamo. For one invasion of this kind, leading to a pitched battle, which has claimed a place in the meagre pages of the chroniclers, there were probably many lesser inroads and skirmishes of which no record has been preserved.

Interreg num of twenty months after the death of Severus.

It was in August, 465, as was before said, that the unnoticeable Severus died. For a year and eight months from that time no man was saluted as Augustus in the Western half of the Roman Empire. This absolute vacancy of the Imperial office tells a far more striking tale in a pure autocracy, such as the Roman Government had become, than in a constitutional state, where the powers of the sovereign may be, so to speak, 'put in commission.' During all those twenty months, the Patrician must have been avowedly the sole source of power, legislative, military, judicial, and the question must have forced itself on many minds, ' What is the use of wasting the dwindling income of the state on the household of an Emperor, when all the work of ruling is done by the Patrician?' Thus the interregnum of $465-467$ prepared the way for the abolition of the dignity of Augustus in 476. It is doubtful, however, whether Ricimer at this period entertained any thoughts' of dispensing with the 'fainéant' Emperors. It seems more probable that he was balancing in his mind the respective advantages to be derived from an alliance with Carthage or with Constantinople, the isolated position which Italy had
occupied for the last six years being obviously no longer boor mi. tenable. If this view be correct, there is perbaps a ce. e. slightly greater probability of his innocence of the $46 \%$. death of Severus. An inoffensive and almost useful tool would hardly have been removed by force, if his employer had not decided how he was to be replaced.

However this may be, the interregnum was termi- Ricimer nated by a decision in favour of Constantinople. Not alief him Olybrius, the brother-in-law of the son of Gaiseric, but contantion Anthemius, the son-in-law of the deceased Emperor purple to Marcian, was selected by Ricimer to be the wearer of minthethe purple; and great was the Vandal's rage in consequence. The equivalent which the Eastern Empire was to pay for the still-coveted honour of giving an Angustus to Rome was hearty support against the African enemy, with whom it is probable that her own relations had for some months been growing less friendly. A great combined campaign of 468 against the Vandals-a campaign in which Leo, Marcellinus, and Ricimer all joined their forces-was the fruit of this alliance, and it will be well first to describe this campaign, postponing for the moment the merely complimentary proceedings connected with the new Emperor's accession to the Western throne.

The Court of Constantinople must have been at this Factions time a curious study for any unprejudiced observer $\begin{aligned} & \text { at than } \\ & \text { gyan }\end{aligned}$ who could keep his head cool in the whirlpool of its contending factions. Passions and ambitions as old as humanity were there, striving side by side with special theological formulae whose very names are almost forgotten among men. While the mob of Constantinople were eagerly discussing Bishop Timothy the Weasel's revolt against the Council of Chalcedon, or Bishop Peter
booriII. the Fuller's addition of four words to the Trisagion, CB. 6.
467. Basilicus, the brother of the Emperor's wife, and Zeno, the husband of the Emperor's daughter, were playing their stealthy, remorseless, bloody game for the succession to the throne of the Emperor, Leo.

Aspar and his mons

When Ricimer's proposals for an alliance reached Constantinople, power was slipping from the hands of the general who had for forty years been the most powerful man in the Eastern Court-Aspar, the son of Ardaburius. An Alan by extraction, he, with his father, had been sent as long ago as 424 on the expedition to Italy, which overthrew the usurper Joannes and established the young Valentinian on the throne of his uncle Honorius ${ }^{1}$. Since then he had been a consul (434), and the father of consuls (447, 459, 465). He was called ' First of the Patricians' ${ }^{2}$; he stood on the very steps of the throne, and might have been Emperor himself, but he was an Arian. Being therefore by his theological tenets, which he had probably inherited from his barbarian ancestors, and was too proud to forego, disqualified from himself reigning over 'the orthodox Romans,' he made it his care that the purple should at least be always worn by men sabservient to his interests. The brave young soldier who stretched himself to sleep in the courtyard of Gaiseric's palace, whom the hovering eagle overshadowed, and whom the Vandal dismissed with a true presage of his future greatness, was Marcian, 'domesticus' of Aspar ${ }^{2}$. So long as he reigned ( $450-457$ ) the influence of his patron appears to have remained unshaken. On his death there seems to have been some expectation that

[^245]his son-in-law, Anthemius, would succeed him ${ }^{1}$, but boorinI. the predominant influence of Aspar and his son ArdaCн. 6. burius again secured the election of a dependant, their curator, Leo.

But, whatever might be the manner of a man's eleva- Aocosesion tion to the supreme dignity of the state, even though, Sorvility as in the cases of Marcian and Leo, something like Efatern domestic service might be the ladder of his promotion, Courtiers. when once he was hailed Augustus, the elaborate courtceremonial of Byzantium enveloped him in the eyes of acclaiming crowds and literally adoring courtiers with all 'the divinity that doth hedge a king.' We have an apt illustration of this in one of those aneodotes with which the chroniclers so curiously diversify their otherwise meagre pages. A few years after Leo's accession, 462. as we are informed by Marcellinus, he fell sick of a fever. Jacobus, a man of Greek nationality and Pagan faith, and one in whom a great natural genius for the healing art had been enriched by a long course of study, was called in to prescribe for the Imperial patient. When he entered 'the sacred bed-chamber,' he presumed to take a seat by the Emperor's bedside without having received any sign that he was at liberty to do so, and then proceeded to make his diagnosis of the case. When he returned at noon to 'the sacred couch,' he found the possibility of such impertinence averted by the removal of the chair. He perceived the meaning of the hint, and at once, with awful 'intrepidity,' sat down upon the Imperial couch itself, explaining to the sick Emperor that he did so in conformity with the rules

[^246]воокі․ order to drive out of Church and State the men who, C. 6. 468. in obedience to the Council of Chalcedon, maintained the combined Manhood and Godhead of the Saviour.

Great armament Gandsis under the command of Bariliscua.

Such was the state of parties at Constantinople when in the spring of 468 Leo despatched his long prepared armament against the Vandals. It was meant to deal a crushing blow. The Western Empire contributed probably some supplies both of men and money; Marcellinos left bis Dalmatian palace and his independent principality to serve as a general under the orders of the Roman Emperors ; but the chief weight of the preparations fell, as was natural, on the comparatively unexhausted Empire of the East. Leo, who was a man of courage and capacity, was determined to spare neither trouble nor expense on this great enterprise. A thousand ships, a hundred thousand men, a hundred and thirty thoussand pounds' weight of gold ( $£ 5,850,000$ sterling), had been collected at Constantinople ${ }^{1}$. All seemed to promise well for the success of the armament, but all was ruined by the selection of its head. Basiliscus was appointed Generalissimo: and showed such miserable weakness in his command that later generations believed that Vandal gold, or the secret orders of

[^247]Aspar, anxious that his Arian fellow-believers should boorm. not be too hardly pressed, caused his failure. Either $\frac{\text { On. } 6 .}{469 .}$ bypothesis may be true, but historians are too apt to forget the infinite depths of simple human stupidity.

Marcellinus sailed to Sardinia, and expelled the Vandals from that island. Heraclius, another Byzantine géneral, made a successful descent on Tripolis, took the cities of the Vandals in that region, and marched from thence westwards to the city of Carthage. The proceedings of Basiliscus and the main body of the host shall be told in the very words of the historian Procopins, who is here our only authority. Tbough he wrote more than half a century after the event, yet as he was an Eastern Roman, and served in that very campaign against Carthage, in which Beli-
sarius did what Basiliscus failed to do, we may listen to his story with some confidence in its general correctness.
' Basiliscus meanwhile, with his whole force, sailed sucoses at for a town about thirty-five miles from Carthage, called ${ }^{\text {the outeeth }}$ Mercurion, from an old temple of Hermes there; and if be had not with evil purpose lingered at that place, but had at once commenced his march to Carthage, he would have taken the city at the first shout, annihilated the strength of the Vandals, and reduced them to slavery; so thoroughly was Gaiseric now alarmed at the irresistible might of the Emperor Leo, who had taken from him Sardinia and Tripolis, and had sent against him sach an armament under Basiliscus as all men said the Romans had never fitted out before. All this was now hindered by the general's procrastination, which was due either to cowardice or treachery. Profiting by the supineness
${ }^{\text {воокIII. of }}$ Casiliscus, Gaiseric armed all his subjects as well as
С¥. 6. he could, and put them on board troop-shipg. Other ships, fast-sailors and carrying no soldiers, he held in reserve. Then sending ambaseadors to Basiliscus he begged for a delay of five days, pretending that if this were granted him he would consider how he might best comply with the wishes of the Emperor. And some say that he sent a large sum of money to Basiliscus, unknown to his soldiers, in order to purchase this armistice. He devised this scheme in the expectation, which was justified by the event, that in the meantime a wind would spring up which would be favourable to his purposes. Basiliscus then, either in obedience to the recommendation of Aspar, or as having been bribed to grant this truce, or because he really believed that it would be better for the army, stayed quietly in his camp waiting the convenience of the enemy. But the Vandals, as soon as ever the wind arose which they had been patiently expecting, unfurled their sails, and, taking the empty ships in tow, sailed against the

Viandal Fire-shipa. enemy. As soon as they came near they set the empty ships on fire, and sent them with bellying sails full against the anchorage of the Romans. The ships of the latter, being tightly packed together in the quarter to which the fire-ships were directed, soon caught fire, and readily communicated it to one another.
'When the firewas thus kindled, great terror naturally seized the Roman host. Soon, the whistling of the wind, the roar of the fire, the shouts of the soldiers to the sailors, and of the sailors to the soldiers, the strokes of the poles with which they strove to push off the fire ships or their own burning companions, created a wild hubbub of discordant noises. And now were the

Vandals upon them, hurling javelins, sinking ships, воокиi. or stripping the fugitive soldiers of their arnour. Ca.6. Even in this crisis there were some among the Romans ${ }_{\text {Roman }}^{468}$ who played the man, most of all Joannes, second in Dofeat. command to Basiliscus, and quite guiltless of all his treachery. For when a great multitude of the enemy surrounded his ship, he from the deck killed numbers of them with his furious blows right and left; and when he saw that the ship was taken, he sprang in full armour from the quarter-deck into the sea. Then did Genzo, the son of Gaiseric, earnestly importune him to surrender, offering him assistance and promising him safety, but he none the less committed his body to the sea, with this one cry, "Never will Joannes fall into the hands of dogs."
' With this the war was ended. Heraclius returned Besilisons home. Basiliscus, when he arrived at Byzantium, on hain seated himself as a suppliant in the temple which is conntantidedicated to the great Christ and God, and which is nople. called Sophia [Wisdom] because the Byzantines think that epithet the most appropriate to God. On the earnest entreaty of his sister, the Empress Verina, he escaped death, but his hopes of the throne, for the sake of which he bad done all these things, were for the present dashed ${ }^{1}$ ' by the soon following fall of Aspar and Ardaburius.

Truly in reading Procopius' account of all the valour and treasure wasted in this campaign, one can heartily echo the saying of a more recent Byzantine historian ${ }^{2}$, ' Better is an army of stags led by lions than an army of lions led by a stag.'
In some mysterious manner the close of this campaign

[^248]nooriII. was connected with the fall of the brilliant and courage-
C. 6.
468.

Death of Marcelliaug. $468^{\text {n }}$. Romans fighting against the Vandals near Carthage, he was guilefully struck down by the very men whom he was coming to help 4. We know that the Dalmatian palace was left empty, that there were no more talks by the shore of the plashing Adriatic between the general and his philosopher friend Sallust, concerning the nature of the gods and the causes of the ruin of this perplexing world. But why or by whom Marcellinus died remains a mystery.
${ }^{\text {Kntry of }}$ of The unsuccessful campaign against Carthage occurred, Anthemina
into Rome. as has been said, in the spring and summer of 468. We return to the events of the preceding spring in Italy. On the 12th of April 467, the population of Rome poured forth to meet the new Emperor who was henceforth to rule over them in firm alliance with his brother Augustus of Constantinople. At the third milestone from the city ${ }^{5}$ Anthemius was solemnly proclaimed Emperor of Rome in the presence probably of a brilliant escort from Byzantium, including his wife Euphemia, daughter of an Emperor, and now Empress herself, of his three sons, Marcian, Romulus, and Procopius, and a daughter, Alypia ${ }^{\circ}$, who was to play an important part in cementing the new alliance between

[^249]East and West. The Patrician Ricimer was there bookiIL. doubtless, scanning the features of the new sovereign CH. 6 . and endeavouring to find an answer to the question, 'To rule or to be ruled?' There too were the Senate, the copious German guards, the dwindled ranks of the legionaries, and the Roman populace, those jaded and dissipated sons of slaves who still called themselves Quirites, and talked of Father Mars and the Sbe-Wolfs nuralings.
The new Emperor was not merely son-in-law of Mar- Padigree cian, but in his own right a great Byzantine noble. On hideory of his father's side he was descended from that Procopius, mint

## FAMILY OF ANTHEMIUS.


whose revolt against Valentinian and whose short-lived sovereignty were described at the beginning of this
${ }^{1}$ Ariadne begged her husband the Emperor Anastasius to bestow on this Anthemias the office of Praetorian Prefect, but he refused, with some anger, saying that it ought to be held only by men of letters (Joannes Lydus, De Magist. iii. 50).
${ }^{2}$ Marcian married Leontia, daughter of the Emperor Leo. He was therefore brother-in-law of Ariadne, and through her, of the Emperors Zeno and Anastasius (Evagrias, iii. 26).

воокin. history ${ }^{1}$. On his mother's side he traced his descent from Anthemius, Praetorian Prefect of the East, and virtual Regent during the early years of the minority of Theodosius II. Both this Anthemius (his maternal grandfather) and Procopius (his father) had been employed in important embassies to the Persian Court ${ }^{2}$. He himself, aided no doubt by his fortunate marriage to Euphemia, had in early manhood attained the successive dignities of Count of Illyricum, Master of the Soldiery, Consul (455), and Patrician. The expectation of some of the courtiers had marked him out as a probable successor of Marcian, but when the all-powerful voice of Aspar decreed the diadem to Leo, Anthemius sensibly took the disappointment in good part, attached himself loyally to the fortunes of the new Emperor, and was soon entrusted by him with an important command on the Lower Danube. Walamir the Ostrogoth, and Hormidac the Hun, were apparently both threatening the Roman inhabitants of the country which we now call Bulgaria The populous city of Sardica (now Sofia), upon the northern slope of the Balkans, was in especial danger. Anthemius distinguished himself by the strict discipline which be maintained among his troopsoften in those degenerate days more terrible to friend than foe-and in a pitched battle with Hormidac, he

[^250]obtained, we are told, a decisive victory, notwithstand- Boorim. ing the treacherous conduct of a subordinate-probably -- Се. 6. a barbarian-officer, who in the very crisis of the battle drew off all his cavalry, and left the Imperial flank exposed. After the victory the Roman general imposed one indispensable condition of peace upon the conquered Huns-the surrender of his traitorous colleague, who was put to death in the sight of both armies ${ }^{1}$.

Such was the past history of the richly-clothed Byzantine official who, in the spring of 467 , rode proudly in through the gate of Rome, amidst the acclamations of soldiery and populace. 'Long live Anthemius Augustus! Long live Ricimer, the Patrician! Long live the Concord of the Emperors!'

When the tidings of these Roman pageants reached the banks of the Rhone, one can imagine what envy they raised in the heart of Sidonius. 'An Emperor acclaimed, and I not there to weave his praises into thtee a new hexameters!' was a bitter reflection for the Gaulish poet. He had still some unused metaphors in his head; the necessary compliments to the Eastern Empire would give a motive entirely different from those of his two previous panegyrics; there was always the possibility of turning a few chapters of Livy into sonorous verse, and, in short, he resolved to resume the 'useful toil' of a Panegyrist. A deputation of citizens of Auvergne was appointed to congratulate Anthemius on his accession, perhaps to solicit the redress of grievances, or help against the Visigoths; but it is plain from Sidonius' letters ${ }^{2}$ that the message entrusted to the

[^251]воок iII. deputation was the last thing in his thoughts; the real
Св. 6.
467.

His journey to Rome. business to him was the Panegyric.

His errand having received the sanction of 'the sacred autograph,' he was entitled to travel at the public charge, by that admirably-organised postal service (the cursus) which was probably about the last to perish of the Imperial institutions. In a letter to a friend, he describes his journey with a few life-like touches, though some sentences reveal the rhetorician. But the friendly aspect of the well-known villas by the Rhone, the short climb op the torrent-beds and over the snows of the Alps, the voyage from Ticinum (Pavia) down the Ticino and the Po, past cities which recalled the honoured name of Virgil, and through woods of oak and maple alive with the sweet song of birds, are all vividly brought before us. He admired the situation of Ravenna ${ }^{1}$, so strong for defence, so convenient for commerce, and was in doubt whether to say that the city and the harbour (Classis) were connected or divided by the long 'Street of Caesar' which passed between them. But, though provisions of all kinds were to be had at Ravenna in abundance, he found, as other poets had found before him, that water fit for drinking was an unattainable luxury in that city, and he suffered the pangs of thirst though surrounded by streams ${ }^{2}$. Across the historic Rubicon and Metaurus, through the plains of Picenum and the valleys of Umbria, the Gaulish poet journeyed, no doubt with the lines of the fateful Panegyric churning in his head. But either the Sirocco blowing over the plains, or (as was probably

[^252]the case) the imperfect drainage of Ravenna ${ }^{1}$, had by boorim. this time touched him with a fever. Alternately Ci.. burning and shivering, he quaffed, but in vain, the 467 . waters of every stream and fountain near which his journey led him; and when the towers of Rome appeared upon the horizon, his feeling was that all the aqueducts of the City, and all the mimic seas of the amphitheatres ${ }^{2}$, would be insufficient to quench his thirst.

However, before entering the city he visited the Sidonius tombs of the Apostles, and after he had prostrated en fete on himself there, he felt that the languor of the ferer mocount of departed from his limbs. He found the whole city in rigge of an uproar, on account of the wedding between the Patrician Ricimer and the daughter of the Ever-August Emperor; an union which, while it reversed the relations between 'the Father of the Emperor' and his new father-in-law, was avowedly based on state considerations, and was looked upon as affording a new guarantee for the public tranquillity by cementing the alliance between Byzantine legitimacy and the rough strength of Ricimer's barbarians. Theatres, markets, temples, were all resounding with the Fescennine verses in which the populace, sometimes not too decorously, expressed their congratulations to the wedded pair. The bridegroom, with a crown upon his head, and the flowered robe (palmata) of the Consular upon his shoulders, went to fetch the bride from the house of

[^253]воокim. her father. In the universal hubbub, no one had any Сн. 8. ears for the Gallic deputation, and the Transalpine poet, seeking the comparative quiet of his inn, drew, for the benefit of his correspondent at Lyons, an amusing picture of the 'earnest holiday ${ }^{1}$ ' of the humming city.

Sidonius chooses a patron.

When he next took up the pen he was able to announce a brilliant success. The great poem had been recited on New Year's Day (468), and had earned for its author applause and a high office in the state. As soon as the wedding turmoil was over, and the riches of two empires had been sufficiently displayed to public view, the affairs of the state resumed their ordinary course. The Gallic deputies met with entertainment and a courteous reception at the house of one Paulus, a venerable man and an ex-prefect. Sidonius describes with amusing naiveté how he then set to work to attach himself to a patron, Paulus being presumably too old to give him efficient assistance. The choice lay between two men, both of consular rank, and confessedly the most influential persons in the state after the Emperor, 'always excepting the predominant power of the military party'-a most significant exception, which probably included Ricimer and all his immediate followers.

Characters of A vienus and Basiling.

These two possible patrons were Gennadius Avienus and Caecina Basilius. Avienus had obtained the consulship in 450 , and had been congratulated by all his friends on his early promotion. Basilius had been made consul in 463, and all the City had said, 'Why was not so good a man raised to the office before?'

[^254]Either nobleman saw his gate thronged with suitors, boorim. and was followed through the forum by a crowd of CA. a $^{\text {. }}$ obsequious clients; but the composition of the two $46 \%$ bands of retainers was very different, and so was the nature of their hopes. Avienus was most successful in pushing the fortunes of his sons, his sons-in-law, and his brothers: when all this had been accomplished, there was not much court-influence left for more distant clients, whom he accordingly charmed with his affable demeanour, but who somehow found that they were not drawing any nearer to the goal of their wishes, notwithstanding all the hours that they spent at their patron's vestibule. Basilius had far fewer of his own friends to provide for, and his manner with those whom he admitted into the circle of his dependents was much more reserved, almost haughty; but when he did accept the homage of a client, be was almost certain to obtain for him the fulfilment of his desires. Upon this estimate of their respective characters, Sidonius wisely decided to attach himself to the clientele of Basilius, while not omitting to pay frequent visits of ceremony at the door of Avienus.

Favoured by the efficient help of Basilius, the affairs Bmilius of the Arvernian deputation were soon in good train to posidonius for settlement. One day the Patron said to the Poet, daction of 'Come, my Sollius! The Kalends of January are at on onangyric hand, and the name of our Emperor is to be inscribed mian. on the Fasti of this New Year. Though I know that you are weighed down with the responsibility of your deputation, can you not call upon your old Muse to inspire you with some lines in honour of the new consul? It is true that in so short a time they will have to be almost the result of improvisation, but I can

воокir. promise you a hearing for your verses, and at least my Сп. 6. hands for their applause.'
468. The Panegyric rocited on New Year' Dang.

It needs not to be said that the suggestion of Basilius was eagerly accepted, and that upon the morning of the first day of 468 sidonius was ready with an 'impromptu' of 547 lines in praise of Anthemius. There is no need to describe this poem with any fulness of detail, since the reader can easily imagine its character from the two similar performances by the same hand in praise of Avitus and Majorian. There is an eloquent passage in praise of Constantinople ${ }^{1}$, and a graphic account of the manners of the Huns ${ }^{2}$, very closely corresponding with the pictures drawn by Jordanes and Ammianus. The lineage of Anthemins is described; the conventional prodigies which marked his birth and infancy; the events of his military and official career ; and great stress is laid on his unwilling-ness-real or imaginary-to accept the Western Crown, till commanded to do so by Leo. The real interest of the poem for us lies in its hints as to the course of contemporary politics, in its portraiture of Gaiseric and Ricimer.
> 'Each Emperor that on Western soil is born Fails from the helm and perishes forlorn. Here the stern Vandal spreads his thonsand sails And yearly for our ruin courts the gales. Strange fate! Upon our shores swart Afric throws The nations reared amid Caucasian snows'.
> 'At tu circumflua ponto
> Europae atque Asise commissam carpis atrimque
> Temperiem nam Bistonios Aquilonis hiatus
> Proxims Chalcidici sensim tuba temperat Euri,' \&c. Carm. ii. 46-49.

[^255]*This is mere poetic generalisation. Of course the Vandals had

Alone, till now, with Mars his only friend, He on whose arm the fates of Rome depend, Unconquered Ricimer has held at bay

BOOK III.

But Ricimer alone, says the poet, can no longer ward off the perils of the Empire. There is need of an Emperor of the old type, one who can not only order wars, but wage them. Such an Emperor the East can furnish, and, on the intercession of Rome, she does furnish, in the bronzed veteran Anthemius. He and his son-in-law have prepared fleets and armies which will surely reduce Africa to its ancient obedience. In some future year, when Anthemius shall be consul for a third, or Ricimer for a second time, Sidonius promises himself the delight of again appearing before them to chant the fall of Gaiseric.

The florid Panegyric was received, its author tells us,
had nothing to do with Caucasus. For their Alan confederates the reference is less incorrect.

[^256]воокп. with rapturous applause. Shouts of 'Sophos! Sophos'!'
Сम. 6. of the Panegyric. (the Greek equivalent of 'bravo') resounded from the benches where sat the senators conspicuous by their purple laticlaves ${ }^{2}$, and from the higher tiers of seats where swarmed the common people, the representatives of the once omnipotent Roman tribes ${ }^{3}$. A more striking proof of approbation was given by the Emperor, who,

Sidonius appointed Prefootus Urbis.

His dutien and anxieties in that capmeity. on the recommendation of Basilins, named Sidonius Prefect of the City of Rome ${ }^{4}$. Thus, as he himself piously expresses it, 'I have now, by the help of Christ and an opportune use of my pen, arrived at the Prefecture.' In modern states (China and the great American Republic alone excepted) it would be hard to find an instance of honours such as this conferred on the votaries of literature.
Sidonius was now in theory the third personage in the Empire, on a level with the Praetorian Prefects of Italy and Gaul, inferior only to the Emperor and the Patrician. In practice, however, it is probable that many a rude Herulian centurion or tribune counted for more than the versatile thin-minded poet. Besides his presidency over the Senate, the aqueducts, the marketplaces, the fore-shores, the harbour, the statues, were all under his care ${ }^{5}$. But his chief business-an infinitely harassing one in those dying days of the Empire

[^257]-was the care of the provisioning of the City, which воокiII. rested upon him and his subordinate, the Commissary Cu. 8. General (Praefectus Annonae), as the Earthly Providence of Rome. It is curious to read a letter from the new Prefect to a Gaulish friend, in which he expresses his fear lest, when he next visits the amphitheatre, he should hear a harsh cry of rage from the assembled multitude ${ }^{1}$, imputing their hunger to his incapacity. A gleam of hope shines upon him when he is informed that five ships, laden with corn and honey, have arrived at Ostia from Brindisi, and he despatches his Praefectus Annonae with all speed, to receive and distribute the precious cargoes.

Sidonius retained his new dignity only for one year, His retirebut on laying it down he prabably received the title of offoe. Patrician ${ }^{2}$-a title which was in his case purely honorary, conferring no power and imposing no responsibility. The short tenure of his office does not exactly imply disgrace, but it may probably be asserted that if the Gaulish man of letters had shown any conspicuous ability in his Prefectorate, his office would have been renewed to him at least for two or three years ${ }^{3}$. He

[^258]boosim. quitted Rome in the year 469 , never to return to that scene of petty intrigues and worn-out splendourspigmies masquerading in the armour of giants-a acene which must have filled a thoughtful man with sadness and a cynic with a raptare of scorn.

Trial of Arvandus, Praetorian Prefect of Gaul.

But before he went he witnessed the commencement of a process which attracted his deepest interest, and filled him with varied emotions-the trial and condemnation of Arvandus. This man, a fellow-countryman of Sidonius, had for five years held the office of Praetorian Prefect of Gaul. The popularity which marked his earlier years of office had utterly deserted him before its close. He had become involved in debt, from which he sought to free himself by the most unjust exactions from the provincials; he had grown moody, suspicious, implacable ; and finally, knowing the universal disfavour with which the Roman population regarded him, he had commenced a traitorous correspondence with the Visigothic king. Three Gaulish noblemen were sent as a deputation to Rome to impeach Arvandus before the Senate on charges of extortion and high treason ('rerum repetundarum et laesae majestatis').

The arrival of this deputation, and of the accused governor, placed Sidonius in an awkward position. The deputies were all of them acquaintances of his, and one (Tonantius Ferreolus) was his relative and intimate friend ${ }^{1}$. On the other hand, Arvandus had been long known, though never liked by him, and he says that he would have thought it base and barbarous to desert him in the day of his calamity. This difficulty however was soon solved by the accused himself, who,

[^259]when Sidonius and a fellow-noble ventured to give him воокиI. some hints as to the necessity of tact and moderation in the conduct of his case, flamed out upon them with the words, 'Away with you, ye degenerate sons of Prefects! Who wants your fussy anxiety on my behalf? Arvandus' conscience suffices for Arvandus. I can scarcely bring myself even to hire an advocate to defend me from the charge of extortion.'

All the rest of his conduct was of a piece with this outburst of petulance. While the Gaulish deputies were walking about in sad-coloured garments, with downcast faces, as men who had a painful duty to perform on behalf of the oppressed, Arvandus, in a white toga, with scented hair and pumico-stoned face, gaily promenaded the Forum, nodding to his friends as if his salutation were still of the highest value, frequenting the jewellers' shops, chaffering over the price of fashionable knick-knacks, and all the while keeping up a running fire of complaints against the Emperor, the Senate, and the laws, for allowing a person of his quality to be subjected to the indignity of a trial.

The eventful day arrived. The Senate-house was crowded. The defendant, fresh from the hair-dresser's hands, walked boldly up to the benches of the 'prefectorians,' and took his seat, as if of right, in the most honourable place among his judges. Ferreolus, on the contrary, equally entitled to a seat among the ' prefectorians,' placed himself, along with his fellow-deputies, on one of the lowest benches of the Senate-house. The deputation set forth their case, and read the mandate which they had received from their fellow-citizens. Instead of lingering over the outworks of the indict-
bookiII ment, the charges of peculation and extortion, they Сa. 6. 469. went rapidly to the heart of the matter, the accusation of treasonable intrigues with the Barbarians. A letter was produced, in the handwriting of the amanuensis of Arvandus, addressed to the Visigothic king. It tended to dissuade him from making peace with 'the Greek Emperor' (Anthemius), suggested that he should attack the Bretons ${ }^{1}$, who were allies of the Empire, and recommended that 'the Visigoths and the Burgundians should divide Gaul between them, according to the law of nations.' There might have been some difficulty in tracing the composition of this letter to Arvandus, but the infatuated culprit aimed the weapon against himself by at once boldly proclaiming that he was the author. Arvandua, said every voice in the assembly. He then tried to retract and to qualify his previous admissions, for with incredible folly ${ }^{2}$ he had hitherto supposed that nothing short of the actual assumption of the Imperial purple would have justified a condemnation for high-treason. But it was too late; his guilt was manifest. He was stripped of all his dignities, and the delicately-dressed and scented culprit was hurled, with every mark of disgrace, into a squalid dungeon on the Insula Tiberina, sentenced to be there killed by the executioner, to have his body dragged by an iron hook througb the streets, and then to be cast into the Tiber.

> 1 'Britannos super Ligerin sitos impugnari oportere.'
> (Sidonius, Ep. i. 7.)

[^260]By the wise and merciful legislation of Theodosius, boormir. due to the suggestion of Ambrose ${ }^{1}$, an interval C.. . $^{699}$. of thirty days necessarily elapsed between the sidonius utterance and the execution of a capital sentence. $\frac{p}{\mathrm{p} \text { maditigar }}$ This interval Sidonius employed in pleading for a tion of the mitigation of the punishment of the fallen Prefect, though, as he contemptuously remarked, 'No greater calamity can befall him than that he should wish to live, after all the ignominy that has been heaped upon him.' An entry in one of the Chroniclers ${ }^{2}$ seems to justify the inference that the intervention of Sidonius was successful, and that the capital sentence was commuted into one of perpetual exile.

It is not improbable that one cause of Sidonius' Anthemius departure from Rome may have been that he saw the mand Rici political horizon darkening with the impending rupture fend between Ricimer and Anthemius. The great enterprise against Cartbage, which should have united them, had failed, as was before stated (468); and thus, both Rome and the Suevic chief had humbled themselves before Byzantium for nothing. Anthemius was hot-tempered, and probably felt himself by intellect as well as by birth fitted for something better than to be the mere puppet of a barbarian. We have no hint as to the part taken by his daughter, in soothing or in exciting the combatants, but we can imagine that she let the middleaged Patrician, her husband, see too plainly how vast she considered her condescension in becoming the wife of a barbarian. In 470 another event added fuel to the

[^261]roокin. fire. The Emperor, who found his health failing him,

CE. 6.

Ricimer's head-quarters at Medio lanum. believed that he was the victim of magical arts, and arrested many persons upon the charge of thus compassing his death. A certain Romanus, an adherent of Ricimer, himself bearing the title of Patrician as well as that of Master of the Army, was among the persons put to death on this accusation. Thereat Ricimer, in a fury, flung out of Rome and called to his standards 6000 men who had served under him in the Vandal war ${ }^{1}$.
In the spring of the year $471^{2}$ Ricimer was at Milan, surrounded, no doubt, by the Tentonic auxiliaries, and leaning perhaps somewhat on the aid of his brother-inlaw, the king of the Burgundians, who held all the northern passes of the Western Alps, since he ruled in Valais and Savoy, in Dauphiné and the Lower Valley of the Rhone. Anthemius was not at Ravenna, but in Rome, relying on the favour with which he was regarded by the populace of the City ${ }^{3}$, on the sympathies of the official class, and on the patriotism of whatsoever purely Roman and Italian elements might be left in the legions. Between these two men, all Italy perceived with horror that war was inevitable.

Such being the state of things, the nobles of Liguria
${ }^{1}$ Joannes Antiochenus, fr. 207 ; Cassiodorus, s. a. 470.
${ }^{2}$ Or 472; but as Epiphanius returned from his embasey on the 14th day before Easter, as he was for the time successfal and as Olybrius was raised to the throne by Ricimer in April, 472, it seems almost certain that we must refer the first outbreak of civil war and the mediation of Epiphanius to 471. Easter fell on the r6th of April in the year 472 (see ' 1 'Art de verifier les Dates'). Tillemont assigns the embrasy of Epiphanius to 469, but, I think, on insufficient grounds. It might be 470.
${ }^{8}$ Joannes Antiochenus expressly says that 'on the side of Antbemius were ranged those in office and the people, and on that of Ricimer the multitude of his own barbarians.'
assembled at the palace of Ricimer, and adoring the boorini. Suevic Patrician with self-prostration, after the manner Ca. 8. of the Orientals, besought him to consent to an accom- The Ligumodation with his father-in-law. Ricimer was, or pro- rinn nobles $\begin{gathered}\text { interposes }\end{gathered}$ fessed to be, mollified by their arguments. 'But whom will ye send as mediator?' said he; 'Who can bring this hot-headed Galatian ${ }^{1}$ prince to reason? If you ask him for the smallest favour he bubbles over with fary, and there is not a man living who can remain in a passion so long as be.' 'There is a person in this province,' said the nobles, 'to whom you may safely entrust this commission; a man to whom even wild beasts would bow their necks; a man whom a Catholic and a Roman must venerate, and whom even the little Greek Emperor cannot help loving if he is privileged to behold him ?' And then they proceeded to sketch the life and recount the virtues of Epiphanius, the saintly young Bishop of Pavia, in somewhat similar words possibly to those in which they are now recorded for us by his admiring disciple Ennodius, from whom we derive our knowledge of this incident.

In the life of Epiphanius we meet of course with $\underset{\substack{\text { Lifo of } \\ \text { Epiph- }}}{\text { and }}$ many incidents and traits of character common to a nipiphasaint of that period of the Church. A supernatural modiator. light shone round his cradle when he was still busy with

[^262]bookili the rattle and the baby's-bottle ${ }^{2}$. On the strength of
CE. 6.
47 I. this omen he was at eight years old received into the Ministry of the Church as a Reader (lector), and before long distinguished himself by the rapidity and accuracy with which he practised the art of an ecclesiastical short-hand writer (exceptor). Ordained a Deacon at twenty, Priest at twenty-eight, and almost immediately afterwards elected Bishop of Pavia, he was already in his early manhood marked out for the veneration of his contemporaries. 'He knew not that he was a man,' says his biographer, ' except by his power of enduring toil ; he forgot that he was in the flesh except when he meditated on his mortality.' No great miracles are recorded of his earlier years, but the saintly patience and dignity with which he, a young Ligurian of noble blood, endured the cudgelling administered to him by a rustic boor named Burco, who had a dispute with the Church of Pavia about boundaries, endeared him to his fellowcitizens, and enabled him to plead successfully for the life of his antagonist when the indignant populace clamoured for his execution. Altogether, though the robes of these ecclesiastical personages are beginning to fall stiffly, and though the fifth-century type of holiness lacks, to our thinking, the freshness of a true humanity, we cannot but feel that Epiphanius was one of those men to whom mere goodness gives a wonderful magnetic power over all who come in contact with them. His sweet and pure figure is a refreshing contrast to the wild passions and base treacheries with which his age is filled.
Mimion of Such was the man who, on the invitation of the Epiphanius. Ligurians, with the assent of Ricimer, while greatly

[^263]doubting his own sufficiency for the task, undertook the bookiri. mission to Anthemius. When he reached Rome, all cr. 6. the officers of the household went forth to meet him $47^{1 .}$ without the gates. They brought him into the Imperial hall of audience, where the flash of gems and the sombre magnificence of the purple still, as in the mightiest days of the Empire, attested the presence of Augustus. But all eyes were fixed, not on the Ems peror, but on the tall ecclesiastic, with brow of marble whiteness and delicately formed limbs ${ }^{1}$, who, sparing of words in his ordinary conversation, was about to speak on behalf of Italy and Peace.

'Dread sovereign!' he began, 'we recognise the hand Speech of of God in calling to the highest place in this common- $\begin{gathered}\text { Etiphenius } \\ \text { Emperor }\end{gathered}$ wealth you who have shewn yourself a faithful adherent to the teaching of the Catholic faith, in permitting you to eclipse the triumphs of war by the arts of peace, and to restore the interrupted harmony of the Roman world. Be this still your glory, oh Emperor! Still blend gentleness with force, and thereby make your rule a copy of the heavenly kingdom ${ }^{2}$. Remember how David, by sparing King Saul when he was in his power, earned more glory than would have accrued from the most righteous vengeance. This is the request of Italy, this the message which Ricimer has entrusted to the mouth of my Littleness. Earn for yourself a bloodless victory, overcome even this proud Goth by your benefits. Or,

[^264]воокiu. if you are still in doubt, consider all the chances of Сн. 8. war, war in which you may be defeated, and in which even victory must lessen the resources of your Empire, while by a peaceful compact with Ricimer you might have enjoyed them undiminished.'

He ended, and Anthemius, raising his eyes, saw that the hearts of all the by-standers were won by the words of peace. With a deep sigh he said, 'Holy Bishop! The causes of my anger against Ricimer are such as cannot be fully set forth in words. I have loaded him with benefits; I have not even spared my own flesh and blood, but have given my daughter to this skin-clothed Goth ${ }^{1}$, an alliance which I cannot think upon without shame for myself, my family, and my kingship. But the more I have distinguished him with my gifts, the more bitterly has he become mine enemy. He has stirred up foreign nations to war against the Commonwealth; where he could not himself hurt, he has suggested to others schemes for hurting me. I myself believe that it is better to treat such a man as an open foe. To feel your enemy is the first step towards overcoming him, and anything is better than the machinations of secret hatred. But since you interpose your venerable office and your holy character as a pledge for his sincere desire for peace, be it so. I cannot resist anything which such a man as you pleads for. If your perceptions have been deceived, and if he still have war in his heart, on him shall rest the guilt of renewing

[^265]the combat. I commit and commend myself and the boorm. commonwealth, whose pilot I am, entirely into your ce. 6. hands, and I grant to you the pardon which Ricimer 471. himself should not have obtained, no, not if he had been grovelling in the dust before my feet.'

The Bishop thanked God for having put these peace- Return of ful counsels into the heart of him whom he had chosen $\begin{gathered}\text { Epiphas } \\ \text { nius } \\ \text { to }\end{gathered}$ as the Vicar of his supreme power among men ${ }^{1}$; he Ligurim. then took a solemn oath from Anthemius to hold fast the newly re-cemented alliance, and departed in all haste for Liguria. He travelled so rapidly, although his strength was reduced by a rigorous Lenten fast, that he returned to Pavia on the sixth day after he had quitted it, and the joyful shouts of the people surrounding his house, and learning from his own mouth the news of the ratified treaty of peace, were the first intimation to Ricimer that his messenger had quitted Rome.

However, the peace between the two rival Powers in The the State was of short duration. Some expressions in the breaks out narrative would lead us to suppose that the position of ${ }^{\text {anew. }}$ Anthemius, at the time of the embassy, was slightly the stronger of the two, and that Ricimer showed his usual cunning in accepting the good offices of the Bishop. Within fourteen months (possibly within two months) 472. after the negotiations at Milan, we find the two parties again in arms against one another. Ricimer proclaimed Olybrius Emperor, thereby conciliating the support olybrius, of the Vandal king, and perhaps neutralising the opposition of the friends of Anthemius at Constantinople, for Olybrius was also a Byzantine, and also

[^266]boorimi allied to the Imperial family ${ }^{1}$. He marched to the Се. 6. outskirts of Rome and pitched his camp near a bridge Rome. over the Anio, probably the Ponte Salaro ${ }^{2}$. Within the walls opinion was divided, some even of the citizens ranging themselves on the side of Ricimer, though the majority no doubt adhered to Anthemius. For five months the siege lasted, Ricimer keeping a strict watch upon the upper and lower waters of the Tiber, and suffering no provisions to enter the city. The pressure of the famine was so great that (as Theophanes tells us) 'the soldiers were reduced to feed upon leather and other unusual articles of food.' Then an unexpected auxiliary appeared upon the scene ${ }^{3}$. 'Bilimer, ruler of the Gauls' (we have no clue to the true character of this mysterious personage), 'hearing of the conspiracy against Anthemius, came to Rome earnestly desiring to give him assistance. He joined battle with Ricimer by the bridge of Hadrian' (the bridge leading to the castle of S. Angelo) ' and was immediately overcome and slain. On his death Ricimer entered the city Death of as conqueror, and slew Anthemius with the sword.' Anthemina Another authority (Joannes Antiochenus) tells us that 'the followers of Anthemius opened the gates to the

[^267]barbarians, leaving their master defenceless, that he bookiII. mixed with the crowd of mendicants, and sought refuge Св. 6. at the tomb of the martyr Chrysogonus ${ }^{1}$, and being there discovered was instantly beheaded by Gundobad, the nephew ${ }^{2}$ of Ricimer. He received a royal burial at the hands of his enemies.' Anthemius perished on the I Ith July, 472; and only five weeks afterwards his turbulent son-in-law followed him to the grave. On the and of 18th August, Ricimer, the Patrician, who had held supreme power in Italy for sixteen years, died of a sudden hemorrhage, and thus the stage was left clear for new actors. What they will make of the defence or extension of the Roman Empire we shall see in the following chapter.
${ }^{1}$ The Basilica of Chrysogonus (one of the martyrs under Diocletian) stands in the Trastevere, about a quarter of a mile west of the Ponte Rotto.
z Joannes says 'brother,' but this is an error.

## GENEALOGY OF OLYBRIUS.



## CHAPTER VII.

Olybrius, the client of the Vandal, a.d. 472. Glycerius, the clent of the Burgundian, a.d. 473-474. Julius Nepos, the client of Byzantiom, a.d. 474-475. Romulds Augustolus, son of Orestes, A.d. 475-476.

## Anthorities.

Sources:-
Cassiodords, Theophanbs, and Joannes Antiochenus have Boor ili. been described in previous chapters. Marcelinnus and the C. 7. Anonimus Cuspiniani give the versions of the facts current at Constantinople and Ravenna respectively.

A new and most valuable source is opened out to us by the writer called the Anonymus Valesir. The two fragments which pass under this name were published by Henricus Valesius (Henri de Valois, $1603-1676$ ) in his edition of Ammianus Marcellinus, and they have since been generally appended to the history of that author, with which however they have no natural connection. The first fragment deals with the history of Constantine the Great; the second and much longer fragment describes, in a very pecaliar style, the affairs of Italy from the accession of Nepos in 474 to the death of Theodoric in 526. It is tolerably certain that the two fragments which are thas classed together are by two different authors. With the question of the anthorship of the first we need not here concern ourselves. Waitz ${ }^{1}$ has argued with much probability that we have in the

[^268]bookill second an actual portion of the Chronicles of Marimian, Bishop Ce. 7. of Ravenna, from 546 to 556 (see vol. I. p. $913-914$ ). In

## 472.

favour of this conjecture is the fact of the anthor's evident close connection with Ravenna and his knowledge of Eastern affairs, since we know from the story of his elevation that he was for some time a resident at Constantinople. Holder-Egger (Nedes Archiv, 1. 324) argues, on the other hand, that the poor style and frequent barbarisms of the Anonymus Valesii do not correspond with what Agnellus tells us ${ }^{2}$ of the learning of Bishop Maximian, and his care to secure accurate copies of the Scriptares and other ecclesiastical books. There is no doabt some force in this argument, but on the whole Waitz's theory seems to me a very probable guess: higher value than this we cannot assign to it. One feature in the Anonymus Valeaii, which it is not easy fully to account for by any theory, is his strong bias in favour of the Eastern Emperor Zeno.

## Guides:-

Besides Pallmann, Binding in his 'Geschichte des burgundischromanischen Königreichs' (Leipzig, 1868) gives some useful comments on this portion of history.

The new Emperor, Anicius Olybrius, might possibly have procured some breathing-space for the exhausted commonwealth, if he had worn the purple for any considerable length of time.

Pedigree and connections of Olybrius.

Of the great Anician family, and probably desceuded from one of those brother consuls, Olybrius and Probinus, whose accession to office in the year 395 Claudian celebrated with such courtly enthusiasm; the husband of the great grand-daughter of Theodosius, and the representative, as far as there could be a representative, of the claims of that Imperial house; on good terms with the Eastern Augustus, perhaps openly supported by him ; above all, the brother-in-law of the heirapparent to the Vandal crown, the long proposed and

[^269]at last successful candidate of Gaiseric ; Olybrius, às to $\begin{gathered}\text { BOOKIII. } \\ \text { Си. } 7 \text {. }\end{gathered}$ whose personal qualities the page of history is a blank, possessed in these external circumstances exceptional advantages for a Roman Emperor in the year 472. But whether the care of ruling a troubled court, which had made Petronius Maximus sigh for the happier lot of Damocles, or the air of Rome, so often fatal to alien rulers, overpowered him, we know not. So it was that on the 23 rd October 472, little more than three months after the death of his rival, Olybrius died at Rome of His death. dropsy ${ }^{1}$. Had Ricimer been still living, this death would of course have figured in his catalogue of crimes, but the rough-handed Sueve had gone before Olybrius, as has been already stated, on the 18th of August.

During his short reign Olybrius conferred the dignity Gundobad of Patrician on the young Burgundian prince Gundobad, Burgun. dian Prince whose mother was sister to Ricimer, and who apparently adramain had come to Italy to push his fortunes by the help of his all-powerful uncle ${ }^{8}$. It is conjectured with much probability that the barbarian element in the Roman army, which knew something of its strength, and was suspicious of any but a barbarian leader, transferred its fealty, or its attachment, or its obedience (it is difficult to find a word to express the nature of the tie which bound these troops to their leader) from Ricimer to his nephew, and that this transference brought with it, almost as a matter of course, his elevation to the rank of Patrician and 'Father of the Emperor.'

[^270]воокin. For five months Gundobad allowed himself the luxury
C. 7. 473.

Elevation of Glycerius.

Affairs of the Reat. of an interregnum ; then, on the fifth of March, 473, he raised a certain Glycerius to the throne, at Ravenna This election of Glycerius, though he had held the high office of Comes Domesticorum ${ }^{1}$ (Commander of the Household Troops) was not approved of, nor apparently recognised, at Byzantium. Our chief Eastern cbronicler (Marcellinus) tells us that Glycerius was made Caesar at Ravenna 'more by presumption than by election;' and steps were soon taken to furnish a successor to Olybrius whom the Easterns could recognise as legitimate.

Some changes had taken place at the Court of Constantinople since the councils preceding the elevation of Anthemius, and the expedition against Carthage. In Murder of the year 471, Aspar and his sons were murdered in the Aspar and his family. palace by the swords of the eunuchs of the Emperor's household. 'An Arian father with his Arian offspring,' is the pious comment of Marcellinus; but all the inhabitants of Constantinople were not disposed to consider the heterodoxy of Aspar sufficient justification for the deed. They remembered that it was by Aspar's hand that Leo himself had been lifted to the throne; that something had been whispered of a secret compact, according to which one of the sons of Aspar was to succeed in the Imperial dignity, and that, in fact, his son Patricius, who appeared susceptible of conversion to the Catholic faith, had been formally recognised as Caesar, and thereby designated as next in succession to the throne. It might be convenient to cancel all these liabilities by the swords of the eunuchs of the house-

[^271]hold; it was, no doubt, a relief to know that that bookiri. terrible Patrician would never again shake his sover- Ca. 7. eign's purple robe and remind him of obligations which Orthodoxy would not suffer him to discharge; but, upon the whole, the popular instinct condemned the transaction, and branded the Emperor Leo with the epithet Macellus (the butcher), a term derived from the meat-markets of Rome.

When the news of the 'presumptuous' eleration of Glycerius to the throne reached Constantinople, in the summer of 473, the Emperor Leo was probably in fail-

GENEALOGIES OF EASTERN AND WESTERN EMPERORS ${ }^{1}$. (474-475).

ing health. (He died in January of the following year.) The rivalry for the succession between Basiliscus, with his firm persuasion that he should one day be Emperor, and Tarasicodissa, the Isaurian, always addressed by his flatterers as Zeno, was, no doubt, becoming more intense than ever. But the threads of this and of every intrigue ${ }_{\text {Julus }}$ about the Court of Byzantium were in the hands of her kinoman an who was sister of one candidate and mother-in-law of of the the other, Verina, the wife of the dying Augustus. Chorina, Influenced, no doubt, by her, the choice of a Western Emperor Emperor fell upon Julius Nepos, by birth nephew of the wert.

[^272]воокin. brave Marcellinus of Dalmatia, and by marriage nephew
С. 7. of the Empress Verina.
473. The new Emperor was proclaimed in Constantinople in August, $473^{1}$, but, delayed apparently by the complications connected with the illness and death of his patron, did not land in Italy till the spring of the fol-

Leo I succeeded by Leo II and Zeno.

Ostrogothic invasion of Italy diverted to Gaul. lowing year. Meanwhile Leo died; his grandson, the younger Leo, succeeded him, and being but a boy, associated his father, the Isaurian Zeno, with him in the Empire. The son-in-law had won, for the present at least, in the race for the Eastern throne.

Before we start with Nepos on his quest of the Western sovereignty, let us see how matters have fared with the occupant whom he means to displace-with Glycerius. In 473, the year of his accession, a new enemy to Rome appeared upon the northern horizon. The Ostrogothic brother-kings, who served under Attila at the battle in Champagne, on the overthrow of the Hunnish Empire obtained for themselves a goodly settlement in Pannonia, on the western bank of the Danube. For nearly twenty years they had been engaged in desultory hostilities with their barbarian neighbours, with Suevi and Rugians on the north and west, with Huns and Sarmatians on the south and east. Now, as their countryman, Jordanes, tells us ${ }^{2}$ with admirable frankness, 'the spoils of these neighbouring nations were dwindling, and food and clothing began to fail the Goths. Therefore to these men, who had long

[^273]found their sustenance in war, peace began to be hate- bookili. ful.' They clustered round their kings, and clamoured Ca. 7. to be led forth to war-whither they cared not, but war there must be. Theudemir, the elder king, took counsel with his brother Widemir, and they resolved to commence a campaign against the Roman Empire. Theudemir, as the more powerful chieftain, was to attack the stronger Empire of the East; Widemir, with his weaker forces, was to enter Italy. He did so, but, like so many of the northern conquerors, he soon found a grave in the beautiful but deathly land. His son, the younger Widemir, succeeded to his designs of conquest, but Glycerius approached him with presents and smooth words ${ }^{1}$, and was not ashamed to suggest that he should transfer his arms to Gaul, which was still in theory, and partially in fact, a province of the Empire. The sturdy bands of Widemir's Ostrogoths descended accordingly into the valleys of the Rhone and the Loire; they speedily renewed the ancient alliance with the Visigothic members of their scattered nationality, and helped to ruin yet more utterly the already desperate cause of Gallo-Roman freedom.

It may be that this ignominious mode of dealing with Unpopuan invader served to sink the insignificant Glycerius yet $\frac{1}{}$ linity of Glyerius. lower in the eyes of his people. He seems to have been keeping close under the skirts of Mont Blanc and Monte Rosa, that he might not be too far removed from the

[^274]VOL II.
Ii
jookili. Burgundian countrymen of his patron, Gundobad. In CB. 7. Pavia, we are told, his mother was so insultingly treated by the populace-perhaps in order to mark their contempt for her son-that he would have inflicted severe punishment upon them if he had not been dissuaded by the saintly peace-maker Epiphanius ${ }^{1}$.

Nepos triumphant.

Such was the state of things when Nepos, the Byzantine candidate for empire, landed in Italy, in one of the spring months of 474. Did the barbarian auxiliaries, headed by the young Burgundian Gundobad, the heir of the power of Ricimer, go forth to meet him, and did battle follow? The silence of the chroniclers rather seems to indicate that the affair was settled without a resort to arms ${ }^{2}$. And as we find Gundobad, shortly after this time, peaceably reigning with his brothers over their paternal kingdom on the banks of the Rhone, the inference drawn by some of the most careful inquirers into the history of the period ${ }^{3}$ is that, the death of his father Gundiok having occurred shortly after that of his uncle Ricimer, he had weighed the solid advantages of his Burgundian inheritance against the prestige of a Roman king-maker, and found the former preponderate. Therefore, and as he also well knew the hostile designs of the Byzantine Court, he quietly marched back across the Alps with the young warriors of his comitatus, leaving the luckless Glycerius to fight and lose his own battles alone. This may be accepted as the most probable explanation of Gundobad's disappearance from the scene;

[^275]but it must be pointed out that it is not the only one. noorin. He may have stood by his client, have fought and lost СЕ. 7. some unrecorded battle, and only then have made his way over the unmelted April suows of the St. Bernard or the Mont Generre to his Burgundian kingdom.
Let the causes of the non-resistance, or unsuccessful Depoasition resistance of the barbarian Auxiliaries have been what of fllyour who . Eastern candidate were crowned with complete success, Bitlop of but his triumph was not stained with cruelty. The fortified harbour-town at the mouth of the Tiber, opposite to the modern Ostia, which under the name of Portus Augusti et Trajani commemorated the names of two of Rome's most famous Emperors ${ }^{1}$, witnessed in the summer of 474 two very different spectacles. There, on the 24th of June, Julius Nepos was solemnly raised to the dignity of Emperor, the Senate and the People of Rome being no doubt duly represented on the ground, and acclaiming the new Augustus. There also, a few days earlier or later, Glycerius, Ex-Count of the Domestics and Ex-Emperor, received the oil of consecration as a Bishop. The merciful conqueror, who had spared his life, vouchsafed to him also a sphere for the exercise of his new functions. The Church of Salona, the capital of the dominious of Marcellinus, was at this juncture in need of a head. Thither Glycerius was sent, and he who had lately held power nominally supreme in the Western world, subsided, apparently without a murmur, into the condition of Bishop of a Dalmatian town. Even so, after a long and costly contest for the heirship to a dukedom, the successful litigant might solace his beaten rival by

[^276]bookin. assigning to him one of the family livings. With this Ca. 7. allusion disappears from history. There have been many worse Emperors, doubtless, than the 'not disreputable ${ }^{1}$ ' person whom Gundobad advised to become Augustus, and whom Nepos advised to become a Bishop.

A ffairs of Giaul.

Accession of Euric to the Visigothic throne.
466.

Arian intolerance of Eurio.

The only memorable events in the fourteen months' reign of Julius Nepos are those which relate to the affairs of Gaul, that country which gave her first province to the Republic, and whose allegiance was the last jewel hacked from the fingers of the dying Empire.
The Visigothic throne at Toulouse was now no longer filled by the jovial and tolerant Theodoric II, to whom Sidonius lost so many games at 'the tables.' Eight years before the period which we have now reached, that prince was slain and replaced by his equally able, but narrower and harsher, brother Euric ${ }^{2}$. Though it is true that he employed as his chief minister of state the polished and learned Gallo-Roman Leo, we can trace in Euric a bitterer Arianism and a more acrid and anti-Roman barbarianism than was shown by Theodoric, the inattentive listener to the ministrations of his heretical clergy, the staunch upholder of the alliance with Avitus.
Of the religious intolerance of Euric, Sidonius, who now looked at these questions with the eyes of a

[^277]Churchman (having been elected Bishop of Clermont ${ }^{1}$ boorini. in the year 472), draws a repulsive picture. 'I fear,' Cr. 7. he says ${ }^{\text {? }}$ ' 'that this Gothic king, though he is truly formidable by the resources which he wields, is plotting not so much against the walls of Roman cities as against the laws of Christian Churches. So sour, they say, to his lips, so hateful to his heart, is the very mention of the Catholic name, that you can hardly tell whether to cousider him primarily as king of the Visigothic nation or as leader of the Arian sect. Moreover, he is a strenuous warrior, in the vigour of his intellect, ir the prime of life; the only mistake which he makes is to attribute to the Divine blessing on his misguided zeal, those successes which are really due to his own skill and good fortune.' Sidonius then goes on to describe the melancholy condition of the Catholic Churches of Aquitaine. Bordeaux, Limoges, Perigueux, and many more, whose Bishops had died, were forbidden to elect their successors; the churchway paths were stopped up with thorns and briers, the gates wrenched from their hinges, the roofs left open to the sky, and cattle fed on the grass-grown steps of the altar.

Some of these touches recall similar passages in the vandel Vandal persecutions-though those upon the whole god viminiwere far more bloody and severe-and it is therefore ${ }^{\text {bogether. }}$ not surprising to find that there was at this time a considerable drawing together of the courts of Garthage and Toulouse. There had been time for the old cruel outrage upon the daughter of Theodoric I to be forgotten, and accordingly, when Gaiseric found East and

[^278]bookirl West Rome uniting to invade his pirate kingdom, he
CB. 7.

Euricis in. vacion of Roman Geul.

Conquest of Berri ; Brave dafence of Auvergne. appealed, and not altogether in vain, to the Visigothic monarch to join hands with him in defence of their common interests as Teutons and as Arians ${ }^{1}$.

The weight of Euric's invasion, which apparently took place in the spring of 474 , fell upon the two provinces which we now know as Berri and Auvergne, all that was still left to the Romans of the country south of the Loire. Of Berri they appear to have made an easy conquest; Auvergne, the mountain-land, defended by the stout hearts of the still undegenerate nation of the Arverni, made a much more stubborn resistance. There, in the midst of his diocese, was Bishop Sidonius, animating the people by his rhetoric and, yet more, encouraging them to hope in the miraculous efficacy of 'the Rogations,' a kind of litany or special series of prayers for times of calamity, which he adopted from the Cburch of Vienne. There, too, was his brother-inlaw, Ecdicius, the son of the Emperor Avitus, a brave and noble-hearted man, though Sidonius trumpets forth his praises with so much bombastic exaggeration that we are in danger of not allowing to him the credit which he really deserves.
Exploits of 'How did we all gaze upon you,' he says ', 'from the
Eodicias. walls of Arverni [Clermont] All ranks and ages, and both sexes, looked at you with wonder from our halfruined walls, and saw you in the open plain, in the middle of the day, pierce with scarce eighteen horsemen through a troop of some thousand Goths. At the sound of your name, at the rumour of your presence, a kind

[^279]of stupor fell upon that highly-disciplined host, so that boornin. the generals themselves in their blind wonderment ca. 7. perceived not how many followed their standards, how 474. few yours. They withdrew up the brow of a hill and left all the plain to you, though you had scarcely as many men to post in the plain as one seats guests at a banquet.

- You came back at leisure to the city. How we all poured forth to meet you, with greetings, with plaudits, with laughter, and with tears! The courts of your vast house were filled with your welcomers. They kissed the very dust of your feet, they handled your heavy curb-chain, clotted with blood and foam, they lifted the saddles, steeped in sweat, from the horses of your warriors, they unclasped the fastenings of your hollow helmet, they vied with one another in loosening the foldings of your greaves, they counted and measured with trembling fingers the terrible dints in your coat of mail.
' Need I say how, after this, you, with your own private resources, collected a public army and chastised the enemy for their incursions; how in several encounters you slaughtered whole squadrons of the barbarians, and when you came to number your own troops after each battle, found but two or three missing. So heavy was the blow struck at the enemy in these unexpected conflicts, that they concealed the number of their slain by an artifice more ghastly than the very battle-field. All whom the approach of night prevented them from burying they beheaded, that the mutilated trunk might not by its flaxen locks reveal the nationality of the slain warrior. When day dawned they perceived that even this brutal outrage had not availed
roorinit to hide their losses ${ }^{1}$; so then they set about their Cr. 7. funeral rites in haste-haste which was as useless to conceal their trick as their trick bad been to conceal the slaughter. The bodies were unwashed, unceremented; no mound of earth was heaped above them. They lay here and there about the field, carried to their respective heaps on the gory waggons, till you, pressing down afresh and unceasingly on your beaten foe, compelled them to give up the thought of burial, and to light their funeral pyres with the fragments of the waggons which had been their moving homes.'

Miseries of the Arverni relieved by Bishop Patiens.

History and romance are no doubt blended in this singular extract, in what proportions it is now impossible to determine. So much, however, seems clear, that by the brave defence of the Arverni, with Ecdicius at their head, the tide of Visigothic invasion was for that season (474) rolled back from their country. But the walls of the city were half in ruins ${ }^{\text {? }}$, and the harvests, not only of Auvergne, but of a large part of Provence, had been swept away by the enemy. Under this imminence of famine, Patiens, the Bishop of Lyons, (the builder of the basilica commemorated in the versea of Sidonius ${ }^{3}$ ), with wise and noble munificence, collected vast stores of grain in the northern district of Gaul, transported them down the rivers Saone and Loire, and across the mountains of Auvergne, presented them as a free gift to the famishing provincials, and thus, out of his own episcopal revenues, (helped probably by the contributions of the wealthy city in which he dwelt,)

[^280]' like another Triptolemus or another Joseph ${ }^{1}$,' saved a воокin. nation from famine.

In the following year (475) there seems to have been 475. a change in the Gothic strategy. As determined as Virigothic ever to add Auvergne to his dominions, Euric saw that the fight for its possession could best be waged in Provence, or even if need were, in the valley of the Po. He again crossed the line which had become the frontier of the Empire, again occupied or laid waste the 'Provincia' at the mouth of the Rhone, and threatened apparently to cross the Alps, or to march by what we Italy. now call the Riviera, into Italy. For these aggressions the rapid changes in the person of the Romau Emperor suggested the occasion, and seem in some mysterious way to have served as a justification ${ }^{2}$. Perhaps a pretence was set up of vindicating against Nepos the claims of the Burgundian protégé Glycerius, whom he had dethroned. In these circumstances the 'Council of Liguria,' an assembly of whose precise nature and constitution we are ignorant, but which was probably composed of the chief civil and ecclesiastical officials of the province, again assembled, as they had assembled

[^281]воокint. four years before when civil strife seemed to be im-

Се. 7. pending between Anthemius and Ricimer, to devise means for averting the storm of war from their country.

Again, as before, all eyes were turned upon the saintly Epiphanius, Bishop of Pavia, the ideal peacemaker of his age. He again undertook the office, relying on heavenly assistance. The journey was one of about 600 (Roman) miles, by way of Turin, Briançon, Nimes, and involved a climb over the steep pass of the Mont Generre. But the saint was determined to make it yet more arduous by his austerities. For the mules' sake they tarried long at the different posting-houses (mansiones), and all these long halts were occupied with vigorous psalmody or with industrious reading; and when engaged in the latter employment he always stood. Then at night be would choose the chilliest nook of the forest, whither the noonday sun never penetrated, and there, instead of in the comfortable mansio, would he spread his couch, watering the ground with the tears which accompanied his nightlong prayers, 'and so making fertile in spiritual blessings the soil which could never bring forth fruits of its own.'

There is no need to transcribe from his admiring and prolix biographer the exhortation to meekness and charity which Epiphanius delivered to King Euric in
Euric appeased. his Court at Toulouse. The Visigothic king's reply, delivered by the mouth of an interpreter, contains some characteristic expressions. 'Though the coat of mail never leaves my breast, though my hand is ever at the brazen lilt of my sword, and the iron guards my side, I have found a man who, for all my armour, can
vanquish me with his words. They err who say that booriul. the Roman's tongue is not worth a good sword and shield, for they can turn back the words which we send against them, while their words pierce to our very vitals. I will do therefore, holy father, all that you desire, though more from esteem for the messenger than from respect for the power of him who sends him. Promise me, therefore, that Nepos will keep unbroken concord with me-since a promise from you is equivalent to an oath-and my warlike designs shall be laid aside.' After giving the required pledge, the Bishop, refusing an earnest invitation to meet the king at a banquet, (' which would have been,' says his biographer, 'polluted by the presence of his priests') started at once on his homeward journey, 'attended by so great a crowd that Toulouse seemed to be almost deserted of her inhabitants.'

When we read the terms of peace as they were Anvergne finally arranced between Euric and the four Bishops abandoned of Provence ${ }^{1}$, we doubt whether the eloquence of Epi- Vidigoth. phanius had really been so triumphant as his biographer describes it. For it is evident that Auvergne and Berri

[^282]roosinu. were ceded to the Goths, and the Romans seem prac-

CH. 7. tically to have retained of all their magnificent Gaulish possessions only the strip of territory between the Mediterranean and the River Durance, which, still under its well-known name of Provence, perpetuates the remembrance of the Providentia of the Roman Republic.
Bitterly does Sidonius lament this desertion by Rome of her brave Arvernian subjects. In the letter which he addressed to Bishop Graecus, after the negotiation of the treaty, his usual tone of bland deference towards a brother-prelate is replaced by something like a snort of defiance and indignation.
'Alas!' he says, 'for this unhappy corner of the land, whose lot, if fame speak truly, is to be made yet worse by peace than ever it was by war. Our slavery is to be the price paid for other people's freedom. Yee, the slavery of us the Arverni who, if the story of the past is to be retold, once dared to claim Trojan blood in our veins, and to call ourselves brothers of Latium. If you look at more recent days, we are the men who by our own private efforts have held in check the public enemy, who did not use our walls as a defence against the Goth but made him tremble in his camp, who, when our neighbours moved their army into the field, could show as many generals as we had soldiers ${ }^{1}$. . . . Are these the wages that are due to those who have endured hunger, fire, and pestilence, to the swords that are fat with slaughter, to the warriors who are lean with fasting? It was in prospect of this glorious peace of yours, of course, that we lived upon the herbs that grew in the chinks of our walls, and that some died,

[^283]unable to distinguish the poisonous from the hardless. $\begin{gathered}\text { Boormin. } \\ \text { CH. } 7 .\end{gathered}$ For all these daring experiments of our devotion our C. -7.7. reward, as I hear, is that we are to be thrown overboard by the Empire. Oh! blush, I pray you, for this peace which is neither expedient nor honourable. Through you the embassies come and go. The beginnings and the endings of the negotiations, in the Emperor's absence, are in your hands. Pardon the roughness of these words of truth; the pang with which they are uttered should take away their sting.
'You, in the Provincial Council, are not really deliberating for the benefit of the Commonwealth. You are each of you thinking how you can mend your private fortunes, and it is by this policy that the first Province of Rome has become her last. . . . . The ancestors whom we used to talk of so proudly will soon, at this rate, have no descendants. Break off then, break off by whatever device you can think of, the treaty for this shameful peace. We, if needs be, shall be delighted still to suffer siege, still to do battle on the wall, still to famish in our homes. . . . . But if not, if while other regions are content with slavery, Auvergne may not have the martyrdom for which she sighs, then I can only say, keep our seed still alive on the earth, be ready with your ransoms for us as slaves, open your gates to us as pilgrime. If our cities must be open to the Goth, you must in charity open yours to the guest ${ }^{1}$. Condescend to remember me, my lord Pope!' If we compare this passionate outburst with the similar utterances of the inhabitants of Nisibis, a

[^284]bookmu. little more than a contury before, when they were
C. 7. abandoned by Rome to the King of Persia ${ }^{1}$, we shall be forced to conclude that notwithstanding the frightful misery brought upon the world by the rapacity and incompetence of Roman governors, the Eternal City laid a spell, not of power only, but of love, upon the vast and various populations under her sway, such as some other races, ruling far more righteously than she ever did, have been unable to exercise.

Obecurity of the hif tory of the fall of Nepot.

Fourteen months after Julius Nepos ascended the throne, he was pushed down from it by a Roman officer named Orestes. This revolution is one of the most obscure passages in all the obscure history of this time. Jordanes tells us ${ }^{8}$ that Ecdicius (whom he calls 'Decius') was obliged 'to leave his country, and especially the city of Arverna ${ }^{3}$, to the enemy and betake himself to safer quarters. Which, when the Emperor Nepos heard, he ordered Decius to leave the Gauls and come to him.' Possibly it may have been on the elevation of Ecdicius to the Patriciate that the next change occurred. 'In his room Orestes was ordained Master of the Soldiery, which Orestes, having taken the command of the army, and marching forth against the enemy, arrived at Ravenna from Rome, and there remaining made Augustulus his son Emperor. Which being ascertained Nepos fled into Dalmatia, and there, as a private man, lived devoid of royalty " [this is not quite accurate], where already Glycerius the former Emperor exercised the Bishopric of Salona. But Augustulus was ordained Emperor by his father Orestes at Ravenna.'

[^285]Other chroniclers ${ }^{1}$ supply us with the dates of two boorini. of these transactions. The flight of Nepos took place Ca. 7 . on the 28th of August 475, and the proclamation of ${ }^{2}$ reatee Augustulus as Emperor on the 3 rst of October in the hemed the same year. But what is the meaning of the trans- and proactions recorded, why we should hear of this mysterious son $\mathbf{n m}$ appearance and disappearance of Ecdicius in Italy, against what enemies Orestes was leading the army (not Euric, for peace had been only just concluded with him ; possibly the Burgundians or the Ostrogoths), and what was the pretext or the motive for the sudden rebellion against the authority of Nepos?-these are questions which can be but conjecturally answered, and unless further documentary evidence should be discovered, never settled.

A German historian ${ }^{2}$ suggests that the barbarian auxiliaries in the army saw in the order to march 'against the enemy' a covert design to remove them from Italy, and therefore revolted. This seems a not improbable conjecture, but we must remember that nothing is said here expressly about ' barbarian auxiliaries,' or about 'leading them beyond the frontiers of Italy.' As Orestes himself was not of barbarian origin, but would be called at that time a Roman, it is open to us to suggest that dislike of a second 'Graeculus Imperator,' and indignation at the surrender of Auvergne to the Visigoths, may have had some share in the result. But the history can here be only guessed at, not related.

Of Orestes, the chief actor in the new revolution, we Prerions have, thanks to those invaluable fragments of Priscus, Oreates.

[^286]воокin. a little more certain knowledge. In the great diploCe. 7. matic campaign of 448 , between Byzantium and Hunland, he figured in a somewhat inferior position among the envoys of Attila ${ }^{1}$. Himself of Roman origin, that is to say, being an Illyrian provincial, he had taken service under Attila, and considered himself the equal of his fellow-envoy, Edecon, and other nobles of his Court. But Vigilas, who knew the social code of the barbarians well, judged differently, and pronounced that Orestes as 'a secretary, a mere squire of Attila, was greatly inferior to Edecon, a mighty man of war and a Hun by extraction ${ }^{2}$.' However, in the twenty-seven years which had elapsed since he was sitting with the Byzantine ambassadors among the ruins of Sardica, Orestes (who was by marriage, if not by birth, connected with the official hierarchy of the Empire) had succeeded in somewhat improving his position, and he now, without any hint of what may have been his intervening fortune, emerges in the full splendour of Master of the Soldiery, and, after his successful insurrection, virtual lord of the Western Empire.

Why did he not assume the diadem himself?

There can have been no reason in the nature of things why Orestes should not have placed himself on the vacant throne. Unlike Stilicho and Ricimer he was a full-blooded Roman provincial, at least as eligible for the Imperial dignity as Trajan or Diocletian. It must therefore be taken as an indication how much the majesty of the title of Emperor had suffered by twenty years of revolution that he bestowed that title on his

[^287]son, reserving for himself the rank only of Patrician, воок in nominally inferior in dignity, but more associated in ca. 7. men's minds with the idea of power, perhaps also somewhat less likely to injure his popularity with the army. It is possible moreover that the remembrance of the almost menial office which he had held in the court of Attila, and the apparently higher position of his son's maternal ancestors, may have conduced to the same result.

The name, and the face, and the age of the last Romulus Emperor of the West are all that is memorable in Angutuhis history. Every one knows the strange turn of fate (as we call it) which gave to the last puny Emperor of Rome the same name that was borne by her first and mightiest king, the she-wolf's nursling. It is interesting to observe that the poor lad's fateful name came to him in the most natural manner possible from his maternal grandfather in his home beside the Danube. What may have been the precise origin of his epithet Augus-

## GENEALOGY OF AUGUSTULUS.

(Chiefly from Prisons.)
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bookili tulus cannot be stated; whether given by his loyal C'в. 7. soldiers as a term of endearment to the fair boy clothed in the purple, or by his barbarian conquerors as a term of contempt for the new kind of Imperator whom the Romans had raised over them. The latter suggestion however seems the most probable. Augustulus was a mere lad, probably about fourteen ${ }^{1}$ years of age, and possessed great personal beauty ${ }^{?}$. The duration of his nominal reign was about ten months. Of course his father was the real ruler of the Empire.

In this capacity Orestes concluded a treaty with Gaiseric ${ }^{3}$ the terms of which are not disclosed to us, but it seems probable that one of the chief conditions imposed on the Roman Emperor was the cession of Sicily. In the same year probably in which this event occurred (475), peace, a peace which lasted for two generations, was concluded between the Vandal kingdom and the Eastern Empire. The ambassador chosen by the worn and harassed Emperor, Zeno, who had only just donned his painful diadem, was a senator named Severus, a man whose justice and moderation had won him the respect of all his fellow-citizens, and whom, to give greater honour to his embassy, Zeno raised to the dignity of Patrician. A hostile raid which Gaiseric made on the Epirote city of Nicopolis seemed at first sight to promise ill for the success of the negotiations, but Gaiseric in reply to the complaints of Severus
${ }^{1}$ ucipákiov, the word used by Procopius, generally means a lad of about that age.

2 'Et quia pulcher erat' (Anouymus Valesii, 38).
' 'Annali deinceps circulo evoluto cum rege Wandaloram Geiserico fredus initum est ab Oreste patricio' (Paulus, xv. 7). The meaning of the first four words is apparently that the treaty was concluded within a year from the elevation of Augustulus.
explained that such an attack was only a way of boorm. emphatically stating that he was still at war with the Cu. 7. Empire. Now that an ambassador had actually reached his court he was quite willing to discuss with him the conditions of peace. And in fact the pure and simple character of Severus, his frugal manner of living, and his absolute inaccessibility to the lavishly offered bribes of the Vandal, so impressed Gaiseric that he not only concluded, as has been said, a firm and durable peace with Constantinople but consented to liberate all the Roman captives who were in bondage to him or his sons, having heard from the lips of Severus that such a concession would be more gratifying to him than any present of money or jewels. The captives who had been allotted to the warriors of the Vandal host Gaiseric declared that he could not liberate without the consent of their new lords, but he would throw no obstacle in the way of their redemption. The generous-hearted Severus not only restored to freedom without price the captives whom Gaiseric presented to him, but sold by public auction the costly vessels and magnificent robes by which he had set forth the majesty of Byzantium, and with the proceeds purchased the liberty of as many as he could of the slaves of the soldiers. Even the bitter Arianism of the old king was softened by the conversation of the friendly ambassador and a breathingspace, though as it proved only a short breathing-space, between the persecutions of Gaiseric and of his son, was secured by the good offices of Severus ${ }^{1}$.

The treaty with Gaiseric is almost the only public act that we hear of in the short reign of Augustulus.

[^288]K k 2

воокin. Before witnessing the downfall of the boy-Emperor, the

Се. 7.
Nepor in exile. last act in this long series of successful rebellions, let us follow the dethroned Nepos across the Adriatic to his Dalmatian capital Salona. No doubt he there possessed, de facto, the same petty sovereignty which his uncle Marcellinus had held before him. . It seems probable also that he still claimed to be de jure Emperor of the Western world, still wore the diadem, the purple The two ex- mantle, the jewelled sandals. Strange turn of fortune,

Emperors at Salona. which thus brought two dethroned Emperors of Rume (Nepos and Glycerius) to end their lives in the same Dalmatian city, one as its civil, the other as its religious ruler! In the modern town of Spalato, the temple which Diocletian erected to Jupiter has been converted, with as little change as the Pantheon at Rome, from a heathen fane into a Christian cathedral. If we may assume that this change took place before the end of the fifth century, we have here a subject which might be worthy of an artist's embodiment-the classic edifice reared by the great persecutor, crowded with priests and worshippers on the day of some high 'function'; two successors of Diocletian within its walls; two heads which had worn the wreath of the Imperator bowing in prayer to the Nazarene; two men who had once been engaged in what was like to have been the death-grapple for a throne, imparting and receiving 'the kiss of peace' at the celebration of the Supper of the Lord.

Amamsination of Nepos. Was it with the connivance of Gl ) cerius !

Notwithstanding a report of a different kind which once obtained general credence, it is probable that the two rivals ended their days in mutual charity. Nepos outlived the Western Empire four years, and perished by the hands of assassins on the $15^{\text {th }}$ of May, 480.

Two of his Counts, Viator and Ovida, killed him 'at bookim. his villa' (probably a part of Diocletian's palace) 'not Сн. 7. far from Salona '.' As we find Odiva (or Ovida) next year in Dalmatia, waging war with, and conquered by the ruler of Italy, it is reasonable to suppose that he murdered Nepos in order to succeed to his power. There is, however, an obscure sentence in the notebook of Photius the Patriarch, which seems to throw the burden of the crime upon Glycerius. He describes his reading of the 'Byzantine History' of the Sophist Malchus, who lived at the time of the fall of the Western Empire. 'Malchus finishes the last book,' says Photius, ' with the death of Nepos, who, driving Glycerius from the kingdom, assumed to himself the Roman power, and having cut his hair like a cleric's, made him high-priest instead of Emperor, by whom also, being conspired against, he was slain?'. The accusation seems distinct enough : but (1) Malchus may have erred. (2) The erudite Patriarch who records in this note-book (the Bibliotheca) his remembrances of 280 books-all read during his embassy to Assyria may have misunderstood or forgotten his author's meaning. (3) The amanuensis, in his intensely concise telegrammatic style, may have given a wrong idea of what his master dictated to him. Any one of these suppasitions seems more likely than that the other chroniclers should have omitted to notice so flagrant an instance of ingratitude as the murder of Nepos by the

[^289]BOOKIII. rival whose life he had spared; that a Bishop, in that
Сн. 7. age of the Church, should have perpetrated so great a crime without calling forth a shout of execration from every chronicler of the period; and that Theophanes (a late writer, but not quite so late as Photius) having the proof of this terrible accusation before him, should still call Glycerius 'a not disreputable person' (aik


## NOTE G.

## Vandal Dominion over the Islands of the Mediterbanean.

Ir is clear that the Vandal domination (which, like that of the note g. Athenians in the fifth century before Christ, was essentially a maritime domination) extended over several islands of the western Mediterranean, but it is not easy, from the scattered notices of the chroniclers, to draw up a precise account of the different stages of its growth.

Our chief information on this subject is derived from Victor Vitensis, who says that 'after the death of Valentinian III Genseric obtained the circuit of the whole of Africa, and moreover the largest islands-Sardinia, Sicily, Corsica, Ivica, Majorca, Minorca, and many others-and defended them with his wonted arrogance: one of which, that is Sicily, he afterwards granted to Odoacer, King of Italy, by tributary right, out of which Odoacer at certain times paid him tribute as to his lord, [Genseric] however reserving some part to himself ${ }^{1}$.'

It seems clear, however, that, at any rate as regards Sicily, there was no complete conquest of it by Gaiseric so early as 455 (the date of the death of Valentinian III). In 456, and again in 465, we find him ravaging Sicily, as if it were a hostile country ${ }^{2}$. At the time of the great combined expedition of 468 against Gaiseric, Sicily seems to have been made a base of operations by

[^290]NOTE G. the Imperial flotilla ${ }^{1}$ : and it was in Sicily, after the failure of the expedition, that Marcellinus was murdered by one of his colleagues ${ }^{2}$. All these facts seem to show that at any rate the Vandal domination was not yet securely established over the whole of Sicily, though it is probable enough that Lilybaeam, and perhaps Palermo, may have been conquered and held firmly during these years of strife by Gaiesric ${ }^{3}$. Apparently this is our last information as to Sicilian affairs until (as above stated) we find Gaiseric in 476 dealing with the whole island as his undoubted poseession, and assigning it 'tributario jore' to Odovacar.

It is this fact which leads me to conjecture that in the treaty of 475 between Orestes and Gaiseric the island of Sicily may have been formally ceded to the Vandal king. The 'aliqua pars,' which Gaiseric reserved to himself at the time of his cession to Odovacar, was most probably the weatern corner of the island, including the fortress of Lilybeeum, though this does not seem to be anywhere distinctly stated.

Briefly to describe the later fortanes of Sicily, it evidently all formed part of the kingdom of Theodoric, till on the marriage of his sister Amalafrida with Thrasamund, king of the Vandals (circa 500), Theodoric ceded Lilybaenm and the adjacent territory to Thrasamund as part of the marriage-dowry ${ }^{4}$. To this period, doubtless, belongs the inscription recorded by Muratori (Thesaur. Inscriptt. p. 494. 4) ${ }^{5}$ on a stone near Marsala, 'Fines inter Vandalos et Gothos Mil. IIII.' On the fall of the Vandal kingdom Justinian claimed Lilybaeum (which had meanwhile been taken possession of by the Goths) as part of his prize of war, and the Goths' refusal to surrender it was one of the pretexts of the war, which for a time re-anited not only Sicily but Italy also to the Empire.

As for the other islands mentioned by Victor-Sardinia, Cor-

[^291]sica, and the Balearic isles-they appear to have been earlier and NOTE G. more firmly attached to the Vandal kingdom than Sicily. Sardinia was indeed recovered for the Empire by Marcellinus in $468^{1}$, but it probably fell back under Vandal dominion soon after the failure of the expedition of that year, since, at the conference between Catholics and Arians at Carthage in 484, the names of several bishops from Sardinia and the Balearic isles are mentioned, and these were undoubtedly subjects of the Vandal king. After that conference forty-six Catholic bishops were sent to Corsica to hew wood for the royal navy, a proof (if proof were needed) that this island also owned the sway of the son of Gaiseric ${ }^{2}$.

All these islands were easily won back to their allegiance to the Emperor after the fall of the Vandal monarchy in $533^{3}$, though at a later period Sardinia and Corsica were for a few years sabject to the Ostrogothic king Totila (circa 545-552) ${ }^{4}$.

The foregoing faint outline of the history of the Mediterranean islands seems to be all that it is possible to extract from the secular historians. Probably a careful stady of ecclesiastical documents would enable us to supply much that is here missing.

[^292]
## CHAPTER VIII.

## ODOVACAR, TEE SOLDIER OF FORTUNE.

## Authorities.

## Sources:-

book ili. The two mysterions chmoiclers, Anonymus Cuspiniani and Ch. 8. Anonymus Valusil, are our best authorities for this most meagrely furnished epoch. Cuspinian's MS. gives us all our dates, and that of Valois nearly all our personal details as to the dethroner of the last Roman Emperor.

It will be seen however that Ennodius' Life of Epiphanius is again a valuable source of information. So is the somewhat similar Life of Saint Severinus by Eceippius ${ }^{1}$ (published in the first volume of the 'Auctores Antiquissimi' in the Monumente Germaniae Historica, Berlin, 1877). Joannes Antochends and Jordanes also contribate some facts. The details as to revolutions and embassies at Constantinople rest chiefly on the authority of Malchus and Candidus, two Byzantine historians of the end of the fifth and beginning of the sixth century. They were read and abstracted by the all-devouring Photins Fragments of their works are published in the Bonn edition of the Byzantine historians.

Guides:-
Mascon's History of the Germanic Nations is an exceedingly valuable gaide over this portion of the ground. He was perhaps the first scholar who thoroughly apprebended the fact that the Empire fell, not before an invasion but before a matiny of its own troops. Gibbon, with his wonderful historic instinct, followed Mascou's guidance.

[^293]It will at once be seen that throughout the whole of this BOOEIII. chapter large nse has been made of the labours of the industrions C. 8. Pallmann (Geschichte der Völkerwanderung, vol. ii).

- Weme Epiphanius, with this severe self-discipline, ${ }_{\text {Ennodias }}{ }^{486}$ was approving himself a workman of Jesus Christ that encounin of needed not to be ashamed, the old Enemy of our race, lition of of that restless Schemer of Evil, was busy adding affliction to affliction, and devising new sufferings wherewith to torment the soul of the saint. With this view he stirred up the army against the Patrician Orestes, and sowed the seeds of discord and suspicion between him and them. He excited the minds of abandoned men with the wild hope of revolution; he breathed the desire for sovereign power into the soul of Odovacer. And then, in order that the calamity might fall upon the city of Ticinum [Pavia], he allured Orestes thither to take shelter under its strong fortifications.'

So writes the episcopal biographer of the Bishop of Pavia. We may not share his intimate acquaintance with the counsels of the Prince of Darkness, but we are bound to express our gratitude for the information which he, all but a contemporary, has given us in this paragraph concerning the immediate cause of the final catastrophe of the Western Empire. Fortified by this authority, we can unhesitatingly assert that Rome fell at last, not by an invasion of the Herulians or any other Transalpine nation, but by a mutiny of the troops who were serving under her own eagles, and were paid out of her own military chest. We are thus carried back to the remembrance of the time, a century before that which we have now reached, when the Goths on a large scale entered the Roman armies as foederati ${ }^{1}$, and at

[^294]воокiII the risk of a little repetition we may again consider CE. 8. the same subject.

Rome's use of nonRoman roldiers.

Few things in the upward career of Rome are more wonderful than the akill with which she made her lastvanquished enemies the instruments of achieving yet another conquest. By the help of the Latins she subdues the Samnites; with Italian soldiers she conquers Spain; the dwellers around the Mediterranean shore carry her standards through Gaul; the Romanised Gaul beats off the German. In our own country, on the desolate moorlands between the Solway and the Tyne, were encamped Batavians from Holland, Asturians from Spain, Tungrians from the Rhine, and many another representative of far-distant lands, from which, even in these days of quickened intercourse between nations, not one in a century now sets foot beside 'the Barrier of the Lower Isthmus.' From the point of view of the subjugated and tamed provincial, this constant interchange of military service throughout that enormous Empire had much to recommend it, as bringing many widely-scattered nationalities face to face with one another, as breaking down the barriers of race and creed, and as enabling one thought to vibrate unchecked from the Euphrates to the Atlantic. But viewed from the stand-point of a nationality not yet subdued, and still fighting hard for liberty, the use which Rome made of the arms of her conquered foes may well have seemed the device of some malign deity, bent on darkening the whole heaven and on deatroying the happiness of the human race. Especially must this thought have forced itself on the mind of the barbarian patriot when he heard that the people of Rome itself, the men who preëminently styled themselves Quirites,
and who shouted for wars and triumphs, no longer boorini. served in the legions themselves, but passed their ce. 8. useless lives between the Bath and the Amphitheatre, leaving all the toil of the ceaseless campaigns with which Rome vexed the universe, to men who knew the seven hills of Rome but as some cloud-built city in a dream.

Amply would such a barbarian patriot—an Arminius, Part which a Caractacus, or a Decebalus-have been avenged, could in haten. he have foreseen the part which these same auxiliaries ing the of were to play in completing the ruin of Rome. We have seen the young Alaric learning his first lessons in the invasion of Italy as an Irregular in the army of Theodosius. We have seen the Hunnish forerunners of the host of Attila introduced as auxiliaries into the heart of Gaul by Aetius-othe same Aetius who was afterwards to behold them in their myriads arrayed against him on the Catalaunian plains. We are now to see the death-blow dealt at the doting Empire by men of Teutonic speech and origin, who had taken the sacramentum, the military oath of allegiance, and had been enlisted as defenders of Rome.

The meugre anaals of the fifth century do not enable proporus to state what were the relative proportions of native barbarimans Italians and of barbarians in the armies of Valen- in thomermounyly tinian III and his successors. We may conjecture increased. however that the former bad become a very slight ingredient in the mass, and that the Germans no longer served merely as 'auxiliaries' in the wings of the army, but were now the backbone of the Legion itself. We have a few slight indications of the progress of this change. The reader may remember that one of the vexations which made the short-lived Emperor Maximus
bookill sigh for the fate of the happier Damocles was 'the turbulence of the foederati ${ }^{1}$ '. When war broke out between Anthemius and Ricimer, the men in authority and the mob of Rome clave to the former, but the multitude of naturalised barbarians ${ }^{29}$ (evidently soldiers) to the latter. And now, in the passage quoted at the beginning of this chapter, we find 'the army' spoken of as rising collectively against Orestes, though, as we shall soon see, the ground of quarrel was that they as Barbarians made a demand which he as a Roman could not grant. As before said, therefore, it may be conjectured, if it cannot be absolutely proved, that in the year 476 a very small number of true Roman citizens was serving in the dwindled armies of the Western Empire.

Kecruiting
groand beyond the Danube.

The chief recruiting ground for auxiliaries during the quarter of a century after the death of Attila, seems to have been the lands on the further side of the middle Danube, including parts of Bohemia, Moravia, the archduchy of Austria, and the kingdom of Hungary. Here dwelt (in positions which are approximately indicated on the accompanying map) four nations with the uncouth and harsh-sounding names of the Rugii, the Scyri, the Turcilingi, and the Heruli ${ }^{3}$. The ante-

[^295]cedent history of these tribes, even during the second bоокim. and third centuries of the Christian era, is not clearly ascertained. According to some ethnologists the island of Rugen in the Baltic still preserves the name of the first. A more certain memorial of the second tribe is furnished by an inscription found at Olbia (in the South of Russia, near Odessa), which shews that as early as the second century before the Christian era, the inroads of the Scyri were formidable to the Hellenic settlers round the shores of the Black Sea. Though a comparatively unimportant tribe, they are thus brought into contact with the world of classical antiquity considerably earlier than the Goths themselves. Of the Turcilingi we really know nothing. The Heruli were the most widely extended of the four nations. In the latter part of the third century, we are told, they sailed with 500 ships forth from the Sea of Azof to the shore of Pontus, and thence through Bosphorus and the Dardanelles to the coasts of Attica, when Athens itself suffered conflagration at their hands ${ }^{1}$. At the time of the Fall of the Western Empire they appear to have been settled on the southern slopes of the Carpathian Mountains, the most easterly in position, and the most powerful of the four tribes.
treatise, failing, as I think it fails, to give any clear and thoroughly satisfactory explanation of the history of these tribes in the fourth and fifth centuries, is an argument the more for that scientific coordination of the labours of antiquarian enquirers for which he so justly pleads. I would add another preliminary work as an indication to antiquaries what they have to look for; an edition of Tacitus' 'Germania,' and a commentary on the 'De Rebus Geticis' of Jordanes, at once more accurate and more exhaustive than any that we have yet had.
${ }^{1}$ Georgius Syncellus (fl. about 800) i. 717 (Bonn edition), quoted by Pallmann.
bookiil. Whatever may have been the previous fortunes of
Сн. 8.

Fortunes of the Ragii, Scyri, Tarcilingi, and Herali, after the death of Attila. these races, they were probably for a time subject to the loosely-jointed dominion of the Huns; and in fact, we met with the names of some of them among the invaders of Gaul under the banner of Attila. After his death they may very likely have taken part in the great War of Independence which culminated in the battle of Nedao ; at any rate, they shared in its reward, the breaking of the Hunnish yoke from off their necks. The Gepidae, whose king Ardaric had been the leader in the work of liberation, occupied the wide expanse of Dacia; the Ostrogoths took Pannonia; to the north and north-west of these two great nations stretched the domains which, as has been already said, were occupied by the four tribes with whose fortunes we are now concerned. On their southern frontier their strong Teutonic neighbours interposed an invincible obstacle to the wandering and predatory impulses which were partly instinctive, partly the result of contact with and subjection to the Huns. But on the south-western horizon no such barrier presented itself. There, at a distance of perhaps a week's march, lay Venetian Italy; the fortress of Aquileia which had once been its defence, was still the ruined heap to which Attila had reduced it; and thither stretched the still undestroyed Roman roads over the passes of the Wipbach-thal, the Predil, Pontebba, and the Sexten Thal. To reach this Land of Promise the Rugian or Herulian mercenary had but to cross the Province of Noricum (Styria, Salzburg, Carinthia) ; and that unhappy Province, not wholly cast off by the Empire nor regularly appropriated by the barbariaus, was in the same relation to them which unpartitioned Poland

occupied towards Russia in the days of the Empress boorin. Catharine, 'My door-mat upon which I tread whenever cı. 8 . I wish to visit Europe.'

We may therefore imagine, during all the sixteen These years of Ricimer's ascendancy, bands of the strongest fooked and most restless-spirited of the warriors of the four $n$ int indenty tribes, streaming south-westwards through Noricum, under the shadow of the high rock of Juvavum ${ }^{2}$ or over the fair plain of Virunum ${ }^{2}$, and so on out of the last defiles of the Julian Alps into the broad valley of the Po, their final goal being Ravenna, Rome, or Milan; any place where the great Patrician had set up his standard, and where the Tribane or the Centurionbimself perhaps a barbarian kinsman-would be in readiness to receive the young Teuton's 'Sacramentum.' It seems pretty clear that whatever differences of costume or of arms may have separated these four tribes from one another, they all bore a general resemblance to the great Gothic nation, and spoke the Gothic language, for which reason some of the Byzantine historians call their leader a Goth, and confuse the heterogeneous kingdom which they established, with the purely and truly Gothic monarchy which succeeded it.

It was not then an invasion in the strict sense of the but not word, this slow infiltration of the Heruli and their invaders. neighbours into the Italian peninsula. They came ostensibly to succour and to serve Rome. But so did the Swedes and the French come to help Germany in the two last decades of the Thirty Years' War ; and we may well imagine that, unwelcome as the troopers of Tarenne and Wrangel were in Germany in the year

[^296]воокin. 1648, even more unwelcome to the Italian citizen C.s. 8. (when he could speak his mind freely without fear of being overheard by the myrmidons of Ricimer) was the continuous advent of these many-nationed deliverers from beyond the Danube. It was not an invasion in form, but in substance perhaps it was not greatly different.
The mit- We return for an instant to the half-ruined Province
eries of Norioum assuaged by saint Severinut. of Noricum, through which these swarms of Rugian and other adventurers were yearly pouring. The longcontinued suffering of the inhabitants during thirty years of anarchy (from about 453 to 482) was somewhat soothed by the beneficent activity of Saint Severinus, a holy man who suddenly appeared amongst them, none knew from whence, and who, by his gentle wisdom and by the ascendancy which the simple earnestness of his nature obtained for him over the minds of the barbarians, was often able to interpose for the help of the plundered provincials. In his little cell on the banks of the Danube, round which, in the course of time, other hermits, his disciples and imitators, built their lowly dwellings, he practised all the regular austerities of a monk of the fifth century, fasting till he had reached the utmost limits of emaciation, and walking barefoot when even the Danube was a mass of ice. Here, in his lonely meditations, the Saint was believed to be sometimes filled with
> 'The spirit of the fervent days of old When words were things that came to pass, and thought Flashed o'er the future, bidding men behold Their children's children's doom already brought Forth from the abyes of things that were to be ${ }^{1}$.'

[^297]and amid the visible wreck and ruin of the kingdoms boornin. of the world, Severinus, it was thought, could foretell Cह. 8. something of the form and fashion of those which were to succeed them.

A band of young soldiers of fortune from across the Ho pros Danube, on their way to Italy, came one day to the cell doath the of this holy man to receive his blessing. They were of oar. Christians, though of the Arian type, and the candidates for enlistment in the Imperial army evidently did not fear the Saint's condemnation of their enterprise. Among them was a young man, with thick yellow moustache ${ }^{1}$, in sordid garb, but of commanding height, and, it may be, with something in his mien which marked him out as a born leader of men. As soon as this young man stepped inside the cell, (the lowly roof of which obliged him to bow his head in the presence of the Saint,) Severinus, it is said, perceived by an inward intimation that the youth was destined to achieve high renown. The blessing was given and the young Teuton said 'Farewell.' 'Fare forward ${ }^{2}$,' answered the Saint, 'fare forward into Italy; thou who art now covered with a mean raiment of skins, but who shalt soon bestow on many men the costliest gifts.'

The name of the tall recruit who received and ful- odoracarrs filled this benediction was Odovacar, commonly called ${ }^{\text {name. }}$ Odoacer, the son of Edecon. The name has a Teutonic ring about it, and is thought by the great German philologist Grimm to signify 'rich in watchfulness,' or

The shape of the moustache, infrequent on purely Roman faces, is pourtrayed on Odovacar's coins. The colour is of course the conventional 'flavus' of the Goths.
: 'Vade' for 'Vale.'

воокіI. 'a good watcher ${ }^{1}$.' He suggests that it may have CB. 8. been a favourite name for a watch-dog, and thence transferred to a man-child in whom vigilance in war was looked for by his barbarian parents. It seems better to retain, as the German historians generally do, the Odovacar of the contemporary authorities in all its primeval ruggedness, instead of softening it down with later historians (chiefly the Byzantine annalists) into the smooth and slippery Odoacer.
Odovnaar:s
origin. The origin and ancestry of the young soldier, who origin. stalked into the cave of Severinus, are among the unsolved riddles of history. He is called by the Annalists and by Jordanes a Goth, a Rugian, and a Scyrian ${ }^{2}$, and his name is also sometimes coupled both with the Turcilingi ${ }^{3}$ and the Heruli, as if he were their especial leader ". The conclusion which it seems best to draw from all these conflicting testimonies is that he was a Teuton (and that fact alone, according to Byzantine usage, would entitle him to be called a Goth) ; that he was not of royal descent (and here the story of the mean appearance which be presented in the cave of Severinus comes in as an additional confirmation), andthat, for this reason, after he had by an unexpected stroke of fortune attained to one of the foremost positions in the world, each of the four tribes which formed his motley host claimed him as of its own especial kindred.

[^298]This view does not absolutely preclude the commonly bookiin. received opinion that Odovacar was the son of the same Сп. $\frac{\text { C. }}{\text { Wass }}$ Edecon who was associated with Orestes in the embassy Edeoon the to Constantinople, and who listened, or seemed to listen, fodher of with too favourable an ear to the scheme for the assas- m sination of Attila. It is true that in the wrangle about the courprecedence between the two ambassadors, the interpre- Attila ? ter Vigilas said that the secretary Orestes was 'not to be compared in social position with Edecon, a mighty man of war and $a$ Hun by birth.' But these last words need not, perhaps, be interpreted with ethnological precision. Priscus himself speaks of the discontented Roman who had turned Hun, and in the same way probably any of the Teutonic warriors-Gepidae, Ostrogoths, Rugians, Herulians-whose fathers or grandfathers had accepted the rule of that 'Anarch old,' the Hunnish King and Generalissimo, would, by comparison with a Roman provincial, be spoken of as 'a Hun by birth.' And if this be the true account of Odovacar's parentage, the breaking-up of the Hunnish power after Attila's death might easily cause such a change in the position of the courtier, Edecon, as to account for the humble garb in which his son presented himself before the Saint of Noricum. It must be confessed that there is a touch of dramatic completeness in the working out of the squabble for precedence between Edecon and Orestes in the persons of their sons, the first barbarian King and the last Roman Emperor in Italy, which, until the theory can be actually proved to be untrue, will always commend it to the artistic instincts of the Historian ${ }^{1}$.

[^299]boomin. Odovacar was born in the year $433^{1}$, but we are not Cr. 8. able to fix the precise date of his first appearance in Italy and entrance into the Imperial service. It was probably, however, between 460 and 470 , since by the year 472 he had risen so high that his adhesion to the party of Ricimer against Anthemius is considered worthy of special mention by the historian Joannes Antiochenus ${ }^{2}$. For four years from that time we hear

Edecon, father of Odovacar, and says or implies that they are the same person. Priscus gives us the first, the 'Anonymus Valesii' the second, and they may be speaking of two different persons.

There is yet a third, Edica, king of the Scyri, mentioned by Jordanes, whose history Gibbon (following Puat, Histoire Ancienne des Peuples) has combined with that of Attila's ambassador. and Odovacar's father.

[^300]Odovacar risee in the Imporial service.
no more of him, but his name evidently became a bookifl. word of power with his countrymen in the Imperial ces 8. army.

Soon-we know not precisely how soon-after Orestes The had placed the handsome boy, his son Romulus, upon doemand the throne of the exiled Nepos, his own troubles began the thand of with the fomented. The foederati presented themselves before the Patrician at Ravenna, with a startling demand. ' Assign to us,' said they, ' one third of the land of Italy for our inheritance.' The proportion claimed was, no doubt, suggested by the Imperial system of billeting, according to which the citizen upon whom a soldier was quartered was bound to divide his house into three compartments, of which he kept one himself, his unbidden guest was then entitled to select another, and the third portion as well as the first remained in the occupation of the owner. It may be said also that the four tribes were more reasonable in their demands than some of their Teutonic kinsfolk, since the Visigoths had claimed two-thirds of the lands of Gaul ; the Vandals had not limited themselves even to that portion, and even the Burgundians, although the mildest and most civilised of the invaders of the Empire, had taken half of the moorland, orchards, and forests, and two-thirds of the arable land ${ }^{1}$.

[^301]воокili. But whatever arguments may be urged to give a cer-
Cr. 8.

The
Foederatis rebel and proclaim Odovacar their king. tain plausibility to the demand of the foederati, it was none the less a demand which no Roman statesman with a shadow of self-respect could possibly grant. Analogies drawn from the conduct of the Visigoths in Gaul and the Vandals in Africa, only proved what every Emperor since Honorius had tried to turn away his eyes from seeing, that the so-called Roman army was in fact a collection of aliens and enemies to Rome, trained, it might be, with some of the old legionary discipline, and armed from the Italian arsenals, but only so wuch the more dangerous to the country which it professed to defend.

Orestes, who ended his career with more dignity than he had displayed in any previous portion of it, utterly refused to despoil the subjects of his son in order to enrich the mercenaries. Possibly he placed some dependence on old habits of military obedience in the army and on the mutual jealousies of the foremost officers, the result of which might be that the mutineers would remain without a head. But in this calculation he was mistaken. Odovacar came forward and offered, if he were made leader, to obtain for the soldiers the land for which they hungered. The bargain was at once struck. On the 23 rd of August, 476, Odovacar was raised upon the shield, as Alaric had been raised eighty-one years before, and from that day the allegiance to Augustulus of the barbarians, the backbone of the Roman army, was at an end.

Ticinum beaieged and taten.

Events marched rapidly. In twelve days the whole campaign-if campaign it could be called-was over.
they ordered Orestea to give them one third, and when he asserted that he would do nothing of the kind, they straightway slew him.'

Orestes took refuge within the strongly-fortified city of boonili. Pavia (or, as it was then called, Ticinum), the city of which the saintly Epiphanius was Bishop. The defence must have been an extremely short one, but the biographer of Epiphanius (our sole authority here) gives us no details concerning it. Everything, however, seems to indicate that the army, when the barbarian adherents of Odovacar were subtracted from it, was a miserably feeble remnant, utterly unable to cope with the revolters. The barbarians burst into the city, plundering, ravishing, burning. Both churches and many houses of Pavia were consumed in the conflagration. The sister of Epiphanius, a nun, whose reputation for holiness was almost equal to his own, was dragged off by the soldiers into captivity. The chiefs of many noble families shared the same fate. At first there seems to have been some disposition to treat Epiphanius himself with harshness, on account of the insufficiency of the sum which he offered for his ransom. The soldiery could not understand that a Bishop of Ticinum could be so poor as his continual almsgiving had made him. ' Oh, wickedness! that crude barbarity sought the treasures upon earth which he had sent forward to the recesses of heaven.' Soon, however, the transparent holiness of his character exerted its wonted influence even upon these infuriated plunderers. 'He rescued his venerable sister before the fatal light of that day glided into evening;' and he also procured by his earnest intercessions the liberation of many of the citizens, exerting himself especially to lessen the horrors of that terrible time for the women who were about to become mothers.

An interval of just two generations had elapsed since

воокim. Pavia saw a somewhat similar scene of mutinous riot,
Сп. 8.

Contrast to the mutiny of Roman soldiers at Ticinam in 408.

Sack of Ticinum. robbery, and murder. That was in the year 408, when the intrigues of the party of Olympius against Stilicho burst forth into a flame. Then the cry was 'Down with the barbarians! Down with the Vandal, Stilicho! Slay the foederati!' And so the best bulwark of the Empire was sacrificed to the unworthy jealousy of the Roman party who were utterly unable to replace him by any tolerable substitute. In a certain sense it might be said that the evil deed of 408 brought about the punishment of 476, and that Odovacar avenged the blood of Stilicho.

For part of two days, apparently, the work of devastation went on in Pavia, and all the time the perpetual enquiry of the enraged soldiery was, 'Where is Orestes ?' At length news was brought that the Patrician, who had escaped from the city, had been discovered at Placentia, and with that the tumult subsided, and something like peace was restored to the plundered city.

Death of Orestes and his brother Panlum.

It was upon the 28th August, 476, only five days after the elevation of Odovacar, that Orestes was taken at Placentia, and being taken was at once beheaded with a sword. His brother Paulus for a few days longer defended the lost cause at Ravenna, but apparently had too few men under his command to hold even that almost impregnable fortress. On the 4th of September, Paulus, who was perhaps trying to make his escape by sea, was slain by order of Odovacar, 'at the Pineta outside Classis by Ravenna '! Within the walls of that city Odovacar found his helpless boy-rival

[^302]Augustulus. Pitying his tender years, and touched boorm. with admiration of the beautiful face of the purple-clad Can $\frac{\text { C. }}{476 .}$ suppliant, the successful Teuton, who was now strong ${ }_{\text {Anguta }}$. enough to be merciful, spared the 'little Augustus,', 1us spared and assigned to him a palace and a revenue for the Campania. remainder of his life. The splendid villa which, at a lavish cost, Lucius Lucullus, the conqueror of Mithridates, had erected for himself near the city of Naples, was allotted as the residence of Romulus, with the members of his family whom the war had spared ; and an annual pension of 6,000 solidi (equal to $£_{3,600}$ sterling, and perhaps corresponding to about twice that amount in our own day) was granted for his maintenance. How long this pension was drawn, how many oar ignoyears the son of Orestes lived among the woods and the close of fish-ponds of the 'Lucullanum,' whether he saw the downfall of his conqueror, or even, as he may very possibly have done, survived that conqueror's conqueror, Theodoric ${ }^{1}$, on all these points History is silent ${ }^{2}$, and her silence is an eloquent testimony to the utter insignificance of the deposed Emperor.

The details, few and imperfect as they are, which we possess respecting the seventeen years' reign of Odovacar in Italy will be best given in connection with the history of that Ostrngothic invasion which brought it to a premature and bloody close. But a few words remain to be said as to transactions which happened at Carthage and Constantinople at the time or soon after the time when these events were occurring in Italy.

Early in the year 477 , only half a year after the 477.

[^303]воокII. dethronement of Augustulus, died the king of the

CB. 8.
Desth of Gaiserio. Vandals, Gaiseric. For more than fifty years had he been warring against Rome, and as if the energy of his hate had sustained him under the infirmities of age, now that the Western Empire was dead he died also. It was soon seen how largely the might of the Vandal name had been due to his destructive genius and tenacity of purpose. The strength of the kingdom rapidly declined under his son and grandson, and little more than half a century after his death it fell an easy prey to the arms of the Emperor Justinian. Gaiseric had destroyed the fortifications of all the cities in his dominions, in order to prevent their giving harbourage to rebellious Africans or invading Byzantines; 'a measure,' says Procopius, 'which was greatly praised at the time, and which seemed in the safest way possible to have promoted the tranquillity of the Vandals, Afterwards, however, when the absence of walled towns so greatly facilitated the invasion of Belisarius, Gaiseric was the subject of much ridicule, and his vaunted prudence was accounted foolishness. For men are perpetually changing their minds as to the wisdom of any given course, according to the light which Fortune throws upon it.' These words of Procopius would have been fittingly spoken of some of the fluctuations of European opinion in our own century, veering wildly round from the extravagance of glorification to the extravagance of contempt.
Rymantine The years which witnessed the elevation and the fall affairs. of Augustulus in the West saw also the climax of the long struggle between Zeno and Basiliscus in the East. Aided by the stratagems of the ever-intriguing Empress Verina, his sister, Basiliscus succeeded (475) in de-
throning his rival who fled to his native Isauria, among bookin. the mountains of Asia Minor. Two years after, by the treachery of the general Harmatius, who was sent to $\underset{\text { Himal }}{\text { Frimph of }}$ destroy him, Zeno succeeded in turning the tables on $\frac{\text { Zono orer }}{\text { Beilious. }}$ his antagonist, and found himself again reigning, as undisputed Augustus, in the palace by the Bosphorus. The promise which he had given to save the life of the deposed Basiliscus was fulfilled by sending him, his wife, and children, in the depth of winter, to banishment in Cappadocia, where, deprived of every comfort and almost of necessary sustenance, they soon perished miserably of cold and hunger.

Soon after the return of Zeno to his palace two $477-8$. embassies waited upon him to express their congratula- Embanies , tions on his restoration to the throne. First of all from the appeared the deputies of the Roman Senate, sent by ${ }^{\text {Sonato }}$ the command of Augustulus, which evidently was in truth the command of Odovacar, to say 'that they did not need a separate royalty, but that Zeno himself as sole Emperor would suffice for both ends of the earth. That Odovacar, however, a prudent statesman and brave warrior, had been chosen by them to defend their interests, and they therefore requested Zeno to bestow on him the dignity of Patrician, and entrust to his care the diocese of Italy.' In confirmation of their message and as a visible proof that the sovereignty was to be henceforth lodged at Constantinople, these Western deputies brought with them the Ensigns of Imperial dignity ${ }^{1}$.

A few days after arrived from Salona the ambassadors and from of the titular Emperor Nepos (these events happened

[^304]воокін. two years before his assassination), and they, while also
CEE 8. congratulating Zeno on his restoration, besought him to sympathise with their master, like him expelled from his lawful sovereignty, and to grant him supplies of men and money to enable him to reconquer the Empire of the West.

It would seem that each embassy touched a responsive chord in the soul of the Eastern Potentate. The thought that the world needed no other Emperor but him gratified his vanity, but the fugitive's appeal to his brother fugitive excited his sympathy. He therefore, in true diplomatic style, gave an answer which was no answer, lecturing the weak, flattering the strong, and leaving the whole question in the same uncertainty in which he found it.

Ambiguous reply of Zeno.

To the messengers from the Senate he replied, 'You have received two Emperors from the East, Anthemius and Nepos, one of whom you have killed and the other you have driven into banishment. What your duty prescribes you know very well. While Nepos lives there cannot be two opinions about the matter; you ought to welcome his return.'

The precise nature of the reply to Nepos is not stated, but a message was sent to Odovacar, praising him for his judicious subservience to the wish of the Roman Emperor, exhorting him to seek the muchdesired title of Patrician from Nepos, and to work for the return of that sovereign, but expressing, at the same time, the willingness of Zeno to grant him the title if Nepos sbould persist in withholding it. And, after giving äll this admirable advice, he sent by the ambassadors a private letter with the superscription, 'To the Patrician Odovacar.' An extraordinary mysti-
fication truly, and a piece either of great vacillation or bookm. of great duplicity, but which is perhaps susceptible of cи. 8. explanation when we remember that Ariadne the wife, $\begin{gathered}\text { Odocongaited }\end{gathered}$ and Verina the mother-in-law of Zeno, were related to af Patrithe wife of Nepos and zealous on his behalf. The admirable legitimist sentiments, and the exhortations to everybody to co-operate for the return of the Dalmatian, were probably uttered aloud in presence of those Imperial ladies. The private note with the allimportant superscription, which was meant to mitigate the hostility of the terrible barbarian, was no doubt delivered to his ambassadors at some secret interview in the final moments before their departure ${ }^{1}$.

It would be a mistake to see in this curious scene at contempothe Court of Byzantium only a solemn farce enacted by ryies did Odovacar and Zeno, to amuse the people of Italy, and $\frac{\text { Roman }}{\text { nie than }}$ soothe them with the thought that they still remained under Roman dominion. The minds of men were really unable to grasp the fact that so vast and perdurable a structure as the Roman Empire could utterly perish. If it seemed to have suffered ruin in the West it still lived in the East, and might, as in fact it did under Justinian, one day send forth its armies from the Bosphorus to reclaim the provinces which the City by the Tiber had lost. This belief in the practical indestructibility of the Empire, and the consequences which flowed from it, three centuries after the deposition of Augustulus, in the elevation of Charles the Great, have been re-established in their proper place ${ }^{2}$,

[^305]booxiri. one might almost say, have been re-discovered, by the
CH. 8. historical students of our own times, and the whole history of the Middle Ages has been made marvellously clearer by this one central fact.

But we must not allow ourselves to consider Odovacar, even after this Byzantine embassy, as the mere lieutenant of Zeno, ruling with an authority delegated from Byzantium. It was well pointed out by Guizot ${ }^{1}$ that in Mediaeval Europe we scarcely ever find one theory of life or of governmeut worked out to its logical end, and allowed to dominate uncontrolled, like the eighteenth century theories of the Rights of Man, or the nineteenth century theories of the Rights of Nationalities. In the Middle Ages, upon which, after the year 476 , we may consider ourselves to be entering, fragments of political theories, which are opposed to one another, and which should be mutually destructive, subsist side by side, neither subduing nor subdued, and often in apparent unconsciousness of their irreconcilable discord. So it was with the position of Odovacar, so, in part at least, with his far greater successor, Theodoric. Among the barbarians, the warrior who had conquered Orestes and deposed his son would be known as Thiudans, 'the King,' simply. If any further definition were asked for he would perhaps be called the king of the Rugians, or the king of the Herulians, the king of the Turcilingi, or the king of the Scyri, according to the nationality which happened to be most largely represented in the camp of the mercenaries when the discussion was going forward. But it is more likely that all would contentedly acquiesce in an appellation

[^306]which would be understood by all, though it might not воокiII. be consistent with strict ethnological accuracy, 'Thiudans Cs. 8. Gut-thiudos,' 'The King of the Gothic people ${ }^{1}$.' It is not certain that the title ' King of Italy' was ever assumed by him. On the other hand, among the Latinspeaking inhabitants of Italy, the vast majority of his new subjects, Odovacar probably preferred to be known as 'the Patrician,' and it would be in this capacity that he would control the organisation and wield the powers of the still undestroyed bureaucracy of Imperial Rome.

Looking back, as we now do, over an interval of The de fourteen centuries at Odovacar's position in history watio claim find it impossible to asign him a place asclusively in Barbarian find it impossible to assign him a place exclusively in and the the old order of things, or exclusively in the new ; to do jolime of say whether he was in truth the successor of Aetius ${ }^{\text {the } \mathrm{Em} \text {. }}$ and Ricimer, or the forerunner of the Kings of Italy, blended in Pepin, Boso, and Victor Emmanuel. And if this be our ${ }^{\text {Odovacar. }}$ doubt now, we may be sure that at least an equal doubt existed in the minds of his contemporaries, not lessened by the fact that there was always, for the space of at least one generation, a chance that the old order of things might after all be restored, and that the rule of the Teuton king might turn out to have beer only an interregnum between two Emperors, such as had occurred more than once under the ascendancy of Ricimer. At the time of the embassy to Zeno there were still in the world three men who had worn the Imperial purple, and coined money as Emperors of Rome. We have reason to believe that one at least of these deposed Emperors lived through the whole reign

[^307]bookim. of Odovacar, perhaps to a much later period. Let us CH. 8. transfer now to the subjects of the new Teutonic king some of the same feelings of unsettlement and of halfacquiescence in change, with which a large part of the English nation regarded 'the Protestant Succession' during the reigns of Anne and the First George, or the feelings with which we ourselves have witnessed the establishment of a new French Republic with three hostile dynasties sitting as angry watchers by its cradle ; and we shall a little understand the mental attitude, partly of perplexity, partly of listless unconcern, which contemporary statesmen assumed towards an event which seems to us so momentous as the Fall of the Western Empire.

Insignificance of the actual evente of 476 ,
and infinite importance

For, in truth, the facts of the final struggle had little in them to attract the attention of bystanders. The sack of Rome by Alaric in 410 sent a shudder through the whole civilised world, and the echo of her dirge was heard even from the caves of Bethlehem. The nations held their breath with affright when in $45^{2}$ Attila wreaked his terrible revenge upon Aquileia. In comparison with these events, what was the short flurry of the citizens of Pavia, or the death of Paulus in the pine-wood by Ravenna? Indisputably we ourselves have witnessed catastrophes of far greater dramatic completeness than this, far better calculated, according to the old definition of Tragedy, 'to purify the emotions by means of Pity and Terror.' It is not a storm, or an earthquake, or a fire, this end of the Roman rule over Italy: it is more like the gentle fluttering down to earth of the last leaf from a withered tree.

And yet the event of 476 was, in its indirect consequences, a Revolution, which affected most powerfully

## Fall of the Western Empire.

the life of every inhabitant of Mediaeval and even of воокin. Modern Europe. For by it the political centre of Ca. 8. gravity was changed from the Palatine to the Lateran, of realle. and the Bishop of Rome, now beyond comparison the most important personage of Roman descent left in Italy, was irresistibly invited to ascend the throne, and to wrap himself in the purple, of the vanished Augustus.

## CHAPTER IX.

## CAEgES OF the fall of the western mapire.

воокм. We have now followed the fortunes of Italy from the Ci. 9. days when it was the stronghold of an apparently resistless Empire to the time when there was no longer an Imperator in Italy, and when the highest representative of law and government was the leader of the Herulian mercenaries, Odovacar.

Why did the Roman Empire fall? An adequate answer to that question would fill many volumes, and would need to spring from a deep and minute knowledge of the Roman mind, the Roman laws, and the Roman armaments, to which no pretension is here made. The answer suggested in the following pages will be confessedly imperfect and inadequate, but even the fragments of a reply to such a question can hardly be quite devoid of interest.

For the Lappiness of the luman race it wa necessary that the Roman Empire should fall.

The Roman Empire of the West fell because it had completed its work, and the time had come for it to be cut down, and to cumber the ground no longer. Its rise, its extension over nearly the whole civilised world, had been a vast blessing to humanity; its prolonged existence, even had it been governed by an endless succession of Emperors like Trajan and Marcus, would | have been a bane as great as the blessing. To all the
nations around the Mediterranean sea it had brought boormi. peace discipline the reign of law the preparation for CB. 9. peace, discipline, the reign of law, the preparation for $\qquad$ Christianity; but it had robbed them of liberty, and as century was added to century, the virtues of the free man were being more and more effaced by the habit of blind submission to authority. It was time for the Teutonic nations to rejuvenate the world, to bring their noisy energy into those silent and melancholy countries, peopled only by slaves and despots. It was time to exhibit on the arena of the world the ruder virtues and the more vigorous vices of a people who, even in their vices, showed that they were still young and strong; it was time that the sickly odour of incense offered to imbecile Emperors and lying Prefects should be scattered before the fresh moorland-air of liberty. In short, both as to the building up, and as to the pulling down of the world-Empire of Rome, we have a right to say, ' It was, because the Lord God willed it so.'

Of course, this manner of stating the problem cannot $0^{0}$ finni hope for acceptance from an influential school of thinkers historesy. at the present day. 'What I' they will at once exclaim, ' would you bring back into historical science those theological terms and those teleological arguments from which we have just successfully purified it? you not aware that history, like astronomy, like physics, like every other science, spends its infancy in the religious stage, its adolescence in the metaphysical, and when it has reached its full maturity and become thoroughly conscious of its powers and of its aims, passes into the positive, or materialistic stage-that stage from which the Will of God, the Freewill of Man, Final Causes, and every other metaphysical or theological conception is excluded, and in which Law, fixed

воокini. and immutable, however hard to discover, must reign Сп. 9. supreme?'

Such, it may be admitted, is the utterance of the ' Zeit-Geist,' of that convergence of many minds towards a single thought, which we call by the less forcible English equivalent, 'the Spirit of the Age.' But, looking back over many past ages, and seeing the utter death and decay of many a 'Zeit-Geist,' once deemed omnipotent and everlasting, the Zeit-Geist of Egyptian Hierophants, of Spanish Inquisitors, of the Schoolman, of the Alchemist, of the Jacobin, one is disposed to look the present Time-Spirit boldly in the face and ask why it, any more than its predecessors, must be infallible and eternal.

There was a time when Final Causes were the bane of all the sciences, when men attempted to deduce from their crude notions of what God ought to have done, a statement of what He has done, and thus easily evaded the toil of true scientific enquiry. Our great master, Bacon, recalled the mind of Man from tbese fruitless wanderings, and vindicated, for the collection of facts and the observation of law, their true place in all philosophy. But he did not share that spirit of Agnosticism, that serene indifference to the existence of an ordering mind in the Universe, which is professed by many of his followers in the present day. It could not have been said of him, as it may, perhaps, hereafter be said of some of his greatest disciples, 'Blindness in part has fallen upon the Physical Philosopher. While groping eagerly after the How of this visible universe, he has missed the clue to the vaster and more momentous questions of its Why and its By Whom.' The beilief The present writer belongs to the old-fashioned school, "
which still dares and delights to speak of God in воокin. Nature and of God in History. To declare, as we cн. 9 . venture to do, with all reverence and confession of our trace the dim-sightedness, that we believe we can trace the finger of Gorkings of the Creator and Lord of the world in events like the $\begin{gathered}\text { History } \\ \text { doen not }\end{gathered}$ Rise and Fall of the Roman Empire, is by no means to tom the assert that we can explain the ways of Providence in $\begin{aligned} & \text { thatsoment } \\ & \text { that } \\ & \text { all }\end{aligned}$ all the occurrences either of the present or of the $\gamma . v ;$ thing it by no means commits us to the proposition that 'all pened risithings have happened for the best in the best of all bety the possible worlds.' For one who believes in the ${ }_{i}$ God of whom the Christian Revelation speaks, or even in the God whom Socrates felt after and found, neither optimism nor pessimism would seem to be the rational frame of mind. We look back over our own lives; we see faults and blunders in them past counting. Assuredly it would have been better for us and for our little fragment of the world that these should not have been committed-so much the pessimist truly urges. But then, we can also see, as we think-but here each individual of the race must speak for himself-traces of a higher Power contending with us in our blindness, sometimes bringing good out of our follies and mistakes, always seeking to educate us and to raise us

> ' On stepping-stones

Of our dead selves to higher things.'
In all this we do but ratify the statement of one who had meditated on human nature at least as deeply as any modern sociologist:
> 'There's a divinity that shapes our ends Rough-hew them as we will.'

So much the optimist may claim. Why the divinity

воокin. has not shaped the whole world's career to nought but
Cв. 9. a good end is confessedly inexplicable, and will perhaps be for ever unintelligible to us. Meantime, therefore, we hold the two unreconciled beliefs, in the Almightiness of God and in the existence of evil which is his enemy. To discard either of these beliefs, or to harmonise them, we find equally impossible, and therefore we desist from the attempt, and let both grow together till the harvest. If this be true in the Universal, of the whole 'scheme and constitation of things' we may reasonably expect to find in the Particular-for instance, in the course of European history-some events of which we may confidently say, 'God brought them to pass in order to promote the welfare of Humanity,' and others of which we can only say, 'Why this irretrievable ruin, in which apparently there lurked no germ of benefit to the Human Race, was permitted, is a mystery.' To apply these general principles to the case before us, we assert with confidence that both the arising and the fall of the Roman Empire were dlesoing to the human race, and that we are justified in regarding them as the handiwork of an Unseen Power, the Maker and the Friend of Dfan.- Buat that every step in the upward career of Rome was beneficial to man, or was accomplished with the smallest possible amount of human suffering, we do not believe. Nor, conversely, would we assert that the foundation of the new Teutonie kingdoms might not conceivably have come to pass at a time and in a way which would have been more beneficial to humanity. It is impossible to read the history of the Early Middle Ages without feeling that, for the first six centuries after the fall of the Western Empire, there is little or no progress. The night grows
darker and darker, and we seem to get ever deeper воoкiil. into the mire. Not till we are quite clear of the wrecks ся. 9. of the Carolingian fabric, not till the days of William the Norman and Hildebrand, do we seem to be making any satisfactory progress out of Chaos into Cosmos. It is possible to imagine many circumstances which might have prevented the waste of these six centuries, and perhaps have started Europe on her new career with the faith of the thirteenth century joined to the culture of the age of the Renaissance. Had the sons of Theodosius possessed half the vigour of their father; had Stilicho and Aetius not been stabbed in the back by the monarchs whom they were labouring to defend; had the Arian controversy not made its ineffaceable rift between conquerors and conquered; had the Ostrogothic kingdom of Italy and the Visigothic kingdom of Aquitaine not been overthrown by Justinian and by Clovis; had a very slight change in the obscure politics of the Arabian tribes cut short the preaching of Mohammed son of Abdallah; it is possible that centuries of human suffering might have been mitigated, and that the freshness of heart which so many of the European nations seem to have lost in the ages since the Renaissance might still be theirs.

But our business is with the events that were, not with those that might have been. Let us, therefore, proceed to consider some of the secondary causes which in the ordering of the Providence of God, brought about the transfer of the sceptre of Rome into the hands of the Barbarians.

BOOK III.
Сн. 9.

The Persophobia of Roman statesmen.

## § 1. The Foundation of Constantinople.

There is perhaps no more striking illustration of a nation's powerlessness to discern the dangers that are really most menacing to its future, than the Persophobia (if we may coin a word for history from politics), which, down to the very days of the Visigothic invasion, and even beyond them, seems to have haunted the minds of Roman statesmen. True, the Parthian or Persian Monarchy was the only other civilised or semi-civilised state which rose above the horizon of Roman consciousness. The defeats of Crassus and Valerian, the ignominious peace concluded by the successor of Julian in the plains beyond the Tigris, no doubt alarmed as well as humbled every Roman. Still, after making full allowance for the impressions produced by these events, it is difficult to understand why, when Hun and Vandal and Visigoth were actually streaming into the very heart of the Empire, the Persian should still have been the favourite bugbear of poets and orators. But Claudian, for example, continually speaks of 'they as Rome's most terrible foe; and when he rises into his highest heaven of prophetic rapture over the glories of Honorius, he always predicts the cogqpest of Babylon or Ecbatana.

Thus, at the end of his poem on the third Consulship of Honorius, he says to the Imperial brothers,
> 'E'en now great Babylon despoiled I see,
> In fear unfeigned the Parthian horsemen flee:; The Bactrian cons the Roman legist's lore, Ganges grows pale between each subject shore, And Persia spreads her gems your feet before.'

And so, in many similar passages, involuntary homage
is rendered to the Sassanian monarchs of Persia, by booriil. representing them as the most formidable of the an- Св. 9 . tagonists of Rome.

It was this fear of the Persian monarchy which The founddoubtless partly induced Constantine to plant his new ation onfticapital at the meeting-point of Europe and Asia. In a nople west certain sense it may be said that the measure was justified by its consequences. Except for the disastrous retreat of Julian's army-and even his expedition was mmodiate
a triumph, only converted into a defeat by the overeagerness of the General-Persia won no considerable victories over Eastern Rome, and in the seventh century she was utterly overthrown by the Emperor Heraclius. Moreover, the wonderful political prescience of the founder of Constantinople was clearly shown by the tenacity with which, through the greater part of eleven stormy centuries, the Empire, which had that city for its brain, clung to life. Avars, Bulgarians, Saracens, Russians, Seljouk Turks, Latin Crusaders, foamed over the surrounding provinces and dashed themselves to pieces against its walls, but none except the Crusaders effected an entrance, and none effected a durable conquest till the terrible day when the dynasty of Palaeologus succumbed to the dynasty of Othman. And the fact that Stamboul is to this day a spell of such portentous power in the incantations of modern diplomatists, is the most powerful of all testimonies to the genius of the young prince who was hailed Imperator by the legionaries at York.

But if the question be asked, ' What was the effect of the building of Constantinople on Italy and Old Rome?' if it be considered that the true object of a statesman of the Lower Empire should have been, not to protract

ноок in the existence of a semi-Greek, semi-Asiatic dominion, Сн. 9.

Jealousy between East and Weat one great cause of Rome's downfall. a kind of bastard Rome, but to keep the true Rome, the City of the seven hills, in her high place at the forefront of humanity, or, if she must needs fall, to make her fall as honourable and her transformed spirit as mighty as possible,-then our answer will be widely different, and we shall have to rank the founder of Constantinople foremost among the destroyers of the Empire.
We have seen in the course of this history the infinite mischief wrought by the rivalry between the Ministers of the Eastern and Western Empires. At the critical moment of Alaric's preparations for his invasion Stilicho alone might probably have crushed him ; but the subtle Goth
'Sold his alternate oaths to either throne.'
Each Empire trusted that the blow was about to fall on the other-a blow which the sister-realm would have witnessed with Christian resignation-and thus the time for anticipating it and for destroying the destroyer passed away.
Clavim's The sort of jealousy which had sprung up between picture of the courtiers of Constantinople. the two capitals is well illustrated by the following lines of Claudian. The passage ${ }^{1}$ also gives us a picture of the populace of the New Rome, which, though no doubt charged with hostile feeling, connects itself sufficiently with the Athens of Alcibiades, and the Nika rioters of the days of Justinian, to justify us in accepting its main features as correct.

In consequence of Tribigild's revolt, Eutropius, then chief minister of Arcadius, convenes a sort of Council of War.

[^308]' Pert youths came there and grey beards lecherons, Whose glory was in trencher-combats won.

BOOK III.
Cr. 9.

A menu subtly changed from yesterday's Is a most doble exploit in their eyes. By costly fare they tickle appetite And give to those insatiate maws of theirs The starry birds that drew great Juno's car, And India's emerald prattlers of the woods. Far realms supply their dainties: their deep greed The Aegean sea and blue Propontis' lake And Azof's straits with all their denizens Soothe for an hour, but fail to satisfy.
Then with what art they wear their scented robes Silken, but heavy for those delicate limbs! The highest praise is his whose vapid jokes Move loudest laughter. See their ornaments, Fitter for girls than men, their shaven cheeks, And mark them on the days of spectacle. The Han, the Goth may thander at the gates, The dancers will not have one gazer less. Rome's name they ever scorn, and can admire Only the mansions which the Bosphorus laves. Yet there are arts in which e'en these excel: Deftly they dance and drive a chariot well.'

Of course there is spite in this description, but the fact that such a picture of the Byzantine Court was acceptable to the dwellers by the Tiber shows the estrangement which had sprung up between the Old Rome and the New.

Had the Mistress of the World, when she found her- Probable self on all sides begirt by the 'bark ${ }^{1}$ of savage nations,', $\begin{gathered}\text { courne of if } \\ \text { Reme } \\ \text { if }\end{gathered}$ deliberately withdrawn to her own ancient citadel, put been the her fleets in order at Classis and Misenum, so as to ${ }^{\text {only } y e n t r e . ~}$ command the upper and the lower seas, and sent her hardiest troops to garrison the difficult passes of the Alps, she might have lost many fair provinces, but the

[^309]BOOK III. heart of the Empire could hardly have been pierced.
Ch. 9 .
$\qquad$ It was the diffusion of her vital force over several nervecentres, Carthage, Alexandria, Antioch, but above all, Constantinople, that ruined her. Some of the suckers lived on, but the old tree perished.

## § 2. Christianity.

Christianity and the Roman State were necessary foes.

It was not by an accidental coincidence that the great historian of the Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire was also one of the ablest opponents of the Christian Revelation to whom the last century gave birth. The sound of the vesper-song of barefooted friars in the temple of Jupiter Capitolinus, which seemed to call him to his great enterprise, suggested to him, not untruly, that an irreconcilable antagonism between the Genius of the Emperors and the Genius of Christianity had caused the ruins which were piled around him. And what seems to call for particular notice here is the fact that both the good and the evil in Chriotianity contributed to this result; both those great spiritual truths which made the essence of the new Religion when it came forth from the hands of its Divine Founder, and those foreign elements which it borrowed from philosophies and idolatries in the act of battling with them,-all fought against the Rome of the Caesars.
Opposition First, as to the essential opposition between the between the original idear of the Roman and the Christian civitas.
uncorrupted spirit of Christianity and the continuance of the Roman State. The religious ideas of the Latin and Sabine tribes among whom the great Republic was born, were poor and homely enough, without the Hellenic grace, or the Jewish sublimity, or the Teutonic
tenderness ; but, such as they were, they absolutely $\begin{gathered}\text { boorini: } \\ \text { C. } 9 .\end{gathered}$ moulded the character and institutions of the Roman people. The Church did not encroach upon the province of the State, itsimply was the State. No order of priests contended for power or privilege with the officers of the Republic ; those officers themselves, as they reached certain stages in their upward progress, became ministers of the gods, and, without any question as to spiritual fitness, only with so much pretension to morality as an originally moral people naturally required in its chief magistrates, they were clothed, ex officio, with a certain sacred character. The word Religio itself, whatever be its precise etymological significance, was understood to express the binding, cementing force which a constant reference to unseen supernatural Powers exerts upon a commonwealth. Hence the same myth-making faculty which in the brain of

> ' The lively Grecian, in a land of hills, Rivers, and fertile plains, and sounding shores ${ }^{1}$,'
created Nymphs and Naiads and Oreads, was employed by the more prosaic Roman to invent fresh gods for every fresh development of the social, the political, even the financial life of Man the Citizen. Thus, according to the curious catalogue of St. Augustine ${ }^{2}$, 'they commended children in the act of birth to the goddess Ops, children crying to the god Vaticanus, lying in their cradles to Cunina, sucking to Rumina, standing to Statilinus, arriving ${ }^{3}$ to Adeona, departing to Abeona. They commended them to goddess Mens that they might have a good mind, to Volumnus and

[^310]${ }^{2}$ De Civitate Dei, iv. 2 I.
3 'Deae Adeonae adeuntes, Abeonae abeuntes.'

воокiu. Volumna, god and goddess, that they might have a
Ch. 9. good volition, to the nuptial gods that they might marry well, to the rurals, and especially to goddess Fructesce, that they might receive plenteous froits, to Mars and Bellona that they might wage war well, to Victoria that they might conquer, to the god Honor that they might be honoured, to the goddess Pecunia that they might have plenty of money, to the god Aesculanus and his son Argentinus that that money might be both of bronze and silver. For Aesculanus was made the father because bronze money was coined before silver; and, in truth, I cannot understand why Argentinus did not beget Aurinus, since the silver coinage has been followed by one of gold.'

Such a religious system as this subjects itself easily to ridicule, as easily as the faith of a modern Italian peasant in his own particular Madonna or Bambino, in the San Cristoforo of one village, or the San Lorenzo of another. Like this latter(development, too, it probably glanced lightly over the minds of the upper classes of society, and was tenaciously held in all its grotesque minuteness only by the lower. Still this was substantially the religious system under which the Great Republic had grown from youth to manhood; by its Pontiffs had been declared the days for the assembly of the people in the forum, by its augurs had the omens been taken in every one of its battle-fields The deification of Julius and Augustus was the national expression of the feeling that the greatness of Inome was the peculiar care of the Eternal Gods, and that the spirits which had wrought conspicuously at this grand task during their earthly career, must still survive in the society of the Immortals, to watch over the work
of their own hands. It was with this faith-for faith Book mir. we must surely call it-in their hearts that the legions/- Ca. 9. of Rome had marched on from victory to victory. Their anticipations of reward or pupishment in a future life might be vague and varying, but at least they felt that the Great City with which they had linked their fortunes was eternal, and the confidence that she would survive all shocks of adverse fortune, and would treasure the names of her defenders with undying reverence, gave strength, doubtless, not only to a Decius or a Curtius, but also to many a simple Roman legionary at the moment of facing death for her sake.

The whole of this fabric of national faith, with whatsoever in it was noble, and whatsoever in it was puerile, had to fall before the Apostolic proclamation, 'To us there is but one God, the Father, of $\overline{\text { wh}}$ Kom are all things, and we in him, and one Lord Jesus Christ, by whom are all things, and we by him.' If there was any hint in the Christian Scriptures of one nation favoured above all others, that nation was the Jewish, if any notion of a city chosen by the Eternal 'to put his name there,' that city was Jerusalem. But the latest and prevailing utterance of the new religion was, 'All nationalities are on the same level before God. He has made of one blood all nations of men, to dwell on all the face of the earth. Your citizenship, the true civitas, which is the highest condition that man can attain to, is in heaven. This civitas is within reach of all men, Barbarian, Scythian, bond or free, and makes brothers of us all.'

There was an incurable opposition between teaching such as this, and the root-idea of the Roman Commonwealth. The rulers of the State.felt it; and were forced into persecution, almost against their will. Gladly

воокim. would they have consigned Christianity to the peaceful
Сн. 9. Pantheon of the tolerated religions (religiones licitae), in which already the worship of Astarte and Mithras, of Isis and the Dea Syria, flourished happily, side by side. But they perceived-the wisest Emperors the most clearly-that this was a religion which would have all or nothing, and they hunted it into the catacombs to bar it from the throne.

Strange jumble which resulted from their union.

The persecutions failed: they enlisted pity, generosity, love of justice, all the nobler feelings of our nature, on the side of the votaries of the new religion, and to these latter they gave a drill, a discipline, we must also in truth add a bitterness of temper, which they had not possessed before. A time came when the Christians found that they were the majority in the Empire, a time when the young Emperor Constantine, with his foot upon the ladder of fortune, was half-convinced of the truth of Christianity, and wholly convinced of the policy of embracing it. For three generations the Emperors, with the exception of the short reign of Julian, were the Christian masters of a household whose traditions were still Pagan. Some of the anomalies which resulted from this position of theirs have been glanced at in previous pages. We have seen that no Emperor till the accession of Gratian dared to refuse the title of Pontifex Maximus, which marked him as head of the State-Church of Heathenism. We have also noticed the incongruity between the acts of Theodosius as Defender of the Catholic Faith and the conventional language of the court poet, who makes him the favourite of Mars and Jupider during his life, and turns him into a star after his degth.
| That this strange medley of contending faiths had
no effect in enfeebling the resolution of Rome, and moonin. making her stroke uncertain, that the regiment which Cह. 9. had fought so long under one flag would fight just as well when that flag was replaced by another, as hostile to it as the Lilies to the Tricolour, is what no one would conjecture beforehand. And that the substitution of Christianity for the worship of the deities of the Capitol had something to do with the crumbling away of the Empire in the fifth century, is a conviction which forces itself on our minds, and never so irresistibly as when we are listening to the most eloquent and the most subtle apologist for Christianity, Augustine, endeavouring to prove to us in his book on the City of God that the thing was_not so. One turns over page after page of that immortal treatise-that Encyclopaedia of the whole religious thought of the age; one feels the absurdity of the Pagan theory, the grandeur of the Christian conception of the vast unseen City of God, but, through it all, the antagonism between the true Roman ideas and the ideas of Christianity rises 1 more and more definitely before the mind, and when we are called upon finally to adjudicate on the question ' Would the Rome of the Fabii and the Scipios, the Rome which heartily believed in and worshipped Jupiter and Quirinus, Mavors, 0 ps, and Saturnus, have fallen as the Christian Rome fell before the hordes of Alaric?' we are bound in our historical conscience to l answer, No.

Secondly. In the course of its three hundred years' Elemante struggle for existence the new religion had assimilated fithoorigitinal some elements, foreigy as I veuture to think, to its of chaprition original essence; and by these also it made war on ${ }^{\text {anity }}$ mhich Rome. The spirit of intolerance was one of these ${ }^{\text {wartile to }}$

Book III. extraneous elements, at any rate in so far as it relied
CB. 9. $\xrightarrow{+\ldots}$ on the sword of the civil magistrate to carry its sentences the Roman into effect. The words of St. Paul about heretics, 'With such an one, no, not to eat,' and of St. John, ' Receive him not into your house, neither bid him God speed,' were aimed apparently at men whose immorality was bringing the new society into reproach, and contemplated exclusion from that society as the heaviest punishment to be inflicted. The general attitude towards the heathen or the unbelieving Jew was 'What have I to do with them that are without?'; and the proposal to arrange the worldly affairs, even of Christians, authoritatively, was met by 'Man, who made me a judge or a divider over you ?' 'Whiles it remained was it not thine own, and after it was sold, was it not in thine own power ?' In practice, the relation of the professors of the new faith to 'them that were without' during the second century seems to have been reasonable and friendly. Justin Martyr and Quadratus still wore the philosopher's cloak after their conversion to Christianity, and endeavoured to persuade their fellowcountrymen by an appeal to 'the voice of the soul, who is herself naturally Christian 1,' that the glad tidings which they had to proclaim, though markellous, were not incredible, and were in harmony with the truest presentiments of man's own moral nature. Would that the new religion had always thus calmly addressed herself to the consciences of mankind, that she had never shouted nor shrieked, nor tortured, in order to enforce the acceptance of her message! Earth would -

[^311]be by many degrees more like Hearen at this day, book ini. if she had thus remained true to her first gentle ся. 9. instincts.

But the persecutions came and went, and they 1 anno. Intolerchanged, though they should not have changed, the temper of the Christian champions. So was rendered possible that utterance of Tertullian's (destined to an evil immortality), in which he consoled his brethren for their conscientious abstinence from the pleasures of the Hippodrome by promising them far greater spectacular pleasures in the life to come, when from the safe security of Heaven they should behold so many proud prefects, so many jeering philosophers, writhing in agony under the tortures $6 f$ the never-dying fires of hell. It may be admitted that the stern, almost morose, temperament of Tejtyllian is answerable for some of this bitterness, but it would not be difficult to quote passages of a similar tendency from Lactantius and other fathers of the Ante-Nicene Church. In truth, it was not in hutrsan nature (though it should have been in the divip that was intermingled with it) to see parents, brothers, sisters, dragged off to an insulting and cruel death, for refusing to sacrifice to the Genius of the Emperor, without some scowl of hatred becoming fixed above the eyes which witnessed these things. And so persecution did not, as was once alleged, always and entirely fail of its end. 'The blood of the Martyrs was the seed of the Church;' but it was a Church of different habit of growth, and producing more acrid fruit than that which it replaced.

For seventy years, however, after Constantine's edicts in favour of Christianity, the new religion showed

воокin. herself but little as a persecutor, at least of heathens.
Св. 9. The tolerant spirit of Constantine had something to do with this; the internal divisions of the Christian Church, especially the long and fierce Arian debate, still more. The Caesars of Rome, with the exception of Julian, settled down comfortably into their anomalous position, each being at once Pontifex Maximus of the old religion, and Moderator in the doctrinal controversies of the new. It was as if the Ottoman Sultan, still retaining his clain to the Caliphate, were to become a member of the Greek Church, and to throw himself earnestly into the discussions about the Procession of the Holy Spirit.

We have heard Theodosius, at the Council of Constantinople in the year 381 , pronouncing the final triumph of the Trinitarian party within the Church ${ }_{n}$ and we have seen something of the increased stringency. of his determination to secure for that Church, by the power of the State, the victory over her external foes, whether Heathens or Heretics. Thue, these persecutions lacked the ferocity of these which were set on foot by Decius and by Galerius; still they were; and for some generations, with quiet, earnest deliberateness, the whole power of the Emperors was employed in making all Christians think alike, and in preventing non-Christians from thinking at all.

Constantius had said, 'We will that all men should abstain from sacrifices, and if any shall hereafter offend against this law, let him be punished by the avenging sword ${ }^{1}$ '. But the decree seems to have remained a dead letter, and the heathen sacrifices went on nearly as before. Theodosius enacted new laws

[^312]against heathen worship, and by such acts as the demo- bookinl.
lition of the temple of Serapis at Alexandria, gave them
practical effect. At the same time appeared upon the statute book a cloud of edicts (some of which have been already quoted) against 'the noxious Manicheans and their execrable meetings,' against 'the heretics of the Donatist superstition,' against 'the teachers and leaders of the crime of the Eunomians, especially their clergy whose madness has brought about this great aberration,' against 'all who are tormented by the error of divers heresies, viz., the Eunomians, the Arians, the Macedonian deniers of the Holy Ghost, the Manicheans, the Encratites, the Apotactites, the Saccofori, the Hydroparastatae.' Fine, imprisonment, loss of office, prohibition to assemble in the town or to give to their places of meeting the appearance of churches, restriction of their testamentary power-these are the penalties thundered forth in many un edict against men who had committed no crime against the State, but whose theology was different from the Emperor's, The ferocity and the terror of Diocletian's persecutions have passed away, but we find ourselves breathing the same atmosphere of petty ecclesiastical tyranny which produced the Five Mile Act and Conventicle Act of Charles II, the Penal Laws against the Irish Catholics of William III and Anne. If there were nothing more to be said against it, this attempt to harass men into) uniformity of religious opinion was an enormous waste of power, at a time when the energies of the State were scarcely sufficient for its own proper work of administration. But what made the matter worse, from the point of view of a Roman statesman, was that the religion which was being maintained in domination
booriil at the cost of all this legislative combat, was itself in Ch. 9. no way essential to, nay, rather as has been before said, was of necessity antagonistic to, the root-idea of the Roman Commonwealth. A Mohammedan Sultan pressing heavily on the Giaour, an Israelitish moparch slaying the priests of Baal, a Most Catholic king of Spain burning Jews or expelling Moriscoes, were all acting more or less in accordance with the spirit of which their royalty was the expression. But a Roman Imperator harassing the Encratites or the Apotactites because the building in which they assembled for divine worship too closely resembled a church of the orthodox, was an utterly un-Roman Roman, an anomaly not only vexatious but ridiculous ${ }^{1}$.

Yet it is probable that to the somewhat narrow, martinet mind of Theodosius, and still more to the , dazed intellects of his sons, these measures of religious persecution appeared solemn duties, hay more, that they regarded them as peace-offerings, which would ensure the secular safety of the Empire. The increasing calamities which befell the State were taken as manifestations of the wrath of God; and no more obvious means of conjuring away that wrath suggested themselves than the enactment of a new and sharper law against the Manichean pravity or the Arian madness.

In the mist and darkness which have gathered over the history of the fifth century, a mist and a darkn through which only the bare forms of events are die-

[^313]cernible, while thoughts and feelings are utterly hidden, воокin. we know little indeed of the mood of mind in which these successive Acts of Uniformity were received by the objects of them. Heathenism and Heresy, like wounded creatures, crept back to their caves and died there, but after what conflicts or with what struggles we know not. The name 'Paganus' (villager), for the worshipper of the old gods, is one among many indications that Christianity conquered first the great cities, the centres of intellectual and commercial activity, and then gradually, and we can hardly say how slowly, pushed her way into lonely glens or wide unfrequented pasture-lands, and made the dwellers there bow before the cross. Fet even in the cities and at the Imperial Courts the victory was not fully won in the reign of Theodosius. It is a noteworthy fact how many of the small band of literary men, who flourished in the latter days of the Empire, remained faithful to the old superstitions. Ammianus Marcellinus, Zosimus, Priscus, the chief historians of this period, are all Pagans, one at least of them a bitter Pagan. Nor is it by any means certain that Procopius, the great bistorian of the reign of Justinian, ought not to be added to the list.

Two other elements fof the Christianity of the third and fourth centuries co-operated in a subordinate degree towards the decay of the Empire. These were the Priestly Hierarchy and the Monastic Self-seclusion.

The fires of Roman persecution had, doubtless, much a. The to do with hardening and shaping, as into a point of Eoclesiartempered steel, that marvellous episcopal organisation which was one day to penetrate the world. As the soldiers who survive on a well-fought battle-field look towards the officers who have been with them in the

воокIII. thickest of the fray, so we may imagine the hearts of
Он. 9. the believers to have glowed with fresh localty towards the rulers of the Church, when the rage of the Decian or the Galerian persecution was at length abated, and they had leisure to count their losses. Thus, here also to the repressive measures of the Emperors must be attributed some involuntary share in the change which came over the spirit of the Church between the first century and the fourth, and which separates the simple and scarcely differentiated Overseers and Elders of the Acts of the Apostles from the full-grown Bishops and Priests of the time of Constantine. It is not likely that such a well-disciplined and compact organisation as the Christian hierarchy can have grown up within and yet outside of the Empire without impairing somewhat of its strength. And such victories as were won by Athanasius over Constantius, or by Ambrose over Theodosius, though they command our fullest sympathy as noble triumphs of the moral over the material, had probably some effect in lessening the reverence which men felt for the Augustus as a kind of 'present' divinity,' and so in loosening the fabric of the Empire. Yet possibly we ought not to attributa large results to this cause. The great strifes between Bishop and. Sovereign belong to a later age, to the barbarian monarchies or to the Eastern Empire. Except indirectly, in so far as it may have favoured the persecution of heathens and heretics, the Christian hierarchy need not be held responsible for a-large share in the pulling down of Imperial Rome.
3. Monast. Probably we may come to a similar conclusion with

[^314]reference to that other great phenomenon of the re- boosini. ligious life of the fourth and fifth centuries, the rise ${ }^{\text {OB. } 9 .}$ and progress of the monastic system. It is interesting to see how this was viewed by an educated, though certainly not unbiassed Pagan. Zosimus, speaking of the riots at Constantinople in connection with the exile of Chrysostom (40r), says (v. 23), 'The city was filled with uproar, and the Cbristian church was occupied by the men who are called Monks. Now these men renounce lawful wedlock, and fill large colleges in the cities and villages with unmarried persons, profitless for war and for any other of the State's necessities. Yet have they, in the interval between that time and the present' [perhaps half a century] 'made great advances, so that they have now appropriated a largel part of the land, and under pretence of distributing all their substance to the poor, have, in a manner, made all poor alike.'

The withdrawal of so many men in the prime of life from the pursuite of industry and the defence of the state, must undoubtedly have lessened the resources of the Empire, especially as these monks were not, like their successors in the Middle Ages, the restorers of the waste places, the doctors, engineers, and journalists of the community. At a time when the manliest virtue was required to stem the torrent of corruption within 1 and barbarism without, men of noble soul and cultured intellect, like St. Jerome, retired into the eaves of Bethlehem, leaving the world a prey to hypocrites and rogues, such as Olympius and Eutropius. As the latter class of men, despairing of the Roman state,
 sought to build up their own fortunes on the general ruin, so the former class, with the same despair of

BOOKIII. the republic in their hearts, determined at least to
Cr. 9 . secure their own soul's salvation, and to live for this alone. The selfishness was of a higher kind, but it would be hard to deny that it was selighness, and that the true Christian impulse would have been to struggle on undaunted, and persist in the endeavour to leave the world better than they found it.

But, having admitted this negative charge against monkery, we cannot assign to it, in the Western Empire at least, any great active influence for ruin. In the East, during the fifth century, the power of the.monks was no doubt far more hurtful to the State. 'Armies of mad monks rushing through the streets of Alexandria ${ }^{1}$, and their brethren in Constantinople stirring up the people to shout for the deposition of the 'Manichean tyrant,' whenever an Emperor swerved by a hair's breadth from the razor-bridge of orthodoxy as defined in the Council of Chalcedon-these were undoubtedly disintegrating and dangerous forces; and when they were predomiuant, the government of the Empire might troly be styled a government by lunatice. In the West we see no such spectacles at the time which we are now discussing, and it would be a scandalous injustice to class the calm Paulinus of Nola and the learned Claudianus Mamertus of Vienne with the turbulent Eutyches, or the blood-stained Barsumas of Constantinople.

## § 3. Slavery.

[^315][^316]brought destruction on the Roman commonwealth' (Mommsen, bookiII. History of Rome, book iv. chap. II).

Сп. 9.
The men of our generation, who have read the story of General Sherman's march through Georgia, are in a weakening better position than their ancestors for estimating the of the thence part played by slavery in bringing about the ruin inluatrated of Rome. The short-lived Southern Confederacy in American America had many points of resemblance to the secoesion. Roman republic. It was administered by wealthy cultivators of the soil, born warriors, born orators, a proud and courageous people. All that mere fighting could do to preserve its existence was ably and, at first, successfully done; but Slavery, that rock of offence which the Planters had made the corner-stone of their new edifice, proved its ruin. The truth had been suspected for some little time before, but was fully proved when Sherman's scarcely-resisted march through three hundred miles of the enemy's country showed the hollowness of a political organisation which had been massing its armies, by hundreds of thousands at a time, on the banks of the Potomac, but which could not reckon on its own inhabitants to resist or seriously to harass an invader who had once broken through the wall of steel on the frontier. It could not reckon upon them, because the majority of them were themselves a hostile nation, made so by the institution of slavery. True, in America as in Italy, the oppressed class waited long before they dared to show on which side their sympathies lay. This is, for a time, that which turns the scale in favour of the slave-holder, that his chattels are too debased to be capable of selforganisation, too ignorant to understand the great movements in the world of politics and war, too
bookiII. servile-hearted to dare to embrace what may not prove
Св. 9. the winning side. But if there comes at length such a time, as came in Georgia lately, and in Etruria long ago, when the slave sees with his own eyes a man, mightier than his master, come to overthrow all that existing order which has weighed on him so heavily, and saying, 'Help me, and I will give you freedom,' then is seen the strange magic which lies in that word freedom for even the heaviest clods of humanity; then the comfortable persuasion of the self-deceived slaveowner, that his chattel will fight for the luxury of continuing to be a chattel, vanishes like snow in summer.

Flight of slaven to Alaric.

No sconrate 80 count of the number of slaves in the Empire.

We have had to record one instance-many more have probably been left unrecorded-of the readiness of the Roman slaves to turn against their masters. In the interval between the first and second sieges of Rome by Alaric, the slaves, to the number of 40,000 , fled to the barbarian camp. In his usual tantalising way Zosimus forgets to tell us the denouement of the story, but it may be conjectured that the greater part of these slaves, if they ever returned to Rome, returned with the army of Alaric through the blazing Salarian Gate to guide their new friends to the plunder of their old oppressors ${ }^{1}$.

It would have been interesting to know what was the total number of slaves in existence at any particular period of the Empire, but a complete census of the whole population of the Roman world, free and servile, if it ever existed, has not survived to our day. Gibbon ${ }^{2}$ guesses the number of the slaves all over the Empire at the

[^317]time of Claudius at sixty millions; and it seems to be bookin. impossible either to prove or disprove his conjecture. Св.9. We are told, in round numbers, that some citizens possessed 10,000 or 20,000 slaves apiece, and with/ more apparent accuracy that a certain freedman under Augustus, although he had been impoverished by the civil wars, left at his death 4,116 slaves ${ }^{2}$. From other sources we learn that in the days of Augustus, 200 slaves were not considered at all an exorbitantly large establishment, and that he who had only five or ten was looked upon as either very poor or very mean. In view of these facts, 40,000 seems a very small number for even the mere house-slaves in Rome at the time of its siege by Alaric. Possibly the removal of the Court to Ravenna, and the troublous character of the times, had led to the withdrawal of most of the wealthy slave-owners from Rome; or the crowds of freedmen and paupers supported by the public distribution of wheat may, in Rome itself, have thinned, by a kind of competition, the number of actual bondsmen. Or, which is perhaps the most likely supposition of all, Zusimus, the writer from whom the story of the fugitive slaves is extracted, is speaking in his usual somewhat inaccurate style when he says, that 'nearly all' the slaves in Rome deserted to the camp of Alaric.

As mention has been made of slavery as it existed Compedown to our own days in the United States of North between America, and as this is that type of the 'peculiar Ammen and institution' which most readily suggests itself to our minds, it may be well to remind the reader of a few

[^318]воокiII. obvious points of dissimilarity between the two forms
Сн. 9. of servitude, the Roman and the American.
I. It seems probable that the condition of a slave under a Roman master was harder than that of the negro in the Southern States of America. Cruel men of course abused their dangerous power in both countries, while, under men of exceptional gentleness, the lot of the slave may have lost almost all that made it to differ from that of a hired labourer. But the great mass of masters, the men of average character, had in the United States a conception of duty towards their fellow-men which was, at least in some degree, influenced by the spirit of Christianity, while the Roman derived his notions of duty from such teachers as Cato the Censor, who, in a well-known passage, uttered his opinion that whenever a slave was not asleep he ought to be at work, and that a master should always sell off his aged slaves as well as his broken-down horses. Certainly this cannot have been either the theory or the practice in Virginia or Tennessee, hardly even, one would hope, in Mississippi or Alabama. It is true that the tendency of legislation under the Emperors had been towards greater mildness in the treatment of slaves. The master's absolute power of life and death was taken away; in cases where he had practised extreme cruelty he might be compelled to sell the victim of it ; and the huge gloomy ergastula, the prisons in which the slaves had been locked up at night after their labour in the fields (which, if not subterraneous, were always lighted by windows high up in the walls, from which there was no chance of escape), were legally abolished, and perhaps practically disused. Still, the life of the Roman's slave, especially of him who was
engaged in agriculture, seems to have been hard and booknir. dismal beyond even the hardness and dismalness of CB. 9. ordinary negro slavery ${ }^{1}$.
II. Yet in two aspects, more important perhaps than Family ties all beside the condition of the Italian bondsman was not mooften better than that of the American. Love and hope were Monumisleft to him. The breeding of slaves for sale was an froguont unusual though not unknown practice; and conse- $\begin{aligned} & \text { tham in in } \\ & \text { the }\end{aligned}$ quently though families must sometimes have been separated, even as they are now by the ordinary economic laws of supply and demand, that great blot on the American system, the systematic tearing away of the wife from her husband and the mother from her child, did not disgrace the Roman slave-owners. Manumission also must have been a far more frequent incident of servile life among the ancients, and when it came it opened up a far happier and more unhindered career.

This difference between the two systems is chiefly due to the obvious and fundamental distinction, that in Rome there did not, as in America, yawn the wide chasm of absolute diversity of race between bond and free. All nations, even the noblest of antiquity, were | represented in the slave market at Rome. The Greek doctor, or pedagogue, or scribe, the lusty Cappadocian who bore the litter, the Hebrew of whose nation Titus sold 97,000 into bondage, the Syrian, the Celt, the

[^319]562 Causes of the Fall of the Western Empire.
nookiri. Dacian, the German, were all in their various ways
Сп. 9. ministering to the luxury or providing for the wante of the Roman master. From such a motley throng combination was little to be dreaded, and on the other hand there was in them no great inferiority of race to prevent the slave, once liberated, from standing side by side with his old master. Hence, and from motives of pride and profit which made the freedman often a more desirable appendage to the family of the Roman noble than the slave himself, arose the great frequency of manumission, which was indeed slightly checked in the time of Augustus ${ }^{1}$, on account of the number of debased citizens with whom it was flooding the Commonwealth, but which remained a sufficiently common practice sensibly to ameliorate the condition of the Roman slave by introducing into it the vast medicament of Hope.

We turn to American slavery, and we see at once a mighty contrast. There every member of the servile caste belonged to one race, and that race one separated by wide ethnological interspaces from the dominant one, and far below it in intellectual energy. It is said that a proposition once made in the Roman Senate, to order all the slaves to wear a distinctive dress, was rejected, on the ground that it would be dangerous thus to reveal to them their superiority in numbers. What the Senate had denied in that case, Nature had done ineffaceably in the case of ' persons held to bondage' under the American laws, by clothing them all with one sable livery. Hence arose, on the one hand, the pride of race which placed the meanest of 'the mean whites' above the most honest and capable man

[^320]of African descent, and which denied to the latter, bookiII. however large his share of Furopean blood, ex parte Сп. 9. paterna, any share in the duties and rewards of civil life. Hence, on the other hand, arose the fear of race, causing the State to throw the whole weight of its influence into the scale against manumission, and imposing upon every man, whose skin bore witness to the servile condition of his ancestors, the burden of proof that he was not himself a slave. This state of the law and of public feeling was of course utterly absent in old Rome.
III. And, yet again, there was a difference which slavery not probably made the position of the negro, when he felt to beao began to reason and to reflect, more intolerable than the old that of the Dacian or the Syrian in a Roman villa or on an Italian farm. In the fifth century the conscience of the whole civilised world acquiesced in the fact of slavery ; in the nineteenth it protested against it. The Roman legislator said that this abrogation of the natural rights of man was an institution of the universal law of nations ${ }^{1}$, and his saying was confirmed by the fact that there was in all probability not one nation then existing, civilised or barbarian, wherein Slavery, in one form or another, did not exist. And so the bondsman of those days submitted to his servile condition, as men now submit to poverty or disease, grumbling indeed that they have drawn a bad number in the lottery of life, but without any intolerable feeling of injustice, without any indignant questioning,

[^321]воокiII. ‘Why was this horrible fate ever placed for me or for Сн, 9. any one among the possible conditions of existence?'

In America we all know what far different thoughts rankled in the breast of a high-spirited and intelligent slave. Great nations were living and flourishing without this institution which made his life hateful to him. Wide sections of the Christian Church condemned it as a crime against God and man. A week perhaps, or two weeks of nightly journeying towards the North Star, would take him to a land where no slaves toiled; a few weeks more would set him beyond the possibility of recapture. Assuredly this ever present thought that Liberty was in the world, was near, but was not for him, must have made the chains of many an American slave more galling, must have raised, sometimes almost to madness, his exasperation against the social system which was his foe.

Roman Slavery therefore probably excited less bitternees of feeling than American.
IV. Upon a review therefore of the main points of likeness and unlikeness between these two conditions of society, it seems reasonable to conjecture that the men who were owned by Roman masters were less dissatisfied with their lot than those who belonged to the American planters, and that Slavery as a disruptive force was more fatal to the Southern Confederacy than to the Western Empire.

But in Rome it had been working through twelve centuries, in the United States for less than three, and therefore its evil effects were less lasting, one may venture to hope, in the latter instance than in the former. Slavery had aided in the massing together of those 'wide farms' which were the ruin of Italy '. Slavery had emptied the fields and villages of the hardy

[^322]rustics who had once been the backbone of Roman bookiII. power. Slavery had filled the cities with idle and Cr.9. profligate babblers. Slavery had indoctrinated these men, themselves often freedmen or the sons of freedmen, with the pestilent notion that manual labour was beneath the dignity of a citizen. And lastly, Slavery had surrounded the thrones of the Emperors with men like Eutropius and Chrysaphius, who, by the favour of a fatuous master, crept from the position of a menial to that of a Prime Minister, and who, when their turn came, bitterly revenged upon Society the wrongs which they had suffered at its hands.

A new and happier world was to arise out of the ruins of the old. Slavery was to be softened into Serfdom, and Serfdom was slowly to disappear, both cbanges being largely attributable to the benign influence of the Christian Church. The fine old mediaeval motto,

> 'By hammer and hand All arts do stand,'
was to drive out, at any rate from the cities, the old, irrational, scorn of handicraft ; and the ergastulum and . the scourge were to vanish like an evil dream. And so if Slavery was a cause, the Abolition of Slavery was to be a result, though by no means an immediate result, of the Fall of the Empire.
§4. 'Panem et Circenses,' or the Pauperisation of the Roman Proletariat.

The Roman State at the beginning and the end of its career pursued towards its poorer classes two opposite lines of policy, both unjust, one of which might
bookill. reasonably have been expected to strangle the rising Сн. 9. nationality in its childhood, while the other certainly hastened the ruin of its old age.
The poorer In the first ages of the Republic the plebeian soldier citizens in the earlier ages of the Republic were oppresed by the State, was expected to leave his farm or his business to serve for a short campaign against the Aequians or Volscians, and to return to a home which had in many instances suffered from the depredations of the enemy, enriched only by a precarious portion of the booty, which, by the fortune of war or the unfairness of the dividing general, might turn out to be worth little or nothing. The real I gain of the most successful wars, the public land, was farmed out often at little more than a nominal rent to the senators or a few wealthy plebeians. Thus the whole tendency of the incessant wars of the Republic 1 was to make the rich richer and the poor poorer, a tendency aggravated by the high rates charged for interest and by the stern attitude of the Roman law towards the defaulting debtor. The well-known picture drawn in the Second Book of Livy of the brave old centurion, whose farm had been plundered during his absence with the army, and who, under the crushing load of debt and taxation, had been obliged first to part with the inheritance of his ancestors and then to surrender his person into the hands of his cruel creditor, and who at length escaped from his place of torment into the Forum, where his squalid garb, his long unkempt hair, his old and honourable scars received in battle with the enemy, and the new and shameful scourge-marks upon his back inflicted by the slave of a Roman senator, stirred the people to fury :-this picture may not be precisely and historically true of the 259th" year of the city, yet doubtless it is a type of many a
similar occurrence in those early days of the tyranny of book 11 . wealth.

The characteristic of Roman Legislation at this period is its contempt for the rights of the individual, its frightfully unfair notion of the partnership between him and the State-a partnership in which he gave his time, his blood, his heroism, to promote the glory of Rome, and received in return nothing, not even permission to live on the land of his fathers.

In the later phases of the Roman Commonwealth in the the opposite error was committed. After the Second pampered. Punic War the State really asked nothing of the poor citizen of Rome, and gave him everything that was necessary for life, and, in so giving, deprived him of

> ' Man's first, noblest, birthright, Toil.'

The pauperising legislation of Rome first wore the insidious form of a gentle intervention to lower the price of corn. When Spain, Stcily, and Africa were pouring in their tributes of cono or money to the exchequer of the Republic, it was not an unnatural suggestion that the wealth thus acqufred might fairly be expended in easing the material condition of the Roman citizens, of the men on whon had fallen the heaviest weight of all the blows from Regillus to Cannae, by which the Roman State bed been fashioned into greatness. Not 1 an unnatura thought; and yet if the remembrance of the scourged veteran in the Forum, and of all the cruel wrongs of the early Plebeians, had anything to do with ripening it into action, we have here an instance of that strange Nemesis of Unrighteousness, which sometimes leads s atesmen in the very excess of their penitence for an (njustice in the past to prepare a new and greater
bookim. injustice for the future. It bad been a cruel wrong to CR. 9. send forth the Roman Plebeian to fight the Volscian or Aequian, and not even to keep his homestead free from the exactions of the creditor, who would not have been a creditor but for the military service of the breadwinner. It was not less a wrong to make the Spaniard or the Sicilian toil, in order to enable the descendants of that same Plebeian to prolong a life of idleness and dissipation in the Roman Forum.

Economic absurdity of the grain distribations.

And, indirectly, this interference with true economic laws injured Italy no less than the Provinces. How was the Etrurian or Sabine farmer to grow his corn to a profit, when the whole machinery of the administration of the Republic was being employed to sell corn from beyond the seas at far less than cost price in the Roman capital? This was not Free Trade; it was, if we may use the expression, Protection turned inside out; it was a systematic exclusion of the Italian corngrower from his own natural market. Of course the Italian farmer, already sorely harassed by the necessity of competition with slave-labour, succumbed, and virtually disappeared from the scene. The latifundia, the vast domains worked by celibate slaves, took the place of the small yeomen's holdings; the horrible ergastulum replaced thè free and happy homestead; sheep-walks, vine-yards, and olive-yards occupied the ground once employed in the growth of corn, and, more important by far than even the disappearance of her waving corn-fields, Italy ceased to produce men as she had once done, just when the need of men to bear the world-wide burden of her Empire was the greatest.

Market price of oorn.

There were great fluctuations in the market price of corn under the Republic. In the Second Punic War
it rose as high as 51 shillings the quarter; in the wars boor inI. between Marius and Sulla as high as 102 shillings, CH. 9. during a great famine under Augustus to 115 shillings. But these were simply famine prices. On the other hand, during a year of great plenty near the close of the Second Punic War, the price was as low as two shillings and eight pence a quarter. A little later, according to Polybius, it was frequently sold in the valley of the Po for two shillings and eleven pence a quarter ${ }^{1}$. As between these wide fluctuations it appears to be admitted that about 21 shillings a quarter was the ordinary market price. Now, by the legislation of Prioe fixed Caius Gracchus, each citizen had the right to claim by legiolaevery month a bushel and a quarter of corn from the Grachars. public stores for seventeen pence, that is to say at the rate of nine shillings-a quarter, or less than half the average market price ${ }^{9}$. The rest of the legislation of the younger Gracchus died with him, but this, its worse feature, remained. When supreme power passed from the Senate and the Assembly of the People to the Caesars, these latter rulers, though in many respects the cbampions of the Provincials against Rome, did not dare to withdraw the supplies of cheap corn from the citizens, though they did limit-eventually to 200,000 -the number of persons who were entitled thus to purchase it. Gradually the form of sale and purchase was done away with, and the distribution became simply

[^323]boокiris gratuitous. By the middle of the second century of Ca. a. our era, the monthly supplies of corn had been changed

Gratuitoua distribation subtion subsales under markot price. Condoot of the Roman citizen was thus entitled to receive (we Aurelian with reference to ference to
the largean
of bread. for the far more convenient and even more pauperising distribution of wheaten loaves, baked perhape two or three times a week.
When Aurelian ascended the throne, the loaf which know not for how many days' consumption), weighed one uncia (that is $\frac{1}{1 s}$ ) less than two pounds ${ }^{2}$. As he went forth from the gates of the city on his expedition against the Queen of Palmyra, he announced to the people that if he should return victorious he would present each one of them with a crown of two pounds' weight. The citizens expected that these crowns would be of gold (worth more than $£ 80$ apiece), a donative which was beyond the power and the inclination of Aurelian. Yet were they not altogether disappointed, for when he had boen drawn in triumph up the Sacred Hill, preceded by the weeping Zenobia, he commanded that wheaten loaves ${ }^{2}$, sbaped like crowns and weighing each two pounds, should be distributed to the people. Through the remainder of his life and apparently during the reigns of his successors, these larger loaves were given to those who possessed the needful tessera or out-door relief ticket, and this uncia added to the civic rations seems to have been seriously regarded by the patriotic but ill-advised Emperor as one of his chief titles to greatness. In writing to Arabianus the Public Commissary-General (Praefectus Annonae), he says; ' Of all the good deeds which by the favour of the

[^324]Immortal Gods I have wrought for the Commonwealth boorini. none is more splendid than this, that I have increased CB. 9. the distribution of corn to every citizen by one uncia. To ensure the perpetuity of this benefit I have appointed more ship-masters for the Nile and for the river-traffic of Rome. I have raised the banks of the Tiber and deepened the channel of its head-strong current ${ }^{1}$. I have paid my vows to Perennity and the other Gods, I have consecrated a statue of the gracious Ceres. Now be it thy task, my dearest Arabianus, to see that these arrangements of mine be not unfruitful. For there is nothing in the world more cheerful than the Roman people when they have well eaten ${ }^{2}$.' This same Emperor, though fond of repressing what he considered inordinate luxury (forbidding his wife to wear a silken dress because silk was then worth its weight in gold, and proscribing the use of gold threads and gilded ceilings, whereby he considered that a metal which ought to be as plentiful as silver was unnecessarily wasted), nevertheless added to the rations of the Roman people, articles which can hardly be considered as of prime necessity. He gave them pork and oil and wine; at least as to the last gift he had taken measures for planting extensive vineyards in Etruria, and cultivating them with slave-labour for the sake of a gratuitous distribution of wine to the citizens, but according to one story the scheme was frustrated by the intervention of the Praetorian Prefect who told the generous Emperor that if he gave them wine he would have to supplement his gifts with roast ducks and chickens. He also gave them white tunics with long sleeves imported

[^325]boorini. from various provinces of the Empire, and linen garCH. 9. ments from Africa and Egypt. A generous and popular Emperor doubtless, but Communism thus robed in the purple is an excellent destroyer of Commonwealths.

Legislation of the Va lentiniana on the same subject.

Let us now traverse an interval of a hundred years, and see what shape this system of out-door relief had assumed under the dynasty of the Valentinians. A long Title of the Theodosian code ${ }^{1}$ is devoted to the subject. It contains fifteen laws, chiefly the bandiwork of the Emperors Valentinian and Valens, partly of Theodosius I and his sons. The first point which strikes us is, that Rome no longer enjoys a monopoly of the often lauded 'Imperial Munificence.' Constantine in founding his new capital by the Bosphorus has conferred upon it also the doubtful boon of the Annona or free largess of corn; and in order to meet the requirements of this largess, the corn-ships of Alexandria-as was remarked on a previous occasion-are now diverted from Rome to Byzantium. The City by the Tiber has now practically only the corn-fields of that province of which her ancient rival, Carthage, is the capital, to look to for her supplies. Antioch and Alexandria seem also to have shared in the public distributions, but the edicts relating to these cities do not appear in the code, possibly because their largesses were left to be regulated by the local authorities.

In Rome and Constantinople the Theodosian code presents us with a lively but strange picture of this organisation of pauperism. Three great classes are the recipients of that which is called by a courtly fiction 'the bounty of the Emperors.' These classes are 'the Palatini, the Militares, and the Populares, that is to

[^326]say, the servants of the palace, the soldiers, and the BookiII. mass of the people. The last class receive their rations Cェ. 9. strictly as householders. The law is very decided on this point, 'Aedes sequantur annonae' (the rations must follow the houses) ; that is to say, if a citizen who has been receiving the ration alienates his house, he loses the right to his daily loaf. At Constantinople special stress is laid on the great Founder's desire to encourage house-building in his new city, and an attempt is made (apparently not a successful one) to limit even the soldiers' share in the annona to those who possess houses in the capital.

The three classes seem to have received their rations The Panis seated on some of the great public staircases in which the City of the Seven Hills abounded, and yet abounds. Some have thought that they were all collected for this purpose in the Colosseum, but it seems more probable that each of the fourteen Regions of the City had its own flight of steps on which the applicants seated themselves, as well as its own bakery, from which they were supplied. Each class of recipients is mustered apart; the Palatini, the Militares, the Populares, have each their own tiers of seats. The bread which is distributed to them is called 'the Step-Bread' (Panis Gradilis), and the separate classes are known as 'Steps.' Stringent laws forbid the transference of the Panis Gradilis from one 'Step' to another, and the Public Commissary-General (Praefectus Annonae) is warned that the severest penalties hang over him, if he suffers this regulation to be infringed. The prohibition can hardly relate to the mere physical transportation of a loaf of bread from one stone stair to another. It probably means that each class of recipients was to be
bоокill. considered as complete in itself, and that in case of
CH. 9. death or removal, the lapsed ration of a Palatinus was to be transferred only to another Palatinus, that of a Popularis to another Popularis.

Continual evacions of the lawn regarding the Panis Gradilim.

But from such an inversion of the great industrial laws upon which Society is founded, abuse was inseparable. The holders of the Tessera, or relief-ticket, eager to accept the alms of the State, but anxious to escape from the ignominy of asking for them, used to present themselves at the great public bakeries, and there, probably by bribery, obtain the loaves to which they were entitled. This practice was forbidden, and it was ordained 'that all men should receive their step-bread from the steps, and that none should be handed out by the shop-keepers, lest thereby any fraud should arise concerning the Panis Gradilis.'

A brazen tablet was to be affixed to the wall, near to the steps of distribution, and on it the name of the receiver and the measure of bread due to him were to be engraved. 'And if any one's impertinence should carry him so far that he shall usurp for himself or his family the right of that bread, and get his name wrongfully inserted in the brazen tablet, he shall receive chastisement according to his condition.'

The meaning of these last words is made more clear. by a savage decree of the Emperor Valentinian (370). It seems that some of the Senators and great men of Rome were guilty of the meanness of sending members of their households to receive this public bread, which was of course intended only for the poorer class of free citizens. Thereupon the edict runs : 'Should the steward or slave of any Senator wrongfully obtain the Panis Gradilis by direct purchase from the clerk of distribu-
tion, or by bribery, or even by his mere connivance, let boormi. such steward or slave be subjected to the torture of the Сп. 9. equuleus ${ }^{1}$. If it appears that he was prompted to this illegality by his own impudence, without the knowledge of his master, let him serve in chains in that bakery which he has been defrauding. Should, however, complicity in the offence be traced to his master, let the house of that Senator be confiscated for the use of the treasury.
' In other ranks of life, if any one who is possessed of private resources shall confess the aforessid crime, let him and all that he has be bound over to the service of the bakery.
' If he shall be of the very poorest classes'-a provision which shows that this demoralising largess did not even answer the purpose of a Poor-law since 'the very poorest' were not all entitled to it-'he shall be forced to labet as if he were a slave.

- As for the clerks of distribution who shall be proved to have perpetrated this forbidden wickedness, the sword which is the vindicator of the laws shall be drawn against them.'

It would weary the reader were we to trace in further A labyrinth detail the intricacies of the legislation concerning the of moree annonae. There are arrangements for changing stale ${ }^{\text {logisiation. }}$ loaves (sordidi panes) for new, edicts granting a certain supply of oil to persons designated by the Prefect of the City 'for the refreshment of their frames,' edicts forbidding the soldiers of the Imperial Guard to transmit their right to the ration as a hereditary claim to their children, and again, other edicts repealing these ${ }^{2}$.

[^327]воокin. It is a labyrinth of Imperial legislation, and all leading
С. 9.
to what end? To the maintenance in idleness of the worthless population of four great cities, a population which every wise legislator would have sought by every means in his power to divert from the cities, to lead back into the country, to marry to the land, to raise to something of the dignity of manhood by that wrestling with Nature for her blessings, which makes up the daily life of Agriculture. But no: the old legal fiction of the sovereignty of the Roman people still survived, and therefore the so-called citizen of Rome-the descendant in all probability of a Syrian or Cappadocian slavemust be allowed to spend his days in lordly idleness, seeing the charioteers drive, and the gladiators die, and then presenting himself at the appointed time at the steps of his 'regio' to receive his Panis Gradilis from the bounty of the Emperor. And, to accomplish this desirable end, the administrative energies of the declining Empire must be weighted with the duties of a vast and complicated commissariat alike in peace and in war.

## § 5. Destruction of the Middle Class by the fiscal Oppression of the Curiales.

Fiscal rui of the Middie Classes in the provincial towne.

We have seen how the social and political system of Rome tended to destroy the free labourers in the country, and to degrade them in the great cities. We have now to consider that system of fiscal oppression by which the Empire crushed out the life of the middle classes in the provincial towns. A great French statesman ${ }^{1}$, who has treated of this subject with a fulness of

[^328]knowledge drawn both from books and from practical воокin. politics, considers that this cause was more powerful Ce. 9. than all others in bringing about the ruin of Rome.

The civilisation of the great Republic was essentially origin of a municipal civilisation. An urban community herself, oipim she naturally associated herself with other urban communities, and wherever her influence has profoundly and permanently modified the life of any modern people, it will be found that that people is, by choice and not from the mere force of economic laws; urban in its tastes and its habits The towns of Italy and of the provinces possessed, during the ages of the Republic, very various privileges, and stood in very various relations to the sovereign City. Some were coloniae, own children of Rome, some were municipia, stranger towns, gathered within the circle of ' the Roman friendship or subjection.' .But as the power of the Emperors grew, and as the forms of popular government by assemblies of the citizens at Rome faded into/insignificance, the diversities of privilege between the various cities of the Empire faded also. Political power was now all gathered up into one centre, and lodged in the hands of one single man, the Augugtus at Rome, who might delegate it to prefect or vioar, as he chose. But municipal freedom still existod-that is to say, during the first three centuries after the Christian era-and municipal power was lodged in the hands of magistrates, freely chosen by the persons who owned as much as
a fine demonstration of the morbid anatomy of a state. Guizot founds himself chiefly upon Roth 'de Re Municipali Romanorum,' Stuttgart, 1801. The Theodosian Code is the quarry from which both authors derive their materials. Marquardt, in his Römische Staatsverwaltung, i. 463-512, expands, and in some details corrects, Guizot's sketch of the earlier history of the municipalities.
boos ini fifteen acres (twenty-five jugera) in the borough or district round it. The affairs of the little republic were managed by an assembly modelled upon the Senate of Rome itself. It was called sometimes the Senate, sometimes the Curia, and its members, who obtained a seat as the Roman Senators did, by filling some office in the State, were called Decuriones, possibly because there were originally ten minor Curiae of ten members each, thus furnishing a total of one hundred members to the Senate. In the large towns, however, this number was often exceeded. Marquardt points out that at Antioch the number of Decuriones varied from 1200 at its best estate to sixty at its worst. The sepulchral inscriptions, which we now see in such numbers in the Italian museums, recording that the dead man was a Decurio of his native town, show that the title was, for several centuries, one which conferred a certain amount of social distinction on the holder, and we may perhaps say that the D E C of these Latin epigraphs corresponds to the Esq. of an English churchyard.

Local solf-govarnment existed side by mide with the Imperial sybtem.

Thus, during these early centuries of the Empire, the local government of the towns was both in name and in fact republican. We need only recur to some familiar examples in the Acts of the Apostles, to understand how these municipal liberties existed side by side with the great machine of the Imperial administration, independent in their own sphere, yet trembling lest by any unauthorised proceeding they should be brought within its far-reaching and heavy stroke. The Praetors of Philippi are afraid when their lictors bring them word that the men whom they have scourged and thrust into prison are Roman citizens. The seven politarchs of Thessalonica are troubled when the mob
of lewd fellows of the baser sort come surging round bоокin. them, accusing the inmates of Jason's house of acting Cr.9. contrary to the decrees of Caesar, and teaching that there is another king, one Jesus. The Recorder of Ephesus is anxious that the dispute between Paul and the silversmiths should be determined in a legal manner before the tribunal of the Proconsul of Asia, and that the authorities of the city should not have to answer difficult interrogatories as to the cause of the tumultuary assembly in the theatre. Continually we find ourselves in presence of real and living, though somewhat precarious, forms of local self-government.

The first two centuries and a half of the Empire may GoldenAge be perhaps considered as the golden age of the muni- of niche Mu-
 thus secured to the middle classes of society was probably the chief cause of the admitted success of the Imperial administration during the greater part of that period. Numerous laws were passed in favour of the municipalities. They were permitted to receive, and probably did receive, large gifts and bequests of property from their members. Fraud practised upon them by one of their officials was made equivalent, not to simple theft, but to the heavier offence of peculation. The Decurions were exempted from capital punishment for every crime but that of parricide. Finally, the municipal treasury, devoted to the construction and maintenance of great public works, roads, bridges, temples and theatres, and to the celebration of the solemn public sacrifices, was easily kept full, and had not as yet attracted the avaricious regards of the Emperors, who 'found the treasures of Rome and the ordinary contributions of the provinces suffice for the

воокin. needs, and even for the follies, of the central power ${ }^{1}$.' From the brightness of this picture some abatement must doubtless be made, as regards the seventy years of anarchy and confusion which intervened between the death of Caracalla and the accession of Diocletian (217284). It is not possible that when mutiny, rebellion, and civil war were the chronic condition of the Empire, the municipalities can have enjoyed the full measure of their former prosperity. But whatever they may have suffered in this way was probably irregular and exceptional. It could scarcely yet be said, as far as the curiales were concerned, that the throne of the Emperors was 'a throne of iniquity framing mischief by a law.'

Diooletian'e rearganigation of the Fm pire fatal to local liberty.

This last and fatal phase in the history of the municipalities was probably, in great measure, the result of the remodelling of the Empire by Diocletian ? That great statesman saw that some change was needed if
${ }^{1}$ Guizot, Essai, p. 10.
${ }^{2}$ Guizot lays the whole blame of this legislation on Constantine. No doubt the edicts on the subject in the Theodosian Code chiefly bear his name, bat the canse was surely the more burdensome administration of the Empire, and it would take at least twenty years (from Diocletian's accession in 284 to Constantine's accession in 306) to bring about that great change which Guizot so well describes, by which a coveted dignity became an odious charge. And, in fact, the oppression of the municipalities by the central power had probably been going on for a mach longer period. Marquardt (Römischo Staatsverwaltung, i. 51I) points out that as early as the time of Trajan ( $99-117$ ), in what Guizot considers the golden age of the municipalities, there are already slight traces of persons 'qui inviti frunt decuriones ' (Trajan's Letter to Pliny in Plinii Epistolae, x. 113 ). And the same author seems inclined to place the beginning of the ' Verfall der Curien' as early as the beginning of the third centory. But he admits that this decline did not become atter rain till the age of Constantine.
the Empire was not to be rent asunder by the hands of boorinl. its own children. The changes which be accordingly O.s. 9. introduced have been already briefly described ${ }^{1}$. These changes answered their immediate purpose. The Roman Empire was held together for another century and a half, but it gained life at the cost of the means of living. According to the old fable ${ }^{2}$, Phaethon, when entrusted with the chariot of the Sun-god, drove it too near to the earth and began rapidly to dry up all the pools and fountains of waters. Even so now, the Imperial Majesty, of which flatterers had made a kind of god upon earth, appearing in all the vigour of its new administrative powers close to every portion of the Empire, began at once to dry up many a reservoir of wealth which had escaped the rapacity of former Emperors. Especially was this true of the funds hitherto devoted to the purposes of local self-government. These, which the Curiae had hitherto not only raised, but administered, were now diverted to the Imperial Exchequer to provide for the pomp of the palace, the salaries of the swarms of new officials, and the donatives to the legions, while the strictly useful and reproductive expenditure on rouds and bridges, and other local needs, fell day by day into abeyance ${ }^{3}$.

In the happier days of the municipalities, plenty of citizens had generally been found ready and anxious to discharge, even at some cost to themselves, the civic

[^329]Claudian, De IV Cons. Honorii, 63-65.

[^330]bookin. functions of their little republics.\The example of

CH. 9.
Christianity diverts the stream of philanthropy from municipal to religions objects. England, and still more that of America, proves that where there exists a large and flourishing middle class, endowed with local self-government, money is for the most part freely forthcoming for the wants of the community.) When the State is at peace, that bealthy emulation which exists between citizens, and that desire to emerge from the ranks, which is natural to men, leads one to build a bridge, another to establish a library, a third to endow a school, a fourth to spend lavisbly on the duties of his mayoralty, and so on. The same disposition had, no doubt, existed in the Curiae throughout the Roman Empire. Bnt now a new competitor for the generosity of the citizens appeared in the shape of the Christian Church, perpetually increasing the sumptuousness of ber worship, perpetually widening the sphere of her duties as public almoner, and, for both objects, claiming and receiving large oblations from the wealthy. The parish now competed with the Curia, and the benevolent citizen who would have built an aqueduct in the second century, founded a church in the third.

The Emperors begin to look apon the Caria nsa mere taxingmachine.

And simultaneously with this new diversion of the funds of the charitable, the great Imperial mendicant drew nigh to the impoverished Curia, but speaking now with an altered tone, and saying no longer 'If you like,' but 'You must.' We see the results of the pressure which now began to be put upon the municipalities, but the exact manner of its working does not seem to be disclosed to us. An impost called the ' Aurum Coronarium,' which had once been purely a free-will offering occasionally given by the cities to the Roman generals, was now a regular tax paid by the

Decurions as such, and by them only. The other taxes, воorini. which were assessed afresh every fifteen years throughout the whole Empire, were levied upon the Curia in its collective capacity, and if any member made default, his fellow-decurions must make good the deficiency. Under the pressure of this continually-increasing taxation, some lands went out of cultivation altogether, since there was no profit left for the proprietor after the claims of the State were satisfied. So much the more taxes must the surrounding proprietors pay, to make up for the loss to the treasury from those unsown acres ${ }^{1}$. It is evident that when once this process had reached a certain stage, the load of taxation on the proprietors who still endeavoured to bear it would increase, not in arithmetical, but in geometrical proportion, and life would become nothing but a cruel race between the tax-collector and his victim.

The inevitable result followed. The Curiae, which The offoe had once been honoured and envied communities, easily from of bearing the weight of their public duties, and dispens- dignoted ing comfort and happiness to the district round them, beoomes were now mere gaols in which the middle classes were eharge. shut up from birth till death, to toil for the Imperial Treasury. The dignity of Decurion, or curialis as he was now often called, was no longer bestowed on the

[^331]bookini most worthy by the suffrages of his fellow-citizens. It CH. 9. was a charge descending from the father to the son, which the son, however anxious to be freed from

Codex Theodosianus, Libar xii, Titulus 1. it, could not renounce ${ }^{1}$. The longest 'title' (as it is called) in the Theodosian Code, is that which contains the 188 laws, passed during 150 years, concerning the rights and duties of the Decurions. Of their rights perhaps eight laws speak, of their duties the remaining 180, and that in tones of inflexible severity. The perpetually recurring expression, 'the son of a Curial must be bound to the Curia,' formulated as it is with the word mancipetur, which we know so well by its opposite, emancipation, shows sufficiently how grievous a burden the service of the municipalities was considered. It is true that more than once we meet with a proviso that no one is to be condemned to enter the ranks of the Decurions as a punishment ${ }^{2}$. 'The splendour of the Curiae' is said to be dear to the Imperial heart, and ' $a$ criminal should be visited with punishment, not with an accession of dignity;' but this hypocritical pretence can deceive no one who reads the laws by which this enactment is preceded and followed, and who sees therein the perpetual struggle of the middle classes to escape from their connection with the Curiae, and the ruthless determination with which Emperors and Prefects force them back into that hateful prison-house.

[^332]No provincial governor on his own authority might boornin. excuse a Decurion from his municipal obligations on Cn. 9. the score of poverty ${ }^{1}$. The Emperor reserved to him- Restrio- tion on the self alone the exercise of this prerogative. Small, of the certainly, was the probability that a citizen, too poor to cariales. pay his curial dues, would be able to defray the expense of a journey to Rome in order to obtain this exemption. And yet their chronic misery may have urged many to undertake this painful pilgrimage, for we find another edict ${ }^{8}$ whereby they were forbidden to visit the Emperor on public or private business without the leave of the Governor of the Province in which they dwelt. The prohibition went further: they were forbidden to take any kind of journey, lest they should defraud the Curia of their services, and for the same reason they were forbidden to leave the cities and take up their residence in the country ${ }^{3}$. That free circulation of the, citizens, which makes the life of modern states, was a crime in the eyes of the Imperial legislator, because it interfered with his machinery of fiscal extortion.

Nothing gives us a more convincing proof of the utterly unbearable condition of the Curiales than the continual efforts which they made to divest themselves of their status, and the storm of Imperial edicts by which they were constantly met and driven back into their Curiae. In truth, the whole series of this legislation seems like an attempt to compress an incompressible fluid, or in some similar way to violate the fundamental laws of physics.

The Decurion was not to be allowed to rise into the Carears profession of an advocate, lest he should thereby obtain $\begin{gathered}\text { diomed } \\ \text { hem }\end{gathered}$

[^333]${ }^{2}$ Ibid. xii. 1. 143, 144 ; xii. 18.

воокiII. exemption from his curial obligations; for the same reason be was not to be allowed to descend into the guild of the rag-collectors ${ }^{1}$; nor should he be permitted to farm the taxes of the province, lest in case of his default, the Emperor and the Curia might find themselves opposing creditors of a bankrupt estate. If a Decurion married a fermale slave, as the offspring of such a marriage would be incapable of representing him in the Curia, he himself was to be banished to a distant island, his slave-wife to be sent to work in the mines, and his property to pass to his next of kin, upon whom would devolve his obligations to the Curia Probibition It might have been thought that when every Teato enter the Army tonic and Scythian nationality from the Caspian to the Scheldt was pouring down upon the Empire, when the Romans were

## ' Ringed around with barking dogs of war ${ }^{3}$,'

the mustering of men for the battle-field would have been an object of primary importance with their rulers, and that if an oppressive conscription were not resorted to, at least every volunteer would be eagerly welcomed. By no means : the maintenance of the Curia, as a taxingmachine in a state of efficiency, was the first consideration, for upon this depended the splendour of the Imperial household, and the rapid fortunes of Prefects and Counts.

To escape from the misery of their lot as bondslaves of a bankrupt municipality, the Decurions, who were legally bound to serve in a kind of local force, the

[^334](Claudian, In Eutropium, ii. 486.)
militia cohortalis, thronged in multitudes into the воокirir. regular army, the militia armata. Law after law was С. 9. passed with tedious reiteration, forbidding the officers to enlist any man who is under curial obligations, prescribing the form in which each recruit is to declare his freedom from such liability, and insisting on the dragging back into the Curia of such Decurions as might after all have crept through all this mesh-work of opposing edicts into the army. True, if any had already served for fifteen years in the army, he was to be safe from further pursuit; but then, on the other hand, look at this provision, ' If any man of military descent shall enlist in the militia cohortalis ${ }^{1}$, and if, with strength yet unbroken, he shall put forward the plea of advanced age, or by reason of weakness shall be judged unfit for the work of war, he shall be drawn forth from the lurking-place of his cowardice, and bound over to the duties of the Curiae.' The bondage of the Curia-that was the Chelsea Hospital which Rome provided for her broken-down soldiers in the year 380 under the auspices of Theodosius.

The Church as well as the Army offered a door of or even the escape from Curial obligations. We are not surprised at finding the Pagan Emperor Julian closing this door and decreeing ${ }^{2}$ that 'Decurions, who as Christians' [whereby clergymen are probably intended] 'decline. the offices of their township, are to be recalled.' But if any different strain of legislation was hoped for from a pious Emperor like Theodosius, the Convener of the Second Council, the glory and defence of the Catholic

[^335]воокm. Church, such hopes were doomed to disappointment.
C\#. 9. 'Those Curiales,' says he ${ }^{1}$, 'who prefer to serve the Churches rather than their Curiae, if they wish to be that which they simulate, let them scorn to withdraw their property from the service of theiy country. For we will certainly not liberate them on any other condition than this, that they renounce their patrimonies. Since it is not becoming that souls which are devoted to the contemplation of God should feel any regret at the loss of their ancestral property ' $(383)$.

It is true that some years later (390) an exemption is made on behalf of those who have already entered the ranks of the clergy. 'He who before the second Consulship of my Mildness ${ }^{2}$ ' [the mildness of him who in that very year ordered the massacre at Theissalonica] ' has reached the eminence of Presbyter, or undertaken the ministry of Deacon, or the office of Exorcist, may keep all his patrimony safe a a d free from curial bonds But he who, under whatever name, shall have betaken himself to the religious ministrations of divine worship after the date of my aforessid Consulship, let him know that he must give up the whole of his patrimony ${ }^{\text {s.'. }}$

Other laws, of an earlier as well as a later date than those which have been quoted, enacted that the curial Cleric should be withdrawn from his sacred profession and restored to the civic duties from which he had absconded. Such a provision, which shows that the ecclesiastical hierarchy, howev .: powerful, was still far from occupying the position which she held in the days of Hildebrand, must surelf have clashed against even the then existing Canons of the Church. No instances

[^336]: Cod. Theod. xii. 1. 1 a1.
however seem to be forthcoming, to show in what way booririr. this conflict of laws was settled.

The monks, if Curiales, were handled by the State Curial even more roughly than the clergy. It should be erem more stated however that the decree which is next to follow roughly was issued by the Emperor Valens, who, as an Arian, conrinc had special reasons for hating the enthusiastically Athanasian monks of Egypt at whom it is principally aimed (365).

- Certain lovers of idleness, deserting their civic duties, affect solitary and secret places, and under the guise of religion are collected together with the assemblies of the Lonely-Livers (Monazontes). We have therefore, on deliberation, commanded that all these, and men like them, if taken in Egypt, shall be drawn forth from their hiding-places by the Count of the East, and shall be recalled to undergo the charges of their native districts, or else, by virtue of this law, shall be deprived of the delights of their possessions ${ }^{1}$, which, it is our pleasure, shall be claimed by those who have to undertake the charge of the public functions ${ }^{\text {8 }}$.'

Besides the Church and the Army another career, if confiot he only could succeed in entering it, seemed to promise botrmeem to the aspiring Curial an exemption from the crushing | of the the |
| :--- | load of municipal liability. This was service in the carthe vast Imperial households, for the Palatinus of whatever rank was not only entitled, as has been already seen, to share in the corm-largesses; he was also, as the servant of the Emperor, free from 'mancipation' to any other master. And in this way, no doubt, many thousands of Decurions managed to evade the onerous

[^337]воокil obligations of local self-government. There is a long
CH. 9. series of vacillating decrees bearing on the cass of these men. According to one edict thirty years' prescription was necessary, according to others, five years sufficed, to prevent the dreaded sentence, ' Let him be dragged back to his Curia.' The general impression left on the mind by these decrees is that they soon became waste parchment, the theory of government requiring that the rights of the Curia should be insisted upon, while in practice the favour of the Sovereign was powerful enough to shield from curial pursuit the members of his household. Theodosius (or Valentinian II), however, once breaks forth into a strain of sublime indignation against those who trusted to this means of deliverance (386). 'Let the Curiales who have supposed that they could be defended by the privilege of our Household be dragged back to their Curia, so that they may be "mancipated" to their proper functions and may repair the public losses. Nevertheless if any of these shall be proved to ove anything to our Divine household, let him pay it '.' This noble sacrifice by the Emperor of everybody else to the necessities of the country, coupled.with the sharpest attention to the interests of his own 'divine household,' is characteristic of the legislation of that period. tion.

From this general survey of the laws relating to the Decurions it will be seen that we have here a state of things not altogether unlike that which existed in France before the Revolution. A court and a noblesse above ${ }^{2}$, exempt from the heaviest part of the national

[^338]taxation, and with their hands for ever in the national boomin. exchequer: below, a people robbed and spoiled, taillable С. 9. et corvéable à merci, that is, without mercy and without foresight, and consequently some of the most fertile countries in the world brought by the tax-gatherer to the verge of starvation. The difference between the two cases is that in France taille and corvée reached down to the very lowest of the people: in the Roman Empire, the slaves and the 'plebeians' (as the class of freemen who lacked the curial qualification were called) were not shut up in the taxing-pen of the Curia. It was essentially an oppression of the middle classes that was thus carried on; but a century and a half of this steady, persevering tyranny had so ground down the once prosperous and thriving Decurions, that it may be doubted whether they were not, when the Western Empire fell, practically lower than the lowest of the proletariat.
M. Guizot mentions two privileges which were left componto the Curiales, and which, he thinks, may have been vilingen some slight compensation for their many miseries.

1. Freedom from Corporal Punishment. We find $\frac{T}{\text { Theroretical }}$ certainly several laws which appear to concede this fromption privilege to the Decurions. Especially is it forbidden Corporal to chastise them with the Plumbatae, the scourge with ${ }^{\text {ment. }}$ lumps of metal knotted into its thongs, which was ordinarily used for the chastisement of slaves. One remarkable law, passed in the year 381, says ${ }^{1}$, 'Let all Judges and Governors of Provinces abstain from usurping a power which does not belong to them, and let them know that absolutely no Principalis nor Decurion, whatever fault or error he may have committed,
[^339]BOOKIII. is to be submitted to the torments of the Plumbatae.
C․ 9. Should perchance any judge hereafter break forth into such pertinacity of forbidden madness as to dare to subject a Principalis and a Decurion, a man who is, so to speak, the Senator of his Curia, to the strokes of the Plumbatae, let him be condemned to pay a fine of twenty pounds of gold ( $£ 800$ ), and branded with perpetual infamy so that not even a special rescript of our own shall suffice to remove the stigma. The officer who has administered the chastisement shall be forced to pay a fine of fifty pounds of gold ( $£ 2000$ ) inasmuch as, the command of the judge being unlawful, we give him full liberty to disobey it.' This lawgiver seems to be in earnest, and the provision for inflicting a heavier fine on the actual wielder of the lash than on his master seems cleverly contrived to prevent the perpetration of the outrage. But one may doubt, from the frequent reappearance of similar provisions in the Code, whether the immunity from stripes-which was, after all, theoretically the privilege of every Roman citizen-was practically enjoyed by 'the Decurion, the Senator of his Curia.' For by later edicts (387 and 392) Theodosius expressly enacts that Decurions, who have been guilty of malversation in respect of the public monies ${ }^{1}$, or 'who owe anything' ${ }^{3}$-a category which would of course include those whose taxes were in arrearmay be punished with the Plumbatae. As in Egypt at the present day ${ }^{8}$ the bastinado, applied to the elders of the village, extracts the intolerable tax from the unfortunate fellah, so doubtless, many a time, in the last century of the Empire, did the cruel blows of

[^340]8 Written in 1879: happily no longer true in 1892.
the Plumbatae wring the last denarius out of the boorini. coffers of the Decurion.
2. A more substantial privilege doubtless, though Propeses of from its nature attainable by few, was the prospect of the Roman entering the Senate, and so passing from the class of ${ }^{\text {Senatea }}$ the oppressed into that of the oppressors. An inhabitant of one of the more important municipalities ${ }^{1}$, who was possessed of large means, and had steadily climbed the ladder of official dignities in his native town, having finally attained the rank of presiding Duumvir, was to be considered free from all further curial obligations, to hold the rank of an Ex-Count, and with the title of clarissimus, had the right of a seat in the innermost circle at the public games, and the Governor of the Province was bound to salute him with a kiss. Last and most important, an entrance was permitted him into the Roman Senate, 'the noblest Curia of all,' but apparently on condition of his leaving a son, or some other substitute, to represent him in the Curia from which he emerged.

Often it would occur that a wealthy and popular Curial, by official favour or by bribing his fellow-townsmen, would succeed in missing some steps of the slow ascent, and would present himself in the Senate-house at Rome before he was duly qualified. In such a case, said the Emperor Constantius ${ }^{2}$ (361)-
' The Decurions who shirk their own duties and betake themselves to the fellowship of our Senate shall be struck off the roll of that body, and "mancipated"

[^341]VOL. IL

воок III. to their own cities. Those, however, who have served the office of Praetor' [which involved heavy expenses in connection with the Praetorian games exhibited to the people] 'may remain in the Senate, but must restore any monies which they may have abstracted from our Imperial Exchequer, or from the bowels of the municipalities.' Many similar laws follow, some of which ingeniously fasten on such premature Senators a double pecuniary obligation, first as Curial, and, second, as Senator. A yet harsher tone is observable in the following law, passed in the year 398 by Arcadius, Emperor of the East.
"All the Curiales are to abide in their original Curies, their duties to which are of perpetual obligation. Those who by fraud or popular canvaesing lave clambered up into the place of high Administrators and Rulers of Provinces, are to be at once deprived of the honours which they have obtained, and not only with swift and strong hand drawn back to their own Curia, and made to serve all its offices from the very beginning, but shall also be mulcted in half their patrimony.' But, by an edict which was published shortly after, these stringent provisions were somewhat modified in the case of a Curial who had obtained senatorial rank 'before the Ides of November, in the fourth Consulship of Lord Honorius Augustus, Brother of my Eternity, and his colleague Eutychianus.'
' Brother of my Eternity:' such was the pompous style in which the imbecile Arcadius spoke of the imbecile Honorius. It was time for our Teutonic kinsman, Alaric, to tear down the purple hangings of Empire,

[^342] reeking with flattery and falsehood.

One last exemption must be noticed, which points to $\underset{\text { for the }}{\text { Exen }}$ the dwindling state of the population of the Provinces, forther of but which rests on a basis of humanity and good sense. obhldren. It was enacted by the Emperor Julian ${ }^{1}$ (363), 'He who is the father of thirteen children not only shall not be summoned to the Curia, but even though he be a Decurion, shall be left in an honoured rest' [undisturbed by the summons to undertake any curial duty].

From the sketch, necessarily brief and imperfect, which has been here given of the decline and fall of the Municipalities of the Empire, the reader can in some degree estimate for himself the share which their altered condition had in bringing about the ruin of the Empire itself. In Gaul, in Spain, in Italy, the exhaustion and impoverishment of the middle classes was, in the fifth century, so great that it had become a matter almost of indifference who ruled over them, a grandson of Theodosius, the Suevic Count Ricimer, the Herulian Odovacar, or Theodoric the Ostrogoth. Their condition could not be worse under the barbarian than under the crushing, organised, relentless tyranny of the Roman bureaucracy. It might be, and as far as Odovacar and Theodoric were concerned it probably was, better.

In the East no doubt the same process of exhaustion Fste of the went on, but the fortunate push from without was wanting. In Egypt and in Syria the Arabs, fresh from the desert, easily overturned, amid shouts of Lo Ellah il Allah! the pallid resemblances of Graeco-Roman municipalities. In the other provinces of the Byzantine Empire they still cumbered the ground with the spec${ }^{1}$ Cod. Theod. xii. 1.55.
$\underset{\text { Ca. 9. }}{\boldsymbol{\text { BOOK }} \text {. }}$. tacle of their decay until the close of the ninth century, when Leo VI, surnamed the Philosopher, removed from the theory of the constitution both the Senate of the Empire and the Curiae of the towns. Of the latter he said, 'The ancient laws passed as to the Curiae and Decurions impose on the Decurions intolerable burdens, and confer on the Curise the right to nominate certain magistrates, and to govern the cities by their own authority. Now that civil affairs have taken another form, and that all things depend entirely on the care and government of the Imperial Majesty, these laws wander, so to speak, vainly and without object, around the soil of legality. We therefore abolish them by the present decree ${ }^{\text {1. }}$.

Their reviral in the West.

In the West, the agony of the Municipia had been shorter, and the remembrance of the days of their prosperity and usefulness was therefore less easily effaced. It would be an interesting task, but one outside of our present field, to show how, under the barbarian kings, aided in many cases by the influence of the Church, the Curiae rose again, as it were, from the tomb, until, in the twelfth, thirteenth, and fourteenth centuries, local self-government, as set forth in the Italian Commune, reached, perbaps, the noblest elevation at which the world has seen, or is likely to see it. An almost equally noteworthy tribute to the memory of the old municipal organisation is paid from a different quarter. To this day the mightiest ecclesiastical organisation in the world, that which gives birth to Popes, and defies or bargains with Emperors, calls itself the Roman Curia.

[^343]BOOK III. Се. 9.

## 6. Barbarous Finance.

The Local Taxation of the Empire has been dwelt upon at considerable length, because its history can be easily traced from the Statute Book, and because in tracing that history we can clearly see a powerful degrading influence at work upon an important class of the community.

The history of the Imperial Taxation is in some re- Roman spects more obscure, and to give a detailed description ignorance of it would require more space than can here be afforded. fipleseo of But, tried by its results, it may without hesitation be condemned as wasteful, oppressive, and, in one word, barbarous. The more one examines into the subject the more one is convinced that great as the Romans were in legislation, and great in war, in finance their genius was below mediocrity. To violently wrest the whole or a large part of the lands of a conquered people from their former owners and appropriate them to the Roman State, to destroy great seats of industry and commerce like Corinth or Carthage, and bring their gold and silver and works of art home to figure in a Roman triumph, this easy system of momentary selfenrichment the Senate and its officers were able to put in practice. But to develop, as some of the Ptolemies and some of the Tudors developed, the commercial wealth of their people, to plant wisely and water dilic? gently the tree of manufacturing or agricultural prosperity, from which the State itself might in the time of fruit-bearing pluck a golden reward, this was a kind of enterprise for which the genius of the Roman nation was little suited, and though it cannot be said to-

воокiII have been never attempted, it certainly seldom suc-
Сн. 9.

Conjeo tural entimates of Imperial Revence. ceeded in Roman hands.
It is unfortunately quite impossible to determine with any approach to accuracy the amount of the revenue of the Empire, but the conjectures of scholars who have examined carefully into the subject point to a sum of between $£ 20,000,000$ and $£ 30,000,000$ sterling as the probable total under the Emperors. It is true that we cannot say what amount of local taxation may have existed side by side with this. But in itself the amount does not seem a crushing weight for a population of perhaps $90,000,000^{1}$, inhabiting such countries as France, Spain, and Italy are now, as Turkey in Europe, Asia Minor, Syria, Egypt, and the northern shore of Africa were before the domination of the Mussulman had blasted them. It is difficult to resist the conclusion that a modern scientific financier, keeping a wise equipoise between direct and indirect taxation, and carefully arranging his duties so as to take only a reasonable toll from the vast commerce of the Mediterranean countries, could have easily provided for the State a revenue twice as large as she seems to have actually received, without crushing out the happiness of her subjects.

Heands of Taxation.

But the Roman financiers seem to have relied most on the worst kind of taxation, and to have levied it in the most wasteful and oppressive manner. Unfortunately we have no specimen of the budget of a 'Count of the Sacred Largesses' which we can submit to a modern Chancellor of the Exchequer for his criticisms. Customs. But it is almost certain that the portoria or customs duties, varying from 2 to 5 per cent., and ultimately

[^344]reaching as high as $12 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. ${ }^{1}$, did not contribute ${ }^{\text {BOOK }}$ C. 9 L an important part of the revenues of the Empire. The Vicesima Hereditatum, a succession duty of 5 per cent., Dunweseion seems to have been enforced with some hesitation, and to have been finally abandoned in the sixth century on account of its unpopularity. Yet as the duty was not paid when the property devolved upon very near relations, few taxes, one would think, could have been more easily justified, or should have been more inflexibly demanded. The Vicesima Libertatis, a tax of Daty on 5 per cent. on the value of every liberated slave, was ehisee probably, in the existing state of Roman society, a wise impost, as tending to prevent the dilution of the ranks of Roman citizens by too large an accessiou of freedmen, and it brought in a considerable revenue to the State. It was, moreover, essentially a tax on luxuries, for to be surrounded by a troop of obsequious freedmen was one of the most common forms of ostentation among the Roman nobility. But when we read in the pages of Juvenal, Athenaeus, and Tacitus, of the portentous and childish expenditure of that nobility on other luxuries, we see that here was a field from which a modern financier would have reaped an abundant harvest. He would not have issued sumptuary edicts nor attempted by legislation to check the torrent of extravagance, but he would have said in fact to these men, the owners of half a province and the lords of an army of slaves, 'Since it pleases you to spend such vast sums on all sorts of ridiculous fantasies, spend them by all means, but give the State a share of your superfluity.' The Licenses and Assessed Taxes which an English minister of Finance would have imposed

[^345]booriII upon the Roman Senators would have fed many Cr. 9.

Land Tax, Tithe or Tribute. Legions.
But the sheet-anchor of the Imperial Financier was evidently the share, the oppressive share, of produce which they wrested from the cultivator of the soil. In some countries this had been originally looked upon as Land-Tax properly so called, in others it had been treated as Rent for land appropriated by the Roman people but suffered to remain in the possession of the former owners as their tenants. In some it had been originally a Tithe (Decumae), in others it had been spoken of as Tribute (Tributum Soli). But it will probably be safe to say that these differences had now, in the fourth and fifth centuries, become mere matters of antiquarian interest. The various populations of the Empire, Italian and Provincial, Greek and Sicilian, Asiatic and African, were all now theoretically free and practically miserable. Every fifteen years, that great revision of taxable value, called the Indiction, took place throughout the Empire. Then the few who had prospered found themselves assessed on the higher value which their lands had acquired, while the many who were sinking down into poverty, obtained, it is to be feared, but little relief from taxation on account of the higher rate which was charged to all. They might be assessed on fewer capita, but each caput was larger on account of the increasing needs of the Imperial Exchequer. This periodical re-assessment was evidently one of the most important features of the inner life of the Empire, and was aptly expressed by the habit of dating each year from its place in the Indiction ${ }^{1}$.

[^346]In the breathless race between the tax-payer and ${ }^{\text {boorinf. }}$ C. the tax-gatherer which financial administration became $\frac{\text { Cs. } 9 .}{\text { Mischio- }}$ during the decay of the Empire, the inherent vices of of minachion the Roman system of collecting the revenue grew more the taxere. and more apparent. Whether because the Republic despaired of finding absolutely honest collectors among her own citizens, because she deemed it impossible for anything but the keen self-interest of a contractor to cope with the self-interest of the cultivator of the land, or because the simplicity of an auction of the taxes commended itself to the rude fiscal notions of her statesmen-whatever may have been the cause, certain it is that the Tithes and all other forms of Land-Tax seem to have been, from the beginning to the end of the Roman domination, farmed out to men who bore the well-known and hated name of publicani. Many familiar passages in the New Testament shew the aversion with which the subordinate ranks of this great corporation were regarded by the provincials. An often-quoted passage in Livy shews that the Senate itself, at a comparatively early period, had perceived that the vast powers for extortion wielded by the Publicans were quite incompatible with the existence of real liberty among the subject-allies of Rome ${ }^{1}$. Finlay, the historian of Greece, has traced in cording to the usage then prevalent, 313 would be called the first Indiction, 314 the secend Indiction, and so on. It was not till the twelfth century, according to Marquardt, that the obvious plan of numbering the periods (according to which 312-327 would be the first Indiction, 327-342 the second Indiction, and so on) was introduced (Römische Staatsverwaltang, ii. 238).
${ }^{1}$ ' Ubi publicanus esset, ibi aut jus publicum vanum aut libertatem sociis nullam esse' was the opinion expressed by the Senate when the organisation of the province of Macedonia was under discussion, в. c. 167 (xlv. 18.5).

воокui. many pages of his history the disastrous effect of the Ch. 9. system of tithes and tithe-farming upon both Greece and Turkey, and speaks of this system as an undoubted legacy, and a fatal one, from the Roman Empire ${ }^{1}$. If we had the materials in our possession for a complete picture of the financial administration of Constantine
${ }^{1}$ Compare particularly rol. vi. p. 13. 'From the moment that the crops began to ripen, the property of the cultivator in nine-tenths of it was treated as a matter subsidiary to the arrangement relative to the disposal of the remaining tenth which belonged to the sovereign. An industrious peasant could rarely make any profit by raising an early crop or by improving the quality of his produce. . . . No superiority of skill or increase of labour could under such circomstances secure a higher price. . . . The effects of this system of taxation on the condition of Greek agriculture may still be studied in the dominions of the Turkish sultan or the Greek king, for they rival one another in the disastrous effects of their fiscal administration [A. D. 1859].'

The wastefulness, though not the oppression, of a syatem of publicani is further shown by the following extract from a letter to the Timea. It appears from this letter that the system is still the curse of Italy.
' Let me mention one more reason for Italian poverty-the oppressive and absurd fiscal laws and the pernicious aystem of farming the taxes, a system which wrenches from the most necessitous classes from 30 to 50 per cent. more taxation than is necessary. I will give one example of this, in the ruinous system of octroi taxee. I know a small town of about 2000 inhabitants, the taxes (octroi) of which are let for 16,000 francs the year. The farmer annually makes a profit of from 5000 to 6000 francs. The town is miserably poor, yot the wretched inhabitants have to pay this heavy sum more than is needful if the tares were collected in a proper manner. Moet of the other taxes are farmed in a similar fashion. The drain apon the community, and especially the poor, can be easily imagined.

I am, Sir, your obedient servant,
Sept. 27, 1879. Southern Italy.
And a letter from Angora (Sept. 28, 1879) describer in the old familiar language the odious occupation of the publican and the horrible wastefulness of the tithe-farming system as practised in Asia Minor (Times, Oct. 18, 1879).
or Theodosius, we should no doubt find that the waste- bookiri. ful oppression of the publicanus was the main cause why C. so large an amount of suffering among the peasantry produced, comparatively, so small a revenue to the State.

The phenomena of commercial life in classical anti- The quity are not easy to understand. We are told that consemnt of banking business had reached a high development both deobrtbtitani in Greece and Italy; that bills of exchange were con- Interest. stantly drawn and remitted from one part of the Empire to another ; that the bankers ( $\tau \rho a \pi \epsilon 〔$ iral in Greece, argentarii at Rome) were in the habit of receiving money on deposit, and relending it on overdrawn account. And yet, on the other hand, we hear constantly of exorbitant sums being paid for interest. Twelve-and-a-half per cent. is mentioned as a frequent rate in Rome, and twenty-four per cent. as charged in Sicily. The latter rate, it is true, was exacted by the tyrannical Verres, but it is far surpassed by the righteous Brutus, who exacted forty-ight per cent. from the provincials of Cyprus. At all times of the Republic and Empire aes alienum (borrowed money) is spoken of as a fruitful source of danger to the State, and the debtor never seems to have a fair chance of emancipating himself from the yoke of the creditor. These are all indications of a state of things in which the usurer rather than the banker is the chief loanmonger ${ }^{1}$, and they almost entitle us to say (whatever

[^347]$\underset{\text { c. }}{\text { Bo. }}$. indications to the contrary may be afforded by scatСн. 9. tered passages in the classics) that the true business of a banker-the acting as a broker between those classes of the community which desire to lend and those classes which desire to borrow-cannot have been understood, or if understood, cannot have been widely practised in the Roman Empire.

What effect would a Funded Debt have had on the duration of the Empire :

It would be an interesting speculation to enquire what would have been the effect of a National Debtthat distinguishing feature of modern political finance -in retarding or accelerating the ruin of the Empire. The First and Second Punic Wars seem to have been fought out to a successful issue by the Senate chiefly by means of a loan, disguised under a gigantic debasement of the currency. The $A 8$, which was then the unit of monetary value, and which was coined out of a pound of copper when the quarrel with Carthage commenced, consisted of only one uncia, (the twelfth part of a pound,) when the dispute was settled, sixtythree years later, on the field of Zama. The disastrous effect of such a sweeping alteration in the standard of value was perhaps mitigated by the partial substitution of a silver currency for one of copper. But though the State had thus made a disguised loan from
to his customers, if a sum of money equivalent to fifteen times his capital be deposited with him at 2 per cent. The usurer's beast chance of profit is in being able to foreclose on oppressive terms his debtor's mortgage. The banker, who has ever before his eyes the necessity of a prompt repayment of his deposits, dreads few things more than the necessity of foreclosing a mortgage and so 'locking up' part of the funds entrusted to him. Thus, without supposing the latter to be a bit more generous or less selfish than the former, he is led by mere self-interest into a course of dealing which gives the borrower a chance of recovering himself from the burcen of ace alienum.
its subjects, and though at times it may have borrowed BookiII. inconsiderable sums of money for short periods from the publicani, no such institution as a permanent National Debt ever existed, or perhaps ever suggested itself as possible to the State Financiers. On some great emergencies, such as the reception of the Visigothic refugees within the limits of 'the Empire in 376, a loan on a large scale might have been a prudent and statesmanlike measure. The secure investment thus offered to those provincials who were shut out from the great money markets of Rome and Alexandria, might have stimulated thrift. And it is almost certain that the rulers of the Empire, had they periodically appeared before their subjects as borrowers, would have been more amenable to the legitimate influence of public opinion. Flatterers might persuade a frantic debauchee that he was pious, and unconquered, and fortunate, up to the very moment when he was ripe for assassination; but a decline in the Imperial Funds of ten per cent. would have been an unmistakable proof that he was losing the confidence of his subjects.

Arguments like these might be advanced to show that the existence of the Empire would have been prolonged by the device of national indebtedness. On the other hand, we see, by abundant evidence in the history of our own times, that the creation of Bonds and Stockcertificates is like dram-drinking to imperfectly organised States. The brief military usurpers of the third century would probably have raised loans on the national credit as furiously and as foolishly as the Presidents of any South American Republic. And even as to the great and stable States of modern times whose acknowledg-
boокin. ments of debt command, and rightly command, for the Сп. 9. present, as high a price as the land itself, the substratum of all national wealth, we must remember that we have as yet traced their orbit through a very small part of the World's History. We and our immediate forefathers have seen the beginning of England's borrowing, but we know not in what spirit our remote descendants may look upon its end.

## 7. Causes, or Symptoms, of Decay.

It is time to bring to a conclusion this examination of the causes of the Fall of the Roman Empire, which might range over the whole field of private and public life during the first four Christian centuries.

The Imperial power not in iteolf dostruotive

Some readers may be surprised at not finding a prominent place among those causes given to the autocratic power of the Caesars. Many instances have been noticed, even in the course of this history, in which a fatuous or vicious Emperor accelerated the ruin of Rome. But, upon a survey of the whole history of the Commonwealth before and after the consolidation of the supreme power in the hands of an Imperator, it does not seem possible to look upon that measure as anything else than preservative of the life of the State. We have to compare the Imperial System, not with some ideal Republic of Plato or More, not even with a modern European monarchy of average excellence, but with the Roman Republic during the last century and a half of its existence, at a time when the government of the fairest portion of the earth was in the hands of a combination of aristocrats the most selfish, and of democrats the most senseless, that the world has per-
haps ever seen, and was being jobbed and plundered boorini. for their apparent benefit with such blind rapacity that, Ce. 9. had Caesar not arrested the process of destruction, the provincial population must have perished in the grasp of its oppressors.

But though, upon the whole, the power of the Em - but the perors was exerted beneficially for the Empire, the ferene same cannot be said of the frequent and disastrous Imperial in $f$ housebold interference of the Imperial household in State affairs. dimatrous. While, on the one hand, there were long intervals, notably the reigns of the Adoptive Emperors, perhaps also those of Diocletian and Constantine, during which a wise and well-organised bureaucracy (to use a modern term) gave effect to the mandates of the Supreme Power, there were other periods, especially the reigns of Claudius, of Constantius, of the sons and grandsons of Theodosius, during which the personal attendants of the. Monarch, his freedmen, or even his eunuchs, succeeded in grasping the helm of the State, and their steering was uniformly disastrous ${ }^{1}$. The confusion between the menial servants of the Monarch and the ministers of the Empire, though obvious in a constitu-tionally-governed country, generally tends to efface itself under a despotism, where the Sovereign, daily fed upon such flatteries as those which Claudian offered to Honorius, comes in time to believe that the trivialities of his daily life are matters of profound interest to his subjects, and as important to the world as the welfare of provinces. Thus it was, by playing upon the weakness of a master whom in their hearts they despised, that such men as Eutropius became the chief deposi-

[^348]BOOKIII. taries of power under such sovereigns as Arcadius; thus it was that they could sell the highest offices in the Empire ${ }^{1}$, and bitterly revenge the wrongs which they themselves had suffered in their former bondage. Whatever may be the drawbacks of a constitutional system, and they are many, it at least nullifies, if it does not destroy, the baneful influence of 'the Household' in politics. A vigorous and hard-working Bureaucrat, who finds himself eclipsed or thwarted by a showy and pretentious speaker in a popular assembly, may reflect that even this is less humiliating than the necessity of courting the favour of an uneducated domestic, who has risen into power by the performance of menial offices in the bedchamber of the Sovereign.

Demorali. eation of the Army.

The rapid and terrible decline in the efficiency of the Army was without doubt another potent cause of the dissolution of the Empire. When we hear the military essayist, Vegetius ${ }^{\text {a }}$, lamenting the effeminate habits of the soldiers in his day, who were no longer able to bear the weight of helmet and coat of mail, and petitioned the Emperor, with success, that they might be allowed to lay aside these wearisome defences, we feel how vast a change has come over the spirit of the legionary since the hardy Sabine and Marsian followed Caesar to victory. This demoralisation may be partly due, as Zosimus ${ }^{8}$ says it was, to the truckling policy of Constantine, who withdrew many of the legions from the arduous and unpopular duty of defending the frontiers and quartered them in the large cities of the Empire, where they spent their days at the Amphitheatre, and their nights in debauchery, a burden on the peaceful

[^349]provincials, but no longer a terror to the enemies of воок mi. Rome.

But the true causes of the ruin of that wonderful machine of conquest, the Roman Army, lay deeper doubtless than in any such special mistake of military administration as this of Constantine's. Its mainspring for centuries had been the patient strength and courage, the capacity for enduring hardness, the instinctive submission to military discipline, of the populations which lined the ranges of the Apennines. Taught by their example, other races in the Empire, especially the Gauls and the friendly Germans, could do good service as foederati or even as actual legionaries. But after all when the old Italian population itself was gone-and we have seen some of the economic changes which led to its disappearaice before the slave-gangs of the great proprietors of Italy-there was no more reason left why the Roman army should continue to conquer. The wolves of Romulus were changed into the timid sheep of Honorius and the younger Theodosius. What had been the hammer of the nations became now their anvil.

Simple depopulation is often assigned as a cause of Depopulathe fall of the Empire ${ }^{1}$. And with great truth, especi- tion. ally so far as the terrible plagues and earthquakes of the second and third centuries contributed to that depopulation. It is abundantly clear, and must have

[^350][^351]воок iII been observed by the attentive reader of this history,
С. 9. that there were vast solitary spaces within the border of the Empire when the barbarians streamed across it, and that their movement was one of colonisation almost as much as of conquest. Still, when one looks at the whole course of affairs after the Romans had made themselves masters of the countries bordering on the Mediterranean, depopulation seems to present itself to the mind as a symptom rather than a cause of the malady which was in time to prove fatal, and one is inclined to fix upon some of the vices of the Roman polity mentioned above, the slave-system, the latifundia, the extortion of the tax-gatherer, as the reasons for that terrible failure of 'the human harvest.'
$\underset{\text { Enyland }}{\text { Winl }}$ The ruin of such a mighty fabric as the world-empire fall as Rome fell? of Rome can hardly be contemplated by the citizen of any State such as our own, which has extended its dominion over alien peoples and far distant lands, without stirring some foreboding fears that of our country too it may one day be said, 'How art thou fallen from Heaven, oh Lucifer, Son of the Morning!' Even so, according to the well-known story, the younger Africanus, in the very midst of the ruined city of Carthage, which he had himself destroyed, shed prophetic tears over the fate of his own country, and repeated those verses of the Iliad-



$\cdot$ But an Englishman, though his presumption may rightly be chastened by the thought of the mortality

[^352]of Rome, may derive some comfort from the reflection воокin. that she was tempted, as his country is not, by absolutely unbounded success. It was not till after the destruction of Carthage that the worst qualities of the Roman conqueror, his rapacity, his cruelty, his contempt for the rights of others began to develop themselves. The other powerful nations, both in the Old and the New World, which act as a counterpoise to our own, and sometimes administer a severe rebuke to our national pride, are in truth our best friends, preserving us from that overweening arrogance which is unendurable by God and Man.

Of the causes enumerated above, which conspired for the ruin of the Empire, some clearly affect us not. The Christian religion is with us no explosive force threatening the disruption of our most cherished institutions. On the contrary it has been said, not as a mere figure of speech, that 'Christianity is part of the common law of England.' And even the bitterest enemies of our religion will scarcely deny that, upon the whole, a nation imbued with the teaching of the New Testament is more easy to govern than one which derived its notions of divine morality from the stories of the dwellers on Olympus.

The partition of the Einpire, the erection of a coequal seat of authority in its Asiatic dependencies, can hardly be considered a danger for us in practical politics.

Slavery is not eating as a canker into the heart of the English State. Yet perhaps there may be something analogous to slavery in the condition of the dangerous classes' in our great cities, men leading a sunless and squalid existence from the cradle to the
book ill. grave, serfs adscripti to the gaol and the workhouse.
CE. 9. And this thought may quicken the zeal, already so earnest, of statesmen and philanthropists to remove from us this reproach.

To the eye of an inexperienced observer there appear to be symptoms in the British administration of India, especially in the preponderating importance of land-tax as a source of revenue, and in our manner of employing the native foederati, which suggest some anxious comparisons with the Roman imperial system. May it prove that the resemblance is only in appearance, not in reality!

The pulverisation of the burgher-class by the fiscal oppressions practised upon the Decurions may possibly contain some warnings for benevolent administrators who, in their very zeal for the improvement of the condition of the people, may allow local taxation to attain proportions which, were any pause to occur in the onward march of the country, might be found well-nigh intolerable.

But of all the forces which were at work for the destruction of the prosperity of the Roman world none is more deserving of the careful stady of an English statesman than the grain-largesses to the populace of Rome. Whatever occasional ebbings there may be in the current, there can be little doubt that the tide of affairs, in England and in all the countries of Western Europe, as well as in the United States of America, sets permanently towards Democracy. Will the great Democracies of the Twentieth Century resist the temptation to use political power as a means of material self-enrichment? With a higher ideal of public duty than has been shown by some of the governing classes
which preceded them, will they refrain from jobbing book inf. the Commonwealth? Warned by the experience of Cr.9. Rome, will they shrink from reproducing directly, or indirectly, the political heresy of Caius Gracchus, that he who votes in the Forum must be fed by the State? If they do, perhaps the world may see Democracies as long-lived as the Dynasties of Egypt or of China. If they do not, assuredly now as in the days of our Saxon forefathers, it will be found that he who is 'giver of bread' is also lord ${ }^{1}$. The old weary round will reconmence, democracy leading to anarchy, and anarchy to despotism, and the National Workshops of some future Gracchus will build the palaces in which British or American despots, as incapable to rule as Arcadius or Honorius, will guide mighty empires to ruin, amidst the acclamations of flatterers as eloquent and as hollow as the courtly Claudian.

## ${ }^{1}$ Lord = Hlaford, the Loaf-giver. The derivation is questioned by

 some scholars.
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## INDEX.

## A. <br> Ablantive, hintorian of the Gothe (f fith century), i. 42 n . <br> Abrittug, in Moesia near month of the Danube, scene of defeat and desth of Decius, i. 56. <br> Abundantius, general, baniahed by Eutropias, i. 68 I . <br> Acmairi, Scythian tribe, ii. $56 n$; Onégeah's expedition against, ii. 76; engagements with, ii. 78.

Achilles, proteote Athens againat Alario, i. 655 .

Acholins (or Ascholius), Bishop of Theesalonica, the Gotha smitten with a pestilence through his prayers, i. 296; baptizes Theodosiue I (380), i. 304

Acincum or Acingus (Alt Ofen near Buda), Valentinian I at (375), i. 227; head-quarters of the eldor Majorian (379), ii. 404.

Acta Sanctorom, see Bollandist.
Aderitus, St, Bishop of Ravenna, i. 903.

Adige river (Atheaii), ii. 163 .
Administrative division, principle of, introduced by Diooletian, i. 14
Adoptive Eupperors (Nerva, Trajan, Hadrian, Antoninua, Marcus, A.d. 96-192), i. 7-10; maerive and permanent character of their works, $i$. 8; mode of seleotion, why it sueceeded, why it failed, i. 8-10; the principle combined by Diocletian with that of partzership, i. 14
Ad Salicen, battle of (377), i. 262.
Aegidius, ' King of Soisoons,' his singular career, ii. 436; his hottility to Kicimer, ii. 436; his name survives in Giles, ii. 437.
Aelia Fleocilla, see Fleccilla.
Aemilia, province of, i. 386 n 2.
Aeullian, Rmperor (353), i. 67.
Aemona (Laybach), i. 210; opeas her gatee to Theodotiun I (388), 1. 467.

Aequiliug, ourator palatii, refuses to become a bostage to the Goths, i. 272.

Aequitius, proposed as sacoemor to Jovian, i. 130 ; magister militum per Illyticun, i. 231; sends news of rebellion of Prgcopiag to Valentinian I, i. 148; ceptaree Marcellus and puta him to death, i. 157; consul with Gratian (374), i. 231 ; s posesible candidate for the Empire on death of Valentinian I, i. 230 ; preventa the eleotion of Sebastian, i. 231, 232; presente Valentinian II to the army, i. 232.

Aerarium, distinguished from Fiscus, i. 611 m.
A es Alienam, mcoumulating burden of, always the ourse of Roman social system, ii. 603 .
Aeeculapilis, steward of Constantias, deatroya sacied image which preserved Sially from ravage by Etna and the barbarians, i. 808 n .
Aestii, Baltic tribe included in dominlons of Hermanric, i. 77.
Aetius, Roman general, parentage and early history, is 874 ; feud between, and Bonifacius, i. 875-879, of. 880898 ; chief minister of Plecidia for seventeen years (433-450), i. 879; 'groans of the Britons ${ }^{\prime}$ to, i. 880 ; Attila makee him present of a dwarf, ii. 87; lowis young Frankish chief with presente, ii. 103 ; his wars with Theodorio I (429-439), ii. 109 ; sends Avitus to form aliance with Theodoric againgt Attila (451), ii. 120 ; raises the riege of Orlesns, ii. 121; diaponitions for battle of the Mauriac Plain, ii. 127; lowee his way on the battle-field, ii. 133; advisee Thorismund to return to Toulouse, ii. 135 ; reseone for mysterions conduct after the battle, ii. 137 ; mummarily dismises young Majorisn, ii. 404; given greater prominence to office of Patri-
cian ( 5 , ii. 402 ; inactivity during Attila's Italian campaign (452), ii. 156; murdered in the palace by Valentinian III (454), ii. 196; his benchmen avenge his death, ii. 198.
Africa, province of, incursions of the Moors (oirc. 365), i. 142 ; misgovernment of Count Romanus, i. 213-218; campaign and government of Theodosius the elder (373-376), i. 291; the key of Italy, i. 787 ; held by Heraclian for Honorius (409), i. 787; projected oxpedition of Alaric to, i. 807 ; condition of, ander Honorius, $i$. 873 ; lost under Placidia, i. 881; survey of Roman Africa in the fifth century, ii. 232-239; economical conditinn, ii. 236; relations of Punic and Latin languages, ii. 237, 238; Latin Fathers of the African Church, ii. 238; relative position of the Proconsul and Court of, ii. 242 ; conquest of, by the Vandals ( $428-435$ ), ii. 244, $24^{8}$; condition of, under the Vapdels, ii. 256$26_{4}$; religious struggles in, in fourth and fifth centuries, ii. 265-268; astrologers from, in Ganl, ii. 337.
Africanas, advocate, victim of ferocity of Valentinian I, i. 209.
Agapetus, St., Bishop of Revenna, i. 904.

Agentes in rebus, secretariea of Imperial cabinet, i. 609.
Agilo, general of Procopius, i. 156.
Agintheus, general of the Roman army in Illyricum, ii. 63 ; surrenders fugitives to Attila, ii. 64.
Agnellus, St, Bishop of Ravenna (oiro. 556-570), i. 916.
Agnellae, Bishop of Ravenna (839), author of Liber Puntificali, i. 863 ; his work desaribed, i. 899-903; quoted, i. 864-870, 900, 903-916.
Agri Decumstes, i. 190.
Agricols, son of Avitus, brother-in-law of Sidonias, ii. 352, 378.
Alamanni, invasion of Italy by (268), $i$. 65 ; attuck Raetia and Gaul (365), i. 141, 191 ; driven beck by Jovinus (366), i. 192 ; chief danger to Gaul ander Valentinian I, i. 191; surprise Maintz (368), i. 192; defested by Valentinian at battle of Solicinium (368), i. 192-194; treatment of, by Valentinima, i. 197; attacked by Theodosins the elder (369), i. 290; Macrianus king of, makes peace with Valentinian (374), i. 222-224; Gratian at war with (383), i. 403 ; campaign of Arbogast against (39a), i. 559; repulsed by Majorian (457), ii. 405 ; Vadomar king of, in Roman mer-
vice (365), i. 150; drunkencere of, i. 922,924 .

Aleni, described by Chinese historians under name Alanna, ii. 30; rorshipped a ambre stoak in the groand, ii. 30 ; subjugated by the Hans, i. 242,244 ; crush resistance of the Ostrogoths, i. 248 亿 1 ; invade Threce (377-8), i. 264 ; Gratian's grard of, i. 40 r ; in Roman army at Pollentia, i. 720 ; invade Ganl with Sueven and Vandals (406), i. 739 ; enter Spaid (409), i. 824 ; send ambinesy to Hoaorius (416), i. 83i; exception to chaetity of burberiane, i. 921 ; in Portuga, ii. 222 ; anve the Vandals from deatruction at the hands of the Franks, ii. 220; cat to pieces by the Goths (418), iii 223 ; the remonant of Spanigh Alani merge thoir natiomality in that of the Vandals, ii 223, 256; arranged with the Vandals into regimente, iii. 255 ; those in Ganl rettled in distriot round Valence (440), ii 111 ; join confederacy againet Attila (451), ii. 111; in battlo of Mariac Plain, ii. 132; co-operate with Attila in 453 ( $)^{\text {( }}$. ii. 169; at battle of Nedso (454), ii. 193 ; invade Italy (464), ti. 439.

Alaric, the Visigoth, hin name AlnReiks, i. 676; birth and early history, i. 650 ; surnamed Balth (the bold), or of the lineage of the Balthi (1), $i$ 651 , of 676 ; in Theodocias's army at battle of the Frigides (394), i. 572; die oontented with Roman service, i. 651 ; charncter of, i. 652 ; raieed on the shield and proclaimed king (1395), i. 653 ; his expeditions into Greece, i. 654 ; hemmed in by Stilicho: excape to Epiras (396), i. 660 ; invested by the Eastern Empire with official authority in Ilyricum, i. 661; what wan his title : i. $66 \mathrm{I} n$; descende into Italy (400), i. 709 ; operations in Italy, i. 710-712 ; besieges Honorius (401), i. 713 ; his preeage of capture of Rome. i. 719; at battle of Pollentia (402), i 720 ; his wife taken prisoner at Pollention (1), i. 722 ; defented at Verona (1): retarns to Ilyricum (4031), i. 723; chronology of his firtit in rasion of Italy, i. 734-736; Stilicho's plan for employing him againat the Fastern Empire (407), i. 746 ; four thousand pounds of gold pald to him after debate in the Senate, i. 748; besitation in invading Italy (408), i. 761 ; invadet Italy, i. 766 ; his interviow with a monk, i. 767 ; First Siege of Rome (408), i. 768-774; proffered allinace with Honorias, i. 775-777; repressea
freebooters at Ontia, i. 777 ; confurence at Rimini with Jovius, i. 780 ; his moderate ternis rejeoted, i. 783; Second Siege of Rome (409), i. 784 ; makes Attalus Emperor, i. 785 ; magioter utriusque militiae, i. $786 n$; deposee Attalus, i. 790; makee freah overturee of peace to Honorius, i. 791 ; Thind Siege of Rome (410), i. 792 ; marchen couthwards, i. 806 ; projeote invacion of Africs, i. 807 ; his ships destroyed at Rhegium, $i$. 808 ; dies at Cosentia (410), i. 808; manner of his burial under river Busento, i. 809.
Alathens, an Oatrogothicchief, guardian of Wideric, i. 248; crosses the Danabe (377), i. 257 ; at battle of Hadrianople (378), i. 271, 272 ; invadea Pannonia (380), i. 304 ; expela Athanario from his kingdom (381), i. 309 ; perhapa same as Odotheur, i. 320.
Alavivas, Vinigothio chief (Fritigorn his second in command), i. 250; perhaps slain at banquet of Marcianople, i. 157, 258 n.
Albinus, Prefect of Rome, i. 815; his report apon increased popalation of Rome, i. 841.
Alexandria, city of, statue to Maximus arected by Theodosius I at, i. 415; destruction of the Sorapeum, i. 543548; ahared in gratuitous distribution of corn, ii. 572 ; Church of, interference with Constantinople, i. 348.
Alezandrian Chronicle (almo called Pasohal Chronicle), date of, ii. 100 n ; quoted, i. 156n1; i. 187n; i. $35^{1 n n}$; i. 353 HI ; ii. 29 I ; ii. 472 mI .

Alpw, difference between the pasces of the Westarn and Fastern, i. 459 ; Majorian's pasange of the, ii. 411.
Altinum, town of Venetia, destroyed by Attila (452), ii. 153 ; inhabitante of, migrated to Torcello and neighbouring ialands, ii. 166.
Alypia, daughtar of Anthemiun and wife of Ricimer, ii. 450; her wedding, ii. 455 .
Amals, divinely descended royal race of, the Ostrogoths, i. 27, 43 ; Hermanric an Amal, i. 76.
Amantias, a mootheayer, put to death by Valentinian I, i. 211.
Amanting, the fortune-hunter of Marseilles, his story told by Sidonias, ii. 332.

Ainazons, $\begin{aligned} & \text { tory } \\ & \text { of, told by Jordanes, i. } 96 . ~\end{aligned}$ Amber, found on the shores of the Baltio, i. 34, $39 n$; traffic in, between Germany and Italy, ii. 148.
Ambroee, 8t, Bishop of Milan (374-397), early career of, i. 385 ; supports Maximas the Cynic, i. 350 ; at the Council of Aquileia (381), i. 387 ; treatise De

Fide, i. 388 ; infuence with Grntian, i. 397 ; embemey to Maximus ( 383 ), i. 411 ; conduct in affair of the Altar of Victory, i. 422 ; struggle with Jaatina over the Porcian Bacilica at Milan (385), i. 425-433; oppoxition to Valentinian I's edict of toleration (386), i. 434-436; author of the Ambrosinn Chant, i. 437 ; queation se to his honesty in the discovery of the bodies of Gervasius and Protasius, i. 440; condemns the execution of the Prisoillianiste, i. 451; second embases to Maximus (386-7), 1. 455 ; blames Theodomius I for his rescript about the synagogue of Callinioum (388), i. 512516; rebuke Theodosius I for maseacre of Thesasalonica, and places him under an interdict (390), i. 530-532; absolvea Theodosius, i. 533; friendehip with Valentinian II, i. 549 ; Valentinian II sends for him to come to Gsul (392), i. 554; oration De Obdew Valentiniani, i. 557, 592 ; rebukes Eugenius for his pagan policy, i. 565 ; interceden for the vanquished after battle of the Frigidus, i. 579; foneral oration on Theodosins 1, i. 586; his death, i. 640 n ; appears in a vision to Mascesel and foretelle defeat of Gildo, $i$. 668 ; contrast with Chrysostom, i. 701; his account of the flight and death of Gratian quoted, i. 404-406.
American War of Secemion illustrates effect of alavery on the defansive power of a State, ii. 557.
American slave-system compared with the Roman, ii. 560-564.
Amida, the inhabitante of Nisibis migrate to (363), 1. 127.
Amiens, Gratian associated in the Em. pire at, i. 187.
Ammianus Marcellinas, historian(wrote circa 380-390), his life and writings, i. 112-114, 185,277 ; edition of by H. de Valois, ii. 475 ; his account of Valontinian's bears quoted, j. 208; ecoount of banquet at Marcianople, i. 257-259; on the strategy of the Roman generals in 377, i. 261 ; account of battle of Ad Salices, i. 262 ; approves of the massacre of the Gothio hontages, i. 287 ; on life at Rome, i. 518; on the Huns, ii. 32-34, 39.

Ammius, brother of Sonilda wife of king of the Rosomoni, revenges her death, i. 247.
Ampalius son of Priscus Attalus, lingors on with the Visigoths after his father's downfall, i. 791.
Anastaria, Church of, at Constantinople, i. 343 .

Anatolias, magister militum praceontalis and ex-consul, ii. 95 ; rent by Theodocius II on embaniy to Attila (447), ii. 52 ; persona grata at At tile's court, il. 81, 96; aguin ambemador to Attils (449), ii. 95.
Anchialus (Bourghax), its hot bathe visited by the Gother, i. 61.
Andages, an Ostrogoth, said to have killed Theodoric at the battie of the Mauriso Plain, ii. 132.
Andiot, or Adduit, a bollicowe Arian Presbyter of Regis in Atrica, ii. 275.
Andragathius, commander of the cavalry of Maximus, takea Gritian prisoner, i. 404,405 ; in command at sen, i. 466 ; suicide of (388), i. 468.
Angel, under guise of a ahepherd, leade Aspar's army to Ravenna, i. 847.
Anianue, St., Bishop of Orleana, bero of the defence of Orleane (451), 1i. 119121.

Anicii, family of the, at Rome, holds aloof from Attalus, i. 786; Olybrius a member of it, ii. 476 .
Annaliste, the, enumerated, i. 702-709; uneatisfactory charscter of their work, ii. 299; imitation of their style, ii. 299.

Annona, Grain-Salee and Panis Gradilis.
Anomoeans, an Arian moct, i. 342 n.
Anonymus Cuspiniani, monalist of Rovenna (1), described, ii. 190, 506; relation to the obronicle of Maximian, i. 916 ; quoted, i. 735 (date of Alaric's first in vesion of Italy), ii. 401 (Ricimer a Patrician), ii. 402 (death of Messianus), il 439 (dufent of Beorgor).
Anonymus of Ravenna, see Ravoara, geographer of.
Anonymus Valesi, annalist of fifth oentury, deacribed, ii. 475, 506 ; relation to chronicle of Maximian, i. 916; quoted, i. $72 \mathrm{n}, 186 \mathrm{n}$.
Antenor, the Trojan, mythical founder of Padus, ii. 166.
Anthemius (the Elder), virtual regent during infancy of Theodosias 11, ii. 452.

Anthemius, Emperor of the Weat (467472), grandion of the preceding, ancentry of, ii. 451 ; early career, ii. 452 ; candidate for the Eratern Empire on death of Marcian (457), ii. 452 ; defents Hornidec the Hun, ii. 452 ; selected candidate for Weatorn Empire, ii. $44^{1}$; moceseion of (467). ii. 450 ; hia daughter married to hicimer, ii. 455 ; Sidonius's panegyric on, ii. 457-460; quarrel between, and Ricimer (469), ii. 465 ; Roman popu-
lace on his dde, if. $466 \times 3$; why anlled a Galntian 1 li. 467 a 1 ; roply to apeech of Epiphanius (471), il 470; pence negotiated: the quarrel renowed, ii. 471 ; besieged in Rome (472), ti. 472 ; killed by Ricimer or Gundobad, ii. 472, 473; referred so by Hidonius, ii. 340; peomined the Patricinte to Rodicios, fil 346.
Anti-Chriat, wat Attila identifiod with? ii. 176.

Antioch, ruined by the Persanan, i. 59; one of the capitals of Velean, i. 129 : Arian Sybod of (341), i. 90; etate of fealing at (375), i. 241 ; rival bishope of, in the fourth contury, i. 360 ; char reoter of it inhabritanta, i. 476-481; insurrection of (387), i. 482; ite panishment, 1. 494; pardoned by Theodoaina I, i 504 ; ahared in grotuitous dietribation of cars, i. 494, il. 572 ; number of docurione nt, il. 578.

Antonine Itinermy, $\infty$ Itinerariam Antoninum.
Aorio, king of the Gotha, verves ander Conctantine, i. 71, 7.
Apollinarians, tanets of the, i. 343 .
Apollinaris, St., founder-biahop of Reverna, i. 862.
Apollinaria Sidonime, see Sidoniw.
Apollinaris, father of Sidonids, preotorian prefect of Gaal (449), ii. 306.

Apollinaris, cousin of Sidonins, his rills at Voroangun deacribed, ii. 324 ; letter addreesed to him by Bidonios, ii. 344; brought into trouble with Burgandian king, in 360.
Apollonius, brother to Rofus, ambereador to Attila (450), hin brave words to the Hon, ii. 98 .
Apollonins of Tyana, lifo of, tranolated by Sidonine for Leo, ii. 316 n 2.
Aproncula, a fogitive from the Burgundians, eleoted bishop of Arverni aner Sidoning, ii. 317.
Aquileia, pocition and early history of, ii. $147^{-1} 50$; commerce of, ii. ${ }^{148 ;}$ eccletiastical jurisdiction of biahop of, ii. $149 n$; wiege of, by Jalina, i. 149; Counoil of (381), 1. 387 ; Maximus taken and put to death ats, i. 467; the Mets of Italy, i. 467, 710; battle fought by Alaric near (400), i. 710 ; Honorius at (400), i. 712 ; Ardaburias set mall for (424), i . 846 ; siege and utter destruction of, by Attila (452), ii. 150-152; morna inhabitants of, migratod to Grado, ii. 166; patriarch of, in the Middle Agee, ii. 152; present appearance of, ii. 152.

Aquitania Becunda, ceded to the Visdgothin (416), ti. 109; malanoholy condition of ohurchee of, under Eurio, ii. 484
Arabianue; praffectus ammonae, letter of Anrelimato, ii. 570.
Arbetio, magieter equitm and conmal (355), treatment of, by Procopius: he demerta to Valens (366), i. I 54 ; inducee Gumobar to botray Procopias, i. 155 .

Arbitrio, count, deserts frum Eugenina to Theodomins, i. 874.
Arbogast, the Frank, in earvice of Gratian ngainst the Gothe (380), i. 305; in army of Theodosius I (388), i. 466, 468 ; commander-in-chief and virtual ruler of the West (392), i. 550; character of, $i$. 551 ; quarrel with Valentinjan II, i. 551-554; the death of Valentinian II ascribed to, i. 554, of. 590 ; makee Eugenius Bmperor (392). i. 555; suicide of, after battle of the Frigidas, i. $57^{8}$.
Arcadia, sister of Theodonius II, her religions life, ii. 47 n .
Arcadius, Emparor of the Feat (395408), mon of Theodosius I, nemociated in the Empire (383), i. 412 ; sharer in hir father's trinmph at Constantinople (386), i. 323 ; becomes emperor of the Eat (395), i. 585 ; his uroriousness, i. 643; married to Eudoria (395), i. 650; governed by Endoxis, Eatropins, and Gainas, i. 681 ; parsonal appearance, i. 685 n ; his death, i. 701, 747 ; calls hiuself in bis lawa ' My Eternity,' i. 594
Archdemoon, who concorred in forcible ordination of a priest, to be fined $£_{400}$ ii. 421.
Ardaburius, general of Enatern Kmpire, sent by Theodosius II with army to restore Valentinian LII and Plecidia (424), i. 846; taken as captive to Ravenna, i. 846; liberatod, and atsista in triumph of Valantinian, i. 848 ; embellished St. Ansetaria at Constantinople, 1. 344
Ardario or Arderio, king of the Gepidse, serred under Attila in Gaul (451), ii. 108 ; his chanctar described by Jordanea, ii. 128; breaks the Hunnish yoke at bettle of the Nedao (454), ii. 192.

Areani, searet intelligence department, roformed by Theodonias the elder, i. 290.

Areobindus, general of the Eactern Kmpire, unsucceenfal expedition against Sicily (41), ii. 254.
Argaith, Gothic chief, besiegee Marciarnople, i. 60.

Argonacta, Zoalmus's ourious story about, i. 236.
'Argament from dedgn' in history, li. 533-537.
Ariadne, danghter of Leo, wife of Zeno, ii. 445 ; har second husband Anartasiug, ii. 451 M 1 ; inflaence axertod by, on behalf of Nepos, ii. 527.
Arisn controveray commentod on, i. 18; source of tha, i. 18, 19 ; sabdivisions of the Ariann, i. 90, 342 n ; Arianism of the barbarians in the fourth century, i. 88; disestrous political effecta of, i. 92 ; illantrated by conduot of the Vendals in Africes, ii. 280 ; Arianimm of Ulilas, i. 90 ; compered with modern heterodoxy, i. 92; Arians among the Gothio martyre in persecution of Athanaric, i. 175 ; Valens persecaten on behalf of, i. 205 ; supremecy of, at Constantinople, i. 341 ; expelled from the churches of Constantinople by Theodosias I (380), i. 352, 367; Edicts of Theodonius I againat and virtual extinotion of, 1. 386 ; outbreat of, at Constantinople (388), i. 465 ; hopes of the Arian party on acceasion of Attalus, i. 785 ; bat renounced by Visigothic Kiugs till sixth oentury, i. 838; 'roconciliation' of Arian churohes at Ravenna in sixth centary, i. 916.
Ariansus, village in Cappadocia, place of retirement of Gregury Nacianzen, i. 366 .

Ariaric, king of the Gothe, in eervice of Constantine, 1.71.
A riminum, oec Rimini.
Arintheus, officer in army of Eastern Empire, i. 119, 139; recalle troope of Proorpius to their allegiance, i. 153 ; commander in Gothio war of 367 . i. 163 ; sent to arrange terms of penco, i. 167.
Aristomenex, citizen of Leptis, secuser of connt Rommnus, i. 216 ; his fate, i. 217, 218.

Arles (Arelate) Roman capital of Ganl, Constantine betieged in (4II), i. 827 ; connul inaugurated at (449), ii. 306 ; Majorian's banquet at (461), ii. 416.
Armatinc, general of Eastern Empire, dien in bervice in Libya, ii. 97 ; bie widow married to Attila's secretary, ii. 97.

Armognet, connt, parsecution of, by Gaiseric, ii. 277.
Armoricans of Brittany, ermi-independence of, in middle of fifth century, ii 109 .
Armour-factories in Italy, i, 610n 1.
Armonr, Roman moldiers unable to bear the weight of their, ii. 608.

Army, Roman, Legionee Socii Auxilia, i. 312; general socount of, in fourth century, i. 628-635; numberi of, i. 629 n ; lant appearance of the whole, in a common camp, i. 658 ; conjectural estimate of numbers of, in Italy, i. 812; nombers of, in Africa in fifth centary, ii. 231 ; proportions of barbarians in, in fifth century, ii. 509 ; Vandala in the, il. a17; rapid demoralisation of, ii. 608.
Arnobius, of African birth, ii. 238.
Arsaoes, king of Armenia, ally of Julian, i. 123.
Arsacius, eunuch in imperial household, made marshal of the palace as roward for marder of Eachoriua, i. 767.

Arvandus, praetorian prefeet of Ganl, trial and condemnation of, at Rome (469), ii. 46a-464; mentence of death commated to banishment (?), ii. 465.
Arverni, territory of (Auvergne), adopted conntry of Sidonius, ii. 308 ; $00 n-$ quest of, by Euric (474), ii. 486-488; formally ceded by Rome (475), ii. 491.

Aryan race, the Gothe belonged to the Low-German branch of the, i. 32; queation as to original seat of, 1. 32; moral development of the different branches of the, i. 933 .
Asohbseh (Dr. Joeeph), 'Geschiohte der Westgothen,' i. 3I ; quoted, on intentions of Alaric after Pollentia, i. $7^{23}=2$.

Asdingi, royal house of the Vandele, ii. 220, 222.

Asia, first descent of upon Enrope after Xerxes, i. 245 ; phymical geography of, ii. 6 ; elevation of Central, ii. 6 .

Aspar, genaral of Eantern Empire, bon of Ardaburius, leads troops into Italy and restores Valentivian III and Placidia (424), i. 846-848; commands nnsucceseful expedition against the Vandals in Africa (43I), ii. 248; Marcian his domestiows, ii. 261 ; $10-$ sition at Constrntinople, ii. 442; raise Leo to the throne (457), ii. 443 ; breach between him and Leo I, ii. 444 ; put to death with his family by Leo I (471), 11.478 ; embellished church of St. Aneatakia, i. 344
Asta (Adti in Piedmont), besieged unsuccessfully by Alaric (3) (401), i. 713.
Asterius, Roman governor in Spain (420), li. 224.

Astorga (Astarica), Spanish capital of the Sueves, ii. 380.
Asturius, inagarated consul at Arles (449), ii. 306.

Atauifus, brother-in-law of Alerio, bit name, i. 821 n ; dofented by Hues on his way from Pannonia into Italy (409), i. 778; comer domenticormm undar Attalus, i. 786 nI ; earaity with Sarus, i. 792 ; suoceede Alario 20 king of the Gothe (410), i. 821; become philo-Roman, i. 821 ; fulle in love with Placidia, i. 822 ; his personal appearance, i. 823 ; marchees into Gaul (412), i. 839 ; supporte the unurper Jovinua, i.829; waylaye and kills Sarus, i. 830; marriee Plecidia at Narbonne (414), i. 831 ; furced from Ganl Into Spain, i. 833 ; elain by Dobbius his groom (415), i. 83; ; dying wordes to hie brother, i. 834 -
Athanario, a Visigothio 'Judex,' dato of his mocession, i. $161 \%$; mende embasery to Valens abont Gothic aurilisries of Procopina, i. 161, 162; comcludes pesce with Valons ( 369 ), i. 168 ; pervecutes the Gothio Chrietians, i. 175; at war with Fritigern, i. 183; out-manoguvred and defeatod by the Huns (376), i. $24^{8}$; retiren to the Taifali, i. 249 ; driven into erile, i 308; reconcillation with the Fonpire and risit to Constantinople (381), i. 309 ; death aud funera, i. 309.
Athanauius, referred to, i. 80, 90,93 : visit to Rome (340), i. 525.
Atharid, son of king Bhotentens, perhapm tame an Athenaric, mentioned in the $\Delta$ ofe of St. Sabes, i. 180 , of 16In.
Athensens, statement as to number of alaves in a family, ii. 559 .
Athonias, present at Mfjorian'll banquet at Árles, ii. 417.
Athens, condition of, in the third exatury, i 63 m 2 ; taren by the Goths (267), i. 63 ; delivered by Dexippose, i. 64 ; defended by the gode from Alaric (395), i. 654
Atriom of Chorch at Lyona, ii. 329.
Attecotti, Caledonian tribe, i. 142, 289 ; in the Awsilia Palating, i. 743 n.
Attalus, Prisous, an Ionian Greek, enroy from Home to Ravenna (cf. 777), made oomes sacrarkm largitionwis (409), 1. 785 ; praefectwo wrbis, i. 785 ; Arian and Gothic connectiona, i. 783 ; proclaimed Emperor by Alaric (409), i. 785 ; his character, i. 785 ; magniloquent harangue to the Semate. i. 786; insulting memage to Honorine, i. 788; imbecility en to Africar campalga, i. $7^{89}$; marmura against him in the Colosenm, i. 790 ; dopowed near Rimini by Alaric (410), 790; persasdes Atoulfus to nopport

Jovinus, i. 829 ; leade the aingers at wedding of Atanlfus and Placidia, i. 832 ; prochaimed Emperor a socond thene in Ganl (I) (414), i. 840; taken by Honorias, degradation, mutilation, and oxile (417), i. 841, 842 ; dates of his history, 1.849 .
Attile (Eteal) king of the Hans (43345.3), his name, ii. 16 n , of. ii. 166 ni ; ancemion, personal appearance, character, ii. 41 ; extent of his empire, i. 42 ; recoives Honorin's ring and becomes her champion, ii. 47 ; treaty of Margue with Theodosian II (433), ii. 47 ; lays waste the Fantern Empire (447), ii. 52 ; oppremsive embesiea to Theodorius II, ii. 54 ; hir 'hunting' equivalent to modorn ' mobilimation,' ii. 64 ; insolence to Roman ambeacedors, ii. 64 ; inte of hin palace doubtful, ii. 73; his triumphal entry into hin capital, ii. 74 ; hin paleoc, ii. 74; description of his banquet, ii. $84-88$; hil eldest con's fear of him, ii. 85 ; his simple fare and dreas, 1i. 85 ; partiality for Erant, his youngest mon, ii. 87; makes Vigilae oonfend plot againat his life, ii. 93 ; cende insulting mes sage to Theodonius II, ti. 9.3 ; legendary moseage to Rastern and Weotern emperors, ii. 100; embeasy about Honoria (450), ii 101 ; invalion of Gaul (451), ii. 106-142; mooks to sow discencion betwreen Romans and Vinigoths, ii. 112; 'm subtie man and one who fought by artifice,' iil. 112 ; rurages in Ganl, their extent, ii. 114-118; forced to raiee the siego of Orleans, ii. 119-121; retreata towarde the Rhine, ij. 121 ; parsuaded by biehop Lapus to apare Trojes, ii. 122; augurien before buttle of Manriso Plain, ii. 126 ; speech to his soldiers, ii. 129; gives way before Visigothic mesalit, ii. 132 ; recromeen the Rhine, wintari in Hangary, ii. 146 ; invades Italy ( 452 ), ii. 147; siege and craol deatruction of Aquileia, i. 150-152; the picture at Milan, ii. 154; Pope Leo turns away his wrath, if. 160 ; 'conquered by the Lion and the Wolf,' ii. 161; alleged Gaulish campaign (453), ii. 169; marries Ildico, ii. 170 ; dies on his wedding night, ii 171 ; his faneral, ii. 172-174i the Attila of ecolesiantical legend, ii. 175 ; the Attila of the Niabelungen Lied (Etzel), ii. 176; the Attila of Scandinavian song (Atli), ii. 177; the Attila of Hangarian romances, ii. 178; comparinon to Napoleon, ii. 179.

Audians, beratical seot founded by Audius, tenete of the, 2.176 ; spread among the Gothe, i. 177.
Augury, tolerated by Valentinian 1, i. 202.

Augurtan Hintory, i. 30 ; letter of Docius referring to Clandius, quoted, i. 54 n 3 ; bulletin of Claudins II after viotory over the Goths, i. 68; mention of the Ostrogothe, i. 100.
Augratine, St., Birhop of Hippo (395430), early hintory of, $L 44$; effeot of tidings of capture of Rome upon, i. 803 ; his ' Do Civitate Dei,' i. 803806, 919, ii. 547 ; correeponderice with Bonifacius, i. 874, 894 ; dewaription of Carthage, i. 931 ; Advice to the African bimhope during Vandal invmion, ii. 245 ; account of hir last daye during siege of Hippo, ii. 247 ; life of, by Posaidias, ii. a 10 ; number of his worke, ii. 245 n I; hil 'Confeesions,' their importance, i. 418; scoount of the deeth of Valentinian II, quoted, i. 590 ; on overthrow of iclols after battle of the Frigidus, i. 579 ; prayer of Panlinus of Nota, 1 . 807 ; on the absurditios of the old Roman religion, ii. 543.
Augustulus, see Romulus.
Anguatra, fate of decceadanta of, 1. 5; his gifts out of the Fiscus to the Aerariom, i. 61IMI; fixed maval station at Ravenm, i. 853 .
Auralian, Emperor (270-275), abandons Dacia to the Goths, i. 69 ; defents the Vandals (271), ii. 216; increases by one wacia the largees-lomves, ii. 570; his self.glorifying letter, ii. 570; given the citizens pork, oil, wine, and changes of raiment, ii. 571 .
Aurelian, leader of patriotic party at Constentinople in 397, i. 684 ; gives himself op to Gainae, I. 692 ; Oxiris in 'the Egyptians' of Synenius, i. 679.

Aurelian, St., Bishop of Ravenna, i. 911 .
Aureolus, rovolte from Gallienus (268), i. 65 ; pat to death by Claudiun II, i. 65 .

Aureus, value of, i. $77^{88_{n}^{\prime}}$ (genemilly used in fifth contury as equivalent to solidws $=$ twelve shillings).
Aurum Coronarium, first a freo-will offering, then a tar levied on Decurions only, ii. 582 ; levied by Theodo sius I at Antioch (387), i. 482, cf. 475 n 2.
Ausonius, Juling, a physician of Bordesax, mede Prefect of Ilyricum, i. $380,3^{81}$.

Annonius, Decimns, son of the preceding, tutor and minister of Gratian,
his official career, i. 380, 38r; hit poetry, i. 381-384; religions ponition, i. 384 ; consol (379), i. 392; panegyric on Gratian, I. 393-396.
Austoriani, invade Tripolis, i. a13-215. Aatharin, the Lombard king, taken praenomen 'Flation' about 584, i. $6 n$.
Ampergre, eee Arverni
Auxentins, Bishop of Dorostorus, probable author of Life of Ulifies, I. 82, 97.

Auxentius, Bishop of Milan, predecessor of St. Ambrowe, nn Arian, i. 386 ; his name taken by Mercurince, $i$. 433.

Auxilia Palatina, i. 631, 273 a, 743 .
Auxiliarien, see Foederati.
Auronius, praetorian prefect under Valens, his preparations for campaign against the Gothe (368), i. 163.
Avienus, Gennadius, consul (450), ambassador to Attila (45a), ii. 157; character sketched by Bidonian, ii. 456.

Avitacum (Aydat in Auvergne), Sidonius's ville st, desoribod by him, ii. 308-312; identification of, with Aydat, ii. 309, 312 n ; Avitus at, ii. 378.

Avitus, Emporor of the Went (455-456), birth and parentage of, ii. 375 ; sent on a deputation to Constantiun (4151), ii. 376 and $n 2$; serves undor Aetius, slays a Han who murdered hir servant, ii. 377 ; praetorian prefect of Gaul (439-445), ii. 378; hie danghter Papianilla married to Sidoatus, ii. 308; retires to Avitacum, ii. 378 ; influence at the Vinigothic court, ii. 378 ; sent by Aetius to form allinnce with Theodoric I, ii. 120; Magister vtriusque militiae (455), ii. 379; raised by influence of Theodoric II to the throne, ii. 384; consulehip (456), ii. 385 ; non-appearance of his name in Consular Faati, ii. $3^{85} \mathbf{n}$; panegyrio on, by Sidonjus, ii. 386387 ; unfit to roign, ii. 390 ; compelled to diamisa his Vieigothic guard, ii. 391; deposed and consecrated as bishop of Placentia, ii. 391; died within a year of his deposition, ii. 392 ; Gibbon's charges of immorality argainst, discussed, ii 393-395; 'A ${ }^{\text {ni- }}$ tus and his Patrician Meseginnua,' $\mathbf{i i}$. 403.

## B.

Bacchini, Father, quoted on restoration of relics of St. Severus to Revenna, i. 866 m.

Bearius, the Armenian, general in
army of Valems, bogits battle of Hadrianople, 1.272 ; at battle of the Frigidus, i. 572 ; death of, 1.573.
Bagandee or Becaudee, inerrgent peansants in Gaul, ii. 104-106; hold the paome of the Alpu againet Eara (407), 1. $74^{2}$.

Balamber, king of the Hune, i. 24\%.
Balthe = bold, or of the race of the Belthi, s surname of Alaric, i. 651, 676.

Bankers of Rome (Trapesitac, Argeotavii), apparontly rather usurera thas bankers, ii. 603 .
Banquet, of Marcianople, i. 257 ; Attila'r, described by Prisonk, li. 84-88: Majorian's, at Arles, dencribed by Sidonims, ii. 416-420.
Barbariant, the five great invasions of the Rmpire by the, summarized, i. 3; the three great dimaters inflicted on Rome by the, i. 56 ; troublem from the, on death of Julian, i. 141; policy of Valontinian I towards the, i. 194-200; gemeral fidelity of the, in Rowan service, i. 328; favourable picture of, by Salrisn, i. 920 ; their yoke preferred to the Roman, j. 9 26: their offennive smell, i. 926 ; pketcheas of, by Sidonius, ii. 352-371; Berbarian and Roman, Frank and Turk, ii. 396; man of barbarian denceat ingdmiarible as Emperor, ii. 398 ; all political reconstruction on break ap of the Empire in their hands, ii. 439 .
Barbatian, St., confemor of Placidis, i. 869.

Barcelone, capital of Atealfus (415), i. 834 : scene of denth of Atarlfue, aud hamiliation of Placidia, i. 835 .
Barnabei, F., account of excarations at Concordia, ii. 152 m.
Baronius, Cardinal, 'Annales Eoclosiastici,' i. 410 ; his reflections on the Embassy of Domninus, i. 460 n 2; bluader about date of batule of Pollentis, i. 736.
Barrack Emparore (192-284), i. 10-13; eighteen of them in seventy-three yoart, i. II; confumion in affaira of the Empire under, i. $4 \mathbf{8}$; Maximus claseed wilh the, i. 443 .
Barritus, Romen war song, desoribed, i. 262.

Banik, Hunninh general, invaded Medin, ii. 48 n.

Bacil, Bishop of Cucanrea, friemiahip with Gregory Nasianzen, i. 337; monatic life in Pontus, i. 337; Metropolitan of Cappadocie, i. 338.
Besil, a Spaniard, governor of a province, sent on embessy to Alaric (408), i. 769.

Benilica, the ecoleciastical, in external appearance identical with the seoular, i. 907 \# 1; at Lyons, deeoribed by Sidonias, ii. 328.
Basiliscas, brother of emprese Verina, ii. $44^{2}$; pasionate longing to be emperor, ii. 445 ; mismanagee axpedition againat the Vandale (468), ii. 446-449; a suppliant at St. Sophia's, ii. 449; rivalry between him and Zeno, ii. 479 ; dethronee Zeno (475), ii. 524 ; depoeed, banished, atarred to death (477), i. 525 .
Basilina, Caecina, consul 463 ; charroter described by Sidonina, ii. 456 ; recommends Sidonides for prefecture of the city, ii. 460 .
Bantarnae, in army of Attila, ii. 106.
Batava Cantra (Pasaru), ii. 55 n 2.
Batavi Seniores, troope in the Awsilia Palatina, i. 273 n.
Bath, of Onegest the Hun, story of, ii. 75 ; extemporimed by Sidoniun and his friends, ii. 327.
Bathanarius, brotherin-law of Stilicho, general in Africa, pat to deeth on fall of Stijicho, i. 760.
Battle of Ad Salicee between Romans and Goths (377), i. 262 ; of Challons, or of the Catalmunian or Mauriao Plain (Mory-sur-Seine) fought by Attila against Aetius and Theodoric (451), ii. 124-133; of Chrgsopolis between Constantino and Licinius (323), i. 16; of the Frigidua (Wipbach Thal), fought by Theodoaius I against Engenina and Arbogast (394), i. 573-576; of Hadrianople between Romans and Goths (378), i. 271-275; of the Nedao between the Huns and their confederates (454), ii. 192; of Pollentia between Alario and the Romans (402 1), i. 720-723.
Banto, the Frank, commander in Roman service under Gratian (father of Empreme Kudoria), i. 305 ; taken mensures to defend Italy againat Maximus, i. 411 ; repela innoeds of the Juthungi, i. 455.
Bolisarius, general of Jumtinian, ii. 209; destroyer of Vandal power in Africa (533), ii. 260, 285 ; his invesion facilitated by Gaiseric's destruction of the fortreseen, ii. 524
Beorgor, king of the Alani, defeated by Ricimer at Bergamo (464), ii. 439 -
Bergamo (Bergomnm), Alani defeeted at (464), ii. 439.
Berich, a Hunniah nobleman, placed above Maximin at Attila's banquet, ii. 85 ; escorts the ambasmentors home, ii. 91 ; delivers insulting metsage to Theodorins II, ii. 94 -

Berig, king of the Goths at time of migretion from Sweden, i. 33, 40.
Beroe ( Fski Saara 87 miles N.W. of Hedrianople), the younger Decius defealed by the Gothe at (250), i. 53 .
Berri (Biturigen), conquered by Eario (474), ii. 486; formally ceded by Rome (475), ii. 49 I .
Beseall, ' Ueber das Leben des Ulfila,' quoted, $\mathrm{i} .80 \mathrm{n}, 8_{9} \mathrm{n}$ I.
Bethmann-Hollweg, 'Proness des einkenden römischen Reichs,' i. 597, 607.

Bible, tranelation of, consalted by $\mathrm{Van}^{-}$ dala an an oracle, ji. 219; first Latin version of (Itala), ii. 239.
Bilimer, 'ruler of the Gaula.' endenvours unsuccessfully to assist Anthemine and raise the niege of Rome (472), ii. 472.

Billeting of soldiert (motatwn), Roman syatem of, ii. 519.
Binding, Carl, 'Gewchichte des Burgendisch - Romanischen Königreicha,' comparison between Attila and Napoleon suggested by, ii. 179; quoted, ii. $110 \mathrm{~m}, 205 \mathrm{n}$ I, 259 n 2 .

Bishops, character of, changing in fifth century, ii. 50; expecially aimed at by Vandal pernecutions, ii. 280; election not to be invalidated, if chosen withont their own consent, ii. 421 .
Bithynia, ravaged by the Gothe (259), i. 60 .

Bleda, the Han, brotber and colleague of Atila, ii. 40 ; hin death (445), $\mathbf{i i}$. 51 ; was he killed by Attila 1 ii. 51 ; his widow's hospitality to Roman ombesty, ii. 72 ; his dwarf, ii. 86.
Bledas, an Arian Biahop, ambassador from Marcian to Gaiceric, ii. 434 n.
Bioking, Dr, his edition of the Notixia Dignitatam, i. 596.
Boethias, praefeotwe praetorio, grandfather of the colebrated Boethius (i), murdered with hin friend Aetins (454), ii. 196.

Bollandiot, 'Acta Sanotorum,' their Talne, ii. 99 ; Acte of St. Saban, i. 178-181; Actu of Nicetae, i. 181, 182.

Bologna (Bononia), mutiny at (408), supprewed by Stilicho, i. 750.
Bonifaciue, count of Africa, character and early arreer, i. 871-874; repulses Atanlfua from Marseilles (413), i. 831 ; fead with Aetina, $i$. 875 ; invites the Vandials to come to Africe (428), i. 877 ; letter of St. Auguatine to, i. 894-896; besieged by the Vandala in Hippo ( $430-431$ ), ii. $24^{6}$; defented by the Vandals, retiren to Italy ( 431 ), ii. $24^{8}$; is alain
by Aetius in single combat (432), $i$. 878 ; leaves his widow to his rival, i. 879 ; Pruf. Freeman's criticism of the 'Procopiar legend,' disensed, i. 889898.

Bora (N.E. wind), ravagee of, in Carniole, i. 575 ; decides the battle of the Frigidus, i. 575-577.
Boroieta (i), king of the Gothe, i 96.
Botheric, magittor mildtum per $1 l_{\text {yri- }}$ (amern under Theodonius 1, i. 517; -smari by the popaleoe of Thesanalonce (390), i. 528.
Brachium Fortin, logend of, atRaveana, i. 902.

Braga (Braosra) in Leon, taken by Vingothe and churchen desecrated (456), ii. $3^{88}$.

Bregetio (near Komorn on the Danabe), death of Valentinian I at, i. 227.
Brente, river of Venetis, i3. 163.
Bretons, Arrandun auggeete that Visigothe shall atteak, ii. 464.
Bridge of Hadrian (at Rome), betale between Ricimer and Bilimer at (47a), ii. 472.
Brioude (Brivan) in Auvargne, Avitus buried at, ii. 392.
Britain, diocese of, civll and military administratore of, i. 621 ; finanoial adminimtrators of, i. 6a2; garrison of the Roman Wall, i. 288, 402 ; attecked by Franks, Baxone, Pioter Scots, and Attacotti (oirea 365), i. 142, 288 ; Theodosius the Elder oxpels the berbarians and reorganizes the country (368), i. 289, 290; revolt of legions in, majast Gratian (383), i. 402; the Twentieth Legion withdrawn from (401), i. 716; 'fertile in usurpers,' i. 740 ; the soldiers mating and make Constantine emperor (407), i. 741 ; the lact remnantu of the Homan army withdrawn (407), i. 741 ; 'the gromens of the Britoms,' i 880; rettlement of Fandala in Britain (277), ii. 217.
Brochus, governor of Illyricum, bulletin of Clandius II to, annonncing victory over Gorba, i. 68.
Broglie, Due de, 'L'Egliee et l'Emptre Romain an ive Sizole,' on Theodoaiu: I, I. 538.
Brontotus (i) on the road to Ontia, Anthemius proclaimed Emperor at, ii. 450 .

Bructeri, in the army of Attila, ii. 107.

Brutus, 48 per cent. interest exacted by, in Cypros, ii. 603.
Bryoo, Mr., 'Holy Roman Empire; on the idea of the Empire in the Middle Ages, ii. 527 m.

Buat, Count, 'Histoire Ancienno dea Peaples,' doabtfal theory as to perentage of Odovncar, ii. 518 m
Bulgariano, porition of, in Turanian family, ii. 4 n .
Buroo, a Ligurian boor, St. Epiphaniga beaten by, ii. 468.
Burgundiane, mado war upon by Geppdee, i. 51 ; quarrole with the Als manni, i. 223 ; tribee of, by Vistala, serve under Attila, ii. ro7: exteat of territory in Gani, ii. 110; apportionment of Romen lands among, ii. 110, 259 ; join confoderacy against Attila, IL. III; connected with death of Petronius Maximus (1), ii. sos; Romen intriguers it court of, IH. 360 ; allied with Romans and Viadgothas againat Suorea (455), ii. 380 ; Ariana, i. 88; one of the sub-tranches of the Vandels mooording to Pliny, fi. 213; gigantic forme of, ii. 363 ; heads anointed with batter, ii. 363; geographical position of, ii. 380 .
Bary, Mr. J. B.,' Hietory of the Leter Roman timpire,' i. 638.
Busento, river of Calsbris, Alario buried under, 1. 809.
Busen Ring, deecription of the, i. 73.
Byron, lord, mt Ravenma, i. 858, 854 m.
Byrm, the citedel of Carthage, ii. 241.
Byzeoena, provinoe of Roman $\Delta$ frice, ii. 235 .
'Byrantine' features in chorch at Lyones, ii. 329.

Byzantiom, fortifications repaired ander Valerian, i. 6I; taken by the barbarians (267), i. 63; unsuccesefully attecked by the Gotha (269), i. 67 .

## C.

Croear, medineval idea of the, ii. 298.
Csemares, suburb of Ravenna, i. 854; church of St. Laurentius at, i. 906.
Cremarius, magiter officiorm, one of the commisioners sent by Theodonise I to punith the insurrection of $\Delta \mathrm{n}$ tioch, i. 493-499; returne to Corstantinople with recoummendation to maroy, i. 500.
Caligula, emperor ( $37-41$ ), extravegance of, i. 5 ; belief in his own divinity, i. 599; gave the renalores a hand or foot to kies, i. 624 m.
Calligonus, praeporitus sacti cubicull to Valentinian II, threatens St. Ambrose, i. 433.
Callinicam, barning of the Synagogue by the Chrietianh at (388), i. 5 II.
Calocerus, St., bishop of Ravenna, i. 904
Camden, 'Britannia' quoted on settle-
ment of the Vandale in Britain, ii. $217 n 2$.
Camillus, a consular, present at Majorian's banquet at Arles, ii. 417.
' Cainp of Attila,' near La Cbeppe, of Roman origin, and probally unconnected with Attila, ii. 143.
Camani ( $=$ Canaanites), name of Punic inhebitantn of Africa in time of St. Auguatine, ii. 238 nc 2.
Cancelli, railinge which marked off the royal precincts (chancellor, chaooel), ii. $355^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{I}$.

Candac, chief (dux) of the Scyri, the family of Jordanea in the service of, i. 23 .

Candidati, Emperor's gaard of honour, i. 283.

Candidianus, general of Honorias, brings about marriage of Ataulfus and Placidia (414), i. 831 ; ansiste in placing Valentinian III on the throne (424), i. 846 ; presides at Council of Ephesus (431), i. $831 n 1$; bridge of, near Ravenna, named after him (\%), i. 711,83 n $n$.

Cundidianus, inhabitant of Ravenna, correspondent of Sidonius, 1. 860.
Candidus, Bysantine historian (end of fift century), ii. 506 ; uccount of quarrel between Leo and Aspar, quoted, ii. 444 *.
Cannse, battle of, compared to that of Hadrianople, i. 275.
Canon, $=1$ and-tax, ii. 424.
Canonicnrius, ree Tax-gatherer.
Capiliati, long-haired Gothic laymen, i. 96.

Capita (heads), revessment for taxation by, ii. 414, 600 .
Cappadocia, division into Prima and Secunda, i. 338 ; Basii Metropolitan of, i. 338.
Capraria (Garibaldi's Caprera), the monks of, i. 668.
Csprularia (Caorle), inhabitanta of Concordia migrated to, ii. 166.
Caracalla, emperor (211-217), letter to the senate about the Vandals and Marcomanni, ii. 215.
Carnic Alps (north-weat of Aquileia), ii. 148 .

Carnuntuin (Petronell), importance of, i. 224 ; desolated by the barbarians, repaired by Valentinian 1 (375), i. 224.

Carpi, embassies to the Governor of Moemia (238-240), i. 47 ; invarion of Moeaia (241), $i .48$; in the army of Ostrogotha, i. 50 ; join the maritime expeditions of the Gothe, $\mathbf{i}$. 58.

Carpilio, son of Aotius, sent as hostage
to Attila, ii. 69; sent with father of Cassiodoras on an cumbessy to Attils, ii. 157 I .

Carrag", Gothic waggonencampment, i. 262.

Carthage, magnificence and depravity of, described by Salvian, i. 931, ii. 243 n 1 ; description of Roman, ii. 239-242; heads of unarpers fix. $1 u^{*}$ outside gates of, i. $827 n$; tnken Gajseric (439), ii, 250 ; porge.' the Vandels, i. 932 ; VandatoN sis for Rome's cruelty to, ii. 252.
Garthagena (Carthago Nova), Vandals deatroy Majorian's fleet at, ii. 426.
Cessmdroia (formerly Potidmen), besieged by the Goths (269), i. 69.3'
Cessian, St., patron-taint of Imola, $\mathbf{i}$. 910.

Cabsian of Marseilles, Semi-Pelagian writer oppowed by Tiro Prosper, i. 703, 707.
Casaiodorus, 'the Senator,' minister of Theodorle the Oatrogoth, author of a history of the Goths, i. 26-28; his Chronicle, ii. 430; his dependence on Prosper, i. 735 n; his grandfather sent on an embasey to Attila, ii. 157 $n 1$; description of racing at Rome, i. 526; on marriage of Valentinian III and Eudozia, i. 881 $n$ 2; letter to the Venctians, ii. 187 ; on the death of Severus by poison, ii. 432.
Castinus, magister wtriusque militiae, i. 893; maken Joannes Emperor of the West (423), i. 845 ; consul (424), i. 845 ; canpaign in Baetice (422), i. 872 , ii. 224.

Castalus, an Arian, saved from the mob at Milan by St. Ambrose, i. 428.

Catalaunian Plains (in Champagne) $=$ Ma arician ar Mauriac Plaine, ii. 125, 143.

Catholics, in Africa, persecution of, by Vandals, i1. 269-282; obliged to bury their dead in silence, ii. 272; in Gaul, perrecution of, by Euric, ii. 485.

Catiline, defeated near the same place as Radagaisus, i. 733.
Cato the Censor, harsh maxims as to treatment of slaves, ii. 560 .
Catolinus, asks Sidonius to compose an epithalamium on his daughter's marriage, ii. 362.
Caucs (Coca), in Spain, birthplnce of Theodosius the Elder and Theodosius I, i. 287.
Caucaland (Eastern Transylvania), Athanaric retires to, i. 308.
Cedrenus, Byzantine listorian (eleventh or twelfth century), wcount of quarrel
between Leo and Aspar, ii. 444 ; proverb of his quoted, ii. 449.
Celibacy, Majorian's lawi against, ii. 421.

Censoriug, Bishop of Auxerre, correspondent of Sidonius, ii. 370.
Centonarii, guilds of Giremen, i. 586, see Corrigenda.
Cerealis, tribune of the Imperial stable and brother-in-law of Valentinian I, savee a groou who wee sentenced to lose his hand, i. 227.
Chair, Abbé, 'Saint Sidoine Apolliuaire et son Siecle,' ii. 297 ; quoted, ii. 319.

Chalcedon, taken by the barbarians (259), i. 60 ; Valens insulted by the defendere of (365), i. 15 ; ; council of, against Dioscorua (451), i. 884, ii. 97 ; St. Peter Chrysologus corresponded with (1) I. 909 ; Pope Loo I's lettor to, ii. 158 ; decrees of, cuntinually referred to, ii. 303.
Chalons-sur-Marne, not the battle-field between Attila and Aetius, ii. 124, 142.

Champagny, Count, 'Lea Céerrs,' i. 5 $n 1$.
Chang-Kiso, a Chineso emissary to Khorasan, ii. 18, 23.
Chariobaudes, magitter militum per Gallias, slain in the mutiny at Ticinum, i. 752.
Charlea the Great, relotion to the old Western Empire, ii. 527.
Che-hwang-te (Chi-hoam-ti), first emperor of Chins (B. o. 258), ii. 8 ; builds the Great Wall, ii. 9 ; suppresses previous literature of China, ii. 9.

Chilperic (or Hilperic), king of the Burgundisns, joins in campaign against the Suevet (456), ii. 388 ; exasperated against A pollinaris for dealings with the enteror Nepos, ii. 360.

China, history of, employed by Deguignes to illustrate early history of the Huns, ii. 5 ; dynaaties of sore-reigne-Hia, в. о. 2207-1767, ii. 8; Sbaug, b. c. 1767-1122, ii. 8 ; Chow, B. U. 1122-258, ii. 8; Tuin, в. с. 258207, ii. 8, 15 ; Han, B. c. 207-A. D. 220, ii. 15 ; literature eaid to have been destroyed by Che-hwang-te, ii. 9: crushes the Hiong-nu, ii. 25, 27 ; allied with Attila (?), ii. 43.
Chollerford, Roman bridge oper the North Tyne at, i. 8 n.
Cbristian Church, necessary struggle between it and the Empire, i. 17, ii. 545 ; chief types of orthodnx and heterodor opinion in, at end of the
fonrth century, i. $342 n$; effect of the anti-Arian legislation of Theodosius I apon, i . 374; rise of quetion of its relations to the Tempiral power, i. 426 ; controveray min the two naturee of Christ in the fifth century, i. 884 ; influence of, in abolition of alavery, ii. 565 ; aleorbed many gita which would otherwise have gooe to the Curia, ii. 581.
Christianity, effect of, In hastening the fall of Rome, ii. 542-556; in its simplest form antagonistic to the atate religion of Rome, ii. 545 ; made more hostile by the pernecutions, ii. 546: and by the internal changea which resulted therefrom, ii. $547-556$.
Christum ! Per, a favourite oath with Gantich provincials, i. 923 .
Chronicle of Ravenna (die Ravennatische Fasten), i. 706.
Chronicon Imperiale or Pithocanam, i. 706.

Chronicon Paschale, Alexandrian Chronicle.
Chryeaphiar, the eanuch, aupplants Pulcheria and becomen chief minister of Theodosins II, ii. 54 ; a Monophysite, ii. 54; converration with Edeoon; plote death of Attila, i. 57,58 ; Attila and Zono demand that be shall be put to death, ii. 94 ; put to death by Pulcheria ( $45^{\circ}$ ), ii. 97 .
Chrysogonus, Greek guide to Scythians in invasion of Bithynia (259), i. 60.
Chrycogonus, the Martyr, Anthemiue takes refuge at hid tomb in Rome, ï. 473.

Chrysopolis, battle of, between Constantine and Licinius (323), i. 16.
Chryoostom, St. John (346-407), Biehop of Constantinoplo, early career, $i$. $47^{1}$; made bishop of Constantinople (397), 1. 683 ; protects Entropina, i. 690 ; Sermon on Entropius in St Sophim, 1. 690; missionary efforts anong the Goths, i. 697; unpopularity at Constantinople, i. 697; denounces the Empress Eudoxia, i. 698; deposed and baninhed (403), i. 698 ; recalled, i. 699 ; second exile and death (404-407), i. 700 ; appeal to Pope Innocent I, i. 745 ; compared with St. Ambrow, i. 700 ; quoted on the deaths of Eniperors of the fourth century, i. 407; on the forced contributions at the Quinquennalin, i. 475; account of the Syrian peasantry, i. 48 I ; on the punishment of the Insurrection of Antioch, i. 485, 487 ; se:mons at Antioch after the Ibsurrection (Homilies on the Statues), i. 49 ${ }^{\text {- }} 493$; order of the Homiliee on
the Statnes, 1.473, 473; descriptions of events at Antioch (387), i. 501509.

Ciliciom, goata' hair canva, ii. 327, $349 \approx 2$.
Circenser, at Rome, j. 526 ; pastion of the citizens of Ther for, i. 930 .
Circumcelliones, religious brigandy in Africa, ii. 266 ; edicte against, ii. 267.

Clarissimus, title of, i. 603, 620.
Clasais, port of Ravenna, deecribed, i. 854; mutiny of soldiers at (409), $i$. 779: Remintns the Patrician killed at, ii. 391.
Clandian Emperors, i. 4
Claudian. of Alezandria, Latin poet (korwit eirca 395-404), his life, i. 535 ; character of his pottry, i. 536 ; chronology of his poems, i. 636 ; value as an historical source, i. 637 ; De Consulatu Probini et Olybrii, i. 583585 ; In Rufinam, i. 648 ; De Tertio Consulatu Honorii, i. 640, 642 ; De Quarto Consulatu Honorii, i. 641 ; De Bello Gildonico, i. 666 ; De Nuptiis Honorii et Marime, i. 670; In Entropium, i. 683; De Primo Consulatu Stilichonis, i. 673; De Secundo Consulatu Stilichonia (socalled), i. 674, 730 n 3 ; De Bello Getico, i. 710-7a2; De Sene Veronenst, i. 725; De Sexto Consulati Honorii, i. 728 ; ntatue erected to, $i$ 730 ; marrioe an African heiress, i. 730; Jetter to Hadrianae, i. 730 n 3 ; erroneons inference from, as to date of Pollentia, i. 735 ; an to Eucheriug's suit for Placidia, i. 820 n 2 ; deecription of the battle of the Frigidus, i. 577; the apotheoris of Theodosius I, i. 588 ; panegyric of Stilicho, i. 648; withdrawal of troops from the Rhine, i. $73^{8}$; description of the Huns, ii. 2, 12; demeription of their inrom into Syria, ji. $3^{8}$; on the power of Persia, ii. 538 ; ratire on the Byzantines, fi. 541 ; imitated by Merobauden, ii. 100 ; imitated by Sidonius, ii. 320.
Claudias I, Emperor (40-54), i. 4.
Claudius II (Gothicus), Emperor (268270), sent by Decius to hold Thermopylae against the Goths (250), i. 54; sccession, i. 65; his victories nver the Goths, i. 66-68; death, i. 69 ; takes praenomen Flavius, i. 6.
Cleperydra = water-clock, regulated daily life of Petronius Maximos, ii. 201; at conntry house of Sidonius'in friends, ii. 326.

Clergy, servility of Theodosius I and other Emperors to, i. 373; to be subject only to eccleariastical judges, by
law of Placidia, i. 883: the Italian more independent towards the Emperor than the Byzantine, i. 534; decurions not allowed to onter the ranks of, ii. 587.
Clermont-Ferrand (city of the Arverni), Sidonius elected bishop of, ii. 313 ; festivel of St. Sidonius celebrated at, ii. 319.

Clinton, Fynea, his Fasti Romani charactrrised, i. 117 ; on date of accession of Alaric, i. 653 n 1 ; on date of battle of the Mauriac Plains, ii. 124 n 3 ; on date of Majorian's banquet at Arles, ii. 415 n 1.
Cniva, king of the Goths, invades Moenia and Thrace (249-250), i. 52-57; mentioned, i. 77 $n 2$.
Codex Argentens (Gothic Gospels of Ulfinax), i. 87 ; its alphabet, i. 103.
Codex Theodosianus, see Theodosian Code.
Coeranius, a philowopher pnt to death under Valentinian I, i. al 2.
Colias, a Gothic chief, rebels agninst the Empire (37 ${ }^{\text {) }}$, i. 259.
Colonusas half-servile labourer, deecribed by Salvian, i. 928 ; called 'rustious,' and described by Sidonius, ii. $341 \approx 1$; the Taifali become, in the valley of the Po, i. 265.
Columellae, near Ravenna, Majorian made Emperor at, ii. 403.
Comes (companion or count), chief military officer of a diocene, i. 620 ; Africme, ii. 242; Britannime, i. 621 ; Italiae, i. 623 ; Comen Domesticorum Equitum, Comes Domeaticoram Peditum, or 'Counts of the Domestics,' i. 617 ; Comes Largitionum Privatarum, i. 617 ; Comes Rerum Privataram, i. 616; Comes Sacrarum Largitionum, i. 6II; at court of Theodoric II, ii. $354 \boldsymbol{n} 2$.
Commodus, Empervo ( $180-192$ ), strange contrast with his father Marcus, i. 10; maken peace with the Marcomanni, ii. 215.
Communion of literature in the Middle Ages, illustrated by legends about Attila, iin. $17^{8 .}$
Concordia, Julia, town of Venetia, on the Tagliamento, fi. 163; destroyed by Attila ( 452 ), ii. 152; traces of the devastation of the Huns, ii. $15^{2} n$; inhabitants migrated to Caorle, ii. 162.

Conf-wsor, ecclexiastical meaning of, ii. $278 n 2$.
Consistorium, council of the Enperor, i. 617 , ef. 624.

Constans, general, sent by Attalus against Heraclian, governor of Africa
(409), i. 787 ; slain in Africa (410), i. 789.

Constans, son of asurper Constantine, invadea Spain and defeate Didymus and Verenianus, i. 743; defeated and alain by Gerontius (411), i. 825 .
Constantis, daughtar of Constantius II, use made of her by Procopius, i. 146; nearly captured by the Quadi, i. 220; married to Gratian (374), i. 220.
Constantine, Emperor (306-337), takes praenomen Flavius, i. 6; defeata Licinius and becomes sole Emperor (323), i. 16; in founding Constantinople (330) carries on policy of Diocletian, i. 16, 73; at Council of Nicaes (325), i. 19; tendency to heterodoxy, i. 19; wari with the Goths, i. 71 ; edict of Milan, ii. 154 ; his separation of civil and military offices criticised by Zosimus, i. 613; mocused by Zonlmus of causing demoralisation in the army, ii. 608; not solely responsible for oppression of Curiales, ii. 580 n 2 ; anecdote of, i. 503.

Constantine, usurper (407-411): proclaimed by legions in Britain, i. 741 ; passen into Gaul, i. 741; Sarue's campaign agninst, i. 742; conquers Spain, i. 743 ; reoognised as colleague by Honorius, i. 744 ; defeated by Gerontius, i. 825 ; pot to death by order of Honorius, i. 827 ; dates of his career, i. 849.
Constantine Porphyrogenitus, Emperor of the East ( 9 11-959), extracts from Petrus Patricius made by order of, i. 30.

Constantinnple, foundstion of (330), i. 16: Arian synod of (360), i. 91 ; sedition at ( 378 ), i. 267 ; Gothic besiegers and Saracen defendera (378), i. 284 ; effect of sight of, on Athanaric, i. 309 ; religious enndition of, in 379, i. 34I; 'Christianopolis,' i. 397 $n$; Council of ( $3^{81}$ ), i. $35^{8}$; masascre of Goths by the populace ( $4 \infty$ ), i. 694 ; received com-supply from Egypt, i. 664; praised by Sidonius, ii. 458 ; effect of foundation of, on fall of the Western Empire, ii. 538-542; its foundation accomplished its immed'ate purpose, ii. 539 ; Claudian's description of citizens of, ii. 541 ; receives the annoma from Constantize, ii. 572 ; church of St. Anastasia, i. 343 ; church of the Twelve Aposties, i. 354 ; equeduct of Valens, i. 390 ; bas-reliefs of Theodosius I on base of obelisk in the Hippodrome, i. 464 $n 1$; Golden Gate of, i. 540 n 2.
Constantiolus, a Pannonian, ambassador
to Attila, hir remarts about Attile's pride, ii. 83.
Constantius Chlorum (father of Comesastine), i. 14,15 .
Constantius II, Emperor (337-351). a Semi-Arian, i. 16, $34^{2} n$; his paemion for councils, i. 19; his praise of UlGias, i. 83 ; removes altar of Vic. tory, i. 397; his decree against hemthen ascrifices, ii. 550.
Constantius III, Emperor (421), general and adviser of Honorina, i. 822; de termines to win the hand of Placidis, i. 823; personal appearance and manners, i. 823; marches againat Conatantine, i. 825; takes Arlea (411), i. 827; obtains confiscmed property of Heraclian, i. 828 ; consul (414), i. 831; Avitus ont an embasuy to, ii. 376 ; marries Placidis (417), i. 840; anociated as Emperor with Honorium (421), i. 842; dislikes the troable of royalty and dies, i. 843.

Constantins, secretary of Attila, crucified on a charge of ambeaslement, ii. 51.

Constanting, second eecretary of Attila, must marry a very wealthy heirow, ii. 90 ; marries widow of Aruatius, ii. 97 .

Consul, nominal aplendonr and actual powerlessness of his office under the Empire, i. 625.
Consular, title of governor of a province in the fourth centary, i. $386 \approx 2$, ef. 620, 621.
Consula, three, of Padus (mytbical), ii. 183, $185,187$.
Conventus, provincial fiscal asembly describel by Salvian, i. 924.
Corillus, king of the Goths, i. 96.
Corpus Inscriptionum Latinarum, see Inscriptions.
Correctar, civil governor of a provibes under later Empire, i. 620, 624.
Corruption of Roman law courta, ii 79.

Corsica, the Vandals defented off, by Ricimer (456), ii. 389; conquered by the Vaudals, ij. 503 ; forty-six Catholic Bishope sent to, by the Vandals to hew wood, ii. 505.
Cosentin (Cosensa) in Calabria, acene of death of Alaric, i. 809.
Councils. age of, and reacone for, i. 19. 20; of Nicaea (325), i. 19; of Sardich (344), i. 865, ii. 61; of Constantinople ( $3^{81}$ ), i. $35^{8-367 \text {; of A } 4 \text { uileia }}$ (381), i. 387 ; of Ephesus (431), i. 884; of Chalcedon (451), i. 884.
Crucifion, practised by the Huns, ii. 91 ; practised by the Sacons, i. 367 .

Curator, guardinn of a minor, ii. 351.
Cariae, constitation of, it. $57^{8}$; their golden age, B.C. 30-A.D. 220, iii. 579 ; Diocletian's reforms fatal to, ii. 580 ; fande of, appropriated by the State, ii. 58I; Chrintian churches, dangerous rivale to, ii. 582 ; struggles of the Curiales to escape from, ii. 585 ; story of their downward course, ii. $583-595$; bequeathed their name to the Papal Curia, ii. 596.
Curialis, member of a Curia = Decurion (1), which see, ii. 583 .
Coridach, king of Acatriri, declining to visit Attila is dethroned, ii. 76 .
Curiosum Urbis, deecription of Kome st time of Constantine, i. 815.
Currency, Majorian's laws concerning, ii. 422 ; depreciation of, in First and Second Punio Ware, il. 604.
Cursik, Hunnish general, inveded Media, ii. $\boldsymbol{q}^{8}$ n.
Curaus, postal service of the Empire, Sidonius travels by, il. 454
Cuspiniani, me Anonymus Caspiniani.
Cynegius, praefectus practorio under Theodosiua I, sent to Rgypt to close heathen temples (384), i. 414.
Cyprian, St., of African birth, ii. 238.
Cyzicus, taken by Proooping, i. 151.

## D.

Dacia, province of, described, i. 45 ; conquent of, by Trajan, i. 98 ; loat to the Kmpire (circa 255), i. 57; finally abaudoned to the Goths by Aurelian, i. 69; second prorince of, formed south of Danube and divided into Dacia Ripomeis and Dacia Mediterranea, i. 70 ; effect of Roman remains in, on the Visigoth, 1. 74; Christianity in, i. 81; occupied by the Gepidee (after 454), ii. 194.
Dagalaiphas, general and counsellor of Valentinian I, i. 119, 135 ; sent against the Alamanni (366), i. 191.
Dahn, 'Könige der Germanen' and 'Urgeschichte der Germanischen und Romaniechen Völker,' ii. 211 ; 'Bausteine,' i. 78 m I; on confederacy of Hermanric, i. 77" 2 ; on Christianity among the Gothe, i. 175 n I; on origing of German kingalip, 1. 197 n 2; on Soyrian origin of Odovacar, ii. 516 n 2 .

Damasug, Pope (366-384), i. 335 ; his counter-petilion to Gratian for the suppremjon of pagan worship, i. 398 ; his disputed election referred to, $i$. 417.

Damoclen, story of, told by Sidonius,
to illogtrate reign of Petronius Maximus, ii. 302.
Dandolo, Andrea, historian of Venice, ii. 159 n 2 ; his scoount of foundation of Venice discumed, ii. 193.
Dante, nt Ravenaa, I. 853, 854, 858 ; tomb of, i. 859.
Daru, Count, 'Histoire de la République de Venise,' on date of foundation of Venice, ii. 182, 187.
Dathan, St., Biehop of Ravenna, i. 904.
Decebalus, hero of the Dacians, i. 98.
Decius, Emporor (249-251), Gighta unsucceafully against the Gotha, nnder Philip, i. 49; his character as emperor: persecutes the Christians, i. 52 ; repels the Gothic invation ( 251 ), 1. 54 ; falle in battle, i. 55 .

Decius, Etruscus, son of the preceding, Caesar, i. 52; in command againat the Goths, i. 53, 54 n I; slain with his father, i. 55 .
Decumee, tithes paid by cultivator of land to the State, ii. 600 .
Decurion, common-conncil-man, curialis (?) origin of name, ii. 578 ; status of, ii. 578 ; privilegea of, ii. 579 ; if a Donatist, how punished, ii. $267 n 2$; alone subject to 'auram coronarium,' i. 582 ; solidarite of, ii. 583 ; a charge desconding from fatber to son, ii. $5^{8} 4$; 'mancipated' to the Curia, ii. 584; restrictions on freedom of, ij. 585 ; profesaion of the law closed to him, 3 i. 585 ; profestion of the army closed to him, ii. 586 ; bound to serve in militia cohortalis, ii. 586 ; forbidden to onter the Charch, ii. 587 ; or a monastery, ii. 589 ; or the service of the Emperor, ii. 589 ; theoretically exempt from corporal punishment, ii. 591; his chance of entering the Roman Senate, ii. 593 ; punishment if he missed some steps of the ladder, ii. 593 ; 'the Senator of his Curia,' ii. 592; if father of thirteen children, not to be moleoted, ii. 595 ; their condition at Antioch at the end of the fourth century, i. 477-480, 509 ; care of magazines of provisions in Africa entrusted to (430), ii. 244 n .
Dedititius, surrender of his lands, described by Salvian, i. 927.
Defensor Civitatis, office of the, i. $625-$

- 628; Majorian's law regulating election of, ii. 422.
Deguignes, M., 'Histoire Générale des Huns,' described, ii. 1, 2, 5, 37 ; quoted, ii. 1-31, 43; his theory of the origin of the Huns criticised by Mr. Howorth, ii. 35.
Delacourt, see Peigré.

Delphidius, poet of Bordeanx, praised by Ausonius, i. 445, 446 n I.
Demetrias, daughter of Olybrius, St. Jerome's enthuriam about her taking the veil, i. 802.
Demorracies of the future, their probable temptation, ii. 612.
Demophilus, Arian Bishop of Constantinople (370-380), i. 351 ; depoeed by Theodosias I, i. 352.
Deo-gratias, his name, ii. 266 n 1; consecrated Bishop of Carthage (454), ii. 274 ; relieves sufferings of Roman captives, ii. 287 ; his death and burial (457), ii. 288.
'Deo-gratia!!' battle-cry of African Catholics, ii. 266 n 3.
' Deo-laudea!' battle-ary of Ciroumcelliones, ii. 266 m 3 .
Depopulation, of the Empire, a canse of fall (1) or a symptom of decay (?), ii. 609 ; of North Italy, i. 265 ; legislation of Majorian to cheok, in Italy, ii. 42 I.
' Deua bonel' a favourite exclamation of Sidonius, ii. $344{ }^{\boldsymbol{n}} 2$.
Deutach nationdity, described by Tacitus, ii. 212.
Dexippus, rhetorician and hintorian (floruit oiroa 254-278), delivers Athens from the Gothe (267), i. 64 ; his 'Soythich,' i. 30 ; one of the sources of Zotimus, i. 235.
Diadem, represented by military collar at elevation of Julian, i. 266; of Avitus, ii. 384.
Diceneus (1), temcher of theology to the Gothe, i. 96 .
Didius Julianus, Emperor (193), purchases the imperial dignity from the Pratorian gaards, i. 10.
Didymus, a kinsman of Honorius, fights in Spain against Constantine, i. 743 ; taken, and put to death at Arlee (407), i. 744
Digna, a noble mntron of Aquileia, suicide of, ii. 151.
Dinaric mountains, on the east of the Adriatic, ii. 162.
Dinzio, son of Attila, ii. 191.
Diocenes of the Empire, as arranged by Diocletian, i. 618-631.
Diocletian, Emperor ( $284-305$ ), called 'Gothious,' i. 71 ; oharacter of his system of government, i. 13-16; foundation of Constantinople the embodiment of his best thought, $\mathbf{i}$. 16; persecation of Christianity : really the work of Galeriua, i. 17; residence at Nicomedia, i. $7^{2}$; new division of the Provincen, i. 618; palace near Salona now convertod into city of Spalato, ii. 437, 500;
hin temple to Jupiter now a eathodral, ii. 500 ; primarily reeponsible for oppresaion of the curiales, ii. 580 .
Dion Casians, hirtorian (oircas 150-235), quoted, on kiscing Caligula's foos, i. $634 n$; on movenuents of the Vandals in the second century, ii. $215 \$ 2,3$
Dionysius, demoon and doctor, honoured by his Gothic captore for his medical akill, i. 806 n 3 .
Dirge of Attila (after Jordanot), ii 173.

Dolomite mountaine, il. 163.
Dommin-land of Vandal kings, ii. 257.
Domestici, bourehold troope, i. 617.
Dominics, widow of Valens, organicet defence of Constantinople (378), i. 284.

Domitian, Emperor ( $81-96$ ), i. 6 ; fall of Hiong-nu Empire in his reiga, ii. 27.

Domnicias, friend and correspondent of Sidonius, ii. 322, 364.
Domninum, a Syrian in cervice of Valentivian II, sent on embeay to Merimus, i. 459, 460.
Donatista, rchismatios in Africe, ii. 266 ; lawn against, i. 762, ii. 267.
Donative to Roman soldiers on cocession of Emperor, i. 10, 189, 233.
Drumar, a barbarian general, Attalus refunes to send to Africm, i. 787,790 .
Ducange, 'ConstantinnpolinChristians,' quoted, i. $135 \pi 2$; 'Glosmariom Medise et Infime Latinitatis,' quoted, ii. $104 \mathrm{n}, 174^{n}$
Durand, Marie, her imprisomment, i. 376.

Durostorum (Silistria), birthplece of Aetius, i. 874 .
Duamvir, chief magistrate of a manicipality, ii. 593 ; goverror of a province maluted with a hiss, iil. 593 .
Dux, ohjef military officer of a Province, i. 620; Britannien, i. 621; Rectime, i. 623 .

## E.

Easter, obeervance of, at usual hime enforced by Theodociuar I, i. 372; by Alaric before battle of Pollentia, i. 720.

Ecclesinatical architecture of Gaul in fifth centory, see Sidonias's description of basilica at Lyona, ii. 328.
Elcelesias, St., Bishop of Ravenna (circa 521-532), i. 912.
Eodicius, enn of A vitan, brother-in-law of Sidonius, receives Patrician dignity from Nepos, ii. 346 ; great doede of, in defence of Auvergne, ii. 486; called Decius by Jordanes, ii. 494 ;
summoned from Gaul by Nepor just before his dethronement, ii. 494.
Elecon, ambassador from Attila to Theodoxica II (448), ii. 55 ; tampered with by Chryeaphius, ii. 57 ; his brawl with Vigilas at Sardica, ii. 62 ; reveale the sesassination plot to Attila, ii. 66; was he the same person Elecon, father of Odovacar 1 , ii. 517.

Edesea, reached by the Ephthalite Huns (383), i. 413.

Edica or Edeca, king of the Soyri, mentioned by Jordanes, probably different from Attila's ambassador and Odovacar's father, i. 518 n .
Education in Gaul in the fifth century, ii. 304.

Fgregius, title of, i. 603 m
Egypt, Roman soldiers in, replaced by barbarian foederati under Theodosins I, i. 325 ; closing of heathen templea in (384), i. 414, 543 ; tranferred her corn-supply from Rome to Constantinople, i. $66_{+}$
Eleucadius, St., Bishop of Ravenna, i. 903.

Eleven thousand virgins of Cologne, legend of, sluin by Attila, ii. I18, 175.

Elico (Elche), Majorian'r fleet dentroyed at ( (), ii. 427.
Ellak, eldeat mon of Attila, ii. 85, 191; fell in battle of the Nodeo, ii. 193.
Emesa (in Syris), John the Baptist's head discovered at, ii. 301.
Emnedrar, son of Attila, ii. 191.
Emperor, the mainspring of the Roman State, i 11 ; the source of all promotion and legialation, i. 237; awful minjesty of the, i. 509; undinguised absolutism of in fourth century, $i$. 597 ; apothensis of, i. 598, ii. 544 ; election of, by scolamation, i. 600 ; parallel between thin and Papal adoration, i. 602; social deference paid to, even in the utter decay of his power, ii. 420; autocratic power of, not in itself fatal to the Empire, ii. 606 .

Empire, Roman, might have been preserved by recession of the frontier, $i_{\text {. }}$ 71; recovery of under the Lllyrian Emperors, i. 72 ; attaching power of, illustrated by Nisibis, i. 128; partition of, between Valentinian 1 and Valens (364), i. 138; corruption of officials in fourth century, i. 171, 174, need of men, i. 194 ; berbarians in service of, i. 197, 305, ii. 509; prospecte of, on death of Valentinian I (375), i. 233 ; division of, by Gratian (3i9), i. 294 ; final diviaion into

Eastern and Westorn (395), 3. 639, 677, 680; diseensions between Eastern and Weatern ( $395^{-408), ~ i . ~ 648, ~}$ ii. 540; ambassadors from Eastern and Western, meet in A ttila's dominions, ii. 72, 82; the model for the states of Mediaeval Europe, i. 375 ; urban character of, i. 480 ; internal organisation of, in fourth centary, $i$. 597-628; its titles and ideas perpetuated in modern Europe, i. 623; military organisation of, in fourth century, i. 638-635; change in its attitude towards the barbarians, $i$. 833; contemporary apecalations as to cause of ite downfall, i. 919; Chinese deacription of, in second centary, ii. 28 ; Priscus's apology for, ii. 79; analogy between fall of, and that of the Ottrman Empire, ii. $39^{6}$; long propped by arms of foederati, ultimately fell by, ii. 508 ; belief in ite indeatructibility, ii. 527 ; estimate of its revenue, ii. 598 ; estimate of ite population, i. 234, ii. 598.
Encopolitana, i. 81 n 3, see Nicopolitana.
English Empire, perhaps owes its foundation to Attila, ii. 42 n 2 ; will it fall as the Roman fell! iii. 6ro.
Ennodias, Bishop of Ticinum (473-521), biographer of St. Epiphanian, ii. 430; quoted, ii. 467-47I ; mccount of the fall of the Western Empire, ii. 507.
Ephesus, temple of Diana at, destroyed by Goths (202), i. 63 ; suppression of magical arts at, under Valens, $i$. 237 ; council of, against Nestorius (431), i. 884

Ephthalite Huns, see Huns.
Epigenes, ambeseador from Constantinople to the Huns, ii. 48.
Epigram, on Gothic revellers, containing four Gothic words, ii. 363,364 ; Martial's, on Ravenna, i. 860; by Sidonius on a towel, ii. 324.
Epiphanius, Bishop of Salamis (died 403), anthor of treatise on Weights and Messures, acconnt of death of Valentinian II, i. 590.
Epiphanjus, St., Bishop of Ticinum (Pavia), early life as described by Einnodius, ii. 467, 468; mediates between Ricimer and Anthemius, ii. 469-4i1; averts wrath of Glycerius from people of Ticinum, ii. 482 ; embassy to Euric (475), ii. 490; the devil stirs up Odovacar against Oreetes in order to annoy him (Ennodius), ii. 507; adventures of himself and his sister at sack of Ticinum (476), ii. 521.
Epirus, deputation from, to Valenti-
nisn 1 exposes misgovernment of Probus, i. 226; invaded by the Gothe (380), 1. 304.
Equaleus, an instranent of torture shaped like a horse, ii. 575 -
Erechthius, eitizen of Leptis, sccuser of Romanus, i. 216-218.
Ergastulum, a slave-barrack, 3 iL. 560.
Eriphius, mon-in-law of Philimatius, correspondent of Sidonius, ii. ${ }^{221}$.
Erinalph, or Priulph, a Gothic chief, of anti-Roman party, i. 331; killed by Fravitta, i. 332.
Erakk, youngest and favourite son of Attila, ii. 191; at the banquet, ti. 87; rules over 'the lesser Scythia' as vaseal of Rome, ii. 194
Elecam, father of one of Attila's wiven, ii. 70 .

Kslas, a Hun, sent with Vigizas to Constantinople, ii. 68; eent as ambaseador with Orestes, tells Theodosiua II that he in a slave, ii. 94 -
Etrennes, see Strense.
Etruscan macrifice to the old gods, proposed during siege of Rome by Alaric, i. 772.

Eucherius, son of Stilicho, his suspected paganism, i. 749; only tribune of the Notaries, not withstanding his father's high rank, i. 752, cf. 728 ; flees to Rome and is put to death there (408), i. 760; his suit for hand of Placidia, i. 820 n 2.

Eucrocia, widow of Delphidius, an adherent of the Priscillianiste, i. 445; pat to death (385), i. 450 .
Eudocia (Athenais), wifs of Theodosius II, ii. 45 .
Eudocia, daughter of Valentinian III, carried to Carthage (455), ii. 286; married to Hunneric the Vandal prince, ii. 286, 435.
Eudoria, daughtor of Frankish general Banto, married to Arcadius by intrigues of Eatropius (395), i. 650, ii. 45 ; governs Arcadius with Eutrupius and Gainas, i. 681 ; joins with Gainas to overthrow Eutropius (399), i. 689 ; quarrel with St. John Chrysostom, i. 698-700; death (404), i. 701 .

Eudoxia, daughtor of Theodosius II, wife of Valentinian III, i. 880, ii. 46; compalled to marry Petronios Maximus, ii. 203; calle on Gaiseric for aid, ii. 203; carried captive to Carthage (455), ii. 286 ; sent to Constantinople (462), ii. 287, 434.
Eadoxius, a doctor, rriend of Gaulish insurgent peasants (Bagandao), ii. 105.
Eugetius, rhetoricisn and civil servant, made Emperor by Arbogant (392),
i. 555 ; put to death by Theodorios I after battle of the Frigidus (394), i. 577.

Eagippus, biographer of At. Severinae (cirea 5 10), ii. 506.
Eugubine tables, i. 203.
Eulalis, St, patron emint of Merides, ii. 231.

Eunapius, historian (circa 347-414), described, i. 277-280; quoted, on Julian's preacience of danger from the Gothe, i. 94 ; description of Gochic auxiliariea of Procopius, i. 160; ecoonnt of the Gothic migration in) the Danube, i. 250 ; Rayinge of Philip of Macedon and Marius recorded by, i. 278 ; one of the sonrces of Zosimus, i. 235 .

Euphemia, wife of Emperor Antheanias, dnugbter of Mnrcian, ii. 450.
Eupraxius, magister semoriae, the fint to acclaim Gratian, i. 189.
Euric, king of the Visigotha, (466-485), kills hia brother Theodoric II and moonds the throne, ïi. 484 ; religious intolerance, ii 484 ; Vandal alliance, ii. 485 ; invades Berri and Auvergne (474), ii. 486 ; invades Provence and tbremtens Italy (475), ii. 489; appeased by Epiphanius, ii. 490; Auvargue ceded to, ii. 491 ; baniuhes Sidonius, ii. 355 ; Allowe Bidonion to retarn to Auvergae, ii. 316.
Eusebiua, Arian Biahop of Nicomedia, consecrntea Ulalas, i. go.
Eusebius, Bishop of Caesare (314-340), hiatorian, i. 704 ; his chronology ent by Sidonius to a friend, ii 368 .
Eusteahius, St., Bishop of Marseilles, predecessor of Grecons, ii. 334
Eutropine, ounuch in household of Theodosius I, sent on mission to the herwit John (393), i. 568; chamberlain of Arcadiun, marries him to Eudorim i. 650; Consul (399), i. 672; minister of Arcadius, i. 681; sale of offices, i. 683 ; conlition with Eodoria and Gaines dissolved (399), i. 687; his fall, i. 689; banishment and death, i. 691.
Eutropiun, historian (fourth century), source of first eleven books of the Historis Miscells, i. 850.
Eutyches, monophysite heretic, fictitions lettar of St. Peter Chrytologas to, i. 909.

Eutychius, martyr under Vandal persecution in Africa, ii. 276.
Evagrius, ecclesiastical historian (eeventh contury), accumes Gaiseric of setting fire to Home, il. 285 n .
Evanthins, a subordinate official ander Seromatus, ii. 338.

Evodius, a correxpondent of Sidonias, his present to Visigothic queen, ii. 330 .
Exactions in Eastern Empire to raise tribute for Attila (447), ii. 53.
Exceptor, ecclesiastical short-hand writer, ii. 468.
Exo-aionitae ('outride the pillar'), name of the Ariang who had to meet for worship outside Cosstantinople, i. 353.
Exsecutores, sheriff's officert, ii. 349 n r.
Exbuperantius, SL, Bishop of Raveuna, i. 910.

## F.

Faesulse (Fiesole), Radagaisus defented at (405), i. 733.
Fall of Western Empira. The motal event insignificant, ii. 530 ; its causen discussed, ii. 532-610.
Faltonia Proba, manufacturer of theological centres out of the Aencid, ii. $3^{20} n^{3}$ -

Farming the taxes, wastefulnese and oppressiveness of the system in Rome, ii. 601 ; in modern Greece and Turkey, ii. 602; in modern Italy, ii. $602 n$; in Asia Minor, ii. $602 n$.

Fastids, king of the Gepidae, his arrogance and humiliation, i. 51.
Fausta, or Faustins, widow of Constantius II, appears by the side of Procopius, i. $14^{6}$; mentioned, i. 408 , ii. 423 n 1 .

Faustina, name of wives of Antuninus Pius and Marous Anrelius; coins with besd of, in request in the tifth century, ii. 423 .
Faustinus, put to death for magic under Valentinian I, i. 226 n.
Felix, saint and martyr, his tomb at Nols, i. 807.
Felix, magister militum and Patrician, Prime Minister of Placidia ( $\mathbf{4}^{26-430 \text { ), }}$ i. 893 .

Ferreolus Tonantios, ex-Prefect, Sidoninres description of his villa, ii. 324 ; deputy from Gaul fir impeachment of Arvandus, ii. 462.
Fescennine verses, mang at Ricimer's wedding, ii. 455 .
Festinus, of Tridentum (Trient), Proconsul of Asia under Valentinian I, cruelty in suppression of magic, i. 212.
Festus, Proconsul of Abia under Valens, cruelty in suppression of magic, i. 237.
Feadal aystem, illuatrated by Gaiseric's land-settlement of Africm, ii. 255 , 257, 260.
Filimer, ling of the Goths, at tinne of migration from Baltic to Eurine, i. 40,243 .

Finance, Roman incapacity for, ii. 597 ;
bad, a cause of the rain of the Empire, ii. 597-606.
Finlay, G., 'History of Greece from its Conquest by the Bommans, on misery of Greece in firat century, i. 5 n 2; on Photius, i. 817; on mischievous syatem of tax-farming, ii. 601.
Finne, position of, in the Taranian family, ij. 4 m .
Fire, at Rome, in 8.c. 52, i. 799; on capture by Alaric (410), i. 798; not on capture by Gaiseric, ii. 285 n .
Fire-ships of the Vandals (468), ii. $44^{8 .}$
Firmus, Mauretanian chief, revolt againgt Rome, i. 291.
Fircus, distinction between, and Aersrium, lost in third century, i. 611 n .
Flaccilla, first wife of Theodosius I, her zenl for orthodoxy, i. 368 ; anxiety for her husband described by Chrysoetom, i. 408; ber death (387), i. 464 ; her timid character transmitled to her sons, i. 644.
Flagellum Dei (scourge of God), not a contemporary epithet of Attila, ii. $122 n 2,175$.
Flavian Emperora (69-96), i. 5; as buildera, i. 6; plebeian origia of, i. 6 .

Flavian, Bishop of Antioch (381), i. 367 ; mission fo Constantinople to intercede for Antioch (387), i. 488-490, 501505 ; returns to Antioch with the Enuperor's pardon, i. 507.
Flavianus, Virius Nienmachur, Praetorian Prefect of Italy, a leader of the pagan party at accession of Eugenius, i. 561-563; his death (394), i. $574 n$; ' reversal of his attainder' (431), i. 580.

Flavius, name of Vespasian's dynaty, i. 7 1; why adopted by later Emperors and barbarian rulers, i. 6.
Florentius, St., Bishop of Ravenna, i. 904.

Foederati, meaning of the term, their status, i. 311-315. $49 \mathrm{n}, 162 \mathrm{n} 2$; in army of Constintine (314), i. 71 ; the Goths become ( 380 ), i. 307 ; the Greuthungi become (386), i. 323 popular rising against, at Consta tinople ( 400 ), i .694 ; their wive on children slain by the Legionay on fall of Stilicho, i. 760 ; desert the emi. 76 r ; clamours of, worry fie em- 202 ; peror Petronius Maxingy, general Vandal foederati, ii. $1 /$; general
relation of, to the E relation of, to the Egro, its defence and its ov 507 510 : ohief recrujug groand for ( $450-475$ ), ii. 50; demand from Oreates one-thjed of lands in Italy,
ii. 519; on rejection of the demand, proolaim Odovacar king, ii. 520 ; our foelerati in India, ii. 612.
Fortune-hunter, Sidonias's picture of a, ii. 332.

Fourth Punic War, Vandal invasion of Italy described as, ii. 253 .
France before the Revolation, analogles between figcal condition of, and Imperial Rome, ii. 590.
Francia, meaning of, in fourth centary, i. 234.

Franks, their confederacy the great danger to Gaul in the fourth century, i. 190,191 ; inroad of (388), i. 464 ; campaign of Arbogast against (392), i. 559; untruthfulness of, mentioned by Salvian, i. 922 ; prince of, seen by Priscus at Rome, ii. 102 ; early kinga of, ii. $102 n$; in the army of Attiln, ii. 107 ; Salian, position of, ii. 108 ; Ripuarian, poeition of, ii. 108; at battle of Mauriac Plain, ii. 124, 136 ; defeat of Vandals by, ii. 320 ; Ripuarian, divided their lands by lot, ii. 259.

Fraomar, king of Alamanni, in Roman service in Britain under Valentinian I, i. 197.

Fratricide among the Visigothic princea, ii. $4^{8} 4 \mathrm{~m}$.

Fravitha, or Franstiae, Gothic chief of the Romanieng party, i. 330 ; kills Eriulph, i. 331; out-mancouvres Grinas ( 400 ), i. 695 ; consul ( 401 ), i. 696; amks to be allowed to remain a heathen, i. 696.
Fredegarius (1), epitomiser of Gregory of Tours (seventh centary), blunder about Avitus and the oapture of Trier, ii. 394.
Fredibal, king of the Vandals, sent as captive to Honurius (416), ii. 223.
Freeman, Prof., 'Historical Eesnys,' description of Trier, i. 379; "The Goths at Ravenna,' i. 851 ; on the Tyrants of Britein, Gaul, and Spain, i. 737; on the history of Aetius and Boniface, i. 889-8y8.
Friedländer, Julius, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Die Munzen der Vandalen,' quoted, ii. 227 n.
Trigeridus, Roman general, socoesfal oampaign against the Gothn in Thrace Frig7), i. 264 rifus, river (Wipback Fluss), de${ }^{8 c} 7^{4}$ ad, i. 571 ; battle of the (394), i. 573-56, cf. 578 n.
Fritharein (Frederic, $=$ the king of peace), $V_{\text {nigothic prince, son of Theo- }}$ doric I, ii. 太8a; slain in battle with Aegidizs (464), ii. 436.
Fritigern, a Visigothic chief, Christian, faveurable to Rome, opposed to

Athanaric the heathen, i. 183 ; civil war between, and Athanaric, i. 183; l-ader of southward migration of Visigothe, i. 250 ; admitted with hil followers into the Rmpire (376), i. 254; at the banquet of Marcianople, i. 257; campaigne in Moorin and Thrace (377), i. 259, 260 ; at bettle of Hadrianople (378), i. 270-273; siege of Hadrinople ondertaken egainst his adrioe, i. 282 ; expedition to Epirus ( 380 ), i. 304 ; denth, i. 306.
Fronto, connt, a Gaulish functionary, accompanies embessy of TLeodoric II to the Sueves, ii. 388.
Fulgentius, queestor, overheard Petroniue Maximas envying Damocles, ii. 202.

Futhore, the Runic Alphabet, i. 37, 84, 102.

## G.

Gabinius, king of the Quadi, murdered by order of Marcellian (374), i. 199; avenged by the Quadi, i. 219; li:a marder ignored by Valentinian $L_{\text {, }} \mathrm{i}$. 225.

Gainas, the Goth, an officer in army of Theodoxius I at battle of the Frigidon, i. 572; plans (with Stilicho i) the murder of Rufinus, i. 659 ; coalition with Eutropias and Eudoxia, i. 681 ; dissolved (399), i. 687 ; rebele againat the Empire, i. 692 ; Constantinople in his power, i. 693; withdraws from Conatantinople, i. 694; out. mancouvred by Fravitta, i. 695; killed by the Huns (400), i. 695; what might have been the resulta of his capture of Constantinople, i. 696.
Gaiseric (Genseric), king of the Vandels, i. 877 ; forms of his name, ii. 227 ; no coins extent of, ii. 237n; character and appearance of, ii. 228, 239; an Arian, ii. 230; croases over into Africs (428), ii. 244; makes treaty with Valentinian III (435), ii. 248, 157 ; breake it and takee Carthage (439), ii. 250; ansumes the title of king (439), ii. 251 ; becomes a grast maritime power and a pirate, ii. 251; cruelty to his daughtar-in-law, danghter of Theodoric I, ii. 104 ; alliance with Attila (450), ii. 103; • For the people with whom God is angry,' il. $25^{2}$; conspiracy against (442), ii. 358 ; second treaty with Valentinian III (442), ii. 254 ; conqueat of Sioily (455-476), ii. 253, 503 ; internal administration of, ii. 255 ; kindnem to Marcian, ii. 261 ; persecution of African Catholica, ii. 265 ; Eudoxia
appeala to, for help (455), ii. 204, 282; sack of Rome (455), ii. 283-286; his brother-in-law killed in battle in Campania, ii. 405 ; Sidonins scoffis at his low origin and his gluttony, ii. 410 ; legend of Majorian's visit to him at Carthage, ii: 427 ; Olybrias his candidate for Western Empire, ii. 434 ; Bledas ambasaedor to (456), ii. $434 \pi$; claims property of Valentinian 111 and Aetion, ii. 435 ; ravages the coasta of Italy, ii. 435 ; baffles Roman expedition aqnainst Africa (468), ii. $44^{8}$; sketch of, by Sidonius, ii. 459 ; treaty with Oreste (475), ii. 498 ; treaty with Zeno and restoration of Roman captivea (475), ii. 498, 499; death of (477), ii. 524, 293; vacillation of European opinion concerning, ii. 534.

Galerius, Caesar (292), afterwarde Aggustus (305-311), i. 14; an a persecutor, i. 17.
Galla, dsughter of Valentininn I and Justina, becomes the second wife of Theodosius I (388), i. 464 ; urges him to avenge the death of Valentinian II, i. $55^{8}$; death (394), i. 569.

Galle Placidia, ees Placidia.
Gallienus, Emperor (254-268), the prince of poco-curamti, i. 62; civil war and death, i. 65.
Gallus, Emperor (251-254), alleged treachery of, to Decius, i. 55 ; makes a treaty with the Goths, i. 57.
Gan-tun, Chinese name for M. Aurelius Antoninus, ii. 29.
Gard (Vuardo), river of Languedoc, deacribed by Sidonius, ii. 327.
Gaston de Foix, monument of, at Ra-- venna, i. 858.

Gaudentios, father of Aetics, i. 874
Gaudentias, son of Aetius, betrothed to daughter of Valentinian III (1), ii. 195 ; carried captive to Carthage (455), ii. 286; Gaiseric claime his patrimony, ii. 435 .
Gaul, invaded by Saxons (370), i. 199; by Vandala, Sueves, and Alans (406), i. 739, ii. 219 ; by Vinigoths (412), i. 829; by Hums under Attilm (451), ii. $106-142$; division of, at that time between Empiry and Barbarians, ii. 108-112; profanity in, i. 923; Salvian's pictures of Roman society in, i. 934; the Bagandme in, ii. IO4; intellectual life of, in fifh contury, ii. 305 ; stetches of life in, by Sidonius, ii. 321-371.
Ganls, Profecture of the, i. 14, 619.
Geberic, king of the Goths, defente the Vandale (circa 337), i. 76, ii. 218.
Gelimer, king of the Vandale, great
grandson of Gaiseric, palace of, ransacked by Belisariua (534), ij. 285.
Geloni, in ammy of Attila (?), ii. 106, 107 n.
Generidus, a heathen, general under Honorios, compels Honorius to grant toleration to his followers, i. 764 ; ii. $55^{2 n}$.
Genovefa of Nanterre (Ste. Genevieve) persuades inhabitants of Paris not to fly at approach of Attila, ii. 117.
Genseric, see Gaiseric.
Genro, mon of Gaiseric, ii. 256 ; in naval battle against Basiliscus (468), ii. 449.

Georgius Syncellua, ee Syncellos.
Gepidae (the Loitorers), a Gothic tribe, origin of their name, i. 33 ; defeaterl and nearly extorminatod by king Ostrogotha, i. 50, 51 ; inhomanity attributed to them by Salvian, i. 922; served under Attila in invasion of Gaul (451), ii. 107 ; ekirmish with Franks before battle of the Mariac Plain (451), ii. 136; at battle of the Nedan (454), ii. 193; settle in Dacia, ii. 194.

Germanious, aspectabilis, of Gavl, a juvenile zexagenarian deacribed by Sidonius, ii. 343.
Germanan of Auxerre (St. Germain), protector of Genovefa, ii. 117; with Lapus, opposed Pelagianism, ii. 122.
Gerontius, hero of Tomi, his exploits and reward, i. 328.
Gerontius, a Briton, general ander Constantine the unarper, i. 742; proolaims Maximas Emperur and wages war againgt Constans (410), i. 825; killed by his own eoldiers (411), i. 826.

Gervasius and Protapius, discovery of the bodien of, at Milan (386), i. 438440.

Getae, a Thracian people, pre-Gothic inhabitants of Dacia, 1. 27.98; ward of, with Domitian, i. 96 ; their name tranaferred to the Goths, i. 99.
Getica of Dio, quoted by Jordanes, i. ${ }^{66}$
Gibbon, ' History of Decline and Fall of Roinan Empire,'i. 3, 1 I7; quoted, on the Antonine Emperons, i. 7; unfair to Prudentius, i. 537; on Alaric's tive in Illyricum, i. 661 $n$; on Sidonian's description of Theodoric II, ii. 354 nI ; on the moral character of Avitus, ii. 393-395; followed gaidance of Mascon, ii. 506 ; his account of early history of Odovacar criticived, ii. 518 n ; view of the relation of Chrimtianity to the Empire, ii. 542 ; on number of Roman slaves in time
of Clauding, ii. 558; corrected or dissented from, i. $70 \mathrm{n}, 135 \mathrm{n}$, $154 n 2,5^{81} n, 723^{n 2} 2,743$ n.
Gildas, Britigh historisn (sizth century), quoted, i. 880.
Gildo, the Moor, brother of Firmus and Count of Africa, i. 665; of doubtful loyalty (394), i. 665,666 ; rebels and stops the grain-shipe for Rome (397), i. 665 ; war declered against (398), i. 667 ; defeated and alain, i. 668 ; patrimony of, confiscated, i. 669 n 2.
Giles (in French Gulles), representative of name of Aegidius, 1i. 437.
Gladiaters, last exhibition of, at Home (404), i. 728.

Glycerius, Emperor (473-474), comen domenticorsm, ii. 478 ; raised to the throne by Gundobad, ii. 478; his elevation disapproved of at Constantinople, ii. 478; persuades Ostrogoths to transfer their arms to Gaul, ii. 481 ; his mother insulted at Pavia, ii. 482; gives up Rome to Nepos without atruggle, ii. 482 ; consecrated as Bishop, sent to Nalons, ii. 483 ; bis claims perhaps asserted by Euric, ii. 489 ; was he privy to the murder of Nepos (3) ii. 500.
Gnostics, dencribed, i. 342, 343 m.
Gubi, or Shaino, desert of, atretched across territory of Hiong-nu, ii. 7.
Godefroy, J. (Gothofredus), editor of the Theodonian Code, i. 594; quoted, i $295 \mathrm{n}, 314 \mathrm{n}, 368 \mathrm{n}, 398 \mathrm{nI}, 527 \mathrm{nz}$.
Godigiselus, king of the Vandals, slain in battle with the Franks, ii. 220 ; treaty with Honorius wrongly ascribed to, by Procopiun, ii. 221; father of Guntheric and Gaiseric, ii. 221 3, cf. 226.
Goei-siang, = Chinese counsellor, his claseification of wars, ii. 24.
Gold, Gauliah, of inferior quality, ii. 423.

Gothi Minores, converts of Ulilas, described by Jordanea, i. 81, 176, 259.

Gothi-scandza (not far from Dantzig), first landing-place of the Goths in their first migration, i. 33.
Gothic Alphabet of Ulifias, i. 84, 102108; works on the, i . 106 n a.
Gothic language as represented by translation of Ulfilas (Moeso-Gothic), i. 85 ; its affinities, i. 100 ; specimens of its Grammar, i. 108-110; vocabulary throws light on daily life of the Gothe, i. 110; used in a Church at Constantinople, i: 344; Gothic words in a Latin epigram, ii. 364.
Gothicus, surname of Claudius II, i. 68 ;
of Diocletian and Maximian, i. 71; suggeoted by Themistias for Valens, i. 173 .

Gothones, the Goths wo called by Tacitue, i. 35.
Gothe (see aloo Ostrogothe and Visigotha) ; confasion with Getre, i. 2\%, 97-99; denting of the, i. 31 ; ethnological position (Low-Germans), i. 32; traditions of their carlient home in Sweden and first migration to Rest Prumsia, as given by Jordanes, i. 33 ; the Guttones of Pytheas, i. 34 ; the Gothones of Tacitus, i. 35 ; trade with Greek colonies on the Black Bea in the fifh century B.c., i. 38, 39 ; migration to the Euxine (circa 170 A.D.), i. 40-42, 97 ; probsbly displeced Sclavonic tribes, i. 42 ; continamtion of their history as given hy Jordaner, i. 95 ; cammary of resuite as to early history, i. 99 ; differentialed into Ottrogoths and Visigoths, i. 43. 74. 100; outlook towards the Roman Empire, i. 44 ; period of friendahip with Roman Empire ( 180 I-240); not mentioned in the Tabula Pentingeriana (193-235) i. $4^{6 n}$; receive yearly atipendia from the Empire, 1. 46 ; become hostile in consequence of their stipendia being withbeld (under Philip), i. 49; invasion of Moesin under Ottmgotha, i. 49 ; full to take Marcianople, i. 50 ; war with Gepidae, i. 50 ; invanion onder Caiva (249), i. 52-56; defent and death of Decius (251), i. 55; conquer Dacia (circa 255), i. 57 ; maritime expeditions in the Eoxine and Aegean (258-262), i. 58-63; attack on Athens (267). i. 63-65; general immigration into Thrace and Macedonia (269), i. 66 ; battle of Naissus: destruction of the Goths by Clatilius II (269-70), i. 67-69; Dacis sbandoned to them by Aurelian, i. 70 ; century of comparative peace with Rome ( $270-367$ ), i. 71; Gothic kingship, i. 78-80; Christianity introduced among the Goths: Ulfilas, i. 8o; Arians, i. 88, ef. 92 ; daily life illustrated by their vicabulary, i. 110; inroeds into Thrace (365), i. 142; 3000 serve under Procopius, i. 146; thereby hrought into collision with the Empire, i. 160; campaigns of Valens egainat ( $367-369$ ), i. 162-166; peace granted, i. 167 ; causes of their defeat, i. 173; persecution of Christian among, i. 175-183; depressed state of their fortunes in 376 , i. 184 ; contraat between, and Hans, i. 245; seek shelter from the Hans in the Empire
(376), i. 250; war with the Empire (377-380, вee Visigoths), i. 258-306; massmore of their young hostages (379), i. 286; make peace with the Enpire (380), i. 306-308; dissensions between Romaniang aud national party among. i. 331; contribute to Theodosius 1': victory over Maximus, i. 466; disastisfied at cortailment of largeasea after death of Theodosius I, i. 651 ; proclaim Alaric king, i. 653; possibility of a Gothic Monarchy at Constantinople in 399, i. 696; council of their warriora before Pollentia, i. 718 ; conduct at saok of Rome (410), i. 794 ; spare the basilicas of Sc. Peter and St. Panl, i. 796; no great injury done by, to buildings of Rome, 1. 798; conjectural eatimate of their numbers, i. 81I; perfidy their national vice (Salvian), i. 923 ; at the battle of the Nedau (454), ii. 193; name of, given vaguely to all Teutonic foederali, ii. G513.
overnolo, a village near Mantua, beene of Pope Leo's interview with Attila (3), i. 159 .

Gozolas, a Jew, Sidonius's charity towards, i. 319.
Gracehos, Caius, his grain-sales to poor citizene, ii. $5^{69}$.
Grado, refagees from Aquileia settled at, ii. 166.
Grnecus, Bishop of Marseilles, Sidonius's correspondence aith, about the fortunehunter, ii. 332 ; employed to negotiate peace between Euric and Rome (475), ii. 491 n 1 ; reprimanded by Sidonius for hie share in the treaty, ii. 492.
Grain-sales and Grain-largesses (Annona), economic absurdity of, ii. 568 ; reduced to a system by Caius Gracchus, ii. 569 ; number of recipients limited, ii. 569 ; asle of corn changed to present of bread, ii. 570; given by Constantine to Constantinople, ii. $57^{2}$; sliso shared by Antioch and Alexandria, ii. $57^{2}$.

Gratian, a Pannonian, father of Valentinian I, i. 13 I .
Gratinn, Einperor (375-383), son of Valentinian I, associated in the Empire by his father (367), i. 186-190; present at the battle of Solicinium (368), i. 193: age and position at death of Valentinian I, i. 230; recognises Valentinimn 1I, i. 232 ; rules 'the Gaula' (Britain, Gaul, Spain), i. 233 ; Valens jealous of him, i. 252; sends troops to assiatance of the Fast (377), i. 261, 264 ; victories nver barbarians in the West (378), i. 266 ; laid up with fever at Sirmium on his
way to join Valens, i. 269 ; associatas Theodosius as his colleagge (379), i. 294 ; n9w division of the Eimpire, i. 294,678; sumnoned by Theodosius I to his assistance ( 380 ), i. 304 ; receives submisaion of the Visigothr, i. 306309 ; residence at Trier, i. 377 ; early popularity, i. 379 ; his advisers, i. 379, 380 ; influence on, of SL Ambrose, i. 388 ; denoription of, by Themistius, i. 389 ; by A noonius, i. 394 ; decline of his popularity, i. 396; removes Altar of Victory from the Senatohouse (382), i. 397 ; confiscatos temple revenues, i. 398; refuses to be atyled Pontifex Maximus, i. 399; lack of diligence, i. 400 ; his fondness for the Teutons, i. 401 ; edict in favour of the Priscillianist (383), i. 447; revolt of Maximus, i. 402; deserted by his army, i. 404 ; captured by Andragathing, i. 405 ; assassinated at Lyons, i. 406.

Greek, the, turned Han, Priscus's story of, ii. 77-80.
Gregorovius, low estimate of population of Rome in time of Alaric, i. 815. Gregory Nazianzen (325-389), birth and early life, i. 337; Biehop of Sasimn (372), i. $33^{8}$; goee to Constentinople as Bishop of the orthodox community (379), i. 341 ; preaches at St. Anastamia, i. 343; relations with Maximus the Cynic, i. $346-35^{\circ}$; enthroned in the Church of the Apostles (380), i. 355; decline of his influence at Court, i. 357 ; formally installed as Bishop by the Council of Coustantinople, i. 359; succeeds Meletius ns president of the Council, i. 360 ; opposition to him in the Council, i. 361-364; his abdication, i. $36_{4}$; old age and death, i. 366 ; comparison with St. Panl, i. 366 ; his autabiographical poems, i. 334 ; quoted, i. 3.39, 340, 344, 349, 353; letter to Hellebichua, i. $493 n 3$.
Gregory of Tours, historian (538-595), described, ii. 99, 3 ;4; silence as to Frankish kings before Childeric, ii. 102 n ; as to character of A vitus, ii. 393; sccount of siege of Orleans, ii. 120-121; on the scene of defeat of Attila, ii. 144 ; tradition as to end of A ritus recorded by, ii. 392.
Greuthungi, apparently = Ostrogothe, i. roi, cf. 165 n 3 ; invaaion of, under Odotheus (386), i. 320-323; colony in Phrygia, i. 687.
'Gruans of the Britons ' (446), story of, i. $88 a$

Grater's Inscriptions, quoted, i. 727n3 (triamph of Honorius); i. 730 n 2
(Claudian) ; i. $806 n$ (Dionytias the deacon).
Guizot, 'Histoire de la Civilisation en France,' ii. 297, 321 $r$; on the illogical chnracter of mediaepal politics, ii. 528; 'Eseais sur l'Histoire de France': on the municipal regime of the Empire, ii. $576 n, 580 n, 591$.
Guldenpenning, Dr. An,' Der Kaieor Theodosius der Grosse,' $\mathbf{i}$. 281; quoted, i. $517 n 1,564 n 2$; 'Geschichte des Oströmischen Reiches,' i. 638 ; quoted on Synesias's ormation on kingrhip, i. 686 m

Gumohar (Gumosriua), Roman general, Julian's opinion of him, i. 155 ; betrays Procopias at battle of Thyatira, i. 155, 156.

Gundiok, king of the Burgundiana, ii. IIO; joins the Visigoths in making war on the Sueves, ii. 388 ; brother-in-law of Ricimer, ii. 399 -
Gundobad, son of Gundiok, nephew of Ricimer, slew Anthemius (1), ii. 473 ; made Patrician by Olybrius, ii. 477; after five inonthe' interregnum makey Glycerius Emperor (473), ii. 478; serms to have left Glycerias in the lurch and withdrawn to Burgundian territary (474), ii. $4^{82}$.
Guntheric, a Goth, sent by Ostrogotha to invade Moesia, i. 50 .
Guatheric or Gunderic, king of the Vandals, victory over the Sueves (419), ii. 224; mystery aboat his death, ii. 226.
Gut-thinda ('Gothic people'), name by which the Goths spoke of themselves, i. 34.

Guttones, the Goths so called by Py theas and Pliny, i. $34,35$.
Gwatkin, Mr. H. M., 'Studies of Arianism,' i. 334, 89 n 2 ; quoted, i.


## H.

Habet-Deum, bishop of Teudala, banished by Gaiseric, ii. 274
Hadrian, Emperor ( $117-138$ ), remains of his military worke in Britain, $\mathbf{i}$. 8 ; proposed to abandon Dacia, i. 45, 98.

Hadrianople, chief arsenal of Thrace, i. $257 \mathrm{n}, 260$; aftair of Sueridus and Colias at (377), i. 259; besiegod by Fritigern, i. 260 ; battle near (378), i. 271-275; unsuccessfully besieged by the Goths, i. 281-284.
Haliorunnas or Al-runme, Gothic witches, i. 243.
Hallam, 'History of the Middle Ages,' on the meaning of Sors, ii. 259 n 2.

Had-chou, Chincme historian, quoted by Deguignes, ii. I.
Harmatiua, general of the Eastern Rmpire, betrays Banliscua and restores Zeno (477), ii. 515.
Hermonius, countellor of Valentinian II, murdered by Arbogent (392i, i. $55^{2}$.
Heathenism, see Paganirm.
Hebdomon, building at Constantinople, i. $135 \approx 2$.

Hebras (Maritza), Sobactian's victory by the ( 378 ), i. 266.
Heidelberg, fortrees erected by Valentinian I on the Neckar may have been at, i. 197.
Helion, magister officiorsm and Patrician, encorts the boy Valentinina III to Rome (425), i. 848.
Hellelichos, magister militmm praescntalis, sent by Theodoains I to punish the insarrection of Antioch, i. 493.

Hemans, C. J., 'Ancient Christisnity and Secred Art,' referred to, i. 870 n.
Hendecaryllabic metre, Sidonius's pertiality for, i. 329, 337, 363.
Heraclian, rewarded for putting Stilicho to death by African command, i. 760 : starve Rome out of her disloyalty to Honorina (409), i. 787, 790; revolts from Honorius and invadea Italy (413), i. 828; defeated and put to death, i. 828 .
Heraclins, eunuch, confidant of Valentinian III in the marder of Aetice, ii. 195; slain with his master (455), ii. 198.

Heraclins, Byzantine general, lands at Tripolis and marchen to Carthage (468), ii. 447 ; retrests, ii. 449.

Heracline, Emperur of the Bast (610641), destroyed the Persian Monarchy, ii. 539.
Herbert, Hon. and Rev. W., 'Attils king of the Hune,' described, ii. 38 ; quoted, ii. $93 n, 116 n 2,176$.
Hermanric, king of the Ostrogoths (335-375), i. $7^{6 ;}$ raled from the Baltic to the Euxine (1), i. 77 ; probahly included the vieigoths in his confederacy, i. 77; overthrown by the Huns, i. 246, 247 ; cruel treatment of Sunilda, i. 246; his death ( 375 1), i. 247.
Hermanric, king of the Suevi, defeated by the Vandals (419), ii. 224.
Hermenric, son of Aspar, ii. 445.
Hermigarius, king of the Sueri, defented by Gaiseric (428), ii. 230.
Hermits, intercede for Antioch, i. 498600.

Herodotus, Ammianas Marcellinus somewhat resembles, i. 114; the model of Olympiodorus (1), i. 818; Sidonius would have done well to initate, ii. 372.
Heruli, join in maritime expeditions of the Gothy, i. 58 ; take part in capture of Athens (267), i. 63 ; defeated by Gallienua, i. 65; by Hermanric, i. $77{ }^{n} 1$; early history of, ii. 511; settled on southern slopes of the Carpathians, ii. 511 ; with kindred tribes entered Italy as recmite rather than as invaders, ii. 513.

Herzberg, G. F., 'Geachichte Griechenlands anter der Herrechaft der Römer,' i. 31 ; description of Athens in 267, i. 63 n 2.
Hesychius, ambassailor from Avitue to Theodoric II, ì. 390.
Heva (wife ) or house 1), myaterious word on gignet-ring of Theodoric I (1), ii. 139, 141.

Hien-wang (' wise king'), Viceroy of the Hiong-nu, ii. II; 'of the Left,' ii. 11, 16, 18 ; 'of the Right,' ii. 16.

Hierarcly, Christian, effect on the fall of the Empire, ii. 553.
Highland drems, Frankish dress partly resembled, ii. 365.
Higuera, Geronimo de la (erventeenth century), Jesuit forger of early chroniclers, ii. 211.
Hilperic, ese Chilperic.
Hinde, J. Hodgson, ${ }^{〔}$ History of Northumberland,' i. 716 na.
Hiong-nu, a tribe of Asiatic barbarians, can they be identified with the Huns? ii. 5, 27,35 ; extent of their dominions, ii. 7; earlier names of, Chan-yong and Tchong-yn, ii. 8; early relations with the Chinese, ii. 8 ; Great Wall of China built as a barrier againsh, ii. 9 ; diplomatic transmetions with Chinese Court, ii. 11 ; manners and customs of, ii. 13; strict observance of tresties by, ii. 13 ; religions rites of, ii. 13; power at ite zenith under Mé-te-Tanjou (B. C. 209-174) ii. 15-17; decline after his death, ii. 18 ; become tributary to the Chineme (b. c. 58), ii. 25 ; divided into northern and southern, ii. 26; fall of their Empire (A.D. 93), ii. 27; dispirited condition of, after this event, ii. 31 ; turn their faces finally to the West, ii. 31.
Hippo Regios (Bona), SL. Auguatine Bishop of, ii. 245 ; his death at, ii. $2+7$; unsuccessful siege of, by the Vandals (430-431), ii. 244-248;
captured by the Vandals: later history, ii. 249.
Histuria Mincella, described, j. 850 ; story of Digna told in, ii. 151; on treatment of cities in N. Italy by Attile, ii. 154 m.
History of the fifth century, why so meagrely narrated by contemporaries, ii. 301.
Histros, at the moath of the Danube, deatroyel by the Carpi (241), i. 48.
Holder-Egger, O., articles by, in Neaes Archiv, on the Descriptio Consulum, j. 303 n 1 ; on true and false Prosper, i. 703; on eccounts of death of Valentinian III, ii. 197 n 3 ; on story about Avitus at Trier, i. 395; on Joannes Antiochenus, ii. 43I; on death of Severus, ii. 433 n T ; on the Anonymus Valesii, ii. 476 ; edition of Agnellua by, i. 899.
Holofernes, Catholic priests forbidden to compre Gaiseric to, ii. 173.
Homer, quoted by Themistins, i. 299; by Scipio on fall of Carthage, ii. 610.

Homoion, the, i. 90 , of. 342.
Homoi-ousion, the, i. 91, cf. 342.
Homo-ousion, the, i. 90 , of. $34^{2}$.
Eonoria, daughter of Constantius and Placidia, her birth, i. 840 ; disgrnce and banishment to Constantinoplo, ii. 46 ; sends her ring to Attila, ij. 47; her grievances turedressed, ii. IoI, 160; disappears from History, ii. 102.

Honoriani, name of troops in the Auxilia Polatina, i. 743 n.
Honorias, Emperor (395-423), son of Theorlosius I, born 384 , comes to Rome with his father (389), i. 517 ; associated with his father and brother in the Empire as A ugostus (393), i. 567; brought from Constantinople to Milan by his consin Serena and denignated as Emperor of the West (305), i. 585 , of. 580 ; his birth and infancy as desoribed by Clandian, i. 640-643; his character, 1. 643; marries Maria, daughter of Stilicho (398), i. 670; movements during first invasion of Alaric (400-402), i . 712 ; besieged by Alaric at Milan or Asti (1) (401), i. 713; flies to Ravenna and resides there thenceforward (402), i. 712; walls of, at Rome, i. 726 ; visits Rome, his triumph (404), i. 727 ; recognises Constantine as Emperor of the Gauls (407), i. 744; eatrangentent from Arcadius, i. 745 ; present at the muting at Ticinum (408), i. 753 ; refusee to treat with Alaric, i. 761 ;
adicts against heretics and heathens, i. 762-765; ann make neither war nor peace with Alaric, (409), i. 776; rejects ternas suggested by Jovius, i. 781 ; oath by his head, i. 781 ; makes overtures for peace to Attalus, i. ;87; intended flight to Constantinople, i. 788 ; receiver unexpected reinforcements from the East, i. 788; effect on, of tidinge of fall of Rome ( 410 ), j. 810 ; consents to Placidia's marriage with Ataulfus (414), i. 831; triumph over Ataulfus (417), i. 841; quarrels with Placidia, i. 844 ; diea of dropay, i. 844 ; his tomb at Ra venna, i. 887 ; church built at Ravenna by his chamberlain Lauricius, i. 906 ; edicts against Donatists and Manicherns, ii. 267.
Hornidac, the Hun, threatens Moesia (457), ii. $45^{2}$.

Hormindas, of the royal need of Persia, made pro-consul by Procopius, i. 150, 151 ; at the battle of Thyatira, i. 155; in command of barbarians rent w Egypt by Theodoeius I, i. 326.

Hospes, signification of, in barbarian land-settlement, ii. ito.
Hou-han-sie Tanjou, ruler of the Hinng-nu, ii. 25; polysyllabio names of his mons, ii. 27 m .
Household, imperial, interference of, in the Goverument, ii. 607.
Huworth, Mr. W., on the identification of the Hiong-nu with the Hons, ii. 2, 35 .

Hübner, Prof. E., on mource of quotetions from Victor Cartennensis, ii, 210.

Hug, Dr. Arnold, 'Antiochia und der Aufatand des Jahres 387,' i. 473, $4^{83} n$
Hunagaisua, see Onégesh, ii. 123.
Hungarians (Magyars), relation of, to the Huns, ii. $4 n$; claim descent from Attila, ii. 178; their chroniclers or romancers, ii. 178.
Hunimund, son of Hermanrio, king of the Ostrogoths, submits to the Huns, i. 247.

Hunneric or Huneric, son of Gaiserio, sent as hostage to Rome (435), ii. 249; marries daughter of Theodoric I, ii. 104; rich African provincinls assigned to, ii. 256; his steward Saturus persecuted loy the Arians, ii. 278 ; marries Eudncia, daughter of Valentinian III (455), ii. 286; an ill-aksorted union, ii. 435.
Huns, early history of, ii. 1-34; Claudian's description of, ii. 2 ; Ammianus's description of, ii. 32-34; Jor-
danes' description of (Gothic tredilioa of their arigin), i. 243 ; Siduniun's deacription of, ii. 458; can they be identified with the Hiong-nu 1 ii. $5,27,35$; an exception to usalal chantity of harbarians, i. 921 ; epoke Gothic or Latin, but not uacally Greek, ii. 77; irruption of, intw Earope, i. 242, 245 ; chronology of their irruption, i. 247 a 2 ; extreme ugliness of, i. 244 ; contraet to the Goths, i. 245; overconne the Ostrogoth! (375), i. 247; overoome the Visigoths (376), i. 248 ; invade Thrace ( 3 ji8), i. 264; Ephthalito Huns invade Mempotamia (383), i. 413 ; for half a oentory hardily oume into direct collision with the Rmpire, ii. 38 ; serve in Ronan armies, ii. 39; in service of Honorina, i. $77^{8}$, 782 ; employed by Aotias on behalf of Joannes, i. 875 ; employed by Aetius against Theodario I, ii. 109 ; Uldis, king of (409), ii. 39 ; Rous, king of (432), ii. 40 ; Attila and Bleda, kings of (433), ii. 40 : were not religious pertecators in Gaul, bat unbiased robbers, ii. II6; dissolution of their empire on death of Attils, ii. 191 ; repuleed by Anthemius on the lower Danube (457), ii 452.

Hyginus, Bishop of Cordova, joined the Priscillianists, i. 445.
Hymetius, pro-consul of Afrion, sceused of magic and treason onder Valentinian I, i. 211.
Hyperethiua, menial in command of troope of Procopius, i. 153.

## I.

Ibriones, people of Gaul (1), ii. in in 2.
Icarine, son of Theodoras, Comes Orientis ( 384 ), poem in praise of Theodosius 1, i. $240 \approx 1$.
Iekstadt, Baron von, forgod letter of Glycerius to Widemir, ii. 481 n.
Idatius, Bishop of Merids, opponent of Priecillian, i. $4^{66}$.
Idatius, of Limica, annalist (fifth centary), deacribed, i. 707 ; quoted, on birthplace of Theodosiun I, i. 287 $n 2$; on invacion of Spain by barbarians, i. $824 n 2$; on evente of 422 , i. 89 I ; on site of defeat of Attila, ii. 144; on gaill of Petronias Maximus, i. 207 ; on the history of the Vandals in Spain, ii. $223 n 2,224 \approx 1,226$, 230, 291; the only chronioler who mentions the consulohip of Avitus, ii. 385 n ; 'Deecriptio Consulum' acribed to, i. 303 M I; quoted, on
detee of Gratian's birth and acoesaion, i. $187 n$.

Itrne (Erin), i. 289.
Ifland, Dr. J. (see Güldenpenning): ' Der Kaiser Theodotiun der Grose,' i. 281.

Igil, Vandal ohiaf, taken prisoner by Probus and sent to Britain, ii. 217.
Ildico, last wife of Attila, her wedding, ii. 170; did she murder him ${ }^{1}$ ii. 171 .

Hlurtris, title of, i. 603, 604 ; officert bearing the title deecribed, i. 604617.

Hllyricam, the country of Claudins Gothicus, Aurelian, Diocletian, Constantine, and Valentinian I, i. 72, 131; of St. Martin, i. 447; close onnnection between the Cburches of, and those of Italy, i. 86, cf. i. 149; oppression of, by Petroniua Probus, i. 218; what it compriser, i. 295 ; Eastern Illyricum subjected to Alaric, i. 661-663; division of Prefecture of, between Eastern and Western Kmptres, i. 677, 678, 881 2 ; the Profecture and the Province distingaished, i. 678.
Images of the gods at Rome melted down to provide ransom for city (408), i. 775 ; sacred image erected to proteot Sicily from fire of Etna, i. 808 n.
Imoia, St. Peter Chrysologus, a native of, i. 909 ; St. Casian, parron saint of, i. 910.
Imperator, see Elmperor, i. 600.
Indeatructibility of Roman Empire, practioally believed in, even after the events of 476 , ii. 527 .
Indian jewels (?) given by Maximin to Hunnish depaties, ii. 62 .
Indiction, reassessment of taxes every fifteenth year, ii. 583 n, 600 ; chronological use of the word, ii. 600 n .
Indostrius, a corrempondent of Sidonins, ii. 340

Ingenaus, a chief citizen of Narbonne, gave up his house for wedding of Atanlfun and Placidia, j. 83r.
Innocent I, Pope of Rome (402-417), sapports Chryeostom against Arcadius, i. 745 ; consents to performance of hesthen sacrifices in secret (408), i. 773 ; sent on an embassy from Rome to Ravenna (409), i. 778.
Innocentia, a favourite bear of Valantinian I, i. 208.
Innocentia, St., daughter of St. Severus, Bishop of Ravenna, i. 866.
Insuls Tiberina at Rome, Arvandas pat into a dangeon on, Hi. 464.
Intercent, rate of, and mode of reckioning among the Romans, ii. 348,603.

Interval of thirty daye by law of Theodosius I between signing of cupital sentence and execution, i. 533, ii. 465.

Intolerance, Christian, its share in fall of the Empire, il. 549 ; not an original attribute of Christianity, ii. 547.
Iphicles, rhetorician and philosopher of Epirus, heads deputation to Valentinian I and exponee miegovernment of Probas, i. 226.
Ieaurian adventurers at Byzantine Court, ii. 445.

Isidore, Bishop of Seville, and Visigothic historian (eeventh century), on date of accemsion of Athanaric, i. 16I $n$; on date of accession of Alariu, i. $653 \mathrm{n}_{1}$; on date of paseage of the Vandale into Africa, ii. 291; worthlessness of his chronology, ii. 294.
Isonzo (Sontiun), river of Venetia, ii. 162, 164 ; 200 aleo Natiso.
Itala, the old Latin veridon of the Bible, probably used by Ulifies, i. 86, 87.
Italy, civil and military administration of, i. 632, 623 ; population of, i. 812 ; depopulation in, i. 265,813 , ii. 421 ; misery of, in fifth century, revealed by legialation of Majorian, ii. 421; moral effect of invasion of Alaric, $i$. 725; a third of the land demanded by the foederati, ii. 519; Odovacar, king of, ii. 528-530.
Ithacius, Bishop of Sossabe, opponent of Priscillian, i. $44^{6}$.
Itinerarime Antoninum, quoted, i. $\mathbf{4}^{8 n}$ n, $53^{n 1}$, $54^{n}$ 2, $301 n 2$.

## J.

Jacobus, a Pagan doctor, by the bedside of $L_{00} 1$, ii. 443.
Januarius, relative of Julian, proposed as successor to Jovian, i. 130 .
Jerome, St. (Hieronymus) (340-420), his retrest at Bethlehem, i. 525, 799; visited there by Idatiun, i. 707 ; effect of tidings of fall of Rome upon, i. 799: conversation with citizen of Narbonne as to Atanifue, i. 832; his chroniclo continued by Prosper, i. 704 ; by Idatius and Marcollinus, i. 708; quoted, on date of Athanaric's persecation, i. $175 n 2$; on death of Gratian, i. 406 ; on the Council of Ariminum, i. 434; on usurpers in Britain, i. $74^{\circ} \mathrm{n} 1$; letter, 'De Monogamia' to Ageruchia, i. 8or ; letter,' ' De Pacatulae infantulae edocatione ' to Gaudentius, i. 802 ; letter to Demetrias i. 802 ; account of death of Marcella, i. 795, 796; fondnee for quoting Virgll, i. 801 1 .

Jewrs, lawn of Plecidie against the, i. 883 ; toleration of Sidonius for, ii. 319.

Joannes Angeloptea, St., Bishop of Ravenna ( 9 418-439), i. 867, 906-909; recelves the Pallinm from Valentinian III, i. 907 ; cunfosed by Agnellus with John II, i. gII.
Joannes Antiochenus, chronicler (seventh century), described, ii. 374, 431 ; account of Arbognent, i. 550 ; his version of the story of Aetius and Bonifice, i. 898 ; account of Avitue, ii. 391, 392 n ; account of denth of Anthemine, ii. 472; on Odovacar, it. 518: recently-discovered fragment of, ii. 477 mI .
Jonnnes Lydus, Byzantine writer (rirth century). 'De Magiotratibus' quoted, on size of the Ala, ii. $217 \mathrm{n}^{1} \mathrm{I}$; on Latin in Africa, ii. 239; on cost of expedition of Basiliscns against the Vandals, ii. $44^{6 n}$; on the office of Praetorian Prefeot, ii. $451 n 1$; on hospitality of the Roman aristocracy, i. 519 n.

Jonnnes, Primicerius Notariorum, sent on an embasy to Alaric (408), i. 769 ; raiked to the Empire on deeth of Honorius (423), i. 845 ; evil omen at his onthronement, i. 845 ; depoeed and put to death at Aquileia (425); i. 847 .

Joannes, second in command to Basiliscus in expedition against Vandals (468), leap into the when the battle is lost, ii. 449.
John the Erangelist, St., legend of his appenrance to Placidia, i. 868-870.
John, an Egyptian hermit, prophecy about victory of Theodonius I over Eugenius, i. 568.
Jobn II, St., Bishop of Ravenna (477494), i. 911 .

Jordanes (or Jormandea), historian of the Goths (wrote circa 550), 'De Rebus Geticis,' and ' Do Regnorum Succersione,' his birth and parentage, i. 23 ; life, 1.24 ; form of his name, i. 24 ; character of his work, i. 25-29, 97, 99 ; quoted on the early history of the Goths, i. 33, 40-42; on the relation of the barbarians to the Empire, i. $49 \boldsymbol{m}$; account of war between the Goths and Gepideo, i. 51 ; on sack of Troy by the Goths, i. 61 ; attempt to connect the Goths with the foundation of Constantinople, i. 71 2; acconnt of Hermanric, i. 76, 77, 246; account of the Gothi Minores, i. 81; summary of chapters V-XIII of the ' $D e$ Rebus Geticie,' i. 95 ; unhistorical atatement

3 to original dirimice of Oatrogothe and Viaigothn, i. 100; soconnt of the Huna, i. 243-245; story of the banquet of Marcimdople, i. 157 ; on Theodosius I, i. 300; mceoomst of Athanaric's visit to Constantinople, i. 309; zcoount of Aleric's elovation an King. i. 653 ; list of natione who fought agrinst Attila, ii. III $n$ 2; speech of Roman envoya to Theodoric I, ii. 113: dencription of battle of Mauriac Plain, ii. 125-136; on site of the battle, ii. 143; sccoumt of Attile's death and funcral, ii. 170 174 ; description of betffe of the Nedao ii. 193 ; deecription of Geiseric, ji. 229; nccount of evente of 475, ii. 494; on the mationality of Odovecar, ii. 516.
Jovian, notarime, in army of Julisa, perhaps intended for Emperor on death of Jalinn, i. 119, 130; put to death (363), i. 125.
Jovian, Emperor (363, 364), hie eleotion, i. 119-121;-makes peece with Pernia and anrronders Nisibis, i. 123 , 124; his death, i. 129, 408.
Jovinus, maghoter equilwmender Valentinian 1, drives the Alamanni out of Gaul (366), i. 192.
Jovinus, anti-Emperor (412), smpported by Ataulfus, i. 829; proclaime his brother Sebestian his colleagze, $i$. 830; eurrendered by Atanifas to Honorins and pat to death (413), i. 830; Avitus sabstitated for, by Fredegarius ( $)$, ii. 394.
Jovias, minister of Honorius, supplents Olympius, and is made Prectorian Prefeot (409), i. 779; a Christian, i. 779 n ; formerly gueat-friend of Alaric, with whom he concludes treaty of Ariminum, i. 780; disavowed by Honorius, i . 781 ; his onth by the Emperor's head, i. 782 ; dissuades from peace with Alaric, i. 783: gres over to Attalus, 1787 ; his fali, i. 823.
Judex, title of ruler of the Visigoths, i. 78; Themistius on its meaning, i 170; dropped after end of Ottrogothic overlordship, i. $310 \geqslant 2$.
Julian Alpa, Vingothio ravages reach to ( 378 ), i. 285 ; croned by the pens of 'Ad Pirum,' i. 569; Alaric's roend into Italy lay through, i. 663 ; passed by him ( $4 \infty 0$ ), i. 709; Aquileia sant her merchandise over, ii. 148.
Julian Empenora, i 4
Jolian, 'the A postate,' Emperor (361363), estimate of his religious position, i. 16, 21; a fellow student of Gregory Naciensen at Athena, i 337;
incident at his elevation, i. 266; replaced altar of Victory, 1. 397 ; scorn of the people of Antioch for, i. 476; Ammianus Marcellinus present at his death, i. 113; barial at Tarsus, i. 129; ragacious remark about the Goths, $\mathbf{i}$. 94 ; his anti-Christian legislation repealed, i. 201, 202 ; enthusiasm of Eunapius for, i. 278, 279, of Libanjus, i. 470; laws abont clerical carialey, ii. $5^{87}$; exempted father of thirteen children from corial duties, ii. 595 .

Julian, of Eclana, a Pelagian, confutotion of by St. Augustine, ii. 245.
Julian, St., the Martyr, an Arvernian saint, Aritus meeke sanctuary at his tomb, ii. 392.
Julins, magister militum, devises the massecre of the young Gothio hostages, $\mathbf{j}$. 286.
Julina Nepos, ree Nepoa.
Japiter Capitolinus, temple of, gold plates stripped off from doors of, by Stilicho, i. 749 ; columns of, used in Church at Ravenna, i. 905 ; copper etripped off from roof of, by Vandals, ii 284
Justina, widow of Magnentius, second wife of Valentinian I, i. 229; his dream aboat her before his death, $i$. 227; her children, i. 229; regent of Italy, Hlyricam, and Africs (375), i. 233 ; may have been reaponsible for death of elder Theodosias, i. 29a; quarrol with St. Ambrose, i. 425439; flies from Italy with her children on the approach of Maxinus (387), i. 46 I ; returns to Italy, i. 466; her desth (388), i. 469.
Juatin Martyr, a philosopher-Christian, ii. $54^{8}$.

Justinian, Emperor (527-565), mosaic representation of, at Ravenna, i. 913; bestows property of Arians at Ravenns on the Church there, i. 916; recovery of Ravenna, i. $96 n$; his re-conquest of Western Empire from barbarians generallyanticipnted, ii. 5a7; language in the Institutel concerning slavery, ii. 563 n .
Jastua, St., sepalchre of, at Lyons, ii. 321 ; Church dedicated to, by Bishop Patiens, ii. 328.
Jathangi, inroads of (386), i. 455.
Juvenal, Ammianus's aketches of life in Rome remind us of, i. II4.

## K.

Kalendae, presente to offlicials on first day of month, forbidden by Majorian, ii. 423.

Kam-mo, Chinese historian, quoted by Deguignes, ii. 1 .
Kaon-te, Emperor of China (b.0. 207), ii. 15, 16.

Karakorum, one of the capitals of the Hiong-nu, ii. 13.
Kemble, 'Archeeologia,' quoted, i. 107, 108 n 3.
Keza, Simon, Hungarian romancer about Attila, ii. 178.

- Kings, the race of men live bat for the sake of' (Jordanes), ij. 125.
Kingship, Teotonic and Gothic, effeot of war and peaco on, i. 28-90; Tacitus on Gothic, i. 35, 44 ; Feakness of the Visigoths without, i. 173 ; theory of Dahn as to German, i. $197 \boldsymbol{n}^{2}$; importance of, in atruggle with Rome, i. 198.
Kingaley, Chms, "Hypatia,' referred tos, i. 828 n.
Köpte, ' Die Anfánge dee Königthams,' quoted, i. $173 \mathrm{n}, 651 \mathrm{n}$.
Kreka or Recan, chief wife of Attila, Roman ambasmedors visit her palace, ii. $8 \mathrm{I}, 89 \mathrm{n}$.


## L.

Lactantius, Chrietian writer (thind century), ' De Mortibus Persecutorou,' quoted, on Diocletian's division of the Provinces, i. 618 n ; of African origin, ii. 238 ; bitterness of, ii. 549 .

Leeta, second wife of Gratian, i. 405 n 3 ; in her widowhood succoura starving Romans during siege by Alaric, i. 769 ; kinswoman of Placidia, i. 830 n 1.
Laeti, military colonists in Gaul, the Litiani of Jordanes (?), ii. III $n$ a.
Lagune (lagoons) of Venice, deecribed, ii. 165.

Lampridius, senator, remonstrates *gainut payment of subsidy to Alaric, i. 748.
Lampridius, orator and friend of Sidonius, addicted to astrology, ii. 337 ; murdered by his slaves.
Lancearii, a Palatine legion, i. 273 \%.
Lanciani, Prof. R., 'Ancient Rolne in the Light of Recent Discoveriea,' quoted, on the Vestals, i. 421 n I , 537, 58an.
Land-settlement of the Vandsla in Africa, ii. 256-360, 26a; of Visigoths and Burgundians in Gaul, ii. 519.

Land-tax (Canon), raised by Majorian, ii. 424 ; the aheet anchor of Imperial finance, ii. 600 .
Landulf the Wise (eleventh century),
completed the Histaria Miscalla (1), i. 850 .

Langobardi (Lombards), early victory of, over the Vandals, ii. 214.
Latham, Dr., opinion as to extent of Attila's Empire, fi. 42.
Latifundia (vast eatates), in Africa, ii. 237: 'Latifundia pordidere Italiam,' ii. 564 .

Lauricius, Chamberlain of Honorius, builde Charch at Ravenaa, i. 906.
Lector, a alave, not only reeder, but lotter-cartior, ii. 345 n 1 .
Loft, the poet of honour with the Hiong-na, ii. 11 .
Legions, dimination in strength of the, i. 630 ; Palatinme, i. 631 ; Comitatenses, i. 632 ; Pseudo-Comitatenses, ii. 632: the Twentieth withdrawn from Britain by Stilicho (401), i. 716.

Lentienses, a barbaroas tribe in the Black Forest, conquered by Gratian, i. 266,379 .

Leo, made magister millitum per Orientem (\%) by Eutropian, i. 681 ; defeated and killed by Tribigild (399), i. 688.

Leo, a Roman, ministor of Euric, intercedes for Sidonius, li. 316.
Leo I. Pope (440-461), his cbarscter, ii. 157 ; meeting with Attile (451), ii. 159 ; legender regarding it, ii. 160 ; effect of his success on the power of the Papacy, il. 161 ; intercedes for Rome with Griseric (455), ii. 283; * strict disciplinarian, it. 393 ; letters on the Eutychian controverty maid to have been written by Prosper, i. 704; letter to St. Neon of Ravenna, i. gio.
Leo I, Emperor of the East (457-474), elevated by Aspar, ii. 403, 443; Jecobus the physician's interview with, ii. 443; quarrel with Aspar, ii. 444; reigns in harmony with Majorian, ii. 403 ; constul with Majorian ( 458 ), ii. 406 ; resents his deposition, ii. 433 ; entreats Gaisoric to restore Eudoiia, ii. 287; alliance with Western Empire againat the Vandals (468), ii. $44^{1}$; sende expedition to Carthage, ii. $44^{6}$; puta Aspar and his family to death ( 471 ), ii. $47^{8}$; called Macellus (butcher), ii. 479; death (Jan. 474), ii. 479, $4^{80}$.
Leo II, grandson of the above, son of Zeno, ii. 445; succeoded Leo I (474), ii. 480 .

Lenga, Gallic measure of length $=1 \frac{1}{2}$ Roman mile (Jordanes), ii. 125.
Leptis (Lebida), devastated by the Austoriani, Romanus refuses to help it, i 214 ; again attacked, i. 11 g .
'Levite,' the, of Auxerre, deapriled of bis property by Gothic invadere, ii. 370.

Libanius, of Antioch (314-circa 395), Professor of Rhetoric, dewribed, i. 470; llfe of, by Sievers, i. 474; tentimony to clemency of Valons, i. 159 $n 2$; quoted, on the condition of Antioch, i. 478, 479, 480; on the Ineurrection of Antioch, i. 484, 485. 489, 497, 502, 506; ncoonant of Tatian and Proalue, i. 542 n .
Libenias, a magioian from Acia, pot to death by order of Placidia, i. 843 -
Liberian, St., Bishop of Bavenme, i. 904.

Liberias II, 8t., Bishop of Reverna, i. 904.

Liberiua III, St., Binhop of Raveans, i. 904
Library, a Roman, deccribed by Sidonius, ii. 325 .
Licinianus, questor, bringe letters $000-$ ferring patrician dignity on Rodicius, ii. 346; ambacador to Etrio, ii. 491.

Licinias, Emperor (307-323), defented and slain by his brocher-in-law Constentine, 1. 16, 71.
Lidi, of Venice, detcribed, it. 165.
Lie-tai-ki-mon, Chineso hirtorian, quoted by Deguignee, li. I.
Ligh, the Gothe next to the, in Pruede, i. 35.

Liguris, Province of, in fourth ceatory, i. 386 2; noblee of, mediate between Ricimer and Anthemias, ii. 467 ; Connoil of, conde Epiphaniun on en embaesy to Euric (475), ii. 489.

Li-kwang-li, Chinese general, taken prisoner by the Hiong-nu, ii. 19.
Limeniun, Praetorian Prefect of the Gaule, alnin in the meeting at Tiat num, i. 752.
Limitanei, or Ripenoee, Mnlitin of the frontient, i 633 .
Litorius, eubordinato general onder Aeting, nearly sucoeede in taking Toulouse, ii. 109 ; a Hun in his army slain by Avitus, ii. 377.
Littus Saxonionm (in Britain), i 621 ; ii. $4^{2} \mathrm{n} 2$.

Livensa (Liquentia), river of Venetia, ii. 163.

Livis, fortrees of, near Carcmenne, Bidonium confined at, ii. 316.
Livy, quoted, on extortive of the pablt cani, ii. 601 n .
Lollianas, eon of a Prefect, pat to death for magic under Valentinian I, i. 218.

Longinianas, Prmetorian Prefeot of

Italy, olain in the mutiny at Ticinum, i. 753.

Lucanians, character of the, ii. 432.
Lucullanam, Campanian vill of Lacius Lucallan, assigned as a residence to Augustulas, ii. 523; monastery of, preaided over by Eugippan, ii. 506 n .
Lundibium, a British city, afterwards Augurta, seat of the Vicarins of Britain, i. 622 ; Theodonius the Elder at, 1. 289.
Lapioina, magister equitum under Valens, i. 154; Count of Thrace, mismanages the transportation of the Gothe (376), i. 254 ; concentrates hil forcee round them, i. 256 ; unsucceseful coup d'ttat at the banquet of Marcianople, i. 257 ; defeated by the Gothe, i. 258.
Lapus, St., Binhop of Troyes, mysterious inficence apon Attila, ii. 122, 123; goes with him acroses the Rhine and is dismimed in affety, ii. 146; -The Lion and the Wolf; ii. 16I; letter to, from Sidonins, ii. 368.
Latetim Parisiorum (Paris), Valentinian I at, i. 147, 190; not deserted at approach of Huns, owing to influence of Sta. Geneviève, ii. 117.
Luxury, Aurelian's laws againgt, ii. 571 ; not sufficiently taxed by Roman financiers, ii. 599.
Lyoia, inhebitants of, incapable of holding high offioe, by lew of Theodosius I, i. 542.
Lydus, see Joannee Lydus.
Lyoxs (Lugdunum), Gratian carried prisoner to, i. 405, 406 n; Capital of the Burgundianis, ii. $3^{80}$; sufferinga of, in war, undar Majorian, ii. 412; see aleo Patiens, Bishop of Lyones

## M.

Macedonius, a hermit, intercedel with the Imperial Commissioners on behalf of Antioch, i. 499.
Macedowine, magister aficiorum to Gratian, favoura the Priscillianiste, $i$. 447.

Macrianos, king of the Alammani, war with Julian, i. 222 ; threatena Gaul under Valentinian I, i. 223; makes pence with Valentinian I (374), i. 223 ; killed by Mallobeoden, 1.224.
Macrinus, a mythical Roman 'Tetrarch' of Pannonia, ii. 183.
Maecenas, intercemion on behalf of Herace, mentioned only by Sidoniua, ii. 409 n .

Maffei, Count, 'Verona Ilustrata,' quoted on extent of the See of

Aquileis, ii. 149 n ; on scene of interview of Loo and Attila, ii. 159 nt .
Magical Arta, prevalence of, under Valentinian I, i. aIO; Fanstinus axecuted for (375), i. $226 \pi$; persecution of professors of, by Valens, $\mathbf{i}$. 237; why the Imperial Government was so hostile to, i. 237; used against charioteera at Rome, i. 526 ; Libanius proposes to practiee, at Ravennm, i. 843.

Magister Officiorum, office of, i. 609, 617n 2.
Magister Peditam, offlce of, 1. 612, of. 297.
Magister Equitom, office of, i. 612, of. 297.

Magister Utriasque Milities, office of, i. 612.

Magister Memorias, i. 189 n.
Magistri Sariniorum, i. 555 = 3.
Magnentius, struggle for the Empire with Constantine (353), i. 229; his widow Justing married by Valentinian I, i. 229.
Magyars, see Hungarians.
Maiozamalcha, capture of, by Jovian the Notariug i. 120.
' Majestas, Laesa,' Roman equivalent of High Treason, law of, i. 486; Symmachus thremtened with charge of, i 462 n 3 ; trial of Arvandus for, ii. 462-464

Majorian, Eimperor (457-461), ancestry and early career, ii. 403; mommary diemisaal by Aetius, ii. 404 ; joins in rebellion against Avitus (456), ii. 391; mayister militem (457), ii. 401 ; emperor (I April, 457), il. 403 ; repels the Alamanni and Vandaly, ii. 405; consul (458), ii. 406; addreas to the Senste, ii. 406; overcomes the Vivigoths $(458,9)$, ii. $40 \%$; subdues revolt of Lyons (i), ii. 408 ; Sidonius's panegyric on, ii. 409-413; pessage of the Alpa, ii. 411 ; killed three animals in one day, ii. 414; his banquet at Arlen, ii. 416-430; as a legislator, ii. 420-435; expedition against the Vandals through Spain (460), ii. 426 ; ships dentroyed in harbour of Carthagena, ii. 426; dethroned and put to death at Tortona (46I), ii. 427; Procopius's legend concerning hin visit to Carthage, ii. 427.

Majorian, maternal grandfather ofabove, magister atriusque militiae at accession of Theodowius I, ii. 403.
Malala, John, Byzantine historian (date uncertain), ii. 100 m.
Malamocco (Methamaucus), island of,
peopled by refagees from Padua, ii. 166.

Malchus, Byzantine historian (fifth century), on the degeneracy of the Fandris, ii. 229; qroted by Photias ms to death of Nepos, ii. 501, 506, $527^{n 1}$.
Mallius Theodoras, consul with Rutropiue (399), i. 672.
Mallobaudes, king of the Franks, kille Macrianas in an ambush, i. 224 .
Manichseans, tenets of the, i. 342 ; exempted from general toleration of Valentinian I, i. 203, 451 : legislation of Theodosins I agaizst, i. 372; connected with the Priscillianists, i. 444; joined with Donatists and Priscillimists in persecating edicts of Honorius, i. 762, ii. 267 ml ; legislation of Placidis against, i. 883.
Mannus, the primeval Man of the Germane, ii. 212.
Mansiones, posting-houset, ii. 490.
Mansuetus, African Biahop, burnt by the Vandals, ii. 272.
Mappalia, Numidian word for huta, applied to a charoh at Carthage, ii. $27^{2} 4$.
Marcella, a Christian matron of Rome cruelly need by soldiers of Alario, $i$. 795.
'Marcellians conjuratio' against Majorian (1), the meaning of, ii. $408 n$.
Marcellian, Duke of Valeris ander Valentinian I, marders Gabinius king of the Quadi, i. 200.
Marcellinus, Count and chronicler (early part of sixth century), deacribed, i. 708; gives four lines to Alaric's capture of Rome, and fifty-four to discovery of head of John the Baptist, ii. 301 ; account of death of Attila, ii. 171a2; eloquent words on the murder of Aetius, ii. 196; on guilt of Aetius, ii. 207 ; cold-blooded cumment on denth of Aspar, ii $47^{8}$; on death of Nepos, ii. 501; on title of Odovacar, ii. 529 m.
Marcellinus, St., Bishop of Ravenna, i. 904.

Maroellinus, brother of usurper Maximus, defested by Theodosius I, i. $4^{67}$.
Marcellinus, Patrician, dynatt of Dalmatis, his singular carser and character, ii. 437; court of Byzantium mediates between him and Ricimer, ii. 438; joins Confederacy against Vandals (468), ii. 446; expels Vandals from Sardinia, ii. 447; his mysterious end (468), ii. 450; hin nephew Julius Nepos, Emperor, ii. 479.

Meroellas, kineman and General of Procopius, continuen his rebellion after hil death, i. I57.
Marcisa, Emperor of the East (450457), story of, and the Eagle, ii. 261 ; nocesaion and marriago to Pulcheria, ii. 97; his troope maid to have been moving towards Italy (452), ii. 160 ; a mesatge of Attile to (453?), ii. 169; dream on night of Attile's death, ii. 172; pacific attitudo towards Vandals, ii. 262 ; mends Bledes as ambassedor to Gaisoric (456), ii. 434 n.
Marcisnople (Pravadi near Schmmla), built by Trajan, i. 50; its importance, i. $257 n$; besieged by the Gothat (about 246), i. 50 ; again attacked by the Goths (269), i. 66; headquartors of Valens (368), i. 163 ; Lupicinus's fital banquet to the Gothic ohiefa at (377), i. 257; Romens retire to, after battle of Ad Balices, i. 263.

Marcomenni, wars with the Roman Empire (167-180), perhape caused by migration of northern berbarians, $i$. 40, ii. 214
Marcus, 'Histoire dea Vandales,' only authority for quotations from Victor Cartennenvis, ii. 210.
Marcas Aurelius, Emperor (161-180), i. 7 ; contrast between, and his son Commodus, i. 10; wars with the Marcomanni, i. 40, ii. 215.
Margus (on the Horava), treaty of, between Huns and Romans (433), ii. 47; bishop of, his maraoding expedition againet the Huns, ii. 49 ; bishop of, betrays the city nud makes his pemce with the Huns, ii. 49; mariet for Huns and Romans fixed at, ii. 57 ; a stroughold of Arianiam in $386,1.458$.
Maria, daughter of Stilicho, married to Honorius (398), i. 670; died (before 408), i. 748.

Marins, sister of Theodosius 11, her religions life, ii. 47 m.
Marinus, an milvocate, put to death for magic under Valentinian I, i. 211.
Marinus, Count, defente Heraclian (413), i. 828.

Marialia (Maros), rivar of Hangary ; or (i) Marus (March), river of Mornvis, scane of battle between Gothe and Vandali, ii. 218.
Marius, Bishop of A venticam, chronider (530-594), ii. 374 ; quoted, ii. $404 \%$ 2; on title of Odovacor, ii. 529 n .
Marquardt, J., 'Römische Steatoverwaltong, quoted, on strength of the Imperial Army, i. 629n; on the
population of Rome, i. 8is; on the history of the manicipalities, ii. $57^{6 n}$, $580{ }^{n} 2$; on systern of numbering the Indictions, ii. 600 m .
Martial, epigrams on Ravenna, i. 800.
Martialius, magister officiorw in under Theodosius II, consulted as to assaseination of Attila, ii. 59.
Martianus, St., Bishop of Ravenna, i. 903 .

Martin, St., Bishop of Tours, account of, $i, 447-450$; aplears at Trier to plead for the Priscillianists, i. 450; seoond visit to Maximus, i. 451-454; interview with Valentinian II, i. 452.

Martroy, village near Troyes, perbape sqene of defeat of Attila, human remains discovered near, ii. 142.
Mascezel, the Mcor, refuses to join revolt of his brother Gildo, i. 667 ; his two sons killed by Gildo, i. 667 ; in command of expedition agninst Gildo, t. 667 ; defeate Gildo (398), i, u68; mysteriously assamsinated on his retarn, i. 669.
Mascon, 'History of the Ancient Germang,' ii. '506; quoted, i. IOI, ii. 37.
Mnsoulanas, comio actor at court of Gaiseric, his firmness under Arian persecution, ii. 278.
Magon, Canon A. J., 'The Perseontion of Diocletisn,' i. 17 n.
Mathematicians $=$ astrologers, i. 205; exempted from general toleration by Valentinian I, i. 204
Mattiarii, a Palatine legion, i. 273 n.
Mauretania, Chestariensis and Sitifensis, provinces of Roman Africs, deecribed, ii. 233.

Manriac or Maurician Plains, scene of Attila's defeat (451), ii. 124, 144
Maurus, Count, i. 265; defented by the Goths at Succi ( 378 ), i. 266.
Maxentins, Emperor (306-312), son of Maximian, who ansociates him in the Empire, i. 16.
Marimian, Emperor (286-305 and 307309), i. 14-16; called 'Gothicus,' i. 71.

Maximian, St., Bishop of Ravenna (546-556), i. 913-916; his 'Chronicle,' i. 915 ; ased by Agnellos, i. 902 ; is he the Anonymus Valesii if. $47^{6}$.
Maximillian, envoy from Roman Senate to Honorius, taken prisonar by the Goths and sold for $£ 18, \infty 0$, i. 778.
Marimin, Emperor (235-238), of Gothio origin, i. 95 .
Marimin Daza, Emperor (308-313), i. 15 .

Marimin, Praetorian Prefeot of Enme
under Valentinian I, i. 210; cruelty in suppression of magio, i. 210-212; made Prefect of Gaul (373), i. 213; put to death, i. 213.
Maximin, ambassador from Theodosiu: II to Attila (448), ii. 59; a Pagan, ii. 60 ; history of his embasay told by Priscos, ii. 61-9I.
Maximus the Spaniard, early history, i. 402 ; made Emperor by the legions in Britain, entars Gaul (383), i. 403 ; recognised by army of Gratian, $i$. 404; negotiations with Court of Milan, i. 411 ; recognised by Theodoaias I, i. 414; his tyranny and avarice, i. $44^{2}$; compared with Napoleon III, $1.443 n$; perrecution of the Priscillianists, i. 447-451; threatens Valentinian II, i. 454; dethrones Valentinian II (387), i. 460-462; taken prisoner at Aquilela and executed (388), i. 467, 468; grandfather of Emperor Petronius Maximus, 1 i . 199.

Maximus, Petronias, Rmperor (455), grandson of above, ii. 199; family and early career of, ii. 199 ; picture of his misery as emperor, ii. 200203; compela Eudoxia to marry him, ii. 203; torn in pieces by his servanta, ii. 205 ; was be directly responsible for murder of Valentinian III 1 ii. 206-208.
Maximas, made Emperor in Spain by Gerontiun (409), i. 835 ; dates of his carear, 1.849.
Marimus, philosopher, of Antioch, informs Julian of Valentinian's contempt of heathen rites, i. 132; put to death by Valens after conspiraoy of Theodoras, i. 240.
Marimus, Duke of Moesis, mismanages transportation of the Gothe (376), i. $254 \cdot$
Maximus 'the Cynic,' Gregory Narianzen's opinion of, i. $34^{6}$; consecrated orthodox Bishop of Conetantinople (379), i. 348 ; mppeals to Theodosius I and is repuleed, i. 349 ; Bishop of Alerandria (380), i. 349; his consecration prononnced invalid by Council of Constantinople ( $\mathbf{3 8 1}_{1}$ ), i. 359.

Maximus, Roman noble, expenditare on his son's praetorian games, i. 883.
Marimus, Gaulish offioer of the palece, an aged devotee, Sidonius's deecription of, ii. 348 .
Mediaeval legends as to Attila's rarages in Gaul, ii. 117.
Mediolanum (Milan), capital of Profecture of Italy, i. 14; siege by Gallienus (268), 1. 65; capital of

Valentinian I, i. 138, r90; election of Ambrose as Bithop of, i. 386 ; strugglea between Catholics and Arians at (385), i. 425-439; Honorius besieged in (i), $i, 713$; entered by Attila (452), ii. 153 ; story of the picture at, ii. 154 ; head-quarters of Ricimer, 1i. 466.
Melanthiar, vill near Constantinople ; Valens stays at (378), i. 267.
Meletius, Bishop of Antloch, his history and charactor, i. 359 ; preadent of Council of Constantinople (381), i. 359; inetalla Gregory facianzen na Bishop of Constantinople, i. 359 ; death, i. 359.
Menander's 'Epitrepontes' Sidonius collated with the Heoyra of Terence, ii. 344.

Mercurinus, Bishop of the Arians at Milan (386), takes name of Anxentius, i. 433, 434
Mercurion (thirty-five miles from Carthage), Basiliscus lande at, ii. 447.
Merobaudee, a Frank, magitter militum under Valentinian, i. 231 ; pomible candidate for the Empire on desth of Valentinian, i. 230; $\mathbf{0 0}$-operater to prevent election of Sebnstian, i. 232; presents Valentinian II to the army, 1. 232; chief military advisor of Gratian, i. 380 ; consal (383), i. 410 ; remains faithful to Gratian, i. 403; death, i. 410.
Merobnaden, Spanish poet, panegyriat of Aetius, ii. 100.
Meroveus, mythical king of Franks, H . 102.

Mery-sur-Seine (Mauriscum), batule of, ii. 124-142, of. 144

Messala, Praesea of Achaia, letter of Decina to, i. 54 \# 3.
Mesealla, Duke of Pannonia Seconda, saved Constantia from the Quadi, i. 220.

Mescianas, high functionary of Gmal, sent by Avitus to negotiate peece with Theodoric II, ii. 38! ; put to death by rebols against Avitua (456), ii. $399^{1}$; Avitus and his Paltician Measianus, ii. 403.
M6-té Tanjon, greatest ruler of the Hiong-nu (8.0. 209-174), ii. 15-17; pushes his conquests wettwards to the Volge, ii. 16.
Mettis (Mote), laid wante by the Huna, ii. 116, 144.

Mica Aurea, a favourite bear of Valontinian I, i. 208.
Middle Agea, inconsistent politioal theories of the, ii. 528; ides of the Emperor in, ii. 527 ; barbariam of the Early, not inevitable, ii. 536;
revival of munisipal life in, ti. 596 ; communion of literatare in, ii. 178.
Middle alases, destruction of, by fireal oppression of the Curinle, ii. 576595.

Mientras, 'Schedimanata Antiqua,' source of quotations from Victor Cartennensis (?), ii. 210.
Milenian Fables, refarred to by Bidonim, ii. 336.

Militares, woldiens and their familien as recipients of breed distribation, ii. 572-574
Millary profemion, injured in ite effciency by posemion of polition *cendency, 1. 13.
Military sorvice, unjust premeure of, upon poorer citizens in early ages of Republia, ii. 566.
Militio armata $=$ regular army, ii. 587.
Militin cohortalis = local militin, iii 587.

Milman, Dean, ' History of Letin Chris tianity,' i. $803 \mathrm{n}, 817$.
Mimas, the plains of, in oracle aboat death of Valena, i. 241 ; ite explanation, i. 275 \%.
Mincio (Mincius) river, meeting of Leo and Attila near, ii. 159.
Mingtreloy at $A$ ttila's benquat, ii. 86.
Modar, a Goth, magider militume under Theodosius 1, his exploita againet the Goths, L. 301, 328.
Moeria (Bulgaria), leid wate by the Goths, i. 49 ; divided by the Dacis of Aurelian, i. 70; entered by the Visigothe as settlors (376), i. 354 ; wars in, i. 259-275; sottlement of the Foederati in, i. 307-312.
Moeso-Gothic, so-called, langrage of tranalation of Dlifine, i. $\mathbf{8 5}_{\mathbf{5}}$ - See Gothic language.
Mohammedanimm, sucoes of, due to Anti-Arian legislation of Theodosius I, i. 375.
Mommeen, Theodor, his edition of Jordades, 1. 24 n 2, 29; ' History of Rome' quoted, on lose of Decin, i. 57 n ; on antiquity of the amber traffic, ii. 148 n 2 ; on Africes, ii. 234 n t, 235, 238 $n$ 1, 239 $n$ 2; optnion an to Roman alavery, ii. 556: edition of the Chronice Minore, il. $190 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{2;} \mathrm{list} \mathrm{of} \mathrm{Prefects} \mathrm{of} \mathrm{Rome}$, edited by, ii. 461 n 3 ; on dete of death of Sidonine, ii. 317 nI .
Monseticiam, its effeot on the fall of the Empire, ii. 554; opinion of Zosimus on the monks, ii. 555 ; more injurious in the Fant than the Weat, ii. $556,561 \%$

Monarontes (lonely fivers)=monks, ii 58.

Mongolis, proper hame of the Hiongnu, ii. 7.
Monks, of Capraria sid Maecesel by their dovotions, i. 668 ; hatred of, at Carthage, i. 932; decurions not allowed to become, ii. 589 .
Monod, 'Etudes critiques nar lees nources de. l'Histoire Mérovingienne,' ii. 374.
Monophyite controversy:-heresy of Eutychem and Diowcoras, i. 884, II. 45, 54 ; effect on the Orientale, i. 375 ; Pope Leo's intervention in, ii. 158; Monophysite Beailiscras $\infty$ alonces with Arian Aspar, ii. 445.
Monomentum Ancyranum, quoted, on distinction betwean Aerariam and Fiscus, i. 611 nI ; on popalation of Rome, i. 814.
Morality of Rome weakenod by Grecian influence, i. 933.
Momics, contrawted with frescoes, i. 856 ; at Ravenna, i. 856; unod as source of information by Agnellue, i. 864, 902 ; at Lyons, ii. 328.
Moustache (infrequent on Roman facee) represented on cuin of Odovacar, ii. $515 \%$ I.
Mosuntinoum (Maintz or Mente), aurprised and aacked by the Alamanni (368), i. 192 ; Jovinus made Emparos at (412), iL 820.
Munderic, a Visigoth under Athanario, afterwands in Roman service, i. 248.
Mundsuk, the Hun, father of Attile, 1 . 40, 94, 173.
Municipal element predominant in Roman odvilization, i1. 577.
Municipalitien, relation of, to contral power, ii. 577 ; the above illantrated by 'Acte of the Apostles,' ii. 578; in the East suppresed by act of Loo VI (ninth century), ii. 596 ; in the Went revived after submeraion by the tide of barberians, ii. 596 .
Maratori, 'Soriptores Rerum Italicarum,' i. 850; ' Annali d' Italian,' ii. 159~2, 395.
Murocincts, villa of Valontinian I, his wife there at the time of hil death, 1 . 230, 232.

## N.

Nabal, Mauretanian sheep-farmer, father of Firman, Gildo, and Mascesel, i. 665 ; his name, i. 665 n 2.
Necolia, in Yhrygia, Procopius deserted by his troope at, i. 156.
Namoriun, magicter offlotorwn (i) slaln in the matiny at Ticinum, 1. 753.
Naisect (Nisch), city of Moecia, battle of, between Claudius and the Goths (267), i. 67; scene of partition of

Proptre in 364, 1. 138; Attil. fixen the market between Hans and Romans there, ii. 57 ; found derolate by Maximin't embeney, il. 63.

Nammstias, correepondent of Sidonius, ii. 366 .

Nannenas, Count, Roman Governor in Gand under Valentinian I, engaged with the Saxonk, i. 199.
Narbo Martiue (Narbonne), gcene of wedding of Atanlfus and Placidia (414), i. 831 ; asved from Vicigoths by intarcesaion of Avitas (436), ii. 379, 383.
Narnia (Nami) in Etruria, maid to havo been saved by heathen macrifloes from the Gothe, i. 772.
Narses the Ennuch, general of Justinian, ii. $607 \%$.
National Dobt funded, onknown at Rome, ii. 604 ; conjectural effeots of, on daration of the Empire, ii. 6o5.
Natiso (Isoneo) or Aquilo, river of Aquileia, il. 148, 151,162 .
Naulobaten, the Herulian, Consul under Gallienus, 1. 65.
Naumachine, the mimic sons of the Amphitheatres, i1. 455 .
Nariansas, town in Cappadocia, birthpleoe of Gregory of, i. 337, $34{ }^{\circ}$.
Nebuchadnezar, allusions to, forbidden in Catholic pulpita by Gaiseric, iL 273.

Neckar (Nicer) river, Franks dwelt by the, in. $107,108$.
Neotarius, Bithop of Constentinoplo (381), i. 336, 367 ; his house burned by the Ariann, i. 465 n 3.
Nedao, river in Pannonia, scene of battio botween Hams and their confederate (454), ii. 192, 512.
Neo-Platonimm and beliof in magte, i. 211.

Neon, St., Bizhop of Ravenns, builder of the Baptistery, i. 910.
Nepos, Juliue, Emperor (474, 475), nophow of Marcellinus and kinman of Varina, i1. 479; proclaimed Emperor at Constantinople (4731), ii. 480; ovarthromi Glycerius, acolaimed as Emperor at Portus (24Juno 474) II. 483; aurrendars Auvergne and Berri to Euric (475), ii. 491; beotowed Patriciate on Fedicius, il. 346; Apollinaris socused of plotting Fith, ngainst Burgundians, ii. $360^{\circ}$; deposed by Orestees (28 Aug. 475), ii. 494 ; takee fight to Dalmatia, ii 494; sende ombenesy to Zeno to mak for mid (4787), ii. 525 ; amaminated ( 15 May 480), ii. 500 ; was Glycerlus privy to his murder $\dagger$ ii. 501.

Nepotian, magiver utriusque militiae, envoy from Mejorian to the Gallicians, ii. 407.
Nero, Emperor (54-68), i. 4; flowers on the grave of, i. 5 ; extravagance of, i. 5 .
Nestorius, Bishop of Constantinople (428-431), preses Theodosins II to persecute, i. 763.
Neted, see Nedao.
Neuri, in the army of Attila, ii. 106.
Niblungs, Saga of the (Atli=Attila), ii. 177 .

Nicaea, Council of (325), i. 19 ; Gothe at, i. 88 ; reaction from, i. 89 ; the victory of, i. 334-376; the creed of, rasffirmed by Council of Constantinople, i. 358: canon forbidding tranalation of Bishops, disregarded, i. 364.

Nicasins, St., Bishop of Rheims, Mlain by the Hons, ii. 116.
Nicetas, a Visigothic martyr, i. 182; 'Acts of,' i. 183 n.
Nictius, Flarias, orator, pronoances panegyric on Consul Asturius (449), ii. 306 .

Nicomedia, capital of Diocletian, i. 15. 72; taken by the barbariens, (259), i. 60.

Nicopolis (Nikwp), city of Moesia, besieged by the Gothe (250), i. 52.
Nicopolis, city of Epirus, atteoked by Gaiseric (475), ii. 498.
Nicopolitana, distriot in Moesia, residence of the Gothi Minores, i. 81.
Niebelungen Lied (Eteel =Attilt), ii. 176.

Nile, epigrammatic description of, by Sidonius, ii. $386 n 3$.
Nisibis, oity of Mesopotamia, surrender by Jovian to the Perrinns (363), i. 123 ; lamentationa of, on abandonment by Rome, i. 124-127.
Nobilisgimus, titio of members of Imperial family, i. 602.
Nobility, the Roman, official not hereditary, i. 602.
Nogier, Andrem, hiatorian of Venioe, ii. 184 ; fablea about Attila and early history of Venioe, ii. 184, 185.
Nola, city of Campania, home of St. Paulinas, taken by Attila (410), i. 807.

Nomus, a Patrician and magiter officiorwm (449), ii 95 ; persoma grata at court of Atsila, ii. 8I; sent as ambarsador to Attila (449), ii. 95 ; lavish prewente to Attila, ii. 96 ;'a king-like man,' ii. $98 \pi$.
Noricom, the two provinces of, claimed by Alaric, i. 780, 783; onhappy state of, daring leat twenty-five yeart of

Weatern Rmpire, ii. 512 ; moothed by beneficence of St. Severinas, ii. 514
Northumberland, remains of Roman military work: in, i. 8.
Notarii Principis, i. 216 n.
Notitio Dignitatum, deacribed, i. 594596 ; date of, i. 716 n 2, 812 ;impremion prodoced by, i. 633 ; adited by primioorius notariorum, i. 845 : office of Consal not mentioned in, i. 625 ; office of Patrician not mentioned in, ii. 402 ; quoted, on number of soldiers in Italy, i. 812 ; on troops in Africa, ii. 232 m ; Count of the patrimony of Gildo, ii. 237 ; on offioials in Africa, ii. 243 n 2 ; on duties of Praefectus Urbis, ii. 460 m 5.
Nove (Novo-grad), the Gothe crome the Danube at (249), i. 52; the depot of the Firet Legion (Italics), i. 54; Eastern limit of Hannish march of the Danube, ii. 56 m .
Novelles of Majorian, additions to the Theoducian Code, ii. 421.
Numeri, in the Roman army, i. 812.

## 0.

Odothens, Ostrogothic ohief, perthpe same as Alathens, invacion of the Empire by (386), i. 320.
Odovacur (Odomerer), name and nationelity, ii. 515 ; not of royal demeent. ii. 516; son of Rdecon; was this Attile's courtier? ii. 56, 517; improbable that be was a Rougisn, ii. 517 n ; blewed by St. Severinos, ii. 515 ; enteri Itely between 460 and 470, ii. 518 ; proolaimed king by the fooderati ( 23 Alag. 476), ii. 520 ; entera Raventa, aparen Auguatulns, ii. 522 ; sende embeny to Zeno (477), ii. 525; recognised am Patrician by Zeno, ii. 526 ; mired charncter of his role: Roman Patrician and Barborian King, il 528; what was his title 1 ii. 528 ; called himeolf Flarius, i. 6 nl .

Oea (Tripoli), attacked by the Austoriani, i. 215.
Oetmarah or Oebarinas Attila's unole, ii. $74^{n, 89}$.
Oium, region of Scythin reached by the Goths, i. 41, 51 n 1.
Olahus, Nioolans, romanoing Hungerian historian, ii. $17^{8}$.
Olybrius, zon of Probus, Consul with his brother (395), i. 583.
Olybrius, Fmperor (472), deacendant of the above, married Pleoldia, daughter of Valentiaian III, 4i.: 287, 435 ; Gniseric's caadidate for the Weotern Empire, ii. 434; prockaimed by Ri-
cimer (April, 472), ii. 471; genealogy of, ii. 474, $47^{6}$; death ( 23 Oct. 47a), ii. 477.
Olympiodorus, historian ( 400 -circa 450 ), desoribed, i. 817 ; end of his period, i. 848 n ; account of Rnme, i. 88a; on Foederati and Buccellarii, i. $314 n$; on origin of name Trali, i. 836.

Olympias, courtier of Honorius, intrigues against Stilicho, i. 749 ; atirs ap the army at Ticinum to matiny, i. 751; magister officiorwm, i. 76a; Hunorias relies on bis prayers, i. 762 ; supplanted by Jovius, escapes to Dalmatia (409), i. 779; manner of his death, i. 823 m I.
Onégesh or Onegesius, : Hon, ahief miniater of Attila, ii. 67 ; his name, ii. $74^{n}$; hia wife brings bread and wine to Attila, ii. 74; his architeot bathman, ii. 75; expedition to the Acatziri, ii. 76; interview with Priscus, ii. 80 ; at Attila's banquet, ii. 85 ; mentioned in Acta Sanctorum 25 Hunagaisun, ii. 123; mesage by him to Lapus, ii. 146.
Oporto (Portucale), king Rechiarion taken prisoner at, ii. $3^{89}$.
Optatian, a maker of quintuple acrostics (fourth century), ii. $320 \% 2$.
Optila, berbarian moldier, murderer of Valentinian III, ii. 198 ; taken into favour by Petronius Maximus, ii. 203.

Orestes, of Roman origin and in service of Attila, ii. 496; ambaseador from Attila to Theodosins II (448), ii. 55 , 496 ; offended at precedence given to Edocon, ii. 62 ; again ambamador to Conatantinople (449), ii. 94; magiter utrimeque militiae (475), ii. 494; dethrones Nepos and makes his own son, Romulus, Emperor (31 Oct. 475), ii. 494. 495; why did he not himself sesume the diadem ; ii. 496; takes the title of Patrician, ii. 497; makes treaty with Gaiseric, ii. 498 ; foederati claim of him one third of lands of Italy, ii. 519; rejecte their demand, ii. 520; foederati mutiay, Oresten takes refuge at Ticinom, ii. 520 ; discovered at Placentim and slain ( 38 Aug. 476), ii. 522.
Oribesius, doctor and friend of Julian, liternry counsellor of Eunapius, i. 278.

Orions, what it included, i. 294.
Origen, translated into Latin by Turranina Rufinus, li. 326, cf. i. 28 ; the imputation of uneoondness against him, inexplionble to Sidonius, ii. 326.

Orleans (Aureliani or Genabum), three historic sieges of, ii. 119; Attila's repulse from (451), i.. 119-121.
Orosiun, religious historian (fifth centary), dewcribed, i. 637 ; recnunt of execution of Theodosius the elder, i. 291; cocount of death of Valentinian 11, i. 590 ; account of death of Mascezel, i. 669 ; hostile to Stilicho, i. 670, 724; passionate tirade against Stilicho, i. 758-760; leading thought of hir history, i. 779, 806 ; remarkable passage as to A taulfus, i. 821; on fate of rebels against Honorius, i. 849; conclasion of his history (417), i. 837.
Ostia (Portus Augusti et Trajani), ii. 483 ; death of Monica, mother of St. Augustine, at (387), i. 442 ; freebooter slaves at, represed by Alario (409), i. 777; occupied by Alaric, i. 784 ; proclamation of Julius Nepos at (474), ii. 483.
Ostrigotha, king of the Goths, invades Moesia, i. 49; makes war with the Gepidse, i. $\mathbf{5 1}_{1}$.
Ontrogoths, first mention of, i. 43. 74 ; appear in liternture nearly 200 years before the Virigoths, i. 100 ; royal house of Amals, i. 43, 44 ; remalned uncivilised, i. 76 ; ascendancy over the Visigothe, i. 76; partial conversion of, i. 87 ; attacked by Valens (369), i. 165 ; sabdued by the Huns ( 375 ) , i. 246 ; a remmant join the Visigoths, i. 248; new invasion under Odothens (386), i. 320 ; oolony of, in Phrygia (Greathongi), i. 687, 697; in Attila's army, invading Gaul (451), ii. 107; their place in the battle of the Mauriac Plain, ii. 128 ; ocoupy Pannonia after fall of Hunnish empire (454), ii. 194; in army of Majorian (457), ii. 406; invade Italy, are turned aside into Gaul ( 473 ), ii. 480,481 .
Ou-kioun, Aciatic tribe, Chinese princess married to ahief of, ii. 12; neighbours of the Hiong-nu and atirred up against them by the Chinese, ii. 23 .
Ovide or Odiva, coant, murdered Julius Nepos, if. 501.

## P.

Paostus, orstor, of Bordeaux, his panegyric on Theodosius I, i. 281, 409 , 518 ; mocount of the tyranny of Maximus, i. 442 ; addrenes Theodonius at divine, i. 599 ; references to the bigh honour of the Conalate, $i$. 625.

Padwa, see Patavium.
Paeonius, Prefoot of the Gaula, dir-
liked, and probably lampooned by Sidonius, ii. 415 ; at Majorian'a banquet at Arles, ii. 417-419.
Pagan atatesmen at court of Theodocius II, high character of, ii. 60 .
Paganimm, ediot of Valentinian I against (365), i. 334 ; at Rome and Constantinople, comparad, i. 34 I ; etrength of, at Rome in fourth century, i. 396; blows aimed at, by Gratian, i. 397400; Theodosius I aloses the templee in Egypt (384), i 414; campaign againat, under Theodosias I, i. 543 , ii. 551 ; recrudencence of, onder Eugenius, 1. 559-565; defeat of Eugenius ite death-blow, i. 580, 583 ; recrudencence of, at Rome, on appromeh of Radagaisus, i. 732; legtislation of Honorius against, i. 76a-765; during Alario's slege of Rome, i. 77I; images melled down to provide ransom domanded by Alaric (408), i. 775; templea and idols deatroyed at Car thage (399), i. $779 n$; of the chief historians of the fourth and fifth centuries ii. 553; of Marcellinas dynast of Dalmatia, ii. 438.
Paganua, origin of the name, ii. 553 .
Palatini, servants of the paleoe, recipients of bread distributions at Rome, ii. 572-574; decarione not allowed to become, ii. 589.
Palladius, Notary, sent by Valentinian I to report on state of Tripolis, i. 216 ; conceals the truth about Romanos, $i$. 117; his confeasion and end (373), i. 217.

Palladius, revenue officer, oolleote rannom demanded by Alario (408), i. 774.

Palladius, son of Potronius Marimus, proclaimed Ceser and betrothed to daughter of Valentinian III (455), ii. 203.

Palladius, semi-Arian bishop, doposed by Council of Aquileia, i. 387 .
Pallmann, Roinhold. 'Geechichte der Völkerwanderung,' i. 31, ii. 431, 507; on ehronology of Alario's first invasion of Italy, i. 734; on topography of Ravenna, i. 851 ; on revolt of Oreates, ii. 495; on localisation of the barbarian tribee, ii. 510 n 3 ; on origin of Odovacar, ii. 517 n.
Palmata, the flowered robe of a con--ular, ii. 455 .

- Panem et Circensen,' offeot of the ary for, on Rome, ii. 565-576.
Pania Gradilis (step-bread), regulations concerning, ii. 573-576.
Pannonia, invasions of, by the Quadi, i. 142, 219; occupied by Vandels an subjects of the Empire (330), ii. a18;
ceded by Aotlus to the Hune, ii. 55 ; cocapied by Ostrogoths (454), ii i94; temporarily reoonguered by A vitua(?) (455), ii. 385 ; Majorian's campaiga in (1) (458), ii. 406.
Pannychiar, correupondent ofsidonias, ii. $33^{8}$.

Paps (Pope), common deigration of all Bishops in the fifth century, ii. $336 \pi 1,368$.
Papercordh, Dr. F., 'Geeohlolte der Vandalimohen Herrichaft in Afrika,' ii. 211.

Papianilla, danghter of A vitus and wife of Sidoning ii. 308 ; etill living when her husbend wae made Biahop, ii. $313 n$; letter of Sidoniua to, ti. 346.
Papianus, African Bichop, burnt by the Fandale, ii. 272.

## Paris, ,ee Latotia

Partnership Emperors, Diocletian to Conatantine, i. 13-16; the principle modified by Valentivian I, i. 229.
Pasohal Cbronicle = Alerandrian Chronicla, which peo.
Preohacius, martyr under Vandal perseontion in Africa, ii. 176.
Paepati, Dr., Bofartipal Meגeraí, dif oovery of Charch of SL. Ansoteria at Constantivople by, i. 344 n I.
Passes, into the Featorn Empire, the three important, secured agringt Procopian, i. 148; of Sucei in the Balkans, l. $265,266$.

Palavio = Passam (1) or Pettan (1) ( $\infty$ Corrigenda), reaidonce of Count Homalas, ii 55.
Patariam (Padua), dentroyed by Attils (452), ii. 1 53; mythical three oonsols of, decree the foundation of Venice, ii. 183, 185,187 ; mythioal ling of, mentioned by Dandolo, ii. 184
Patiens, Bishop of Lyons, new bealice built by, ii. 328; relieved the famipeetricken Arverni, ii. 488.
Patrician dignity, inetituted by Conutantine, ii. 401 ; ranked between the Coneule and the Practorian Prefocts, ii. 402 ; Patrician styled ' Finther of the Emperor'; practically prime minister for life, ii. 40t ; conferred, by Nepos onl Rodicion, ii. 346; on Oreates, ii. 497; on Soverus by Zeno, ii. $49^{8}$; on Odovicur by Zeno, ii 526.

Patrialus, son of Aepar, ii. 445 ; wae to have been Emperor after Leo, ii. $47^{8 .}$
Pauroclus, Bishop of Arles, murdered by order of Felix (426), i 890, 893.
Paula, noble Roman lady, pupil of 8t. Jerome, i. 525.
Paulillue, victim of Vandal permeoution, ii. 277.

Paulinus, Bishop of Nola, treatment of, by the Gothi, i. 807 ; his poome in honour of St. Felix, i. 807 n 2 ; contraste favourably with eantern Eoclosisutics, ii. 556.
Paulinus, notary of St. Ambroee and author of his 'Life,' i. 567 n I.
Paulinus, Bishop of Antioah (362), i. 361.

Paula Disconus, historian (died 799), ' De Geetia Langobardorum.' quoter, i. 6 n ; anthor of part of the 'Hintoria Miscells' ( ${ }^{(1)}$ i. 850; uned by Agnel10s, i. 902 ; 'Hietoria Romana' il. 431 ; quoted, ii. $47^{2 n} 1$.
Paulus, an ex-Prefect of Rome, friend of Sidonius, 1i. 456.
Paulas, brother of Orentes, put to death by Odovacar (476), ii. 522.
Pauperising legislation of Roman state, commenced in recond century B.o., ii. 567.

Pavia, see Trcinum.
Peigne-Delnovart, on the nite of Attile's battle and discoveriee at Pouan, ii. 140, 145.
Peloponnesur, ravaged by Alaric, 1.655 .
Pepper, part of Rome's reneom to Alaric (408), i. 774; present of, from Bygantine ambessadors to Bleds's widow, ii. 72.

Perfectissimas, title of, i. 603 n, 620.
Perinthus (by See of Marmorn), anauccessfully attacked by the Goths (378), i. 284.

Persecution of Catholice-Vandal in Africa, ii. 269-282; Vinigothio in Gaul, ii. 485.
Persecation of Christianity by pagan Emperan, il. 546; effeot of, on Chrietianity, ii. 549 ; by Dicoletian, i. 17; by Decines, i. 52, 54 ; by the Gotha, i. 175.
Persecution of heretice by Theodomins I and his sons, i. 368-376, 762, ii. 267, 282, $550-55^{2}$; incongraity of, in a Roman Emperor, ii. 552.
Perain, wart with, of Valerian (260), i. 57, 59,61 ; of Jolian (363), i. 118 , 122 ; Jovian makes pesce with, i. 123; renewed hootility undar Valens, i. 142, 136; pence made with, by Valens (377), 261 ; by Theodomius I (388), i. 465 ; menaced by Attila, ii. 43, 83 ; Roman statermen exaggarated dangers from, ii. 538; arumhed by Heraclius in the meventh century, ii. 539 .

Persophobia of Roman politicians, ii. 538.

Pertinax, Emperor (193), his pottery and timber-yard at Pollantia, I. 717 n.

Peschiera, in Lombardy, ecene of Pope Leo's interview with Attila (1), ii. 159.

Pestilence, in third centary, i. 57 ; the Gothe euffer from (269), i. 67; in the Roman army, i. 69.
Pe-tche-li, a northern province of China, wasted by the Hiong-na, ii. 8, 17,18 .
Peter, St, pecaliar clene of legends respecting, i. 86an.
Peter I, St., Bishop of Ravenna (7), i. 905.

Peter II (or III), St., Bishop of Ravenns (494-520), i. 91 I.
Peter, Bishop of Alezandris in 380, i. 335. 348, 349.

Peter the Fuller, Patriarch of Antioch ( 476 and 485 ), 1i. 303.
Peter the Stammerer, Putriarch of Alexandria (482-490), ii. 303.
Petronius Probas, father-in-law of Valens, his eractions, i. 141.
Petronius, comes saorarum largitionum (3), slain in the mutiny at Ticinum, i . 753 .
Petronius Maximul, see Maximus.
Petras, man of letters and secretary to Majorian, intercedes on behalf of Sidonina, ii. 409; Sidonius's dedication to, ii. $409 n$; Sidonius's praise of, ii. 412.
Petras Chrymologua(San Pier Crisologo), Bishop of Ravenna (439-458), i. 867, 909; confused by Agnellus with Petor 11, i. 911 ; chapal of (frontispieco to eecund volume), i. 867.
Petrus Patricius, Byzantine historian ( $516-562$ ), i. 30 ; mo00unt of the embasey of the Carpi quoted, i. 46-48.
Petlau (Poetovio), the army of Maxiwns defested by that of Theodorius I at (388), i. 467 ; called Patavio (1) ii. 55 n 2.
Peace, an island at the moath of the Danabe, birthplece of Alaric, i. 651 , 809.

Poocini (inhabitanta of Peace), in army of Ostrogotha, 1.50.
Peutingeriana, Tabula, see Tabula.
Pfahlgraben, the, i. 190, 524 nI .
Pharaoh, allusions to, in Catholic pulpits, forbidden by Gaineric, ii. 273 .
Philedelphia, tumult at, betwoen barbarians and soldiers from Egypt, i. 325 ; earthquakes at, i. 326 n I.
Philagrius, literary man and archeoologist, friend of Sidoniun, ii. $376 \mathrm{n} \mathrm{I}$.
Philea, lake, 30 milea N.W. of Byzantium, fishermen of, impressed into Gothio service (259), i. 60.
Philimntius, friend of Sidonius, saks sidonins for an opigram on a towel, i. 323.
(366), i. I56; 'the Emperor of a winter,' i. 158; treatment of his adherente, i. I59.
Procopias, descendant of proceding, father of Emperor Antheminas, 1i. 451.
Procopina, historian (sixth oentury), described, ij. 209; aneodote of Honorios, i. 810; etory of Aetios and Boniface, i. 876; ariticised, i. 889898 ; records an epigram on death of Aetina, ii. 197 ; hia atory about $\mathrm{Pe}-$ tronius Maximos and Eudoxia discredited, ii. 207 ; deecription of Vandals, ii. 213; on treaty between the Yandala and Honorias, ii. 221 ; on Gaiserie's treaty with Valentinian III, ii. 249 ; account of Vandal landsettlement in Africa, ii. 255, 264 ; story of Marcian, ii. 261 ; legend about Majorian's visit to Cartbage, ii. 427; account of confederate expdition against Carthage (468), ii. $447-$ 449; account of the demand of the fowderati for divigion of lands of Italy, ii. 519 m ; on the deatruction of fortreases in Afrioa by the Vandals, ii. 524.

Procula, St., Bishop of Ravenne, i. 904
Proculug, Vandal officer, outa up allareloths into shirts and drawers for his men, ii. 274 ; dies of cancer, ii. 274.

Profuturus, general under Valens, sant against the Goths in Thrace, i. 261.
Promotus, magister peditum in Thrace, deatroys the Greuthungi wbile arusting the Danobe (386), i. 321 ; magister equitum, i. 466 ; rescues Theodosins I from the barbarians (391), 1. 540; his diagrace and death, i. 54 I .
Prosper, Biahop of Orleans, urgea Sidonius to write the himtory of Attila's invasion, ii. 307.
Propper, Tiro, of Aquitsine, annalist (400-460), described, i. 703-705; MSS. of the Chronicle, i. 705; continuer of, i. 706 ; confogion with the anthor of the Chronicon Imperiale; the peoudo-Prosper called Tiro, i. 706, 707 ; quoted, on death of Va lentinian II, i. 590; sanction given to his authority by Cassiodorus, $i$. 735 n ; on date of Pollentia, i. 735 ; on Aetius and Boniface, i. 889-891; on scene of Attile's defeat, ii. 144 ; end of his history (455), ii 189 ; on guilt of Petronius Maximus, ii. 206 ; on Gaiseric's expture of Carthage, ii. 250 ; on pessage of the Vandale into Africa, ii. 290.
Protectores Domestici, bousehold troops, gnardamen, i. 112, 617 .

Provence (Provincia), ravaged by Euric (475), ii. 489; four bishope of, negotiate peace with Eurio, fi. 491; lact Koman pomearion in Gaul, ii. $49^{2}$.
Provinces, reduced in sixe by Diocletian, i. 618.
Prudens of Troyes, witnees to contract for male of a stolen woman, ii. 369 .
Prudentias, Christian poet (forrth century), life, and character of his poetry, i. 536; account of debate in the Sernate about idolatry, i. 581.
Ptolemy, geographer (seoond century), on the Gothe, i. 40.
Publicani, tax farmers, Senate's opinion of their rapacity, ii. 601 ; mometime made advanoee to the State, ii. 605.
Pugillarea, wax-covered tablete for memoranda, ij. $345 n 2$.
Pulcheria, danghter of Emperor Arcedius, governe in the name of her brother Theodosius II, i. 842, ii. 44, 45; chargen againet her administr: tion, ii. 44 \# ; her religions life, ii 47 ; supplanted by infuence of Chryaphius (447), ii. 54 ; marries Marcian, is proclaimed Augutt (450). ii. 97 ; relatlon to Honoris, ii. 101; her death (453), ii. 198 m 2.
Pulveraticum (dust-money), equivalent of drink-money, Majorian'e lawa against, ii. 433.
Pytheas of Marteilles, the Maroo Polo of Groek geography, on the Gcths, i. $34-$

Quadi, barbarians on the middle Danobe, overran Pannonis (365), i. 142 ; morder of their king Gabinius (374), 1 199; invade Pannonia to avenge him, i. 219-122; audience of their ambessadors with Valentinian I, i. 228; their conntry ravaged by Sobartian (375), i. 230.
Quadratus, a philosopher-Christian, ii. 548.

Quaestor, office of, described, j. 6ro.
Quinquennalis of Theodosius I (383), i 315; of Theodocius I and Aresdine (387), i. 475 .

Quintill us, brother of Claudias II, Fimperor for a few months in $270, i$. 69 $n$. Quind-vult-Deos, African Biahop exiled by the Vandals, ii. 272; hin naue, fi. 266.

## R.

Radagaisus, 'the Goth,' nationality of, i. 731 n ; 00 -operates in Alaric's fint invasion of Italy (400), i. 711,736 ; repuleod by Stilicho, i. 716 ; hemde a separate invasion (405), i. 731; de-
feated by Stilicho and put to death, i. 733.

Ragnabild, queen of the Viaigoths, silver basin presented to, by Evodius, ii. 330.

Rando, king of the Alamanni, surprises Maintz, i. 192.
Ransom of Rome, after Alario's fint siege (408), i. 774
Raphael, picture of Leo's meeting with Attila, ii. 160 .
Ratiaria (Arzar Palanka), capital of Dacia Ripensis, i. 70; taken by the Huns, ii. 88.
Ravenna, described, i. 851-871; topography of, requires forther elacidation, i. 851 ; banilice of S. Giovanni Evangelista, i. 867 ; mausolerm of Placidia (SS. Nazario e Caiso. Frontispiece to first volume), i. 886 ; the Baptistery, i. 910; destroyed churches recorded by Agnallus, i. 903 ; ehronological table of principal ohurchea, $i$. 917; Bishope of, i. 903-916; church of, independent of See of Rome (1), $i$. 907, 908; Alaric marches within three miles of (?), i. 711 ; Honorius takes up his revidenoe there (402), i . 712; Stilicho put to death at, i. $75^{6}$; mutiny at (409), i. 779; sacked by Aspar: soldiers for adherence to Joannes (425), i. 848 ; partakes in rebellion against Avitur, ii. 391; Majorian elevated at Columellae (?) six miles from, ii. 403; Majorian's legialation dated from, ii. 420 ; Severus proclaimed at, ii. $43^{1}$; Sidonius at, 1i. 454; Glycerins made Emperor at, ii. 478 ; Oresten proclaims Auguatulus Emperor at, ii. 494 ; foederati prosent their demand to Orentea at, ii. 519; Paulus put to death at the Pineta outaide Clamesis, ii. 522; taken by Belisarias ( 540 ), i. 96 \%
Ravenna, geographer of (anonymous), deacription of Venice in the eeventh century, ii. 167.
Reciared, the Visigoth (eixth century), styles bimself Flavius, i. 6 m.
Rechiarius, king of the Sueves, married to a sister of Theodoric II, ii. 380; insolent reply when summoned by Theodoric to make peace with Rome, ii. 388; defeated by Theodoric at river Urbicus (456), ii. 389 ; taken prisoner at Portacale and pat to death (457), ii. 389.
Heeds, long, used by Huns as candlea, ii. 71 .

Regia, town in Afrion, bettle in church of, between Arians and Catholice, ii. 274
Regiones, the fourteen, of Rome, free
diatribution of bread in each, ii. 573.

Reiks $=$ ruler, represented by termination ric in Alaric, Gaineric, Theodoric, Roderic, \&c., i. 676, of. ii. $400 n$.
Relics, mania for discovery of, at clone of fourth century, i. $44^{\circ} \mathrm{n}$; large namber disoovered during reign of Honorius, i. 870.
Religion of Rome, in ite primitive form, ii. 543 ; contrasted with that of Greece, ii. 543 ; expreased in deification of Emperons, ii. 544 ; ensential opposition between, and Christianity, ii, 545 .

- Religio licita,' Christianity might have been a, if that would have contented it, ii. 546.
Remigius, sagister offioiorum, friend of Romanus, i. 213; deceives Valentinian I as to the state of Tripolis, i. 315 ; his end, i. 218.
Remistua, Patrician, killed at Classis by insurgente against Avitua (456), ii, 39 I .
Retz, Cardinal de, cardid avowal of his vicen, ii. 314 m .
Revenue of the Empire, conjectaral eatimate of, ii. 598 ; chief sourcee of, ii. $598-600$.

Rhaetia (Tyrol and The Grisons), attacked by the Alamsnni (365), i. 142; Radagaisas operates through (?) ( $4 \infty$ ), i. 7 II ; Stilicho's winter campaign in (401-402), i. 715.
Rhem, mother of the gods, necklico of, appropriated by Serena, i. 749.
Rhegiom (Reggio), Alaric's fleet shipwrecked nenr (410), i. 808.
Rheims (Durocortorum), Valentinian I at, i. 190; taken and pillaged by the Huns, ii. 116.
Rheno, reindeer's akin, used as a watarproof by tbe barbarians (3), ii. 365 m .
Rhyndecus, river of Bithynis, stops Gothio in rasion (259), i. 60.
Riallo (Rivas Altus), peopled by fugitives from Padua, ii. 166.
Ricci, Dr. C., 'Ravenna e i suoi Dintorni,' i. 851.
Richomer, comes domesticorum, leade troops from Gaul to the East (377), i. 261 ; returns to Ganl, i. 264; bringe message from Gratian to Va lens, i. 269; offers to become. hootage to the Goths, i. 272; flies from the field of Hadrianople, i. 274; demth, i. 559.
Ricbter, Dr. H., 'Das Weatrömisohe Reich,' i. 185, 409 ; parallel dramn by, between Maximas and Napoleon III, i. 443 n.

Bicimer, the Sueve, defeate the Vandala off Corsice (456), 3i. 389; resenta overthrow of Scerio kingdom, ii. 390 ; magisten striusque militiae, ii. 391; deposes Avitus, li. 391 ; family connections, ii. $398 \pi, 399$; character, ii. 399 ; name, ii. 400 n ; Patrician (457), ii. 401 ; deposes Majorian and pats him to death (461), ii. 427 ; did he canse the death of Severus 1 (465), ii. 432; defeata Beorgor king of the Alans (464), ii. 439 ; selects Anthemius as Emperor (467), ii. 441 ; wedding of Ricimer and Alypia (467), ii. 455; Sidonius on the anceatry of. ii. 459; quarrol with Anthemius, ii. 465 ; at Milan with his army (471), fi. 466 ; rocepts mediation of Epiphanius, ii. 471; called a 'pellitus Getas' by his father-in-law, ii. 470 n ; proclaims Olybrias Emperor (472), ii. 471 ; takes Rome, and pate Anthemius to death, ii. 472; dies (18 A ugust, 472), il. 473 -
Rimint, see Arimincm.
Ripensee, see Limitanei.
Robur, namo of fortrese near Bllo, built by Valentinian I, i. 222 m
Bogationa, special mervices, Introduced by Sidonius from Vienne into Auvergne, ii. 486.
Roma, a favourite ohicken of Honorina, i. 810 .

Romanus, Count of Africes under Valen1 ian 1, his miagovernment, i. 213 ; refues to help Tripolls, i. 214; gete a false report laid before the Emper ror, i. 215 ; compele Palladias to become his accomplice, i. 216; deprived of his offles, i. 218.
Romanus, Patrician and magister utriveque militiac, adheront of Ricimer, pot to death by Anthemins, is. 466.
Rome, city of, demoralisation of the aristocracy of, illustrated by Ammiancs, i. 210, 518 ; apotrophised by Tbemintius, i. 392; rebuilding of Basilica of St. Paul without the Walls by Valentinian II (386), i. 435 ; visit of Theodorina I (389), i. 517; social condition of, at the time, i. $5^{18-527 ; ~ l a t e ~ s t r o n g h o l d ~ o f ~ h e m-~}$ thenism, i. 560,732 , cf. 392 ; dependent on Africa for her food supply, 1. 664; famine at (397), i. 665,666 ; panic of citizent at Alario's approuch (402), i. 726 ; walls rebuilt, i. 726 ; vinited by Honorive and Stilicho (404), i. 727; only four timen virited by an Emperor in the fourth contery, $i$. 728; Girat siege of, by Alario (408), i. 768-774; terms of ransom, $i$. 774; second siege of, by Alaric (409), $i$.

784; ntarved by Hernelinn, povernor of Africa, i. 790; calle on Attalue to fix a tarifr for hnman fleah, i 790; third siege of, by Alaric (410), i. 792; sack of, by the Goths, i. 794-798: amonnt of injury to the eity, i. 798; population of, at Alario's invicion, $i$. 814 ; recovers her promperity after the siege, i. 841; effect of the loem of Africa upon, 1.881 ; great fortones of zotme of the nubility, i. 882; Placidia diee at (450), i. 886 ; embesey from, to Attila (452), Ii. 156; secked by Vandale (455), ii. 283; degree of demage done by them, ii. 284 ; wholesale deportation of citicons to Africs, ii. 287; famine in, onder Avitus (456), ii 390 ; publio buildings stripped of their copper by Avitus, ii. 391 ; deatruction of public buildings by citisens, forbidden by Majorian (458), ii. 424 ; rejolcing at Ricimer's wedding, ii. 455 ; besieged five months by Ricimer, famine in (472), ii. 472; taten by Ricimer, ii. 472 ; gratuitoas distributlon of bread in the 'Regiones' of, ii. 573.
Romulug, Count, fither-in-law ofOreates, ii. 55 ; ambamador to Attile, ii. 72 ; his rocount of Attiln's arrogance, il. 83.
Romulus, Augustulus, grandson of proceding, Emperor (31 October, 475, to 4 September, 476), i. 4 ; proclaimed by his father Oreates, Ii. 495 ; name, age, and face, il. 497; genealogy of, ii. 497 ; taken prisoner at Ravenna, spared by Odovacar, fi. 523 ; sent to reade at the 'Lucullanum' in Campania, ii. 523 ; sends embeng to Zeno (477), 11.525.

Roncalli, Thomas, editor of ' Vetartiora Latinoram Sariptoram Chronice' (Padua, 1787), i. $7^{03 .}$
Roocia, daughter of Sidoninu, treated with jadicions everity by her grandmother and aunte, ii. 347.
Roth, 'De Re Municipali Romanoram,' i. 576 m.

Roua, king of the Huna (432), mennoce Theodociun II, ii. 40.
Rubena, Hieronymus, 'Ravenneo Hibtoria' (1571), 1. 905.
Rufinua, Practorian Prefeot of the Fant ander Theodorius $I$, origin, and oarly history, i. 532 ; his share in the masnacre at Thestalonict, i. 532 ; attempt to modiate between Theodoadus I and 8t. Ambroe ( 390 ), i 532; his atcendanoy with Theodonine I, i. 540; adminintrator of Rastern Rmpire onder Arcendius (395), i. 649; his corruption, i. 647 ; Animosity between him and Stilloho, i. 649 ; his position
undermined by Endoxia and Eatroplas, i. 650; accused of intrigaing with Alaric, i. 655 ; poessible justificention of bis policy, i. 656 ; detign of aseocisting himealf with Arcadius an Emperor: murdered by the army (395), i. 658.

Rufinus, an Athenian, sent on embnesy to Theodosian I by Eugenius, i. 558.
Rufinus, Turanius of Tyrannius, ecclesiastical writer (345-410), deecribed, i. 535 ; translation of Origen by, ii. 326 ; used by Jordaned, i. 28.
Rufus, marries daughter of Saturninus, ii. 90-94; brother of Apolloaius, ambasemdor to Attila, ii. 98 .
Ragon, ioland in the Batio, said to be named from the Rugii, ii. 5 II.
Rugii, in the army of Attiln, ii. 107; at battle of the Nedeo (454), ii. 193 ; in the army of Majorian (457), ii. 406; geogrephical position of, ii. 510; stirred up against Odovecar by Zeno ( 486,487 ), if. 518 n .
Runio writing, ite extencion, i. 36; character, i. $36,8_{4}$; theories ass to ite origin, i. 35, 37, 38 ; relation to Greek and Romen alphabeta, i. 37 ; insoription on the Buseu ring, i. 73 ; lettert in alphabet of पlalas, i. 84,105 ; in scriptions in, $\mathbf{i}$. 84 ; alphabet, i. 102.
Ruakin, 'Stonee of Venice,' referred to, ii. 165 m.

Rumtahuk, Cniva aroesed the Danube thirty-four miles above, i. 52.

## S.

Sabaiariug, torm of insult addremed to Valens by followert of Procopina, i. 151.

Sabmaris (Stioin-am-Anger in Hungary), omer about Valentinian I's death at, i. 227 ; birthplace of St. Martin, i. 447.

Sabal, St., martyrdom of (372), i. 178.
Sabbatarius luxus $=$ holiday magnificence (1) (Sidonius), ii. 356 m 2.
Sabellico, Marco Antonio, historian of Venice, on date of foundation of Venico, ii. 186.
Salarian gate of Rome, Alario enters by (410), i. 793 .
Salicen, see Ad Salioen.
Sallust, Praetorian Prefeot of the East, refuses to become Fmperor on death of Julian, i. 119; in the service of Valens, i. 139 ; depoeed, i. 141.
Sallust, pagan philowopher, friend of Marcellinus, i. 438.
Sallust, gardens of, near Salarian gate of Rome, i. 793 ; palece of, burnt (410), i. 794.

Salons, in Dalmation peleco of Diocle-
tian at (see Spalato), i. 15 ; remidence of Marcellinus, ii. 437; Glyceriu: made Bishop of, ii. 483 ; Nepos flies to, and reigns there, iii. 500.
Salvian, presbyter, of Marseillen (fifth centary), his life, i. 918; his book ' De Gubernatione Dei,' i. 919-934; attributed the fall of the Empire to the ricen of the Romans, i 920 ; description of the extortions which caused the Bagaudae to revolt, ii. 104n; sayl that the Bible was need as an oracle by the Vandals, ii. 219; desoription of Carthage, 1. 931, ii. 243 n I.
Salvius, Quaentor, slain in the mutiny at Ticinum, i. 753.
Sangiban, king of the Alars, auspected of secret allianco with Attila (451), ii. III, 126 ; atation in the batule of the Manriec Plain, ii. 127.
Sanato, Marino, historian of Venice, astrological storiea about date of foundation of Venice, ii. 185.
Sapandia (Savoy), cocapied by Burgundians, ii. 110.
Saphrax, the Ostrogoth, guardian of Widerich, i. 248; cromee the Danube, i. 256; at batte of Hadrianople, i. 271,272 ; invades Pannonia (380), i. 304; retreating before Theodonius I, atteoks and expels Athanaric (381), i. 309.

Sapor, king of Pertia, hin treatment of Valerian, i. 61.
Sapor, king of Persia, war with Julian (363), i. 118,122 ; makes peace with Jovian, i. 123.
Garracen defenders of Roman Constantinople, i. 884 ; name of tribe on the Euxine, i. 413.
Sardica (8ofa), capital of Dacia Mediterranea, Council of (344) i. 19, 70 , 865, ii. 61 ; sacked by Attila, ii. 61 ; Marimin's embacry at, ii. 6I; threatened by Ostrogoths and Huns, ii. $45^{2}$.
Sarmatians (generic name for Solavonic peoples), overrun Pannonia, i. 142, 220; the Free and Servile Sarmatians, i. 221 ; deprecate the wrath of Valentinima I, i. 224.
Sarus, a chief of the Rowomoni, wounds Hermanric, i. 247.
Sarua, a Vhigothic ohief (3), eerves under Stilicho againat Radagainas, $\mathbf{i}$. 733 ; campaign against usurper Congtantine, i. 742 ; turnan against Stilicho and trien to kill him, i. 755 ; pamed over by Honorius, i. 761 ; proventa peace between Honorias and Alaric, 1. 791; waylaid and killed by Ataulfua (412), i. 829; his brother Singeric succeede Atanlfus, i. 835 .

Seelima, in Cappedocia, deecription of, by Gregory Naxianzon, i. 339 .
Saturninue, magister equitum under Valens, sont against the Gothy, i. 264; flies from the field of Hadrianople, i. 274; Consul (383), i. 315 ; gives himself up to Gains (399), i. 692.

Saturninus, oomes domesticormm, put to death by order of Kmpress Eudocia, ii. 90; daughtar of, wooed by Attila's secretary and given to Rufus, ti. 90, 93 ; her fortane confisonted, ii. 94
Saturus, stoward of Haneric, oruelly perrecuted by the Arimns, ii. 278.
Saul, an Alan officer under Theodonins I at battle of the Frigidus, i. 572; his valour and demth at Pollentia, i. 720.
Savonarole, fondnese for quotations from Virgil, i. 801 $n$ 1; referred to, ii. 274.
Shanon Shore, Count of the, i. 621 .
Saxoms, iuvade Gaul (370), i. 190; again (388), i. 464 ; fierconeme of, mentioned by Selvian, i. 922; was their migration to Britain canced by Attile's Danish conquests? ii. $4^{2 \mathrm{n} 2 \text { 2; }}$ in north of Gaul, join confederacy againat Attila, ii. 110; sea-rovers, pictare of, by Sidoniar, ii. 366 .
Scanzia (Norway and Swoden), traditional home of the Gothe, i. 33.
Scilly ielands, Priecillianists benished to, i. 450.
Scipion foreboding of the fall of Rome, ii. 610.

Sclavonic tribee dipplacel by the Gotha, i. 42 ; quarrel with the Goths, i. 71; in the dominions of Hermanric, i. 77; Solavonic settlers in Attila'z train (Slovenes) ocoupy Carinthis and Cerniola, ii. 195.
Scots, i. 289, 716 n 2.
Scott, Rev. C. A. A., ' Ulfila, Apostle of the Gothe,' i. 31, 177.
Scottan a Hunnish noblo, brother of Onégeth, browbeats the Roman Rmbasey, ti. 65 ; Priscus interoedes with, for an gudience of Attila, ii. 67.
Scupi ( ${ }^{2} k u b$ ), Theodosias I at (379), i. 301.

Scyri (or Sciri), in the army of Attila, ii. 106; geographioal position about 476, ii. 510 ; had been in oollinion with the Greake on the Euxine two centurie before Christian era, ii. 511.

Scythim, described by Jordanee, i. 95 ; invaded by Darius and Xerzee, i. 96.
Seythia, Roman province of (Dobrudacka), froed from the barbarians by Gerontius, i. 330.
Scythians, what is included in the term, i. 27, 38, 58, 98 ; identified

With Goths, i. 97 ; with Hons, i. 98, ii. 55 ; view of ethnologinter as to the Soythians of Herodotus, i. 98 ; maritime axpeditions of the (ee Goths), i. 58; lese under inflaence of southern civilization at Christian erathan cix centurie before, i. 39 ; piotare of, at Milan, humbled by the Comara, ii. 154
Sebantian, Roman genaral, employed in Julian'e invasion of Perria, 1. 121, 124; at battle of Soliciniam, i. 193; ravages the country of the Quadi, i. 230; pomible oandidate for the purple on desth of Valentinian I, i. 230 ; different socounts of him chsracter, i. 230; his election prevented, i. 231 ; in command againet the Gothe in Threce (378), i. 266 ; victory by the Hebrus, i. 267 ; rach edvice at battle of Hadrianople, i. 27 I ; falls there, i. 275 .
Sebaatian, brother and collongue of usurper Jovinus, i. 830; him head sent as a present to Honorius, i. 830.
Sebastian, mon-in-law of Bonifacion, takes refage with Gniseric, ii. 353 , 275 ; his answer to Gaiseric, and martyrdom, ii. 276.
Secundimas, Semi-Arian Biahop doposed by Council of Aquileic, i. 387.
Sceok, O., edition of Symmsohus, i. 409 ; edition of the Nutitia Dignitstum, i. 596.
Seeley, Prof., ' Essay on Roman Im. perialimm,' on the dopopulation of the Empire, ii. 609 ».
Semi-Arians, i. 342 n.
Sornitic olement in Afrionn civilisation, ii. 237.

Senate, af Comstantinople, approves of the masacre of Gothic houtages (379). i. 286; depatation of, to congretulate Theodotius I, i. 298; finally abolinhed by Loo VI (ninth century), ii. 596; at Rome, debate in, about idolatry (394), i. 580-582; deolaren war against Gildo, i. 667 ; debatee quection of Alaric's eubsidy, i. 747 ; dooiden to put Serens to death, i. 768 ; deliberates an to allowance of heathon sacrifices, i. 771-773; harangue of Attalus to, i. 786; Majorian'ı eddrees to, li. 406 ; mende Embaeny to Zano (477), ii. 525.

Senator, a consular of Byzantinm, ©ccoptable ambessedor to Attila, ii. 81.
Septimaris or Gothis (S.W. of France), dencribed, ii. 109.
Serapis, temple of, at Alexandria, demolished by Theodosius I (390), i. 543.
Serens, wife of Stilicho, niece of Theodosias I, brings Honorius to Milan (395), i. 585 ; the only one of his
famity who was not afraid of Theodo sins, i. 644 ; early history and marriage to Stilicho, i. 646; obtains a desirable bride for Claudian, i. 730; takes Rhes's necklece, i. 749; socosed of complicity with Alaric and put to desth (408), i. 768 ; Plecidia's share in her condemnation, i. 820.
Serenianus, edviser of Valens, put to death by Marcellue (366), I. 157.
Seronstus, an oppresaive governor of Gaul, ii. 338.
Serranus, correspondent of Sidonius and adherent of Potronius Marimas, ii. 200.

Servatius, Bishop of Tongres, his prophetic rision of the Hunnish devastations, ii. 115 .
Servility of Byzantine courtiern, ii. 443.
Severa, divorced by Valentinian I, i. 229.
Severinus, Consal (461), present at Majorian's banquet at Arlea, ii . 117.
Severinus, St., his beneficent work in Noricum, ii. 514 ; gives Odovacar his bleasing, and foretells his elevation, ii. 515 ; his life by Eugippus, ii. 506.

Severas, St., Bishop of Ravenne (fourth century), his election to the Episcopate, i. 864 ; his burial, 1. 866 ; date of his death, i. 904
Severas Emperor (193-211), onme from Tripolitana, ii. 234
Severas, Libins, Emperor (461-465), ii. 431 ; inoffensive character and inconspicuous life of, ii. 432 ; his death, ii. 440; was he unurdered by Ricimer? ii. 432.
Soverus, Magistor poditwm under Valentinian I, eent againgt Saxon invaders of Gaul (370), i. 199.
Severus, Pakrician, went by Zeno to nogotiate treaty with Gaiseric (475), ii. 498; redeems Roman captives, ii. 499.

Seeille (Hirpalis), Guntheric's sacrilege at, and ite punishment, ii. 226.
Shan-se, a northern province of China, wasted by the Hiong-nu, i. 8, 17, 18.
Shen-se, a northern province of China, wanted by the Hiong-nu, i. 8, 17, 18 .
Sicily, ravaged by the Vandala (440), ii. 253 ; becomes part of the Vandal dominions, ii. $2 \leqq 4 ; 503$; formally coded to Gaiseric by Orestes (?), ii. 498, 504 ; granted by Gaiseric to Odovacar as a wibatary posesesion (477), ii. 255, 503 ; later history; ii. 504.

Sidonius, Caius Sollian Apollinaris, Gaulish nobleman, wit, and Bishop ( $43^{-}-489$ ), editions and commentariet, ii. 297; birth, ancestry, and education, fi. 304; heans the Pane-
gyric by Nicotius at Arles, ii. 306 ; marries Papianilla, daughtor of Avitus, ii. 308; takes a lending part in public affairs (455-469), ii. 308; recites his Panegyric on Avitus (456). ii. 386; bracen statue ereoted to, in Forum of Trajan, ii. 387 ; his abare in armed resistance to Majorian, ii. 408; pardoned through intercession of Petrua, ii. 409; his Panegyric on Majorian (458), ii. 409-413; petitions for reduction of his capila, ii. 413 ; gets into trouble by his lampoone, iii 414 ; at Majorian's banquet at Arles (461), ii. 416-420; journeye to Rome (467), ii. 453 ; falls ziok of a fever, ii. 455 ; finds Rome enftef for Ricimer's wedding, ii. 455 ; chooses Baviling for his patron, ii. 456; recites his Panegyric on Anthemius (468), ii. 458; made praefectus wrir, ii. 460 ; resigna, is made Patrician, and retarns to Gaul (469), ii. 461; intercedes for Arrandat, ii. 465; retires to his ville at Avitacum (469), ii. 308; elected Bishop of Arverni (471 or 472), li. 313 ; his pagan and Christian styles contrasted, ii. 314 ; his sucoens an a bishop, ii. 315 ; his moconnt of Euric's persecation, ii. 485 ; animaten the Arverni to resist Kuric, ii. 486 ; bitterly complains of Rome's deeartion of the Aryerni, ii. $49^{2}$; banished by Euric and kept in confinement at Livia, ii. 315 ; restored to his diocese, ili. 316 ; death (about 489), ii. 317 ; conflict of bishop and poot in bis charmoter, ii. 318 ; canonised, ii. 319; his tolerance, ii. 319; his literary style, ii. 320; his false quantities and geographical blunders, ii. 320 mI ; his relntion to Claudian and Pliny, il. 32 I ; description of Ravenna, i. 859-861; description of Attila's invasion, ii. 106; on the death of Aotius, ii. 197 ; on the ahort reign of Maximne, iil. 200202; his epigram on a towel, i. 323; called Phoebus by his friends, ii. 336; his games at beckgammon with Theodorio II, ii. 356 ; satirical verves on Burgundian giants, ii. 363 ; his lost opportunities of immortality, II. 372 ; quoted, ii. 304-373 passim; on the death of Severus, ii. 432.
Sien-pi, Asiatio berbariane, onemies of the Hiong-na, repelled by Me-téTanjon, ii. 15; avenge themselves after three centaries, ij. 26, 27 ; erect dynasties which diepate the throne of China, ii. 28 ; make $a$ durable barrier against the Hiong-nu, ii. 30. Sienta, derivation of, ii. 326 n 2.

Sievers, Dr. G. R., 'Life of Libanius,' i. 474 .

Sigesarios, an Arian Bishop of the Gotha, baptised Prisons Attalus, 1. 785 ; mentioned, i. 831, 835.
Sigismer, young Frankish (i) chief, his brilliant dres described by Sidonian, ii. 364 .

Silent-barial grievance of the African Catholica, ii. 272.
silentiarii, life-guardsmen, i. 616.
Silignai, wheaten losver distributed to the people at Romo, ii. 570.
Silingi, tribe of the Vandala, bettlee in Andalusia, ii. 223.
Silvanus, a silvernmith, President of the Board of Silver at Rome,.ii. 51 m; receiver of the Vasee of Sirminm, ii. 51 ; Attila will have him or war, ii. 83.

Simeox, Mr. G. A., History of Latin Litarature,' quoted, i. $3^{883}$.
Simplicius, meneor to Marimin, Prefect of Rome under Valentinian I, his cruelty, i. 210; put to death, i. 213.
Simplicine, friend of Sidoniun, letter addresed to, ii. 344
Singeric, Segeric, or Regeric, brother of Sarus, snoceseor of Atalfuc, his cruelty to the family of Atanlfus, and insults to Placidia, 4.835 ; slain after a reign of a fow daya, i. 835 .
Singidunam (Belgrade), confused by Zosimus with Lagdunum, i. 406 n.
Singleidas a mythical niece of Plecidia, seen Zeaharias in a vinion, i. 870.
Siricius, Pope (385-398), letter of Maximus to, i. 458 .
Sirminm (a day'a marah weat of Belgrade), capital of the Prefeoture of Illyricum, i. 14; Petronias Probus holds it during inroad of the Quadi, i. 220; fire at, before denth of Valentinian I, i. 227; Gratian $\mathfrak{l l}$ at (378), i. 269; Theodosius I proclaimed at, i. 294; taken by Attila, ii. 5I; Vases of, claimed by Attila, 3i. 51 .
Siscia (Siscek), on the Save, scene of Theodosius I's first victory over Maximus, i 466.
Sismondi, 'Histoire des Frangais,' quoted on early Frankish kings, ii. 102 n .
Sitaloes, king of Thrace, wars with Perdiccas, i. 96.
Sixtus III, Pope, consecrates St. Peter Chryaologus Bishop of Ravenna, i. 867.

Slaves, Slavery ; in Gaul, depioted by Salvian, is 928; legal provisions in favour of slavos, ti. 80; African provincials enalaved by Vandali, ii, 256, 258, 260; nlave liberated at innugr-
ration of new Consul, ii. 306; meoter murdered by hir sleven, ii. $33^{6}$; slave's terror of even a good-matured mastor, ii. 345 ; effect of alavory on the fill of the Empire, ii. 557-565: 40,000 alavee fled to Alaric's comen, i: 777; number of slavee in Rome, ii. 559 ; Roman alavery compared rith Amarican, ii. 560-564; alaven not generally bred for sale among the Romans, ii. 561; manamision frequent, checked by Lex Aella Sentia, i1. 562; 97,000 Jews sold an slavea by Titus, iil 56 I ; proporition to drees all alaves alike rejected, ti. 562 ; poortion not co intolerable in the Old World as in the New, Hi. 563 ; alavery, how spoken of in the Institutes, ii. 563 n ; share of Chriatian Charch is abolishing, ii. 565.
Socratee Saholatricon, ecoleninatical hittorian (379-450), deecribed, i. 280; quoted, i. 91. 763; on death of Procopinas, i. 156 m 2 ; on Arian martyrt in persecution of Athanaric, i. 175; account of death of Valentinian II, i. 591 ; utory about captare of Raveona by Aspar, i. 847.
Soirsons (Noviodunum), of the power of Aegidius, 1i. 436.
Solicinium (hottonburg), victory of Valentinian I over tho Alamanni at (368), i. 192-194.

Solidus Aureas $=$ twelve shillinga, $L$ $77^{8 n}$; ii. $4^{23}$.
Sophia, St., charch of, at Conmtantinople, ite windown, fi. 329 : 2 ; Procopiug's scoount of the arigin of the name, ii. 449.

Sophos (ㅍopars), = bravol shouted by Senstors at recitation of Sidoninf? Panegyrio, ii. 460.
Sophronius, notary, aftorwards Prefoot of Constantinople, brings new: to Valens of rebellion of Procopius, i. 147.

Sortes Vandalorum, manner of division of, ii. 256, 259.
Sozomen, eoclesimatical historian (fifth century), deecribed, i. 280 ; quoted, on Gratian's divinion of the Empire, i. $295{ }^{n}$; on enforoement of the lawi against heretice, i. 374 ; aneodote about mesescre of Theesalonics, i. 529; mconunt of death of Valentinian IL, i. 592 ; on life of Pulcheria and her uisters, ii. 47 n
Spain, Alaric's elovation the caneo of the Spanish Monarchy of the Middle Ages, i. 654 ; conquered by Constans (407), i. 743 ; wasted by four barberian nations (409), i. 824, 836; inFaded by Visigoths (414), i. 833;

Invaded by Vandals (499), ii. 221; settlement of the Suevi, Visigoths, Alans, and Vandale in, ii. 222; wars among the barbarians in, ij. 223 ; attempt to recover by Castinus (422), ii. 224

Spalato, Diocletian's palace near Salona, probable reaidence of Marcellinus, ii. 437; imagined meeting of Glycerius and Nepos at, ii. 500.
Spali, conquered by the Goths, i. 4 I.
Spectabilis, title of, i, 603, 620.
'Spectacula, use of the term in the baptismal mervice, i. 930 n .
Spicilegium Ravennatio Historiae, quoted, i. 868 n 1.
Spiritual and Temporal Power, relations of, under Theodosiua I, i. 534
Ssu-ki, Chinese historian, quoted by Deguignes, ii. I.
Statues, cargo of, on board a Vandal ship, lost at sea, ii. 284
Stephens, Prof., 'Old-Northern Runic Monaments,' quoted, i. $39 n, 73 n$, 84 MI .
Stephens, Rev. W. R. W., 'St. Chrysostom, his Life and Times,' i. 408, 474
Stilicho, his Vandal descent: birth and parentage, i. 645 ; explanation of his Vandal origin, ii. 217; mismion to Perrian court ( 384 ), i. 646 ; marriage to Serena (385), i. 646; maginter utriusque militiae, i. 647; at battle of the Frigidus (394), i. 572 ; gaardian of Honorius and Regent of the West, i. 580,647 ; conflict of tentimony as to his administration, i. 647 ; animosity between him and Rutinus, i. 648 ; marches against Alaric in Greece (395), i. 657 ; parte with half his army, i. 658 ; second campaign againgt Alaric in Greece (396), i. 660; its mysterions ending, and Alaric's eacape, i. 660, 661 ; aocused of causing assamination of Mascenel, i. 669; his daughter Maris married to Honorius ( 398 ), i. 670 ; permonal appearance at this time, i. 671 ; Consul (400), i. 673-675: his Rhsetian campaign (401-2), i. 715 ; at battle of Pollentia (?), i. 720 n 2 ; treaty with Alaric, i. 723; policy towarde Alaric criticised, f. 724 ; visits Rome with Honorins (404), i. 727 ; starver out the army of Radagaisua (405), i 732 ; plan for employing Alaric agninst Constantinople, i. 746 ; recommends payment of subsidy to Alaric (408), i. 747; Olympius intrignes against him, i. 749; disliked by Pagans as well as Chrintians, i. 749; etripu off gold platee from doors of temple of

Jupiter Cspitolinus, i. 750; unpopularity with the Roman soldiers, i. 750 ; plans for securing control of the Eastern Empire, i. 751; refumes to revalt against Honorius, i. 754; attaoked by Sarus, flies to Ravenna, i. 755 ; put to death there (23 Aug. 408), i. 756; comparison to Wallenstein, i. $75^{6}$; summing up of evidence as to his character, i. 757-760; fate of his family and friends, i , 760 ; troope summoned by, froun the Eant arrive nearly two years after his death, i. 789 ; improbable that he invited Fandels into Gaul, ii. 219.
Stipendia, subaidies paid by Rome to the berbarians, i. 46, 47, 49, 57.
Storks, augury of, at Aquileia, ì. 150.
Strabo, geographer (first century), on earthquakes at Philadel phia, i. 326 nI ; praies the healthiness of Ravenna, i. 861 .

Strava, a barbarian word for a funeral feant (1), ii. 174 and $n$.
Strenae (whence French étrennes), New Year's presents for mervanta of governors, forbidden by Majorian, ii. 423.

Succesaianus, governor of Pityus, made Praetorian Prefect by Valerian, i. 59.

Succi, pass of, in the Balkans, i. $14^{8} n$, 157, 265, 266.
Sueridus, a Gothic chief driven into rebellion against the Empire, i. 359.
Suetonius Paulinus, Roman general in Africa and Britain, ì, 233, 234.
Sueves, a Teutonic people, invade Gaul with Vandals and Alans (406), i. 739 ; enter Spain (409), i. 824; send embasey to Honorius (416), i. 837; Eastern section at battle of the Nedao, ii. 193; war with Vandaln and Alans (419), ii. 224 ; defeated by Gaiserio (428), ii. 230; position in Spain, ii. 380; triple alliance of Romans, Goths, and Burgundiaps against them (455), ii. 381; their kingdom shattered by campaign of 456 , ii. 389 ; their kingdom finally destroyed by Leovigild (584), ii. 389 n I; Arians, i. 88 ; Riciner a Sueve, ii. 390, 399 .
Suidas, lexicographer (date ancertain), ii. 146; story of Jovian and the people of Antioch, i. $47^{6}$ n 4 ; story about Bleda's dwarf, ii. 86; story about Attila at Milan, ii. 155 \%; acthority for lifo of Marcellinus, ii. 430, 438.
Sulla, citizen of Ratiaris, killed and family captives of Huns, ii. 88.
Sulpiciua Severas, ecalesiantical hintorian (353-429), i. 409; quoted, on
the charges againat Prischlian, 1. 451 $n 2$.
Sunieric, Count, Gothic envoy to Gallicis, ii. 407 ; name of, ii. 407 m.
Sunilde, wife of king of the Romomoni, cruelly pat to death by Hermancic, i. 146.

Sword of Mars, revealed to the Huns, ii. 84

Syagrius, tomb of, at Lyons, iis 322.
Syagrius, grandson of preceding, son of Aegidius, mantery of German tongue and inflaence over the barbarians, ii. 358; succeeds his father at Soistons (464), overthrown by Clovin (486), ii. 437 .

Symmachus, Q. Aurelina, orator, Prefect of Rome (384), 1. 185, 409, 417; his Relatio about the Altar of Victory, i. 418-422; appoints St. Augustine teeaher of rhetoric at Milan, i. $44^{1}$; oration in honour of Maximas, i. 463; embessy of, to Theodosias I about Altar of Viotory, i. 516 ; expenditure on his won's praetorship, i. 883 ; Yanegyric before Valentinian I quoted, i. 196, 197; letter to Theododus the elder quoted, i. 291; correspondence with Ausonius, i. 384
Symonds, J. A., 'Sketches in Italy and Greece,' deecription of Revenna, i. 854 n.
Syncellus, Byzantine historian (end of eighth century), i. 30; account of ravages of the Goths in Greece, i. $6_{3}$, ii. 511 n .

Synesius of Cyrene (fifth century), litterateur and Bishop, i. 679; 'The Egyptiane or concerning Providence; 1. 679 ; comen to Constantinople and joins the patriotic party (397), i. 684 ; oration 'On Kingship' before Arcadias, i. 685, 686.
Synod of the Oak (403), deposen Chrynostom, i. 698.
Syria, rurel population of, i. 481.
Syrian Bishops of Ravenna, i. 86 In I, 905.

## T.

Tabraca (Tabarca), on frontiers of Tunis and Algiers, scone of death of Gildo, i. 669.
Tabula Peatingeriana beginning of third century), ii. 215 ; does not mention the Goths, i. 46 n ; quoted, i. 301 m a; on potition of Vandals and Marcomanni, ii. 216.
Tabulee (corresponds to beckgammon), played by pilgrime at sepalchre of St. Justus, ii. 322 ; at country house of friends of Sidonius, ii. 325 ; played by Theodoric II, ii. 356 .

Tacitas, Emperor (275-276), "Victoria Gothics' on his coing, i, 71.
Tacttus, 'Germanian' i. 29; 20000nt of the Gothe, i. 35, 44 ; mentioned, . 311 ; idean as to aboriginal character of Germsar, ii. 211.
Tagliamento, river of Venotian ii. 163.
Taifali, in army of Ontrogotha, i. 50 ; invade Thrace; captured by Frigeridus and sent as coloni to the valloy of the Po (377), i. 265.
Tan-jou (the mighty), titlo of relers of the Hiong-nu, ii. II; marriaget of, with Chinese prinoesses, ii. 12.
Tartars (or Tatars), relation of, to the Hons, ii. 4 n.
Tatian, Praotorian Prefect of tho Eart under Theodosius I, i. 538; his fall (391), i. 54 r.

Tatian, Senator, Imperinl reprecentative at Council of Chalcedon (451), made Prefect of Constantinople by Leo (1), ii. $444 \%$.

Ta-tsin ('sa great as Chinn '), Chinese name for Roman Empire, ii. 29.
Ta-tum-fou, or Tajistong, couth of Great Wall of Chime, choeen as capital by Mót6 Tanjou, ii. 16.
Thanesis, mythical king of the Goths, i. 95 .

Taurobolium, ceremony of purificetion, i. 562.

Taxation, relieved by the Prefect Ausonius (368), $\mathbf{i} .164$; injuatice of, in the Empire, deworibed by Salvian, i. 924-927; oppressive, in the Reetern Empire to raise tribute for Attile, ii. 53 ; of the curiales, ii. 582 ; Roman system of, ii. 597-603.
Tax-gntherer (Canonicariva), not oppressive in the West onder early Emperors, i. E; Majorian's lawt against extortionate, il. 422.
Tarolrocitee, sect mentioned in edict of Theodonius I, i. 371 .
Taylor, Canon Isaco, on origin of the Ronio Alphabet, i. 36; on the Busen Ring, i. 74.
Telemachus, the monk, interpoes at gladiatorial combat, i. 729.
Temple of Jerusalam, neacred vessels of, taken from Rome to Carthage (455), ii. 285; restored to Jarusalem (after 534), ii. 286.
Tennis (pila), game of, at Lyons, desoribed by Sidonias, ii. 322 ; game of, in the country, described by sidonius, ii. 325.

Teou-hien, Chinese general, viotorions over Hiong-nu, ii. 26, 27.
Terence, 'Hecyra' of, slidoniue read with his papils, ii. 344 .
Terentias, eunnch in Imperial palace,
made grand chamberlain for his murder of Eacherius, 1. 767.
Tertullian, of African birth, ii. 238 ; intolerance of, ii. 549.
Tessers - an out-door relief ticket, ii. $57^{\circ}$.
Testudo, formed by Roman soldiers locking their shielde together, in battle of Ad Salices, i. 263; in battle of the Mariac Plain, ii. 130.
Tentonic peoples, attacky of, on Rome, i. 31 ; royalty among, i. $44,78,197$ \% a; many Teutonic tribes in dominions of Hermanric, i. 77; literature, Ulfilas the father of, i .83 ; conversion to Cbristianity of tribes in contact with Rastern Rmpire, i. 88 ; Arianism of, and ite consequences, i. 88, 92 ; union of, with Latin races, symbolised by marriage of Ataulfus and Placidia, i. 832.
Thaumastas, brother of Apollinaris, letter of Sidonins to, ii. 360 .
Themistius, orator ( $317-385$ ), i. 114116, 280; quoted, on title of Athanaric, i. 78 m 1 ; on Socialistic policy of Procopius, i. 145*; oration before Valens on supprestion of rebellion of Procopins, i. 158 ; one of depatation to Valens adviaing peace with the Goths (369), i. 167; Oration on the Peace of 369, i. 168-173; Panegyric on Theodosius I (379), i. 298-300; on position of the foederatt, i. 3II $n 3$, 315-320; tator of Aromdius, i. 315; Panegyric on Gratian (376), i. 389392 ; supposed march of Theodosius I against Maximus in 383, i. 415 n.
Theodoret, eccleniastical historian (393457), i. 280; sccount of repulse of Theodorins I by St. Ambrose, i. 53I; atory of Telemachus, i. 728 n I.
Theodoric I, tring of the Vinigotha (419451), bis daugbtor married to Hunneric and cruelly treated by Gaiseric, ii. 104; his friendship for Avitus, ii. 378; receives embensy from Valentinian III, ii. 113 ; joins with Romans against Attila, ii. 114 ; appears ander walls of Orleans, ii. 121 ; take part in battle of Mauriac Plain and falls there, ï. 127, 132, 134 ; doubt at to his place of burial, ii. 140-142.
Theodoric 1I, king of the Visigoths (453-466), son of the preceding, minute description of his person and habita by Sidonius, ii. 352-358; rainee $\Delta$ vitus to the parple, alliance against the Sueven, ii. 379-384; victories over the Sueves (456), iii. 389 ; killed by his brother Euric, ii. 484.
Thoodorus, of Antioch, Imperial notary, his name supposed to have been re-
vealed by magio ad that of future Emperor, i. 238; put to death by Valens, i. 240.
Theodorus, brother of Avitus, sent as hoatage to Toulouse, ii. 378 .
Theodosian Code (published 438), described, i. 594 ; religions legislation of Sixteenth Boak, i. 334; De Fide Catholica, quoted, i. 335; edict of Uniformity, i. $33^{6}$; anti-Arian edicte, i. 368-37a; picture of military life of the Empire given by Seventh Book, i. 634 ; laws of Honorice against heretics and heathenf, i. 762, 763 ; Do Decurionibus, quoted, ii. 584595; Bcarcoly mentiuns office of Pa trician, ii. 402 ; laws againet celibacy, ii. 421; against rapacious Tax-collectors, ii. 422 ; about the Defensor, ii. 422 ; about the Currency, ii. 422 ; ngainst illegal eractions by Governors, ii. 423 ; against destruction of public brildings, ii. 424; laws about the bread-largess, ii. $572-576$.
Theodomias, the alder, birth and family of, i. 287 ; Duke of Britain (368), i. 288-290; magister equitum (369). i. 209, 290; campaign against the Alamanni, i. 290; government of Africa (373-376), i. 217 , 291 ; bis execution at Carthage (376), i. 291.
Theodosius I, Emperor (379-395), son of preceding, bore the praenomen Flarius, i. 6 ; genealogical table of his family, i. 276; appearance, i. 297; early life, i. 293; Duke of Moesia (373), defeats the Free Sarmatians, i. 221, 293 ; proclaimed Emperor at Sirmium (379), i. 294 ; make Theesalonice bis headquarters, i. 295; increases number of military commands, i. 297; criticised for this by Zotimna, i. 614 ; first campaign againgt the Goths, i. 300-303; illness at Thesealonice (380), i. 303 ; baptised, i. 304 ; enters Constantinople, i. 351 ; wise courtesy towards Athanario, i. 309 ; makes peace, the Goths become foederati, i. 306-312; treatment of the Greuthungi, i. 323; triamph at Constantinople (386), i. 323; narrow escape from the barbariann, i. 326 ; merits and defecte of his policy towards the barbarians, i. 332, 333 ; religioun legialation, i. 334-336, $368-374$; its effects, i. $374^{-}$ 376 ; expels the Arians from the churches, i. 351, 352 ; deecribed by Gregory Navianzen, i. 353 ; summons the Council of Constantinople (381), i. 358; not styled Pontifex Maximus, i. 400 n ; associates Arcadius in the Empire (383), i. 412 ; conduct in
reference to rebellion against Gratian, i. 413 ; recogniees Marimas (384), i. 414 ; appealed to by Juatina, i. 463; marries Galla, his second wife (388), i. 464; defeats Maximus and restores Vrlentinian II (388), i. 466-469; a poor financier, i. 474; celebrates Quinquennalia ( 387 ), i. 475 ; insurrection at Antioch, i. 482; his character as it appenrs in the affin, $\mathbf{i}$. 508; yialds to St. Ambrose in the atfair of Callinionm, i. 511-516; refuses to restore Altar of Victory, $i$. 516; visit to Rome (389), i. 517 ; brutal punishment of citizens of Thessalonica (390), i. 528 ; rebuked and placed under an interdict by St . Ambroee, i. 530-532; repents for the maseacre and is absolved, i. 533; legialation mecuring interval of thirty days between atterance and execution of capital mentence, i. 533, ii. 465 ; returns to the Eust (391), i. 538; exploits against marauders of the marshes, i. 538 ; delay in arenging Valentinian II, i. 559 ; anti-Pagan leginlation (392), i. 565; asocintos Honoriue in the Rmpire (393), i. 567; denth of Galla (394), i. 569; expedition againnt Fagenius and Arbogast, i. 569; wing bettle of the Frigidus, i. 573-577; overthrows entatues of Jupiter on the Alpe, i. 579; suppression of heathen sacrifices at Kome, i. 580 ; death at Milan, i. 585 ; funeral at Constantinople, i. 586 ; charecter, $1.587-589$; how addreseed by Pacatus, i. 599; calla himsolf 'My Milduess' ii. 588 ; dynasty of, extinct in 455, ii. 198.
Theodosins II, grandson of preceding, Emperor of the East (408-450), discussion as to hia guardianship, i. 751 ; pressed by Neatorias to persecute the Arians, i 763 ; Consul (409), 1. 776; sends reinforoements to Honorius (410), i. 788; places Placidia and her son on throne of the Went $(424,425)$, i. 846; leads rejoicinge at Constantinople for their succese (425), i. 848; his orthodoxy not unimpesched, i. $88{ }_{4}$; debates with Roua, king of the Buns (432), ii. 40 ; charncter and theological pocition, ii. 44; accemory to plot for assamsination of Attila (448), ii. 59 ; embasay to Attila, ii. 60; insulting reply, ii. 93.94 ; thrown from his horse and dies, if. 97.
Theodosius, son of Atarlfue and Plecidia, died in infancy, buried at Barcelona, i. 833,834
Theologian Kmperors, i. 16-21.
Theophanes, Byzantine hintorian (eighth
contury), ii. 430; quoted, on char recter of Glycerioe, ii. 484, 502.
Theophilus, Gothic Bishop at Council of Nicsen, i. 88, 182.
Theophilus, Bishop of Alexandriz, i. 545 ; enemy of Chrymortom, predidet at Synod of the Onk, i. 698.
Thermantia, daughter of the elder Honorius and sister of Serens, adopted by Theodosius I, i. 646.
Thermantia, doughter of Stilicho, seoond wife of Honorins, i. 748; ment back to Serena after Stilicho's death, i. 760 , 767.

Thermopylee, held againat the Gothe by Cladian (251), i. 54
Thervingi, apparently $=$ Viaigothn, i. 101.

Thensalonica, berieged by the Goths (269), i. 67 ; hendquarters of Theodoxins I (379-381), i. 295 ; madition and manacre at (390), i. 527-530; vieit of Theodowius I to (391), i. 538 .
Theademir, ling of the Ontrogoths, served under Átila in Ganl, fi. 107 ; attecke the Eastern Empire, ii. 481.
Thierry, Amedee, 'Troin Ministree dea fily de Theodoee,' i. 638; quoted, ot denth of Serena, i. 820 n 2 ; ' Histoire d'Attila,'ii. 38, 175 ; quoted, ii. 96 n 2 , $122 \pi 2$.
Third century, a mivarable one for the Roman Empire, i. 11, 57.
Thirty Tyrants, the, i. 62.
Thindane, Gothic name for king, i. 79; ii. 528.

Thorismand, son of Theodoric I, king of the Vinigothe ( $451-453$ ), halpe to raice the siege of Orleans, ii. 121 ; at battle of the Maurisc Plain, ii. 129, 132; proclained king on his return to Toulones, ii. 135-136; reasons for not following up the victory over Attile, ii. 136 ; repulse of Attila in 453 ( 1 ), ii. 169; alain by his brother Theodoric, ii. 352 n 2.

Thrace, wee of the name, L 260 . I, 270 . 2.
Thuringer FVald (Saltus Hercyniva), trees of, felled by Attila's army (451), ii. 108.

Thuringians (Toriogi), in Attile's army, ii. 107.

Thurock, Hungarian romancer aboat Attila, ii. 178 .
Thymtira, battle of (366), i. 155 .
Tiberius, Emperor ( 14 -37), i. 4
Ticinom (Pavia), troope at, slienatod from Stilicho, L. 750 ; matiny at (408), i. 752-754; present appearadoe of, i. 754 ; Epiphanias, Biahop of, eee Epiphanius; people of, insult the mother of Glycerius, ii. 482 ; Orestet take
refuge at, ii. gar ; xiege and coptare of, by the fooderat (476), ii. 521 ; intercemions of Epiphanius lescen their ravages, ii. 521 .
Tillomont, 'Histoire dea Empereurs' charactarised, i. 117; 'Mémoires pour servir à l'histoire ecclériastique,' i. 410; on date of insurrection of Antioch, i. 483 n ; on division of llyrioum, i. 678 ; his chronology of Alario's first invarion of Italy questioned, $i$. 734 ; quoted, on tolerntion of Honorius, $i .77_{4} n$; on fall of Bonifacia, 1 . 874
Tinmanin, magister peditum under Theodosius I, i. 466 ; altercation with St. Ambrose, i. 515; dofeated by barbarians in Macedonia, i. 539 ; at battle of the Frigidas, i. 572; banisbed by Eatropias, i. 681.
Timavus, river of Venetia, Alario defeats Romans at ' Fontes Timavi', i. 710.
Tinotheus, Biahop of Alexandria under Theodosiua I, i. 336, 367 .
Timothy the Weseel, a turbulent Patriarch of Alexandria, ii. 303.
Tingitana (Fez), Roman province in Africa described, ii. 233.
Tiro, see Prosper, and compare i. 707.
Titles of the Empire (dukes, counts, prefecta) reproduced in modern Europe, i. 623 ; little relation between, and titles of Republican Rome, i. 625 .
Titus, Emperor ( $79-81$ ), i. 5 .
Tuleration, of Valentinian I, i. 200-203, 206; of Theodoric the Ostrogoth, $i$. 206 ; earlier policy of Theodosins I, $i$. 353, 354 ; argoments for, in Relatio of Symmachua, i. 419 ; in the early Chrimtian Charch, ii. 547-550.
Tolose (Towlouse), capital of the Viaigothic monarchy, ii. 109.
Tomi ( Iustendje $^{\text {en }}$, attacked by the Goths, (269), i. 66 ; scene of exploits of Gorontins, i. 328.
Tomyris, queen, mentioned by Jordanes, i. 96.
Tongres (Tungri), in Belgic Gaul, kacked by Attila, ii. 115 .
Torcello, at month of Piave, peopled by refagees from Altinum, ii. 166.
Toreuma = tapeatry (7), at Vinigothic court (Sidonius), ii. 356 n I.
Tortona (Dertona), Majorian dethroned and put to death at, ii. 427.
Trajan, Emperor (98-117), his column and Forum at Rome, i. 7 ; his Dacian triumphe, i. 7; hia bridge over the Dnnube, i. 45 ; Marcianople bailt by, i. 50 ; defests Decebalue, i. 98 ; Sidoniun's btatue erected in Forum of, ii. 388 ; letter to Pliny about Deaurions, ii. 580 \% 2.

Trajan, general under Valens, sent againot the Gothe in Thrace (377), i. 261 ; superseded, i. 266 ; with Valens, i. 268 ; alain at battle of Hadrianople (378), i. 275 .
Trapezantiom (Trebizond), taken by the Goths (258), i. 59.
Traurtile, follower of Aetius, and murderer of Valentinian III, ii. 198; taken into favour by Petronicis Maximus, ii. 203.
Trevirorum Auguita (Trier), capital of the Prefecture of the Gaule, 1. 14, 379; described, i. 377-379; residence of Constantine, Valentinian I, and Gratian, i. 379; Barly residence of Sulvian, i. 918 ; immorality of, deecribed by Salvian, i. 929 ; three times taken by the barbarianm, i. 929 ; pasaion for the Circus at, i. 930 ; Fredegarius's atory about capture of ( ${ }^{( }$), ii. 394
Tribal names of Gaulish towns prevailed over Roman, ii. 143.
Tribigild, Count, the Oatrogoth, rebellion of (399), i. 687, 691, 692 .
Tribanus Notariorum (alerk in the War Offloe), post of, held by Eucherian, i. 752.

Tribate, paid by Theodorias II to the Hans, ii. 43, 53.
Tributum soli, one form of land-lax, ii. 600.

Trigetias, on behalf of Valentinian III, concludes treaty with Gaiseric (435), ii. 249 I ; ex-Prefect, ambassadur to Attile (452), ii. 157.
Tripolitana (Tripoli), province of, described, ii. 234 ; undefended position of, i. 213 ; invaded by the Austoriani, i. 213-215; inhabitants of, appeal to the Emperor, i. 215-218.
Triumph, of Theodosius I (386), i. 323 ; of Honorius over the Goths (404), i. 727 ; of Honorius over Attalus and the barbarians in Spain (417), i. 841.

Troy, maid to have bean sacked by the Gothe (259), i. 6 .
Troyes (Tricasees), spared by Attila in his retreat from Orleans, ii. 122 ; the great battle wan fought at fifth milestone from, ii. 144 ; strategical importance of, ii. 144
Truli, nickname of Visigothe, origin of, i. 836.

Tuieco, mythical ancestor of the Teutonic or Deutsch people, ii. 212.
Tullius Menophilus, governor of Lower Moemia under Gordian III, embany of the Carpi to, i. 47, 48.
Toranian, use of the name, ii. 3 m 2 ; races, charactarised, ii. 4 ; diagram of northern branah, ii. 4 n.

Tarcilingi, one of the four tribee that supplied the foederati of Odovacar, ii. 510 .

Torks, relation of, to the Huns, it. $4 \mathbf{n}$; Fenice, Europe's barrier against, ii. 168 ; analogy between dealine of Turkish Empire and Romen, ii. 396.
Turnus, a neody correspondent of Si donias, ii. 348.
Tarpilio, an incompetent magister equitum appointed by Honorias (408), i. 761 ; banished on fall of Olympius and assascinated by order of Jovias (409), i. 780.

Turpio, an acquaintance of Sidonius, father of Turnos, ii. 348.
Typhos, unidentified sharacter in 'Egyptians' of Syneain, 1. 679.

## 0.

Ugernum (Beawcaire), on the Rhone, Avitus proalaimed Emperor at, ii384.

Oldin, ohief of the Huns, co-operates with Stilicho againat Radagaisas, i. 733.

Uldis, king of the Huns (409), ii. 38 : kills Gainas, i. 695 (possibly the same an the preceding).
Ulifias (Vulfila) (311-381), litorature conoerning, i. 31, $3^{88} n$; life of, i. $80-83$; his alphabet, i. 84, 102 ; his translation of the Bible and its philological value, i. 85 ; importacice of his work, i. 87; his Arianism, i. 8892 ; interpreter between Romans and Gotbs ( $)$, i. $167 \approx 2$; at work among the Goths of Athanaric, i. 176 ; quoted by Alaric ( $\}$ ), i. 771 ; Vandalis used his translation (i) as an oracle, ii. 219; epigram quoted by editors of, ii. 363.

Ullmann, 'Gregor yon Nacians,' i. 334 .
Ulmerugi = Ragii, i. 33 and $n$.
Urbicus (river of Leon in Spain), defeat of Rechiarius at (456), ii. 389.
Urbis (Borbo), a river of Piedmont (1), reached by Alaric, i. 719
Uraicinus, governor of Nisibis, i. 113.
Uraus, St. (S. Orso), Bishop of Ravenna (370-3961) and builder of the cathedral there, i. 866, 905.
Usener, 'Aneodoton Holderi,' on History of Casaiodorus, i. 26 \#, 28.
Utrecht (Trajectus), death of Servatiue at, ii. II5.
Uzindur, son of Attila, ii. 191.

## V.

Vadomar, king of the Alamanni, taken prisoner by Jalian, Duke of Phoonicia under Valenn, i. 150.

Vaison (Vasio), a border fortrens of the Burgundians, ii. 360 .
Valens, Emperor (364-378), amocisted by his brother Valentinian I in the Empire, i. 135; appearnuce and chanacter of, i. 136, cf. 151, 158 m 2 ; an Arian-persecutor, i. 137,205 ; mid to have made the Gothe Ariane, i 88; loynlty to his brother, i. 137; his share of the Empirs, i 138 ; rebellion of Procopius againat (365, 366), i 147-158; treatment of adiberenta of Procopius, i. 159-161; negotiations with Athanaric about detention of Gothic auxiliaries of Prooopion, i 161; war with Visigoths ( $367-369$ ), i. 162-166; conaludes pence with Athanaric, i. 166-168; Fritigern takes refage with, victory over Athenaric (7), i. 183; conoentraten his attention on affairs of the Eent, $i$ 236 ; pernecution of magic, i- 23i241 ; omens of his death, i. 241; debates an to recaption of Visigothic refugees, 1.251 ; grants it without proper precartions (376), i. 252-2j6; action on hearing of the Gothic rebellion (377), i. 261; removee from Antioch to Constantinople (378), i. 267; rees a portent, i. 268; marches to Hadrianople, i 269 ; determines to fight withont waiting for Gratian, i. 269 ; defented and alain in batcle of Hadrianople (Ang. 9, 378), i. 271275 ; anti-Nicene deareee of, i. 345 ; praised by Themistius, i. 390; his purple robe asid to have been recovered at Pollantia, i. 7aI; Lawa againgt monks, ij. 589 .
Valens, Roman general, frils to reliove Rome (409), i. 778; holds high military office andar Attalua, 1. $7^{86}$ $n 1$.
Valentia (Valemco), Constantine the usurper bedieged in (467), i. 742.
Valentinian I, Emparor ( $364-375$ ), elected and proolaimed at Nicatem, i. 131, 133; parentage, $i$. 131 ; previous career, i. 132 ; personal appearance, i. 133; associaten his brother Valens in the Empire, i. 133 ; held the Nicene faith, i. 137, 205; his share of the Empire, i. 138 ; receives news of rebellion of Procopius (365): decides to remain and defend Ganl, i. $14^{8}$; character, i. 186, 207-210; associaten hil son Gratian in the Empire (367), i. 186 ; dofence of Gaul, i. 190-192 ; invades Germany, battle of Solicinium (368), i. 192-194; frontier polioy, i. 194; erects a fortrese on the Neckar (369), i. 195197; Consul for third time (370), i.

196 ; policy towards the barbarians, i. 194, 197-200; policy of religious toleration, $i$. 200-203; exception in case of the Manicheans and Mathematicians, i. 203, 204 ; internal administration, i. 207; trestment of the Tripolitans, i. 217 ; makes peace with the Alamanni (374), i. 222224 ; prepares for war with the Quadi : marches to the Danube (375), i. 225; trial and diggrace of Probua, i. 225, 226; omens of his death, i. 227 ; receive the ambassadors of the Quadi: his death, i. 228; marriage with Justins (367-369), i. 229; bis children, i. 229; edict (De Fide Catholicé' (365), i. 334 ; decree ordering execution of Decurions, i. 479.
Valentinian II, Emperor (375-392), son of preceding and Justina, proclaimed by the army, i. 232 ; his mhare of the Empire, i. 233 ; position after recognition of Maximus, i. 415; refusen to replace Altar of Viotory, i. 423 ; edict of toleration issued in his name ( 386 ), i. 434; on appromeh of Maximos escapes to Thesselonica (387), i. 461; reatored by Theodosius I (388), i. 469 ; character, i. 548-550; friendship with St. Ambrose, i. 549; attermpts to dismiss Arbogrest, i. 552 ; his death, i. 554, 590-593; funeral at Milan, oration of St. Ambrose, i. 556-558.
Valentinian III, Emperor (425-455), son of Constantiue and Placidia, birth (419), i. 840; declared Caosar at Themalonica (424), i. 846; declared Aagustus at Rome (425), i. 848; marriage with Endoxia (437), i. 880; Placidia accused of having trained him ill, i. 885 ; confers the Palliam on Joannes Angeloptes, i. 907 ; treaty with Gsiseric (435), ii. 248; second treaty with Gaiseric (442), ii. 254; sends embasey to Theodoric I (451), ii. 113; mardert Aetius (454), ii. 196; assassinated by Optila and Transtila, ii. 197.
Valentinians (Gnoetics), at Callinicum, i. 511.

Valerian, Emperor (253-260), i. 57, 59; war with Persia ( 260 ), i. 61; captivity and death, i. 61, 62.
Valeaius, Henricas (Henri de Valois), see Anonymus Valesii.
Vallio, Connt, officer of Gratian, i. 403 ; put to death by Marimus, i. 410, 457.

Vandalism, origin of the torm, justification for it, ii. $\mathbf{2 6 4 , 2 8 0 ;}$ destruction by Vandala of Temple of Memory and Street of Heaven at Carthage, ii.

27t; amount of damage doue to buildings of Rome, ii. 284
Fandals, early history of (Tacitus, Pliny, Procopios, Jordanes). ii. 211-214; southern migration and wars with the Marenmanni, i. 214-216; in army of Ostrogotha, i. 50 ; victory of Aurelian over (271), ii. 216; in Roman service, ii. a17; defeated and nearly annihilated by the Gotha (331-337), ii. 218; allowed to occupy Pannonis, i. 76, ii. 218; become Christians (Arisn), i. 88, ii. 219; Stilicho of Vandal desceut, i. 645; enter Ganl with Sueves and Alans (406), i. 739, ii. 219; struggles with Franks, ii. 220 ; compromise made with, by usurper Constantine, i. 741; truce between, and the Empire, ii. 221; enter Spain (409), i. 824, ii. 221; enruggles in that country with other barbarians, i. ${ }^{3}$ 3, ii. 221-224; send embassy to Honorias (416), i. 837 ; give name to Andalueis (?), ii. 223 m ; expedition of Castinusagainst (422), i. 872 , ii. 224; invited into Africa by Count Bonifacius (428), i. 877, it. 225, 244; numbers who entered Africa, fi. 231, 244 ; date of their passage into Africa, ii. 290-292; conquest of Roman Africa (428-435), ii. 244-248; capture of Carthage (439),ii. 250, 292; moral parification of Carthage, i. 932; conquest of Sicily, ii. 25.3, 254 ; become a maritime power, ii. 251; land-settlement in Africa, ii. 256-262; administration of Africa, ii. 263 ; Arians and bitter ones, ii. 265 ; persecution of African Catholics, ii. 269-282; enter and pillage Rome (455), ii. 283; chronology of their Kings, ii. 293-296; d defeated by Ricimer off Corrica (456), ii. 389; defeated by Majorian in ${ }^{\prime}$ Campanis (457), ii. 405 ; failure of Majorian's inteaded expedition against ( 460 ), ii. 426 ; alliance with the Visigothe (468), ii. 485; combined expedition of Eastern and Weatern Empires against (468), ii. 446; treaties with Eastern and Weatern Empires (475), ii. 498, 499; dominion over islands of Mediterranean, ii. 503; glance at their fortunes after demth of Gaiseric, ii. 524 ; chastity, avarice, cruelty, ii. 104, 213 ; used the Bible as an oracle, ii. 219; preeminent among hartrifine for their hatred of Rome, ii. 397.
Varanes, incompetent magister peditum appointed by Honorias (408), i. $7^{61}$.
Vargi, Tentonic depredatorn in Gaul, ii. 369 .

Varro, Llbri Logintarici of, ii. 3 $\mathbf{3 8 \text { ; }}$ paired off againat Augustine by Si donins, ii. $3^{26}$.
Varronianus, son of Jovian, his eyes pnt out by order of Valentinian $I$, 1. 139.

Varus, defeat of (9), i. $\mathbf{5 6}$.
Vecting, a Ganlinh 'Illustrin' described by Sidoniua, ii. 340; letter to, ii. 342.
Vegetius, writer on military affict (fourth century), on Thracian minera in Roman armies, i. $113 n$; on diminution in numbers of the legion, $i$. 630 ; on effeminacy of the army in his day, ii. 608.
Venedme, near the Goths in Pruscis, i. 40.

Veneti (Wends', war of Hermanric with, i. $77^{n 1}$.
Venetian shore, physical geography of, ii. 162.

Venice, Attila her unconscious founder, ii. 16t, 168; peopled by refugeen from Padaa, ii. 166 ; early history of, ii. 166, 167 ; barrier against the Turks, ii. 168; not founded in 421, ii. 182-187.

Verenianus, kinaman of Honorias, opposes Constans in Spain, i. 743 ; taken and put to death by Constantine, $\mathbf{i} .744$.
Verespatak, in Transylvania, Roman wax tablete discovered at, i. 45 n I.
Verina, wife of Leo I, sister of Baniliscun, ii. 445, 449 ; her kinsman Nepos made Emperor of the West, ii. 479; sids the usurpation of Besilisous, $i i$. 524; her influence exerted for Nepos against Odovacar ii. 527.
Verona, Alaric del ad at, i. $\mathbf{i} 23$ \% the old man of, $\mathbf{i}$. 7259 transferred from jurisdiction of Bishop of Milan to Biahop of Aquileia (about 398), ii. 149 ; ; its river the Adige, ii. 163.
Verres, 24 per cent. interest exscted by, ii. 603 .

Vernes of a Chinese princess married to a barbarian chief, ii. 12.
Veapeaian, Emperor (69-79), i. 5 ; his frugality, i. 6; his joke about his divinity, i. 599.
Vestal Virgins, their revenues confiscated by Gratian, i. 398 ; discovery of the Atrium Veatae, i. 420 ; Symmechus pleade for the rebtoration of their revenues, i. 421 ; date of their sappresion, i. $582 n$; anger of one of the surviving, at Searena's encrilege, i. 749.

Viator, Conut, mardered Nepos (480), ii. 501.

Vicarius, civil adminiatrator of a diocese, 1. 620, 62 I .

Vicarius Italise, the seven providces sobject to, I. 623 n. (See Map.)
Vicarius Ortis, the ten provinces subject to, i. 622 and $n 2$. (See Map.)
Vicentia, St., wife of 8t. Severan, biahop of Ravenna, i. 865, 866 and n .
Vicesima Hereditatum, ruccemion duty, ii. 599.

Vicesima Libertatis, duty on enfranchisement, ii. 599.
Vietor, St., Bishop of Ravenna (537544), i. 912.

Viotor, officer in Reatern army, i. :119, 139; ambesesdor from Velens to Athanaric (366), i. 162; commander in Gothic war (367), i. 163 ; ment to arrange torms of perce, i. 167 .
Victor, a Sarmatian, magistor equitwe, advises caution before battle of Hedrianople, i. 269.
Viotor, Count, at battle of Hadrianople, i. 274

Viotor, Count, envoy from Maximas to court of Milan, i .412.
Victor, son and colleague of Marimos, i. 465 ; put to doath, i. 468 .

Viotor, Sextue Aurelina, epitomiat (middle of fourth century), described, i. 30, 377; on character of Gratien, i. 401 n .

Victor Cartennensis, Bishop (fith century), question as to muthenticity of quotations from, ii. 210
Victor Tannunenais, Bishop and chronicler (sirth centary), deacribed, ii. 189: on character of Avitus, ii. 393.
Victor Vitensis (not Uticenvit), Biahop (fifth cantury), author of ' History of the Pervecation of the African Province,' deacribed, it. 210: sccount of Vandal land-settlement in Africa, ii 257 ; enconnt of Vandal persecution, ii. 269, 280; his obvious exaggeretions, ii. 271 ; mcoount of Vandal conquest of islands of the Mediterrancen, ii. 503 .

Victory, Altar of, in Sensto-house at Rome, history of, i. 397, 407; removed by Gratian, i. 397 ; agltation for its restoration aftor his death, i. 416; Valentinian II refases to ruplace it, i. 423 ; later attempte, i. 516, 560 ; tompararily restored onder Eagenius, i. 560.
Vigilantius, connes domesticormm, an incompetent. officer appointed by Honorius (408), i. 761 ; losee office and life on fall of Olympive (409), i. 780 .

Vigiles, interpreter of Theodomias II, ii. 57 ; plots asmenination of Attila, ii. 5 ; ; dirpute with Edecon at to the dignity of their rempective manters,
ii. 62; menaced by Attila, ii. 68; ordered beck to Constantinople, ii. 69 ; on hin return, arreated and compelled to confees the plot, ii. 9a; liberntod on payment of $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$, $\mathbf{i i}$. 93.

Villi of Sidonias at Avitacum, ii. 308 ; of Ferreolas and of Apollinaris, ii. 324.

Villegiatura, a Roman family in Gaul setting out for, ii. 33 r.
Vimineciom, city of Moenia, taken by the Huns, ii. 78.
Vincentiun, magister equitum in praeconli, alain in matiny at Ticinum, i . 753 m.
Vindobana (Vienna), military importance of, aftor destruction of Carmuntam, i. 224
Virgil, often quoted by St. Jerome, i. $801 n 1$; by Agnellua, i. 902 ; by Sidonius, ii. 348 ; $\Delta$ tilila and Leo may have met near the site of his farm, ii . 159.

Virtus, dtatue of, melted down by the Senate (403), i. 775.
Visigoths (eee aleo Gothe), first mention of, i. 43, 74, 100 ; royal house of Balthi, i. 43, 651 ; civilination of, through reaidence in Dacia, i. 74; dominated by the Ontrogoths and Hermadric, i. 76 ; diaintegrating process at work in, i. 78 ; conversion to Christianity by Ulfilas, i. 80-82; appear in literature later than the OFtrogoths, i. 100; war with Valens ( $367-369$ ), i. $160-166$; defeated by the Huns (376), i. 248; flock to Danabien frontier, i. 250; admitted within the Empire by Valens, i. 252 ; rebellion against Vैalens (377), i. 258; war with the Empire, i. 259306 ; become foalerati, i. 307, 310 ; proclaim Alaric king, i. 653 ; sack of Rome by ( 410 ), i. 794 ; estimate of their numbers, i. 811 ; march into Ganl (412), i. 829; enter Spain, i. 833 ; conclade treaty of pence with Rome, i. 836; glanoe at their history after death of $A$ taulfus, 1.838 ; settlement in Aquitania Secunda, ii. 108 ; hintory from 419-439, ii. 109 ; divided the land by lot, ii. 259 ; confederated with Romansand Borgundiansagainst the Soeves, ii. 381; Visigothio Council deacribed by Sidonius, ii. 382.
Visumar, king of the Vandals, defeated by Geberioh, king of the Goths, ii. 218.
Vitelllus, afterwerds Emperor (69), grom fintfery of Caligula, i. 599.
Vitbioab, son of Vadomar, ling of Alamanni, asamednated at instigation of Valentinian I (368), i. 198.

Vivianus, Aspar's cundidate for the Prefecture of Conatantinople (), Consul in $4^{63}$, ii. $444 n$.
Valtures, twelve, seen by Romulus, supposed to prediot twelve centuries of empire, ii. 387.

## W.

Waits, ' Ueber dan Leben und die Lehre des Ulifia,' quoted, i. $388 n, 397 n$; theory as to identity of the Anonymua Valesii, ii. 475.
Walamir, king of the Ostrogoths, serves under Attila in Ganl (451), ii. 107; his character an described by Jordanes, ii. 128; threatens Moesic, ii. 45.

Walia, king of the Virigoths (415-419), i. 835; restores Placidis and concludes a treaty with Honorius (416), i. 836 ; fights as lieutenant of Honorias in Spain against the Vandala, $i$. 841, ii. 223 ; obtaine possession of Aquitania Seounda, ii. 109; grandfather of Ricimer, ii. 399.
Wall, the Great, of Chins, built as barrier againat the Hiong-nu, ii. g.
Wallenstein, parallel between his death and that of Stilicho, i. 757.
Wart, olasified by Chinese sage, ii. 24.
Weregild, Teutonic fine for personal injury, perhape cazemplified during capture of Rome, i. 798 n I.
Wernulf, acomeding to Jordanes the name of the aseavin of Ataulfus, i. $834 n$.

Widemir, king of the Ostrogoths, serves under Attila in Gaul (451), ii. 107; ir ades Italy at jodies (473), ii. $4^{81}$.
Widemir, the yc ger, son of preceding, diverted into Gaul by persumaion of Glycerias, ii. $\mathbf{4}^{8 \mathrm{I}}$.
Widerich, son of Withimir, king of the Ostrogotha, i. 248; carried acroes the Danabe, i. 256.
Wietersheim, E. von, 'Geechichte der Völkerwanderung' described, i. 638 ; on atrength of the Imperial army, $i$. $629 n$; on population of Italy, i. 813 ; on population of Rome, i. 815 .
Wild Ase (Onager), engine of war, used at Hadrianople, i. 283.
Windows in Church at Lyons, ii. 329 and $n 2$.
Wipbach, see Frigidus.
Wisoman, Cardinal, on Ravenna, i. 857 ; account of Papencordt, ii. 211.
Withimir (or Winithar), king of the Ostrogoths, slain by the Huns, i. 248 (probably same name as Widemir).
Wood, J. T., 'Discoverien at Ephesus,' i. 63 .

W00-soo, Chinewe ambaceador, taken prisoner by the Hiong-nu, ii. 21.
Woo-te, emperor of Ching (в. 0. 140-86), the Louis XIV of China, ii. 18 ; transactione with the Hiong-nu, ii. 18, 21, 22.
Wylie, Mr. A., 'Tranalation of the Han Annals,' ii. 2, $20 \mathrm{n}, 35$.

## Y.

Yue-chí, a people between the Orus and Jaxartes, and in Khorasan, stirred up by the Chinese againgt the Hiongnu, ii. 19 .

## $Z$.

Zacharine, discovery of relics of, i, 870 . Zamolris, Scythian philosopher, mentioned by Jordanes, i. 95 .
Zeno, general of Thendoerius II, gives the daughter of Saturninusin marriage to Rufue, ii. 90 ; demands that Chrysaphius shall be put to death, ii. 94
Zeno, Emperor of the Eant (474-475, and 477-491), ii. $44^{2}$; his real name Tarasicodissa, ii. 445 ; rivalry between him and Basiliscus, ii. 479; on death of Ioo I associsted in the Empire with Loo II his own son, ii. 479; interceden with Gaiseric for the reatoration of the Catholic Bishop (475), ii. 274 ; makes treaty with Gaiseric (475), ii. 498, 499 ; dethroned by Basiliscus (475), ii. 524 ; restored (477), ii. 525 ; receives embasies from Roman Senate and from Nepos, ii. 525 ; his ambiguous replies, it. 526; recognises Odovacar as Patrician, ii. 526; stirred up the Ruyians against Odovacar (486), ii. 518 n.

Zercon, the Moor, Bleda's dwarf, his buffoonery, ii. 86.
Zeugitana, or Proconsularis, province of Roman Africa, deecribed, ii. 235 ; allotted by Gaisaric to his soldiers, ii. 257.

Zeuss, 'Die Deatechen and die Nech-
bartikme,' i. 30; on the Spali, i. 4In 2: on names Greathangi and Thervingi, i. 101.
Zonaras, incident of the Gothe and the libraries at Athens narrated by, i. 64.

Zosimus, historian (latter half of fith century), charactarised, i. 29, 185 , 234-236, 277, 766, ii. 302 ; abrupt end of his hirtory, i. 792 ; on defeat of Decius, 3. 55 ; on 'Soythian' inroads of third century, i. 58-60; calle the Goths Scythians, i. 97; on toleralion of Paganiam by Valentinian I, i. $202 \mathrm{n} \mathrm{3;} \mathrm{on} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{fall} \mathrm{of} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{Weatern}$ Empire, i. 234; account of the portenta seen by Valons, i. 768 ; criticises military reforms of Theodosius $1, i$ 297; account of Modar, i. 301 ; on policy of Theodosius I towards the barbarians, i. 324 ; aneodoter illustrating it, i. 324-332; hostility to Theodosius I, i. 296. 324; mintile about emberay of Libanins, i. 471; omite masacre of Thessalonice, i 510 ; account of guerilla warfare in Macedonia 1. 538 ; on suppresesion of hesthen sncrifices at nome by Theodosius I. i. 580 ; mocount of death of Valontinian II, i. 591; on the Emperor, i 598 ; on separation of oivil and military officen, 1. 613-615; on military ohangee of Conetantine, i. 632 ; on 8tilicho and Rufinua, i. 647 ; acoount of defence of Athen againat Alaric by hemthen deities, i. 654 ; on Stilicho in Greece, i. 661 ; epitaph on Stilicho, i. 756; story of Generidus, i. 764 ; on melting dnwn of images of the gode, $i$. 775; tedious minatencese at to evente of 409, i. 776; opinion of the monks, ii. 555 ; acconnt of number of slaves in Rome who fled to Alaric, ii. 558 ; opinjon an to deoline of efficiency in the Roman army, ii. 608.

Zosimus, Pope, called nwmmus pontifax (417), i. 400 n.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Vol. i. p. 244.
    ${ }^{2}$ It is true that this term, Turanian, seems to be going somewhat out of fashion in ethnological circles, and that it is confessedly a merely conventional designation. But either it or some other similar name will apparently be always required to denote those races in Europe and Agia which are neither Aryan nor Semitic, and which speak what are called 'agglutinative languages.'

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ This statement will be admitted to be generally true of all the Turanian tribes. There are however two honourable exceptions, the Finn and the Magyar. The Tartar sovereigns of India and China conformed to the civilised tastes of their subjects, but cannot claim the merit of having originated them. The following is a sketch of the chief historic races bearing the Turanian characteristics:-

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Prichard, Researches into the Physical History of Mankind, iv. 288 (quoting Ritter).

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Degrignes calls him Chi-hoam-ti ; bat I have endesvoured, though at the risk of some inconsistency, to keep the English spelling of these Chinese names. The names of the Hiong-nu princes I have not dared to alter from Deguignes.
    ${ }^{2}$ The very name of Che-Hwang-te (The Beginning Emperor) was

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Tan-jou = mighty; ko-to = son; Tcem-li= Heaven. Wylie calls the shortor name Shen-yu, and the longer Chang-le-kwa-too Shen-yu.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Wylie calls him Maon-tan Shen-ya.
    ${ }^{2}$ Or the Tang-hoo (Wylie).

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Or Chang-keen (Wylie).

[^7]:    ${ }^{2}$ The historians, consulted by Mr. Wylie, put a somewhat different colour on this campaign of Li-kwang-li. According to them, $\mathrm{Li}-\mathrm{kwang}-\mathrm{li}$, in the midst of a victorious expedition against the Hiong-nu, received a message, brought him by his servant Hoo-A-foo, to the effect that his wife and family had all been sentenced to death on account of Li-ling's defection. (This defection had happened nine years before, but was perhaps only now bearing fruit manifestly in Li-ling's command of the Hiong-nu troops.) Hereupon $\mathrm{Li}-\mathrm{kwang}-\mathrm{li}$, determined to do a desperate deed and either conquer Fate or die, planned his march across the grest desert. The Chief Historian of the Chinese army warned his comrades that the General was fighting wildly and staking his all on a single throw. He therefore advised them to seize Li-kwang-li, who however was beforehand with his critic, and cut off the Chief Historian's hear. According to these writers the trench was cut by the Hiong-nu in front of the Chinese troops and the attack was made in their rear.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ One of the chief calumniators of Li-kwang-li, and he who eventually ancceeded in getting him offered up as a sacrifice, was Wei-leuh, who had himself many years before deserted from the Chinese service, and who was bitterly jealous of the favour shown to the new-comer (Wylie).

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ On the death of Hou-han-sie, B.c. 31, a generous rivalry took place between his children, which should not succeed him. Besides other wives he had married two sisters, daughters of his Prime Minister. The elder sister, chief in rank, had the younger children, and this led to a discussion whether the dignity of the mother or the age of the children ought to be most regarded. Eventually all the four sons in question succeeded, first the two elder by the inferior wife, and then the two yoanger by the chief consort. Their regal names were as follows, and as they are a fair type of their class, the reader will perceive the reason for so often speaking of the Tanjou by his title and not quoting his name.

    1. Feou-tchou-loui-jo-ti (Jo-ti=the Greek Philopator).
    2. Seor-hiai-jo-ti.
    3. Tche-ya-jo-ti.
    4. Ou-tchou-lieou-jo-ti.

    In course of time two more sons of Hou-han-sie succeeded to the throne,
    5. Ou-loui-jo-ti and
    6. Hou-tou-ulh-chi-tao-jo-ti.

    It is perhaps an unworthy Aryan prejudice which finds a certain amount of uncouthness in these Turanian names.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ The equalid prototype of the gorgeous Barem of the Ottomans.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ This enumeration of grides applies to all the remaining obapters of the Second Book.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ See I. 654.
    ${ }^{2}$ Claudian, In Rufinum, ii. 32-35.
    ${ }^{8}$ Perhaps the same person as Uldin, Stilicho's Hunnish auxiliary in the campaign against Radagaisus (405).

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ This view is urged by Dr. Latham in his article 'Hunni' in Smith's Dictionary of Greek and Roman Geography.
    ${ }^{2}$ It is perhaps deserving of consideration whether, if this northward impetus of Attila's sabjects and allies really carried them to the Baltic and far into Denmark, it may not have something to do with

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ It should be said that the historian Eunapius (Excerpt 70) gives a terrible picture of the evils which afflicted the state "under the

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sosomen, who was a contemporary historian, writes thus concerning Puleheria and her sisters Arcadia and Marina: 'They all parsme the same mode of life, are sedulous in their attendance in the house of prayer, and evince great charity towards strangers and the poor. These sisters generally take their meals and walks together, and pase their days and their nights together in singing the praises of God. Like other exemplary women, they employ themselves in wearing and in similar occupations, avoiding idleness as unworthy of the life of virginity to which they have devoted themselves' (book ix, chap. 4).

[^16]:    ${ }^{2}$ This may have been an èarlier invasion. Priscus uses very vague language concerning it, and attributes it to 'Basik and Cursik, men belonging to the royal family of Scythia [the Huns] who commanded a great multitude of followers, and afterwards entered into alliance with Rome' (p. 200, ed. Bonn).

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ This Silvanus held some official position, but what, it is difficalt to say. He was 'President of the Board of Silver at Rome.' This may mean either that he was a Praepositus Argentariorum, or Primicorius Scrinit ab Argento, probably the latter. (See Notitia Dignitatum, Occidens, cup. x.)

[^18]:    ' Marcellinus and Jordanes, the chief authorities for the story of the fratricide, were separated by an interval of nearly a century from the event. On the other hand Priscus, the contemporary and guest of the king, speaks of Bleda's death (rìy roû Bגj̀дa reגcuríy) casually and calmly, and does not hint at any tragedy connected with it. But it is true that only fragments of his history remain.
    ${ }^{2}$ It need hardly be observed that, in the language of the historians of the time, the inhabitants of Thrace, of Syria, and of Egypt are still as uniformly spoken of under the name of Romans as those who were born and died by the banks of the Tiber.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ Priscus, p. 142 (Bonn edition, 1829).

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ As before remarked, the term Scythian, as used by the Greek historians, is of no ethnological value whatever. In classical times it meant probably sometimes Sclavonic tribes, sometimes a race with Thracian affinities. Zosimus uses it regularly of the Goths, and now, in Priscus, it is the accepted equivalent for the Huns. Probably it was not intended to mean more than 'the barbarians (of whatever race) living north of the Danube and the Euxine.'
    ${ }^{2}$.This seems the most probable. equivalent of 'Patavio, a city of

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare Horace, 'Non ebur neque aureum Mea renidet in domo lacunar,' and his favourite phrase 'laqueata heota.'

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ Many of the details which Priscus gives as to the movements of the ambassadors are so unnecessarily minute as to suggest the conclusion that they were jotted down from day to day and almost from hour to hour while the embassy was still proceeding.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ Were theet diamonde, or pearls?

[^24]:    

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ dípos. Perbaps eomething like the sella ourulis of the Romans.

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ Some authors understand that the new bride's name was Escam, and that she was herself Attila's danghter. But the Greek does not absolutely require this interpretation, and if it had been correct, such an incestuous union would probably have called forth atronger comment on the part of Priscus. His words are-iv ij yapeiv Avyaripa
    
    

[^27]:    ${ }^{1}$ It will be remembered that both these two kinds of goods, red skins and pepper, figured forty years before this in the ransom which Alaric exacted from Rome.
    ${ }^{2}$ Possibly a mistake for Promutus.

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ Priscus calls him Onegesius, and Thierry remarks, 'Onégese dont le nom grec indiquait l'origine,' rol. i. p. 98. But everything seems to show that Onegesius was a pure Hun. His brother's name was Scotta. The dialogue at Sardica, in which Vigilas compared the positions of Edecon the Hun and Orestes the Roman provincial, slows how impossible it would have been for any but a full-blooded barbarian to attain to the rank which Onegesius held. And the name of Oebarsius, Attila's paternal ancle, recorded by Priscus (p. 208, ed. Bonn), shows his habit of Grecising the names of undoubted Huns. We may therefore conclude that Onegesius is the similarly Grecised form of some such name as Onégesh, by which it seems better to call him in order to mark his barbarian origin.

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ The meaning of this clause is not yery clear.

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ This seems to be the purport of the sentence: at di кúndou ik rov
     have played in an architecture dealing only with planks and logs it is not easy to see,

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare the worship of a naked sabre fixed hilt-downwards in the earth, as practised by the Alans (see p. 30).

[^32]:    1 дípos.

[^33]:    ${ }^{1}$ This anecdote is preserved by Suidas. The commentator Valesius thinks he took it from a portion of the history of Priscus now lost to us: but there are some slight divergences in the story which seem to point to a different conclusion.

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ Now Arzar Palanka on the Danube.
    ${ }^{2}$ Childe Harold, iv. 84.

[^35]:    ${ }^{1}$ Here called Recan : apparently the same name as the Kreka of whom we have already heard (p. 81).

[^36]:    ${ }^{1}$ 〔ar入оúruv.
    ${ }^{2}$ This intrigue is well illustrated by a curious Title in the Theodosian Code (Lib. iii. Tit. 6): 'Si Provinciae Rector, vel ad eum pertinentes sponsalia dederint.' It is directed against the abuse of their power by provincial governors, who terrified the parente or guardians of wealthy heiresses into betrothing them to the governor's sons or dependents.

[^37]:    ${ }^{2}$ Compare the words of Aspar to the Emperor Leo I, some twenty years after this time: 'Emperor, he who is clothed with this purple robe should not be a deceiver.'

[^38]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mr. Herbert (Attila, p. 417) inadvertently raises this ransom to the enormous figure of $£ 20,000$, by calling it 500 lbs . of gold. The words of Priscus are clear, $\pi$ orijiкouta $\lambda i t p a s ~ \chi \rho v \sigma o \hat{v}$.

[^39]:    ${ }^{1}$ See p. 8 I.
    

[^40]:    ${ }^{2}$ The story rests only on the authority of the Alexandrian Chronicle and John Malalas. The former was composed during the reign of Heraclius, about 630 ; the date of the latter historian is uncertain, not earlier, however, than 600, and not later than 900.

[^41]:    ${ }^{1}$ Meroveus is the so-called grandson of Pharamond and grandfather of Clovis; but no names of the Frankish kings before Childeric, father of Clovis, are now accepted as thoroughly historical.

[^42]:    ${ }^{1}$ The authorities quoted by Ducange (Glossarium, s.v. Bagaudae) imply that the name was of Celtic origin and meant 'robbers' or 'native oppressors.' He suggests a derivation from Bagat, which, he says, is the Welsh for a mob of men, and the Breton for a flock or herd. The monastery of Fossat, four miles from Paris, was called in

[^43]:    ${ }^{1}$ The lines of Apollinaris Sidonius which enumerate the nations at Attila's disposal are these-
    'Subito cum rupta tumultu
    Barbaries totas in te transfuderat arctos, Gallia; pugnacem Rugum comitante Gelono Gepids trux sequitur; Seyrum Burgundio cogit, Chunus, Bellonotus, Neurus, Basterna, Toringus, Bracterus, ulvosâ quem vel Nicer abluit undâ

    - Prornmpit Francus.'-(Panegyric of Avitus, 319-325.)

    It is singular that he makes no mention of the Ostrogoths. 'Bellonotus' seems to be the name of some tribe not yet identified. The Geloni are probably only inserted because their name fits in nicely into a hexameter and has a classical ring about it, as having been used by Horace.

[^44]:    ${ }^{1}$ So Sidonius-

[^45]:    ${ }^{1}$ From the seven chief cities comprised therein, which weretaking them from south to north-Toulouse (the Visigothic capital), Agen, Bordeaux, Perigueax, Angoulême, Saintes, and Poitiers.

[^46]:    ${ }^{1}$ A later division was effected, which gave the Burgundian twothirds of the arable land; but the primary apportionment seems to have been in equal shares (see Binding's Burgundisch-Romanische Königreich, i. p. 28).

[^47]:    ' 'Homo subtilis antequam bella gereret, arte pagnabat' (Jordanes, cap. 36).

[^48]:    1 'Hostem se exhibet naturae cunctorum.'

[^49]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sidonius, Panegyric of Avitus, 329-35 r.

[^50]:    ${ }^{2}$ Gregory of Tours, ii. 5 .

[^51]:    ${ }^{1}$ ii. $5,6$.
    ${ }^{2}$ By Thierry and Herbert. 3 mo入iXV7, Zosimus, Julian.

[^52]:    1'Et est a scavoir que nulle ville / forteresse: ou cite tant forte qille fust ne resistoit a ce peuple / tant estoit cruel et maliuolent.
    ' Dessouz cellay tirant Attille farert destruictes presque tontes les citez de Gaulle et de Germanie,' ii. 18.
    2'Et tant de villes citez et forteresses / que qui les vouldroit toutes mectre en escript / il pourroit trop ennuyer les lisants.' Ib. 19.
    s'En celluy an / comme dit Sigibert / furet martirisez les xi mil vierges en la cité de Coulongne.'

[^53]:    ${ }^{1}$ Apollinaris Sidonins, Panegyric of Avitus, 328-356. As the whole object of this poem is to pour laudation on the head of Avitus, it is not unreasonable to suppose that the baekwardness of the Visi-

[^54]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is the account of the siege of Orleans given by Gregory of Tours about a century and a half after the event. (ii. 7.) The atory given in the life of St. Anianus in the Acta Sanotorum differs in some particulars from this. Nothing is said of the three visits to the walls or the far-off cloud of dust; but the prayers of the saint bring a four-days' storm of rain, which greatly hinders the works of the besiegers. They have, however, made a practicable breach and are actually within the city, when the relieving army appears. Gregory's word 'ejiciunt' (cast them out of the city) gives some probability to this part of the narrative.

[^55]:    ${ }^{1}$ The distances and the stations on the Roman road between Metz and Orleans are quoted by Thierry (Hist. d'Attila, i. 162). He makes five halting-places between Orleans and Troyes (Aureliani and Tricasses).
    ${ }^{2}$ It is only by conjecture that the following incident is assigned to the tine of Attila's retreat. The words of the Acta Sanctorum would be consistent with the interpretation that the Huns were still moving on into Gaul. But the expression 'Rheni etiam fluenta visurum,' looks as if Attila's face was now set Rhinewards. The first Life given by the Bollandists is evidently of far greater value than the second: in fact, this latter is worthless. It is curions to observe that it contains the cant phrase 'flagellum Dei,' which is absent from the other record.

[^56]:    1 'At ille feralis Attila et immitis' (Bollandist Acta Sanctorum, July 29).

[^57]:    ${ }^{1}$ In contemporary language 'the battle of the Mauriac Plain.'
    ${ }^{2}$ See Jordanes, cap. 4I, quoted below.
    ? I venture here to dissent from a conclusion arrived at in the Fasti Romani (i. 642). Clinton, on the authority of Isidore of Seville, fixes the date of the battle after Sept. 27th. This seems contrary to the whole tenour of the history and to the order of events described in Idatios, from whom Isidore has copied.

[^58]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mommsen (p. xxxvi of the Introduction to his Jordanes), while highly praising this part of the history, thinks it is probably taken over straight from Priscus. But how then account for the Gothic colour of the narrative? Cassiodorus seems to me a more likely source.

[^59]:    ${ }^{1}$ If the text is not corrapt here, Jordanes must have received some very distorted account of the events of the siege of Orleans.
    ${ }^{2}$ This note of time suits July better than October. Even for July, the interval between three o'clock and sunset seems full short for such a battle 'multiplex et immane.'

[^60]:    1 'Erat autem positio loci declivi tumore, in modum collis excrescens.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Perhaps Jordanes means that the right wing of the Hunnish army and the left wing of the confederates both endeavoured to occupy this ground. 'Dextram partem Hunni cum suis, sinistram Romani et Vesegothae cum auxiliariis occuparunt.'

[^61]:    1'Reliqua autem, si dici fas est, turba regum, diversarumque nationum ductores, ac si satellites, nutibus Attilae attendebant, et ubi oculo annuisset, absque aliquâ murmuratione cum timore et tremore unusquisque adstabat, ant certe quod jussus fuerat exsequebatur.'

[^62]:    ${ }^{1}$ ' Et serâ poenitudine in campis munitiones efflagitant.' An incidental argument against the theory that the so-called 'Camp of Attila' (which would be precisely 'in campis munitio') was occupied by his troops.

[^63]:    ${ }^{1}$ The sea of Azof.

    - 'Si quis potuerit Attila pugnante otio ferre, sepaltus est.'
    - A free translation of 'ut nihil easet quod in vitâ suâ conspicere potaiseet egregius qui hujus miraculi privaretur aspectu.' Ejgregius is evidently the neuter comparative.

[^64]:    ${ }^{1}$ Having from his youth been accustomed to intercourse with the Huns, he probably spoke their language like a native.

[^65]:    ${ }^{1}$ A conjectural expansion of 'Nostra mors erat, sed Hunno teste gloriosa, unde hostium putaretur inclinata fore superbia, quando tanti regis efferre cadaver.cum suis insignibus inspiciebant.'

[^66]:    ( ${ }^{1}$ 'And thus the native hue of resolation Is sicklied o'er with the pale cast of thought.'

[^67]:    ${ }^{1}$ Idatius puts the number of slain at 300,000 . Of course all estimates of the slain on such a battle-field are of the vaguest and most untrustworthy kind.
    ${ }^{2}$ Doubtful translation.
    ${ }^{3}$ By Orosius, vii. 37, 'Taceo de Alarico cum Gothis suis saepe victo, seepe concluso, semperque dimisso.'

[^68]:    ${ }^{1}$ See 'Recherches sur le lieu de la Bataille d'Attila en $45^{1}$ par Peigné-Delacourt, Membre correspondant de la Socéité Imperiale des Antiquaires de France,' \&c., Paris, 1860, with Supplement published at Troyes, 1866 . This monograph is sumptuously illustrated with chromo-lithographic pictures of the find itself and of other ornaments found in France and Spain, which, in the author's opinion, point to a similarity of date or origin.

[^69]:    ${ }^{1}$ Heva may possibly mean 'wife' or 'house.' But it seems more probable that it is a proper name. The termination $a$ is frequent in Gothic names; more so, however, we must admit in those of men than of women.

[^70]:    ${ }^{2}$ 'Cum suis insignibus.'

[^71]:    ${ }^{1}$ 'Certaminis gaudia' (Jordanes, $\mathbf{~ x x x i x ) . ~}$
    ${ }^{2}$ Possibly there had intervened some slackening of the alliance or even actual dissensions between Ravenna and Toulouse. Jordanes says that Attila watched his opportunity in the departure of the Visigoths, and seeing, what he had often hoped for, his enemies divided into two parta, with a feeling of security moved forward his array for the destruction of the Romans. ('Attila vero nacta occasione de secessa Vesegotharum et, quod saepe optaverat, cernens hostium solutionem per partes, mox jam securus ad oppressionem Romanorum movit procinctum.')

[^72]:    ${ }^{1}$ Otherwise called the Natiso, now the Isonzo.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mommsen thinks that the traffic in amber between Germany and Italy may be traced back as far as the times of the Roman kings. A silver coin of the Etrurian town, Populonia, of very early date, has been found, he says, 'on the old amber-ronte in the district of Posen' (Hist. of Rome, book i, chap. 13).

[^73]:    ${ }^{1}$ Probably the ecclesiastical limits would so far agree with the political, that the portion of Ilyricum which was assigned to the Esatern sceptre at the accession of Theodosius ceased before long to be within the obedience of the See of Aquileis. On the other hand Verona and the whole of Western Venetia were (possibly as some indemnification for this loss) transferred from the metropolitan jurisdiction of Milan to that of Aquileia, at the death of St. Ambrose or shortly after that event. Such at lesest is the conclusion of Count Maffei (Verona Illustrata, book x), who has carefully examined both the political and ecclesiastical relations of Aquileia with the Venetian province.

[^74]:    ${ }^{1}$ The sudden attack by which Theodosius wrested it from Maximus (388) was so completely a surprise that the city can hardly be deemed to have lost its character of impregnability thereby (see vol. i. p. 467).
    s 'Animadvertit candidas aves, id est ciconias, quas in fastigio domorum nidificant de civitate foetus suos trahere' (Jordanes, xlii).

[^75]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is important to remember the tradition that they had been guided into Europe by a hind, a somewhat similar kind of augury.
    ${ }^{2}$ Told in the Historis Miscella, book xiv.

[^76]:    - ${ }^{1}$ In the Academy of Sept. 3rd, 188r, there is a notice by F. Barnabei of the very interesting excavations by S. Bertolini in Concordia and its neighbourhood. Especially notoworthy must have

[^77]:    ${ }^{1}$ This distinction between the cities of Eastern Venetia and their Western neighbours, which is quite evident to any one at the present day who is in quest of Roman remains, is very clearly brought out by the Historia Miscella (Book xiv) which is here our best authority. 'Concordiam, Altinum sive (=et) Patavium vicinas Aquilejae civitates, illius instar demoliens solo cosequavit. Exinde per universas Venetiarum urbes, hoc est Vincentiam, Veronam, Brixiam, Pergamum, seu (=et) reliquas, nullo resisteute, Hunni bacchabantur, Mediolanum Ticinumque pari sorte diripiunt, $a b$ igne tamen abstinentes et forro.

[^78]:    ${ }^{1}$ This story is preserved for us in the work-half dictionary, half encyclopaedia-of Suidas. Unfortunately his own date is so uncertain, and so many additions have been made to the original work, that it is quite impossible to say from external evidence whether this anecdote was committed to writing in the 5 th century or at a much later period. Suidas relates it twice, once under the heading Kópukos and once under medronavor. The former word, which signifies 'a sack' is of very infrequent occurrence, and it has been suggested that this is probably the cause of the preservation of the story.

[^79]:    ${ }^{1}$ This hint as to the feebleness of Aetias is to be found in Prosper of Aquitaine-' Nihil duce nostro Aetio secundum prioris belli opera prospiciente; ita ut ne clusuris quidem Alpium quibus hostes prohiberi potuerant, uteretur; hoc solum spei suis superesse existimans, si ab omni Italiâ cum Imperatore discederet.'

[^80]:    ${ }^{1}$ We know, from a letter of the Ostrogothic king Theodoric, that the grandfather of his Secretary Cassiodorns was sent on an embassy to Attila and obtained peace for Rome. (Cassiodori Variarum, i. 4.) Some historians have perplexed themselves by trying to reconcile that account with this of the embassy of Leo and his two colleagues. But it seems much more probable that the embassy of the grandfather of Cassiodorus was an earlier one, perhaps one of the many relating to the vases of Sirmium. He was accompanied by Carpilio, son of Aetins, who, as we learn from Priscus (p. 179, Bonn edition), had passed many years as a hostage at Attila's court.

    2 ' Non videbis annos Petri,' the exhortation which is said to be addressed to each Pope on his accession, and which no Pope till

[^81]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is the opinion of Maffei (Verona Illustrata, ii. 377, ed. 1825).

    2 This is the opinion of Muratori (Annali d'Italia, iii. 154) and has also in its favour the authority of Andrea Dandolo (Doge of Venico, 1343-1354), whatever that authority may be worth (And. Danduli Chronicon, book v, cap. 5, §6).

[^82]:    ${ }^{1}$ Urbis et Orbis.

[^83]:    ${ }^{1}$ See a striking description of the upper valley of the Isonzo in 'The Dolomite Mountains,' by Gilbert and Churchill, p. 233.
    ? The mouth of the Brenta was formerly just opposite to the island of Rialto. The Venetian canal-makers took the river round to Brondolo.

[^84]:    ${ }^{1}$ Etzel (=Attila) is said to have been the Tartar name of the Volga.
    ${ }^{2}$ See the well-known letter of Cassiodorus, Practorian Prefect under the successors of Theodoric the Ostrogoth: written probably about 537 (Variarum, xii 24).

[^85]:    3 'In patria vero Venetiae sunt aliquantae insulae quae hominibus habitantur' (マ. 25).

[^86]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Priscus' description quoted in the second chapter.
    ${ }^{2}$ Marcellinus says 'Attila, king of the Huns, despoiler of the provinces of Europe, is [at the instigation of Aetius] stabbed in the night by the hand and dagger of a woman. Some, however, relate that he lost his life by a hemorrhage' ('sanguinis rejectione ${ }^{\prime}$ ).

[^87]:    ${ }^{2}$ Compare the lines of Claudian quoted at the beginning of the second book.

[^88]:    ${ }^{2}$ There is some doubt whether the word Strava does not mean the heap of arms and trophies of war which was sometimes raised over the body of a dead warrior; but here the emphasis laid on the obscurity of the burial-place seems to negative that interpretation. Ducange (Glossarium Mediae et Infimae Latinitatis) seems on other grounds to prefer the banquet-interpretation.

[^89]:    VOL II.

[^90]:    ${ }^{1}$ Professor Binding, Geschichte des Burgandisch-Romanischen Königreichs, p. 44.

[^91]:    ${ }^{\prime}$ Book v, chap. I, part g.

[^92]:    ${ }^{1}$ Millerimo ( i ). $\quad{ }^{2}$ Muratori, rxiii, 925-932.
    ${ }^{3}$ Totila and Attila seem to have been generally confounded by the Italiana of the Middle Ages. Dante (lnfernn, xiii, 149) makes Attila insteed of Totila the destroyer of Florence. And the Ottimo Commento, in its note on that pasage, eage, 'Some say that Attila and Totila were two different persons, and others that they were the same.'

[^93]:    ${ }^{1}$ Professor Binding, Geachichte des Burgundisch-Romanischen Königreichs, p. 44.

[^94]:    ${ }^{1}$ See vol. i. p. 915.
    ? [Anonymus Cuspiniani is now reprinted by Mommsen under the title 'Fant Vindobonenves Priores' in the 'Chronica Minora Beea iv. v. Ti. vii.,' which form the $9^{\text {th }}$ volume of 'Monumenta Germaniee Historica.' Mommeen objects with some reason to the term 'Frasti Ravennates' proposed by Waite and proposes to call the lost document instead 'Consularis Italion.' These frequent changee of name are very bewildering to a student.]

[^95]:    ${ }^{1}$ This and not Netad, is according to Mommsen the reading of the best MSS. of Jordanes.
    ${ }^{2}$ De Rebus Geticis, cap. x.

[^96]:    ${ }^{1}$ Probably Gaudentius, so named after his paternal grandfather. But there was at least one other son, Carpilio, who bad been sent as a hostage to the Huns (see p. 69, and compare p. 157, n. 1).

[^97]:    ${ }^{1}$ Procopius (De Bello Vandalico, i. 4).
    3 'Aetiom Placidus mactavit semivir amens' (Panegyric of Avitus, 359).

    3 This seems to be the meaning of the very elliptical words of Prosper, 'egressum extra Urbem prinoipem et ludo gestationis intentam.' Marcollinus, Cassiodorus, and others add "in Campo Maitio.'

[^98]:    ${ }^{1}$ These facts are collected by Tillemont, Hist. des Empereurs, F. 257.

[^99]:    ${ }^{1}$ Apollinaris Sidonius, Ep. ii. 13.
    ' 'Solus peculiaris iste tuus Maximus maximo nobis ad ista docamento poterit esse.' Sidonius is an inveterate punster.

[^100]:    ' 'Paulo amplius quam bimestris principatua.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Clepsydra.

[^101]:    1 'Fooderati.'

[^102]:    ${ }^{1}$ Eirmpereordty puvaul Ebdofig, Theophanis Chronographia, p. 93 (ed. Paris, 1655).

[^103]:    ${ }^{1}$ According to Jordanes, a Roman soldier named Ursus dealt the fatal blow. A passage in Apollinaris Sidonius (Panegyric of Avitus, 442) seems to attribute to the Burgundians some share in the tragedy:

    - Infidoque tibi [Romae] Burgundio ductu Extorquet trepidas mactandi principis [sc. Maximi] iras.'
    Binding (p. 49) thinks that the Burgundians had just made a foray into Italy. But the passage seems too obscure for interpretation.
    ${ }^{2}$ Not Midsummer Day, as inadvertently stated in the first edition. Anonymus Cuspiniani pats it on the 12 th of June.

[^104]:    ${ }^{1}$ Book iv. cap. 14: ' Vindili, quorum pars Burgundiones, Varini, Carini, Gattones.'

    2'Arxinous is Procopina' desoription of Gaiseric (i. 4).
    ${ }^{2}$ Orosius (vii. 38), rather spitefully, says of Stilicho that he was ' dencended from the unaoarlike (imbellis), avaricions, perfidious, and

[^105]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is inferred from the language of Capitolinus, ' Pannoniss ergo et Marcomannis, Sarmatís, Wandalis simul etiam Quadis extinctis, servitio liberavit' (Vita M. Ant. Phil. xvii).
    ${ }^{2}$ Dion Cassius, lxxi. 12. His account of the movements of the Vandals under their leaders Räus and Raptus is very obscure, but the result in that indigated above.
     daj̈入oss, avyкekpovaívas (Dion Cass, lxivii. 20).

    - Or perhapa his cousin Severus Alexander. See Mannert's Intro-

[^106]:    ${ }^{1}$ Salvian, vii. Ir. This appears to be the meaning, but the good Presbyter is rhetorically obscure. 'Nam cum armis nos atque auxiliis superbiremus, a parte hostium nobis liber divinae legis occurrit. Ad banc enim praecipue opem timor et perturbatio tunc Wandalica confugit, ut seriem nobis eloquii coelestis opponeret et adversum venientes semulos suos sacri voluminis scripta quasi ipea quodammodo divinitatis ora reseraret.'
    ${ }^{2}$ See vol. i. p. 739 (note) for the chronology of this important event.
    ${ }^{3}$ Made by 'Tiro' and by Orosius (Hist. vii. 38), and apparently echoed by St. Jerome (Epist. ad Ageruchiam) : 'Non vitio principum sed acelere semibarbari accidit proditoris.' Papencordt suggests that Stilicho may, with perfect loyalty to the Empire, have invited the Vandals into Gaul, intending to use them as a counterpoise to the Franks.

[^107]:    ${ }^{1}$ We get our fulleat informstion as to this battle from Gregory of Tours, quoting from Renatus Profuturus Frigeridus (Hist. Franc. ii. 9).
    ${ }^{2}$ See vol. i. pp. $74^{12-745}$.

[^108]:    1 The leader of the united host was Guntheric or Gunderic, King of the Vandals. For the chronology see vol. i. p. 824, p. 2.
    ${ }^{2}$ See i. 837.

    - If Gregory is right this name is an error for that of Guntheric, son of Godigisclus.

[^109]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Dahn (Könige der Germanen, i. 145, n. 4), whose view, I think, nearly coincides with that here expressed.
    ${ }^{2}$ Though this, as Papencordt remarks (p. 17), is nowhere expressly

[^110]:    ${ }^{1}$ See vol. i. pp. 872, 889, and 890 .
    2 ' Inepto et injarioso imperio' (Prosper).
    ${ }^{2}$ The account of this expedition against the Vandals, chiefly inportant on account of its bearing on the after-history of Bonifacius, is given us by Idatius and Prosper.

[^111]:    ''Wandali Balearicas insulas depraedantur: deinde Carthagine Spartaria [Spartaria is an epithet of Carthago Nova] et Hispali eversi et Hispaniis depraedatis, Mauritaniam invadunt.' Idatius, s. a. 425.
    ${ }^{2}$ This story of the tripartite division rests only on the authority of Procopius, which is not first-rate for this period.
    ${ }^{3}$ This rumour is mentioned by Procopius, who, however, discredits it and says that the Vandals would not admit its trath. Procopias adds, 'I have myself heard from men of this nation that Gontharis was taken prisoner in Spain by the Germans and crucified by them.'

[^112]:    for reducing the three so-called copper coins of Genseric to one, and for beliering that this one is not Vandal at all bat Byzantine of the 8th or 9th century, with the inscription, not 'Genser. Augus.' but ' Mense Augus.' He concludes emphatically ' We know of no coins of this king.' (Die Münzen der Vandalen, p. 18.) Friedländer, apparently approving the spelling Gaiserich, derives the name from Gais 'a javelin' and Reiks 'a king' (p. 6).
    ${ }^{1}$ 'Ayxivon (Procopias, Bell. Vand. i. 4).

[^113]:     didurer (Procoping, Bell. Vand. i. 3).
    ${ }^{2}$ Malchus (Excerpt 5, p. 240, ed. Bonn).
    ${ }^{8}$ Ioviepixos.

[^114]:    ${ }^{1}$ Chronicon (s. a. 428) 'Gaisericus succedit in regno: qui, ut aliquoram relatio habet, effectus apostata de fide Catholich in Arianam dictus est transise perfidiam.'
    ${ }^{2}$ This explanation is suggested by Papencordt (p. 63).
    ${ }^{3}$ The date chosen by Tillemont. Pagi, followed by Gibbon and Clinton, prefers 429. See Note E on Vandal Chronology.
    ${ }^{4}$ Not the same as Hermanric who, having been mentioned by Idatius under the year 419 , is again met with in 430 and 433 , and whose death is recorded in 438 .

    - This story is told, but not very clearly, by Idatius.

[^115]:    ${ }^{1}$ St. Eulalia's is one of the most beautiful faces in the procession of virgin-martyrs represented on the north wall of $S$. Apollinare Nuovo at Ravenna. She is asid to have miraculously prevented Theodoric II from sacking her native city in $45^{6}$ (Idatius s. a.).

    2 Victor Vitensis expressly mentions that some erroneously supposed that 80,000 was the number of the fighting men. However, I think we must understand, in accordance with the strict interpretation of Victor's words ('Quia reperti sunt senes, juvenes, parvuli, servi vel domini octoginta millia numerari') that females were excluded from the reckoning, in order to get anything like an approximation to the
    
     \&imu: Proc. de B. V.i. 5).

[^116]:    ${ }^{1}$ See vol. i. p. 6ig.
    ${ }^{2}$ As pointed out by Mommsen (Römische Ceschichte, v. 636).

[^117]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Mommsen (u. s. vol. ii. p. 321 of the English translation), 'Caesarea' (formerly called Iol) 'remained a considerable commercial town; but in the province the fixed settlement was restricted to the northern mountain-range, and it was only in the eastern portion that larger inland towns were to be found. Even the fertile valley of the most considerable river of this province, the Shelif, shows weak urban development.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Leptis Magna, Oea and Sabrata.

[^118]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mommsen, book viii. cap. 13 (Prov. of Rornan Empire, vol. ii. p. 316, English translation).

[^119]:    ${ }^{1}$ The prosperity of the colony sent to Carthage by Cains Gracchus (B.C. 122 ) was of short duration.

[^120]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the Notitia (Occ. xii.) we find-
    'Sub dispositione viri illustris comitis privatarum . . . Comes Gildoniaci patrimonii.'

[^121]:    ${ }^{2}$ See vol. i. pp. 665-670.

[^122]:    ${ }^{1}$ I am here depending on the authority of Mommsen (Prov. of Roman Empire, ii. 327), 'Perhaps already under Caesar, certainly under Augustus and Tiberius the towns of the Roman province and of the Mauretanian kingdom employed in official use the Phoenician language. . . . But this limited recognition of the Phoenician language did not long subsist. There is no proof of the official use of Phoenician after Tiberius, and it can hardly have survived the first dynasty.'
    ${ }^{2}$ ' Interrogati rustici nostri quid sint, punicè respondentes, "Canani, corrupta una littera quid aliud respondent quam Cananaei?"' Augustini Expositio Apos:olica ad Romanos (quoted by Papencordt).

[^123]:    1'Felix Kartago' is a frequent legend on the Imperial coins.
    ${ }^{2}$ Vol. i. p. 93 .
    ${ }^{5}$ Aquae Calidae, now Hammam Elenf.

[^124]:    ${ }^{1}$ So says Orosius, who, on account of his friendship for St. Augustine, is probably a good witness at any rate as to the Carthage of the fifth century. 'Arx, cui Byrsae nomen erat, paulo amplius quam duo millis passuum tenebut. Ex uná parte murus communis erat urbis et Byrsae imminens mari, quod mare Stagnum vocant quoniam objectu protentae linguae tranquillator' (Hist. iv. 22). I must confess that there seems to me considerable force in the arguments of Dr. Davis (Carthage and her Remains, chap. xvii.) against the identification of the Byrsa with the hill of St. Louis, though the site which he contends for will not altogether suit the above passage in Orosius.

    2 Búpoa = a hide.
    ${ }^{3}$ But ${ }^{\text {Ty }}$ Botsrah requires some gentle violence to press it into Bursa. I cannot help thinking that the old Greek derivation may be nearer the truth than modern scholars admit. Gesenius postulates the

[^125]:    1 ' Two handred and thirty-two books, besides innumerable epistles, an exposition of the Psalter and the Gospels and popular tractates, called Homilies by the Greeks, the number of which it is impossible to ascertain ' (Victor Vitensis, i. 3).
    ${ }^{2}$ Victor Vitensis, i. I.

[^126]:    ${ }^{1}$ Victor Vitensis, i. 3.
    ${ }^{3}$ Vol. i. p. 878.
    ${ }^{2}$ Possidius, cap. 28, 29.
    ${ }^{4}$ Procopins, De Bell. Vand. i. 3.

[^127]:    ${ }^{1}$ Tennyson, Harold, iii. I.
    ${ }^{2}$ Possidius, cap. 3 I.

[^128]:    ${ }^{1}$ Papencordt (p. 69) quotes 43 for the date of this battle. I have assigned it to $43^{2}$ (vol. i. p. 878). I do not think we can fix the date with certainty.
    ${ }^{2}$ See vol. i. p. ${ }^{7} 79$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Not 30 th January, as inadvertently stated in the first edition.

[^129]:    1 ' Pax facta cum Vandalis, datâ eis ad habitandum per Trigetium Africae portione. III Idus Februarii, Hippone,' Prosper. s. a. 435. Trigetius, the negotiator of this peace, was afterwards Prefect and one of the ambassadors sent in $45^{2}$ with Pope Leo to the camp of Attila (see p. 157). Papencordt (p. 344) proposes to read 'per Trigennium' for 'per Trigetium,' and to make the Imperial concession ostensibly limited to a period of thirty years, but this does not seem probable.

    2 'Licet post ejus [Augustini] obitum urbs Hipponensis incolis destituta ab hostibus fuerit concremata.' Possidius, Vita S. Aug. 28. I am indebted to Papencordt for this quotation.
    ${ }^{3}$ The statement in the first edition (ii. 252) as to the surrender of Hippo to the Vandals under the treaty of 435 is too positive.

[^130]:    ${ }^{1}$ p. 109.
    2 ' Aetio rebus, quae in Galliis componebantur, intento, Geisericus, de cujus amicitiâ nihil metuebatur, xiv Kal. Novembris Carthaginem dolo pacis invadit, omnesque opes ejus, excruciatis diverso tormentoram genere oivibus, in jus suum vertit.' Marcellinus puts the date four days later, on the a3rd of October.

[^131]:    1 Victor Vitensis ascribes the capture of Rome (455) to the fifteenth year of Gaiseric's reign, and says that be continued in his kingship thirty-seven years and three months, evidently reckoning this reign, which ended in Jan. 477, from the date of the capture of Carthage. Prosper and Procopius also date his reign from the same event. What title, then, did be now assume? In the decrees quoted by Victor Vitensis he styles himself ' King of the Vandals and Alans;' but this looks as if be may also have styled himself 'King of Carthage' or ' King of Africs.' Theophanes (s. a. 449) says that he called himself ' King of the land and the sea,' but he is a late writer.
    ${ }^{2}$ Gaiseric even rounded the pillars of Hercules and attacked the coasts of Gallicia in Spain. 'Wandali navibus Turonio (3) in litore Gallaeciae repenté advecti, familias capiunt plurimorum' (Idatius, s. a. 445).

[^132]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the Novellae Valentiniani II. (Tit. ix. ed. Haenel) ander the date 440 , occurs the following interesting reference to the piratical excursions of Gaiseric: ' Geisericus hostis imperii nostri non parvam classem de Karthaginensi portu nuntiatus est eduxisse, cujus repentinus excursus et fortuila depraedatio cunctis est litoribus formidanda.' The object of the decree which was entitled 'De reddito jure armorum' was to convey to 'our most loving Roman people' the Imperial permission and command to use arms and band themselves together for the defence of the threatened coasts. Valentinian at the same time states that 'the army of our father, the unconquered Theodosius (II), is drawing nigh,' that 'we believe the most excellent man, our Patrician Aetius, to be at hand with a great power,' and that 'the most illustrious master of the soldiery, Sigisvuld, ceases not to array both milites and foederati for the defence both of the cities and the coasts.'

[^133]:    ${ }^{1}$ Thus Sidoniug-
    'Heu facinus ! in bella iterum quartosque labores Perfida Eliseae crudescunt classica Byrsae.'

[^134]:    ${ }^{1}$ Prosper, s. a. 44 I . The disputes of the generals may fairly be inferred from what Prosper tells us of their long delays.
    ${ }^{2}$ This is not expressly stated ty Prosper.
    ${ }^{3}$ 'Cum Geiserico autem ab Augusto Valentiniano pax confirmata, et certis spatiis Africa inter utrumque divisa est' (Prosper).

[^135]:    ${ }^{1}$ Seo Note F. Vandal Dominion over the Islands of the Mediterranean.
    2 De Bello Vandalico, i. 5. (I have transposed the order of the two paragraphs.)
    ${ }^{3}$ sóxos.

[^136]:    

[^137]:    ${ }^{1}$ de Pers. Vand. i. 4.
    2 ' Disponens quoque singulas quasque provincias, sibi Byzacenam, Abaritanam atque Getuliam et partem Numidiae reservavit, exercitui vero Zeagitanam vel Proconsularem funiculo hereditatis divisit.' Ibid. i. 4.
    ? Or Byzacium.

    - This is Papencordt's suggestion (p. 181). He says that the way in which Abara is mentioned in the list of African charches shows that it was near to Carthage.

[^138]:    ${ }^{1}$ This process is, I believe, called in Australis 'picking unt the eyes' of a district. Dahn's explanation of Victor (Könige der Germanen, $\mathbf{j}$. 205) subatantially agrees with the above.

[^139]:    1 'In Geisericum npud snos de successu rerum superbientem quidam optimates ipsius conspirsverant : sed molitione detects, multis ab eo suppliciis excruciati atque exstincti sunt. Cumque idem andendum etiam aliis videretur, multis regis suspicio exitio fuit, ut hac sui curà plus virium perderet, quam si bello superaretur.' Prosper a. a. 442.
    ${ }^{2}$ Hallam seems to doubt whether the prrtition really took place by lot, and would make sors simply the equivalent of the Greek ajpos (Sapplemental Notea, p. 7r). But Binding, who has examined the subject very carefully, pronounces decidedly in favour of the meaning which is etymologically the obvious one, viz. that the sors implied 'sortilegy,' an 'allotment,' a casting of lots (Geschichte des Burgun-disch-Romanischen Königreichs, 18).
    ' 'funiculo hereditatis divisit.'

[^140]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gaisericus sibi partem Numidiae reservavit. Victor Vit. l. c.

[^141]:    ${ }^{1}$ De Bello Vandalico, i. 4.

[^142]:    ${ }^{1}$ After enumerating (in the extract previously quoted) the Byzacene and Abaritan provinces, Getulia, a part of Numidia and Zeagitaca,

[^143]:    ${ }^{1}$ De Bello Vandalico, ii. 8.

[^144]:    ${ }^{1}$ Quod-valt-Deus, Deo-gratins, A-deo-datus.
    ' The name given to those who in time of persecution surrendered their Bibles to be burnt by the executioner.
    : 'Deo Laudes.' The battle-shout of the Catholic party was 'Deo Gralins.'

[^145]:    ${ }^{1}$ In many of the ediots the Donatists are coupled with the Manicheans, who asserted the combined agency of two eternal principles, Good and Evil, in the Creation. Thus one of the most special and technical of sectarian squabbles was coupled with the oldest, the strongest, and the most allaring form of anfaith.
    ${ }^{2}$ See especially Book xvi of the Thecdosian Code, Tit. v, Laws 37 to 54. By Law No. 54 the scale of fines was fixed thus :-A man who had fillod one of the highest offices of state, Proconsul, Vicarius, or Comes, 'if found in the Donatist flock,' was to pay 200 lbs. weight of gold (about $£ 8000$ ); a Senator 100 lbs . weight (about $£ 4000$ ); one who had held the Pagan dignity of Sacerdos, the same sum; one of the leading ten men in a corporation (Decemprimi Curiales), 50 lbs. of nilver (about $\boldsymbol{f}_{133}$ sterling); a Common-Council-Man (Decurion), 10

[^146]:    1 'Nonuallos in frontibus et tibiis, nervis remugientibus torquendo ciuciabant.' (Translation doubtful.)

[^147]:    ' 'To the place which is commonly called Lugula.' I cannot find any trace of this name.
    ' 'Internantiam.'
    VOL. II.

[^148]:    : 'Habet-Deum.'
    2 'Deo-gratias.'

[^149]:    ${ }^{11}$ Or Adduit. Is this a Teutonic name?
    ${ }^{2}$ Prosper gives this date: Idatius 445 .

[^150]:    ${ }^{1}$ Prosper 8. a. 437.
    ' Victor's expression, 'Comes bonae confessionis de hâc vitâ migravit,' I think implies this.

[^151]:    1 'Magis eum occideret.'
    2 A Christian who lived in the Imperial persecutions remained true to his faith, but from any cause escaped the extreme penalty of death, was generally called a 'confessor.'

[^152]:    ${ }^{1}$ As Papencordt remarks, this clause in the sentence pronounced on Saturas is important, as showing that the Provincials who were attached in a servile capacity to the royal household had slaves of their own.

[^153]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is to be remarked, however, that Huneric, son of Gaiseric, copied exactly the Imperial decrees against heresy, and launched them against the Catholics. (See Papencordt, 196.) But his reign was short, and on his death the persecution was much relaxed.
    ${ }^{2}$ See p. 204.

[^154]:    ${ }^{1}$ So says Victor Tunnunensis, not oar best aathority. This would be the $15^{\text {th }}$ of June, according to Anon. Cuspiniani, 3 rd of June according to Prosper, 25th of May according to 'Incerti Chronicou.'

[^155]:    1 'Per quatnordecim dies secura et libera scrutatione omnibns opibus suis Roma vacuata est ' (Prosper).

[^156]:    ${ }^{1}$ Evagrias, the ecclesiastical historian, accuses Gaiseric of setting fire to the city, but he lived more than a hundred years after the captare, and bis testimony may be disregarded, the contemorary anthoitties so clearly speaking of pillage, not fire.

[^157]:    ${ }^{1}$ Not the author who is manically known as 'Continuator Promperi' in the Copenhagen MS. edited by Hille.
    : 'Era quadringentosima quarta,' but the omission of 'quadragevimn' is probably due to a transcriber's error.

[^158]:    ${ }^{1}$ The lengths of the Vandal reigns are those given by Inidore himself, though inconsistent with his ahronology.

[^159]:    ${ }^{1}$ Except in so far as the plundering raids of Gaiseric might be termed invasions.
    ' I except from this condemnation Salvian, the author of the treatise ' De Gubernatione Dei.'

[^160]:    ${ }^{1}$ He added, 'Who wast crucified for us' to the 'Holy ! Holy ! Holy!'

[^161]:    ' 'Haud facile emergunt quorum virtutibus obstat Res angusta domi.' Juvenal, iii. 164-5.

[^162]:    ${ }^{1}$ This curious custom, which seems to have been peculiar to the last ages of the Empire, is mentioned by Claudian (De Quarto Consulatu Honorii, 615, and In Eutropium, i. 3ro), also by Sidonina himself (Panegyric of Anthemius, 545).

[^163]:    ${ }^{1}$ See pp. 107 and 108.

[^164]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cregat refers this name to the Celtic word Car $=$ Stone.

[^165]:    ${ }^{1}$ Epist. ii. 2.
    8 'Quanquam terrenue, arduus tamen.'
    s'Sed donec domicilio competens vestibuli campas aperitur, mediam vallem rectis tractibus prosequantar latera clivoram asque in marginem villae, quae in Boream Austrumque conversis frontibus tenditur.' This obscure sentence is one of the difficulties in the identification of Avitacum. But the real difficulty is in the Latin of Sidonius rather than in the coufiguration of the country roand Aydat.

[^166]:    ${ }^{1}$ A guess at the meaning of 'intorjecto consistorio perangusto, ubi somnolentiae dormitandi potius quam dormiendi locus est.'

[^167]:    ${ }^{1}$ These are De Retz's words with reference to his appointment as Coadjutor-Archbishop of Paris: ' I was not ignorant of the necessity there is for a Bishop to live regularly . . . . But at the same time I found that it was not in my power to live in that manner, and that all the reasons which conscience or honour could suggest to me against an irregular life would prove but insignificant and weak. After aix days' deliberation, I chose to act ill, designedly, which as to God is beyond comparison the most criminal, but which is without doubt the wisest as to the world. The reason is, that when you act in that manner you always take some previous measures that will cover part of the ill action, and that you avoid besides the most dangerous sort of ridicule that persons of our profession can be exposed to, which in the mixing preposterously sin with devotion. . . . . However, I had fully resolved to discharge exactly all the outward duties of my profession, and to take as much care of other people's souls as I took little of my own' (Memoirs, book ii).

[^168]:    ${ }^{1}$ His biographers seem generally to treat this as an ordinary imprisonment, but there are some iudications that Sidonius was entrusted with some difficult and disagreeable commission at Livis, no doubt with the intention of taking him away from his faithful Arverni. Compare especially Ep. ix. 3, 'Nam per officii imaginem vel, quod eat verius, necessitatem solo patrio exactus, hic relegor variis quaquaversum fragoribus, quia patior hic incommoda peregrini, illic damna proscripti.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Partly as an act of friendship, and partly by way of ransom, Sidonius translated for Leo the life of Apollonius of Tyana, the Paracelsus-Cagliostro of the first century, whose marvellous career was by some of the opponents of Christianity claimed as a counterpoise to the Gospel-history of Jesus. Sidonius does not seem to be aware of this polemical use of the biography: at least, he speaks of Apollonius in terms of unqualified prase, and pays court to Leo by drawing a very strange parallel between the philosopher and the minister.

[^169]:    ' This is Tillemont's date, but it is possibly too late. Mommsen (in the Introduction prefixed to Sidonius' letters in the ' Monumenta,' p. xlix) argues strongly for 479, but this seems hardly to leave time enough for that part of his life which followed his imprisonment at Livia And several of the expressions used by Sidonius seem to point to a more advanced period of life than his fiftieth year.
    ${ }^{3}$ 'The particulars of the death of Sidonius are given us by Gregory of Tours, ii. 23.

[^170]:    ${ }^{1}$ Abbe Chaix, ii. 401.

    * Ep. iii. 4.
    ' 'Ex asse damnsbilem.'
    - Ep. vi. 11.

[^171]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sidonius is guilty of such false quentities as Euripides, philosophus, and diastema (дuiornua). He puts Babylon on the Tigris and the rocks of the Symplegades hard by Corinth.
    ${ }^{2}$ Like Optatian's Panegyrics on Constantine, things distracting even to look at.
    ${ }^{3}$ Like Faltonia Proba's, telling, after a fashion, the story of the Fall and Redemption of Man in a poem of some 650 lines entirely drawn from the Aeneid of Virgil, and laboriously twisted from their original meaning.

[^172]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ep. v. i7. This letter is quoted by Guizot (Hist. de la Civilisation en France, Leçon iii). He is probably in error in treating it as a scene in the life of a Bishop of the fifth centary, for everything seems to show that the letter was written several years before Sidonius' elevation to the episcopate.

[^173]:    ' He too adventuring to the task
    That matches younger years,'
    ${ }^{1}$ Apparently, this is a title of courtesy. Domnicius was not probably the actual brother of Sidonius.

    2 Aeneid v. 499 (Conington's translation).

[^174]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ep. ii. 9.

[^175]:    1' Et ecce huc sphaeristarum contra stantium paria inter rotatiles catastropharum gyros duplicabantur.' Perhaps some future researches into the tennis of the Romans may elucidate these mysterious words.

    * The three words used in this sentence, plutei, cunei, and armaria, were all technical terms in Roman libraries.

[^176]:    ${ }^{1}$ Clepsydra.
    ' It may be observed that the very word 'siesta' (at the sixth hour) marks the permanence of Roman customs in the lands bordering on the Mediterranean. As the 'prandinm' was at the fifth hour, the repose would naturally be at the sixth.

[^177]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Gard of the calebrated 'Pont du Gard.'

[^178]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ep. ii. 10.

[^179]:    ${ }^{1}$ These are the verses in the original-
    ' Pistigero quase concha vehit Tritone Cytheren Hac sibi collata cedere non dubitet. Poscimus, inclina panlisper culmen herile, Et munus parvum, magna patrona, cape: Evodiumque libens non aspernare clientem, Quem faciens grandem tu quoque major eris.
    Sic tibi cui rex est genitor, socer atque maritus, Natus rex queque sit cum patre postque patrem.
    Felices lymphae, clausae quae luce metalli,
    Ora tamen dominae lucidiora fovent!
    Nam cum dignatur regina hinc tingere rultus, Candor in argentum mittitur e facie.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Ep. vii. 2.

[^180]:    ${ }^{1}$ As a lector he was entitled to receive 'literae formatae' from the Bishop, a certificate which was given to no one who was not in some gense clericus.

[^181]:    ' ' Kaptim saltuatimque.'

[^182]:    ${ }^{1}$ Referring to the affair of Hippolytus and his step-mother Phaedra.

[^183]:    ${ }^{1}$ Papa was the common form of address used towards all Bishops at this time.

[^184]:    ${ }^{1}$ Oh humiliating necessity of birth, sad necessity of living, hard necessity of dying !

[^185]:    ${ }^{2}$ Ep. 7.13.
    ${ }^{2}$ Some subordinate official under Seronatus.

[^186]:    ${ }^{1}$ Translation donbtful.
    2 'Indictionibus.'

[^187]:    ${ }^{2}$ Ep. ii. r.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ep. iv. 9.
    3 'Vectio illustri viro.'

[^188]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rustici. These are evidently the coloni, free-born, yet dependent on their patronus, the precursors of the villeins 'adscripti glebse' of later centuries.

    2 'Quae non sit tetra sed tetrica,' an untranslateable pun.
    ' 'Non sub palliolo sed sub paludamento.'

[^189]:    ${ }^{2}$ Ep. iv. 13.

[^190]:    1 'Spectabilis viri.'

[^191]:    ${ }^{2}$ Ep. iv. 12.
    ${ }^{3}$ 'Deus bone!' Sidonius is very fond of this exclamation. If it was especially affected by the Christians of Gaul, it may help to explain the frequency of the French 'Bon Dieu !'
    ${ }^{3}$ This is how I understand the expression 'ego filiusque communis.' We know from one of Sidonius letters ( $\mathbf{\nabla}, 4$ ) that the sons of Simplicins studied as pupils with him. He complains that on account of his too great kindness to them at first, they did not treat him with proper respect.

[^192]:    ${ }^{1}$ The slave who was called Lector was apparently also the lettercarrier.
    ${ }^{2}$ Pugillares, the little wax-covered tablets, meant to hold in the hand, upon which hasty memoranda were inscribed.

[^193]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ep. $\mathrm{\nabla}$. 16. This letter was written in 475. Sidonius was probably at Lyons; his wife at Auvergne.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ecdicius had done good service in defending Auvargne against the Visigoths.

[^194]:    1 'Propitio Deo Christo.'
    ${ }^{2}$ This gradation of ranks, Familia Praefectoria, Patritia, Consularis, is worth noticing. ${ }^{3} \mathrm{His}$ danghter.

[^195]:    1 'Exsecutornm ;' as we should say, 'the sheriff's officers.'
    2'Ciliciam,' the kind of fabric that St. Paul used to manufacture.

[^196]:    ${ }^{2}$ Ep. i. 2.
    ${ }^{2}$ Theodoric II, son of the veteran who fell at the battio in the Mauriac plains, ascended the throne in 453, having won the crown by the murder of his brother Thorismund, and was himself slain by order of his brother and successor Earic, 466. The letter is a difficalt one, and I have therefore translated it more literally than usual.

[^197]:    ${ }^{1}$ Did Sidonius not believe in Theodoric's participation in the conspiracy against Thorismund, or had he forgotten, or did be deliberately ignore it ?

    * (9) 'Cervix non sedet nervis.'
    ${ }^{3}$ 'Si vero cilia flectantur, ad malas medias palpebrarum margo prope pervenit.' (1)
    *This is questionsble sense, but what is the meaning of the Latin 'Labra subtilia, nec dilatatis oris angulis ampliata' 1

[^198]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gibbon points out that this curiously minute appraisement of the bodily frame of Theodoric was composed by an author and perused by readers who had probably frequented the markets where naked slaves were exposed for sale. It is such a singular indication of the kind of flattery which a Roman provincial thought it prudent to bring to a barbarian king, that I have not thought it desirable to curtail it.

    2 'Circumbistit sellam comes armiger.' The term 'circumsistit' seems to require the plural meaning. It is impossible to render the exact force of comes, not yet fully developed into the fendal 'Count,' but certuinly more than 'Companion.'

[^199]:    1 'Cancelli,' the lattice-work partition which marked off the royal precincts, whence 'cancellarius,' the door-keeper, und our Lord High Chancellor: also the chancel of a church.

    2' Igitar acceptum modo insinuatis e regione capitibus intendit, modo ad talum pendulum, nodi parte convereâ, languentem chordae laqueum vagantis digito superlabente prosequitur.' I cannot pretend to translate this obscure passage quite literally.

[^200]:    1' Toreama;' literally, 'work executed in relief,' 'emborsed with the needle.' Perhaps it should be rendered ' cushions.'

    2 ' De luru sabbatario,' opposed to ' diebus profestis.'

    - 'Tabula.'

[^201]:    1'Sine motu evaditar, sine colludio evadit.'

[^202]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ep. จ. 5. The Syagrius, upon whose relations to his Cerman neighbours this strange side-light is thrown by a letter from Sidonius,

[^203]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ep. $7.7 \cdot$

[^204]:    ${ }^{1}$ Flaminia, literally 'their priesthoods.' But probably these old heathen dignities were only kept up for the sake of some convivial practices connected with them.

[^205]:    ${ }^{1}$ The 'rheno,' or reindeer's skin, seems to have answered the same purpose as the 'waterproof' of modern civilisation, and, like it, when not actually in use, would be rolled ap and slung over the shoulder.

[^206]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ep. viii. 6. In the early part of this letter Sidonius gives that description of the insugural oration of Nicetins which has been already quoted. (See p. 306.)
    ' 'Contra Saxonum pandos myoparones.'

[^207]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare with this statement the classical legend concerning the sacrifice of Iphigenia at Aulis to procure favourable winds for the Grecian fleet.

[^208]:    ${ }^{1}$ A lost work, satirising the manners of the time.
    ${ }^{1}$ Ep. vi. 4. This is Lupus, Bishop of Troyes, the fascinator of Attila. (See p. 122.)

[^209]:    1 Apparently these were Teutonic depredators. Vargs is found in Old High German with the signification 'an outlaw,' and vargitha in the Gothic translation of the Bible by Ulfias $=$ 'condemnation' (Romans xiii. 2).

    2 'Negotiatoris nostri,' apparently an allusion to some merchant known both to Sidonius and Lupus.
    ${ }^{8}$ Or guarantor, 'adstipulator.'
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[^210]:    ${ }^{1}$ Excursion, book iv.

[^211]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sidonins makes Theodoric II say to Avitus-
    'Mihi Romula dudum
    Per te jura placent, parvumque ediacere jussit Ad tua verba pater, docili quo prisca Maronis Carmine molliret Scythicos mihi pagina mores.' Carm. vii. 495-8.

[^212]:    ${ }^{1}$ Our 'Frederick.' But it may have been Euric, or another brother.

[^213]:    ${ }^{1}$ I have not thought it worth while to extract Sidonius' description of the Roman, as well as the Gothic, assembly, but a few words in the speech of a Gaulish noble are worth transcribing-
    ' Has nobis inter clades ac funera mundi Mors vixisse fuit.'
    ${ }^{2}$ An earthen mound. In the bas-reliefs on the column of Trajan, the Emperor is generally represented as standing on such a mound when addressing his soldiers.

[^214]:    ${ }^{1}$ There is an explained puzsle here. Avitus' name does not appear in the Consular Fanti for the year 456, except in the Chronicles of Idatius the Spaniard. All other chroniclers assign the year to 'Joannes and Varanes.' Tillemont suggests that Marcian, Emperor of the East, refused his sanction to the elevation of Avitus; but this does not seem a probable solution of the difficulty, especially as Idatius says, 'Marcian and Avitus enjoyed the headship of the Roman Empire in concord.' Can there have been on the fall of Avitus some judicial process like the English attainder, erasing bis name from the lists both of consuls and patricians \& This might help to explain the

[^215]:    ${ }^{1}$ It lingered on, however, in an enfeebled condition for more than a century longer, till it was in $5^{84}$ finally overthrown by Leovigild, ling of the Visigoths.

    2 Idatius, s.a. 456, gives us this information: 'Hisdem diebus Rechimeris Comitis circumventione magne multitudo Wandalorum, quae se de Carthagine cum lx navibus ad Gallias, vel ad Italiam

[^216]:    ${ }^{1}$ These particulars are derived from Joannes Antiochenus, fragment 202.
    ${ }^{2}$ It does not seem quite clear whether the chroniclers mean to describe him as ordsined Bishop at or of Placentia.

[^217]:    ${ }^{1}$ According to Joannes Antiochenus he was either starved to death or strangled.

[^218]:    ${ }^{2}$ See p. $\mathbf{3}^{89}$.

[^219]:    ${ }^{2}$ The name is, perhaps, the same as that of Count Richomer who fought in the battles of Ad Salices and Hadrianople (see vol. i. pp. 261 and 269). The beginning seems to be the Gothic reike, which terminates Alaric, Theodoric, Childerio, and many other Teutonic names. The ending syllable is that which we find in the Ostrogothic Wala-mir, the Frankish Sigis-mer, \&c., and probably

[^220]:    ${ }^{1}$ ii. 40.
    ${ }^{3}$ Lib. vi. tit. 6. The order of precedence established by Gratian in this enactment still prevailed 150 years later, when Cassiodorus compiled his 'Formulse.' The gradation was still (i) Consul, (ii) Patrician, (iii) Praetorian Prefect. 'The great distinotion of the Patriciate' (King Theodoric is made to say) 'is that it is a rank held for life, like that of the priesthood from which it sprang. The Patrician takes precedence of Prefects and of all other dignities except the Consulship, and that is one which we ourselves sometimes assume.'

[^221]:    1 'Et occisus est Messiam (sic) Patricius ejus xyi Kal. Nov.' Anon. Cuspiniani, s. a. 456.
    ${ }^{2}$ Anon. Cuspiniani. Rubeus, the historian of Ravenna, does not throw any light on the position of Columellae, though he unhesitatingly places it at six miles from Ravenna.

[^222]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is probably the prosaic kernel of Sidonius' declamation. Through 131 angry hexameters he makes the wife of Aetius rave on, recounting the exploits of the young Majorian, and urging her husband to slay both him and Ricimer, who are both too illustrious not to arrive at supreme power.
    ${ }^{2}$ As we are informed by the chronicler Marius, 'Dejectus est Avitus Imperator a Majoriano et Ricimere Placentiâ.'

[^223]:    ${ }^{1}$ Here is the list from Sidonius, to be taken for what it is worth. Strict ethnological accuracy is not to be looked for from so declamatory a writer-
    > - Beetarna, Suevus, Pannonius, Neurus, Chunus, Geta, Dacus, Alanus, Bellonothus, Rugus, Burgundio, Vesus, Alites, Bisalta, Ostrogothus, Procrustes, Sarmata, Moschus, Post aquilas venere tasa.'

[^224]:    ${ }^{1}$ Idatius (sub anno). Observe the interesting Gothic name Sunieric $=$ Sunja-reiks, the king of truth. So in Ulfilas' translation of John rviii. 38, 'Thanuk quth imma Peilatus, "Wa ist so sunja ?"' 'Then quoth Pilate to him, "What is the truth ?"'

[^225]:    ${ }^{1}$ Evidence that Sidonius resisted the Ricimer-Majorian party with the sword-

    - Sic mihi diverso nuper sub Marte cadenti Jussisti erecto, victor, ut essem animo.'
    (Carm. iv. 11-12, addressed to Majorian.)
    Hint of a conspiracy (date uncertain)-
    ' Quum de capessendo diademate conjuratio Marcellians coqueretur.'
    (Ep. i. if.)
    Evidence that Lyons had suffered in war, possibly civil war-
    ' Bove, frage, colono
    Civibus exhausta eat [Lugdunus]; stantis fortuna latebat;
    Dum capitur vae quanta fuit! . . . .
    Etsi concidimus, veniens tamen omnis tecum
    Restituis; fuinus vestri quia causa triumphi Ipea raina placet.'
    (Carm. v. 580-6.)
    These last words are generally interpreted as a piece of abject flattery, addressed to Majorian by the leader of a revolt which he had quelled. But they would be equally suitable and less base if Lyons had fallen into the hands of the Burgundians or Visigoths, and had been rocaptured by Majorian after an obstinate siege. The passage
    ' Nostrae de moenibas urbis
    Visceribus miseris insertum depulit ensem.' ( $\mathrm{Ib} .572-3$.)
    seems to me rather to favour the latter conjecture.

[^226]:    ${ }^{1}$ The poem is prefaced by two dedications, one to Petrus and one to the Emperor, in which a natural comparison is made between the author's position and that of Virgil and Horace. Majorian is obvionsly another Augustas, Petrus another Maecenas. There is some literary interest in these dedioations, if it be true, as stated by M. Monfalcon (quoted by Gregoire), that they are our sole anthority for the

[^227]:    ${ }^{1}$ This feat is also referred to in the Panegyric-
    'Tribus hanc tremnere sagittis
    Anguis, cervus, aper.'
    (153-4.)

[^228]:    ${ }^{1}$ Clinton's date 46 r is put beyond a doubt by the mention of Severinus as Consul Ordinarius. The year of his Consulship was 46 r .
    ${ }^{8}$ Ep. i. ir.

[^229]:    ${ }^{2}$ 'Subrisit Augustus, ut erat auctoritate servatâ, cum se communioni dedisset joci plenus, per quem cachinnum non minus obtigit Athenio vindictae, quam contigisset injuriae.'

[^230]:    1'Scribere me Satyram qui culpat, maxime princeps, Hanc rogo decernas aut probet aut timeat.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Literally the ex-prefects but they were consulars also, and Pseonius was not.

[^231]:    ${ }^{1}$ See vol. i, pp. 626-628.
    2 Magistrate's officer.

[^232]:    1 IDlis quoque fraudibus obviandum est, quas in varietate ponderum exactorum calliditas facere consuevit, qui vetustis caliginibus abutentes Faustinae aliorumque nominum neacientibus faciant mentionem.' Ritter in his note understands this passage as relating to Faustina, the third wife of Constantius II; but surely the two Fsustinae, the wives of Antoninus Pins and Marcus Aurelius, whose coins we possess in such abundance, are far more likely to be meant.

    2 Strenae (the lineal ancestor of the French Etrennes).

    - Kalendae.
    - Pulveraticum.

[^233]:    1'Canon.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Taking the average rate of interest at 10 per cont.

[^234]:    ${ }^{1}$ So Priscus, fr. 13 (ed. Bonn).

    - Marine.

[^235]:    ${ }^{2}$ The form of the name adopted by Procopins is 'Majorinus.'

[^236]:    ${ }^{1}$ ' Severus Romae imperavit annis quatuor: ibique religiose vivens decessit' (Catalogus Imperatorum, ed. Roncalli).

[^237]:    1
    'Aurerat Augustus natarao lege Severus Divorum namerum.'
    (Carm. ii. 317-318.)

[^238]:    ${ }^{1}$ Holder-Egger (Neues Archiv, i. 303, n. 1) pronounces without heeitation for the natural character of the death of Severus.
    s Obscure, but fitfully enlightened by the fragmentary information preserved by Priscus.
    ${ }^{1}$ Marcellinus. .
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[^239]:    ${ }^{1}$ About the year 456, Marcian, as we are informed by Priscos, sent on this errand 'an ambassador named Bledas, a Bishop of Gaiveric's own sect (for it so happens that even the Vandals adhere to the religion of the Christians). This Bledas, when he found that his embessy was not going to be successful, took a bolder tone and said, "It will not turn out to jour advantage, Gaiseric! if, puffed up by your present prosperity, you challenge the Eustern Emperor to war and refuse to give up the royal ladies." But neither his former blandishments nor his present threats availed to bring Gaiseric to reason, for he sent Bledas about his business and ayain despatched his. forces to ravage Sicily and Italy' (Priscus, p. 216, ed. Bonn).

[^240]:    ${ }^{1}$ See p. ${ }^{252}$.
    Ff 2

[^241]:    ${ }^{1}$ Priscus ( $\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{I}^{15}$ ) is our authority for attributing so much importance to the hostile enterprises of Aegidius and Marcellinus.

    2 Priscus (as quoted above).

[^242]:    ${ }^{2}$ This was the event which led to the quarrel between Clovis and one of his soldiers. 'Thus didst thou serve the vase of Soissons.'
    ${ }^{2}$ The assignment of Spalato as the scene of the Court of Marcellinus is only a conjecture, but it seems probable that a ruler of Dalmatia would make that place his head-quarters.

[^243]:    ${ }^{1}$ By Suidas, himeelf a late writer, bat almost certainly bere proserving in his Dictionary some scraps of contemporary tradition.

[^244]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cuspiniani Anon.: 'Rustico et Olybrio Coss. occisus est Beorgor Rex Alanoram Bergamo ad Pedem Montis viri Idus Februarise.' Though Bergamo is in the district which we now call the Milanese, does not this description look like the beginning of the name Piedmont?

[^245]:    ${ }^{1}$ See vol. i. p. 846. 2 Marcellinus, 2, a. $471 . \quad{ }^{2}$ See p. 261.

[^246]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sidonius represents Anthemius as refusing the diadem and as not choosing to be indebted to his wife for the purple (Carm. ii. 210-219), but it is quite possible that they were never offered to him.

[^247]:    ${ }^{1}$ Joannes Lydus, who as an official had good means of ascertaining the facts, though he wrote in the following centary, puts the expenditure on this expedition at 65,000 lbe. weight of gold and $700,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. of silver, say a little over $£ 5,000,000$ sterling. He quite confirms the view taken by Procopius as to the mismanagement of the expedition, and the ruinous result of its failure. He says Kai merd raîra wdrra ravifrov rîs nodersias. (De Magistratibnes, iii. 43.) Cundidus, who is an even better authority than Joannes Lydus, says that the treasure collected for this expedition amounted to 47,000 lbs. weight of gold and $700,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. of silver, and that this was partly raised from the sale of confiscated estates, partly contribated by Anthomius.

[^248]:    ${ }^{1}$ Procopins, De Bello Vandalico, i. 6.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cedrenus.

[^249]:    ${ }^{2}$ Procopins, as quoted above. ${ }^{2}$ Cassiodorns, s. a. 468.

    - Cuspiniani Anon. s. a. - Marcellinos, s. a. 468.
    - Presumably on the road to Ostia, and 'at a place called Brontotus,' says Cassiodorus. I have not found any other passage which throws light on this name.
    - The name of Alypia is mentioned by Joannes Antiochenus, frag. 209.

[^250]:    ${ }^{1}$ See vol. i. pp. 139-160.

    * The embassy of Anthemius, which was before 405, is mentioned by Theodoret (de Vita Patrum, cap. 8), that of Procopius by Sidonius (Carm. ii. 75 et seq.)-
    'Haic [Procopio] quondam juveni reparatio credita pacis
    Assyriae: stupuit primis se Parthus in annis Consilium non ferre senis' \&c.
    But I confess that I doubt whether Sidonius has not made a blunder between the grandfather and the father of his hero.

[^251]:    ${ }^{1}$ Or perhaps slain by the Huns and his corpse delivered to the Romans. 'Atque peregrino cecidit tua victima ferro' (Sidonins, Carm. ii. 298).
    ${ }^{8}$ Sidomius, Ep. i. 5 and 9.

[^252]:    ${ }^{1}$ This description of Bavensa was quoted in vol i (p. 859).
    ' 'In medio nodarum sitiebamus.' I need not quote the parallel passage from the 'Ancient Mariner.'

[^253]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sidonius himself speaks of the 'Cloacalis puls fossarum discursu lintrium ventilata.'

    2' Inter haec patuit et Roma conspectui: cajus mihi non solum formas, verum etiam naumachias videbar epotaturus' (Sidonius, Ep. i. 5).

[^254]:    ' 'Occupatissimam vacationem totius civitatis.'

[^255]:    ${ }^{2}$ Carm. ii. 243-269.

[^256]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gaiseric.
    ${ }^{2}$ Carm. ii. 346-365.

[^257]:    ${ }^{1}$ бафढ̈s.
    ${ }^{2}$ A broad stripe on the tunic.
    2'Ad Sophos meum non modo laticlavi sed tribulium quoque fragor concitaretur' (Sidonius, Ep. i. 9).
    'Sidonius' words are 'egit cum consule meo at me praefectum faceret senatui suo.' But the Presidency of the Senste was one of the functions of the Praefectus Orbi.
    ${ }^{\text {B }}$ See the 'Notitia Dignitatum in partibus Occidentis,' cap. iv, for a sketch of the duties of the Praefectus Urbis. See also vol. i. p. 608.

[^258]:    ${ }^{1}$ Like the ' Pretium pone carnis humanae,' which was shouted by the people in the Colosseum, in 410, when Attalus was Emperor.
    ${ }^{2}$ This is inferred by his biographers from the letter to his wife, Papianilla, quoted above (p. 347), in which he speaks of himself as having achieved Patrician honours.
    ${ }^{3}$ In the list of Prefects of the City from 254 to 354, published by Mommsen (Abhandlungen der Königl. Sächs. Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften, 1850 , pp. 627-630), there is one instance of the office being held for four years. A tenure of two years is the most frequent, one of three years is not uncommon. About forty-five Prefects in the century did not hold office for two consecutive years; but these more frequent changes generally coincide with periods of unsettlement and revolution in the Empire.

[^259]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the letter, quoted on p. 324, describing the visit to his house.

[^260]:    ${ }^{2}$ Possibly the dislocated relations of all the members of the Western Empire at this time might have afforded some precedents as a basis for this wild notion.

[^261]:    ${ }^{1}$ See vol. i. p. 533.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cassiodorus s. a. 469, 'Marcisnus et Zeno. His conss. Arabundus imperium temptans jussu Anthemii exilio deportatur.' The old reading Ardaburius is no doubt rightly rejected by Mommsen.
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[^262]:    ${ }^{1}$ There is some sting in this word Galatian which we cannot explain. Possibly it is connected with the fact that the ancestral Procopius, who assumed the purple in the year 365, was ' in Cilicia natus at educatus' (Ammianus, xxvi. 6. 1), Cilicia and Galatia being provinces not far distant from one another.
    ${ }^{2}$ 'Est nobis persona nuper ad sacerdotium Ticinensis urbis adscita, cui et belluae rabidae colla submittunt . . . cui est vultus vitae similis, quem venerari possit quicunque, si est Catholicus et Romanus; amare certe, ai videre mereatur, et Graeculus.'

[^263]:    1 'Dum esset in crepundiis lactentis infantiae.'

[^264]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the description of the personal appearance of Epiphanins in the beginning of the life by Ennodius.
    ? 'Supernse namque dominationis instar possidet, qui imperiam suam pietate sublimat.'
    ' And earthly power doth then show likest God's When Mercy seasons Justice.'

[^265]:    ${ }^{1}$ 'Quis hoc namque veterum retro principum fecit unquam, at inter munera quae pellito tetae dare necesse erat, pro quiete commani filia poneretur ?' Of course the 'skin-clothed Goth' is a figure of speech. Probably the toga of Ricimer was as faultless as that of his father-in-Jaw.

[^266]:    ${ }^{1}$ 'Princeps, quem ad instar superni dominatus vicarium suae potestatis voluit esse mortalibus.'

[^267]:    ${ }^{1}$ Paulus Diaconus (Hist. Romana, xv. 3) makes Leo himself send Olybrius to Rome to wrest the crown from Anthemius. Perhaps the concurring testimonies of Theophanes and the Paschal Chronicle may be accepted as showing that this was the received version of the story at Constantinople.

    2 There can be little doubt that the 'Pons Anicionis' of Paulus is equivalent to Pons Anienis.
    ${ }^{3}$ Paulus, whose sources of information are here anasually good (see Neues Archiv, i. $3 \circ 7$ ), is our authority for this element in the story. He says also that from the famine which was raging in Rome two 'regions' were exempt in which Ricimer dwelt with his followers. Perhaps these were on the West of the Tiber.

[^268]:    ${ }^{1}$ Nachrichten von der k. Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften, \&c., zu Göttingen, 1865, p. 112.

[^269]:    ${ }^{2}$ Liber Pontificalis, 8r.

[^270]:    ${ }^{1}$ A recently-discovered fragment of Joannes Antiochenus gives the nature of the maladies both of Ricimer and Olybrius ('aiuaror airqu
    
    
    ${ }^{2}$ It was Gundobad, who, as mentioned at the close of the last chapter, dealt the actual deathotroke to the fugitive Anthemins.

[^271]:    ${ }^{1}$ So says Joannes Antiochenus, 209, § 2. The Comes Domesticorum was entitled to the appellation Illustris.

[^272]:    ' Emperors of the West in roman capitals, of the East italic.

[^273]:    ${ }^{1}$ This hypothesis (which nearly coincides with that of Pallmann, Völkerwanderung, ii. 280 ) seems best to explain the frequent abridgment by the chroniclers of the reign of Glycerius from sixteen months to five. The 'legitimist' writers know nothing of Glycerius as Emperor after Leo had raised Nepos to the throne.
    ${ }^{2}$ De Rebus Geticis, cap. lvi.

[^274]:    ${ }^{2}$ A curious pamphlet was published by a certain Baron von Ickstadt towards the close of last century, professing to contain the actual text, in Gothic, of the letter written on this occasion by Glycerins to Widemir. Bat though it was acoepted as genuine by J. C. von Aretin, Librarian of Munich, who wrote about it in the Nener Literarischer Anzeiger, 1806, it is now generally admitted to be a forgery and not a very clever one.

[^275]:    1 'Nam sancto viro inlatam matri a ditionis suae hominibus concessit injuriam ' (Ennodius, Vita Epiphanii, p. 219, ed. Migne).

    2 J tines Antiochenus (fr. 209) expressly asserts that Rome was taken without resistance.

    - Pallmann and Binding.

[^276]:    ' 'Augustus' bere $=$ Nero who dedicated the port of Clandius.

[^277]:    ${ }^{1}$ aùjp oix didontuos is the character given of him by the chronicler Theophanes.
    ${ }^{2}$ The crime of fratricide deeply stained the annals of these early Visigothic kings. Thorismund was killed (in 453) by his brothers Theodoric and Frederic, and now again (in 466) Theodoric was killed by his brother Euric.

[^278]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the language of the times Civitas Arvernorum
    ${ }^{2}$ Ep. vii. 6.

[^279]:    ${ }^{1}$ Jordanes, cap. xlvii, vouches for this rapprochement between Gaiseric and Euric.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ep. iii. 3.

[^280]:    ${ }^{2}$ Because of course the Romans would infer that all the headless tranks were Gothic.
    ' 'Semiruti' (Sidonius, Ep. iii. 3).
    : See P. 329.

[^281]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sidonias, Ep. vi. 12.
    : That some such argument was alleged seems clear from the testimony of Ennodius, who distinctly connects Euric's invasion with the accession of Nepos. 'Post quem [Glyceriam] ad regnum Nepos accessit. Tunc inter eam et Tolosae alumnos Getas, quos ferrea Euricus rex dominatione gubernabat, orta diseensio est: dum illi Italici fines imperii quos trans Gallicanas Alpes perrexerat, novitatem spernentes non desinerent incessere: e diverso Nepos, ne in usum praesumptio malesuada duceretur, districtius cuperet commissum sibi a Deo regnandi terminum vindicare.' There is much which must remain unexplained in this passage, but the coincidence of 'novitatem imperii' with the term ' novus princeps,' applied (most probably) to Nepos by Sidonius (Ep. ष. 6), is suggestive.

[^282]:    ${ }^{1}$ Graecus of Marseilles, Leontius of Arles, Basilius of Aix and Faustus of Riez.

    The history of the negotiations between Nepos and Euric is obscure, and it has not seemed necessary to trouble the reader with all their details; but it seems probable that there were three embassies: (1) that of the Quaestor Licinianus described by Sidonius (Ep. iii. 7). It was apparently on this occasion that he brought Ecdicius his promotion to the Patriciste, upon which Sidonius congratulates his wife Papianilla (sister to Ecdicius) in Ep. v. 16 (translated p. 340). This embassy was probably unsuccessful. (a) The embassy of Epiphanius of Pavis, successful in laying down the general basis of an agreement. (3) That of the four Bishops mentioned above, who drew out the exact terms of the accommodation.

[^283]:    ${ }^{1}$ A doubtful advantage in an army.

[^284]:    ${ }^{1}$ ' Si maras noster aperitur hostibus, non sit clansus vester hospitibus' (Sidonius, Ep. vii. 7). One of the paronomasiae so dear to the heart of Sidonius.

[^285]:    ${ }^{1}$ See vol. i. p. 126.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cap. xlv.
    ${ }^{3}$ Arverna is the form of the name used by Jordanes.

    - 'Ibique defecit privatus regno.'

[^286]:    ${ }^{1}$ Anonymus Cuspiniani and the continuer of Prosper.
    ${ }^{2}$ Pallmann, Geschichte der Völkerwanderung, ii. 287-289.

[^287]:    ${ }^{1}$ See p. 55.
    
     (Priscus, p. 171, Bomn edition). See p. 63.

[^288]:    ${ }^{2}$ Malchus, Excerpt ii. 3 (pp. 260-261, ed. Bonn), and Victor Vitensis, i. 17.

[^289]:    ${ }^{1}$ Marcellinus.

    - This is the passage in the original : Kal rèios roû $\$ \beta 8 \delta \mu$ ou $\lambda$ dyou
    
    
     lxyviii.

[^290]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the original pasagge, quoted p. 263 (note). Viotor continues, 'Quarum unam illarum id est Sicilism, Odoacro Italiae regi' (obearve this title) 'postmodum tributario jure concessit; ex quA ei Odonoer singalis quibuaque temporibus, at douino tributa dependit: aliquam tamen sibi revervans partom.' De Pars. Vand. i. 4.
    ${ }^{1}$ Priscus, Excerpta rii and $\times$ (pp, 216 and a18, ed. Bonn).

[^291]:    1 Papencondt (p. 102) infers this from the words of Priscus an to the Emperor
     argument seems rather weak.

    Cassiodorus and Marcellinns, s. a 468.

    - Tillemont (vi. 224) and Baronius (0. a 454, xii.) infer the captare of Lilybaeum from the captivity of its bishop, Paschasinus, who wrote a plaintive letter me to his sufferings to Pope Lea. Idatius tells us that 'Gaisericus Siciliam depraedatus Panormum diu obeedit' in 440, but we do not seem to be distinctly told of his capture of the city.
    - See vol. ili. p. 356.
    ${ }^{5}$ I take this quotation from Papencordt.

[^292]:    ${ }^{1}$ Procopins (De Bello Vandalico, i. ©).
    ${ }^{2}$ Hefele, Consiliangewhichte, ii. 61I, 6iz.
    ${ }^{2}$ Procopine (De Bello Vandalico, ii. 5), and see vol. iii. p. 687.
    ${ }^{1}$ See vol. iv. pp. 698-9.

[^293]:    ${ }^{1}$ Head of the monastery of the Lucullanum (near Naples), who is asid to have written this book about 510 .

[^294]:    ${ }^{1}$ See vol. i. p. $3{ }^{13}$.

[^295]:    ${ }^{1}$ See p. 202.
     Antiochenus, frag. 209).

    - The position assigned to the Turcilingi on the map is purely conjectural. The other tribes are in the quarters marked out for them by Pallmann (Geschichte der Völkerwanderung, vol. ii), whoee guidance I have also chiefly followed in the few remarks made as to the earlier history of these races. His theory of the existence of two portions of the Heruli, an Eastern and a Weatern, seems to leseen some of the difficulties in the ordinary accounts of the migrations of that puzzling poople. But Pallmann's own learned and exhaustive

[^296]:    ${ }^{1}$ Salzburg.
    2 Now the Zoll Feld near Klagenfurt.

[^297]:    ${ }^{1}$ Byron, The Vision of Daute.

[^298]:    ${ }^{1}$ Audags, Gothic for 'rich' or 'blessed;' vakir, Gothic for ' watcher.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Dahn (Könige der Germanen, ii. 35) pronounces in favour of the Scyrian origin of Odovacar.
    ${ }^{2}$ Jordanes, de Rebus Geticis, ' Odovacer rex Turcilingorum.'
    ' Prosper's continuator calls him 'rex Erulorum.'

[^299]:    ${ }^{1}$ The point is, and Pallmann insists upon it with due emphasis, that no one author mentions the Edecon of the Embassy and the

[^300]:    De Rebus Geticis, cap. liv.

[^301]:    ${ }^{1}$ This important fact, of the barbarisn soldiers' demand for a division of the lands of Italy, is made known to us by Procopius (De Bello Gotthico, i. r) : 'In proportion as the barbarians increased in consideration, did the credit of the Roman soldiers decline; and under the specious name of alliance the State fell under the tyrannical sway of the intruders. Thus many acts of unrestrained violence were perpetrated by the latter on their unresisting entertainers, and at length they demanded a settlement upon the soil of Italy. Of this

[^302]:    ${ }^{1}$ Anonymus Valesii, 37.

[^303]:    ${ }^{1}$ Odovacar was slain in 493 by Theodoric, who died in 526.
    s But for one doubtful allusion in the letters of Cassiodorus (Variarum, iii. 35).

[^304]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ornamenta palatii (Anon. Vales. 64).

[^305]:    ${ }^{1}$ We owe our information concerning this curious diplomatic encounter to Malchas (Byzantine Historians, pp. 235-6, Bonn edition). Candidus also makes a slight allusion to it (Ibid. p. 476).
    ${ }^{2}$ Pre-eminently by Mr. Bryce, in his 'Holy Roman Empire.'

[^306]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lectures II and III on the History of Civilisation in Europe.

[^307]:    ${ }^{1}$ Of the annalists, Bishop Marius and Marcellinus call Odovacar ' rex Gothorum.' The reader will remember that both are nearly contemporary authorities.

[^308]:    ${ }^{1}$ In Eutropiam, II. 325-341.

[^309]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Cluadian's words as quoted on p. 586.

[^310]:    ${ }^{1}$ Wordsworth: Excursion, Book IV.

[^311]:    ${ }^{2}$ 'Testimonium animse naturaliter Christianse.' The phrase belongs to the following century, but the line of argument indicated by it to this.

[^312]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cod. Theod. xvi. 10. 4.

[^313]:    ${ }^{1}$ The story of Generidus the heathen, and his refusal to continde in the Emperor's service unless the edict against his fellow-heathens were repealed, well illustrates the banefal effect of this persecuting legislation in the defence of the Empire ( 800 vol. i. p. 764).

[^314]:    ${ }^{1}$ According to the often-quoted words of Horace, 'praesens divas habebitur Augustus.'

[^315]:    ' It was no accidental catastrophe which patriotism and genius might have warded off: it was old social evile-at the bottom of all, the ruin of the middle class by the slave proletariat - that

[^316]:    ${ }^{1}$ Kingaley, Roman and Teuton.

[^317]:    ${ }^{1}$ Zosimus, v. 42. See vol. i. p. 794.
    ${ }^{2}$ Vol. i. p. 179 (ed. Smith).

[^318]:    ${ }^{1}$ Athenaeus (vi. 104) is the authority for the first of these statements, Pliny (Hist. Nataralis, xxxiii. 10, § 47) for the second.

[^319]:    ${ }^{1}$ Since writing this sentence, I have met with the following striking words of Mommsen (Hist. of Rome, book iv. chap. 2) : 'The abyss of misery and woe, which opens before our eyes in this most miserable of all proletariats, we leave to be fathomed by those who venture to gare into such depths: it is very possible that, compared with the sufferings of the Roman slaves, the sum of all negro suffering is but a drop.'

[^320]:    ${ }^{1}$ By the Lex Aelia Sentia.

[^321]:    ${ }^{1}$ 'Libertas . . . est naturalis facultas ejus quod caique fäcare libet, nisi si quid vi aut jure prohibetur. Servitus autem est constitutio juris gentium, qua quis dominio alieno contra naturam subjicitur' (Institutes of Justinian, book i. tit. 3).

[^322]:    ' 'Latifundia perdidere Italiam.'

[^323]:    ${ }^{1}$ Four Obols (6dd.) for the Sicilian Medimnus. The Attic Medimnus was a bushel and a half. We cannot be quite certain that the Sicilian Medimnus was the same quantity, and therefore this calculation is liable to some doubt.
    ${ }^{2}$ More precisely, the citizen was entitled to claim five modii at the rate of $6 \frac{1}{3}$ asses per modius, the modiue being equivalent to the quarter of a bushel, and the as to 53 of a peany.

[^324]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Roman pound weighed a little less than $\frac{8}{4}$ of our pound avoirdupois.
    ${ }^{2}$ Called Siliginei.

[^325]:    1 'Tiberinas exstruxi ripas: vadum alvei tumentis effodi.'
    ${ }^{9}$ Life of Aurelian, by Flavius Vopiscus, cbap. xlvii.

[^326]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lib, xiv. tit. 17.

[^327]:    ${ }^{1}$ An instrument of torture shaped like a horse.

    - Some of this legislation has reference to Constantinople, but similar arrangements would probably be in force at Rome.

[^328]:    ${ }^{1}$ Guizot in his 'Essais sur l'histoire de France. Du régime municipal dans l'Empire Romain au ve siècle de l'ère chrétienne,'

[^329]:    ${ }^{1}$ See vol. i. pp. 14-15.
    2 'Cum procul insanae traherent Phaethonta quadrigue, Saeviretque dies, terramque et stagna propinqui Haurirent radii.'

[^330]:    ${ }^{3}$ This must be taken as an inference from the general course of legislation rather than as an establishod fact.

[^331]:    ${ }^{1}$ Possibly, as far as each particular district was concerned, this burden might be to some extent relieved at the next assessment (indictio) at which, theoretically at least, account was taken of the productive capacities of every province in the Empire. But as the taxes were not diminishing, but increasing, if this process of throwing lands out of cultivation on account of the rapacity of the tax-gatherer was going on extensively throughout the Empire, it is evident that the landholders who remained must have had to bear a rapidly accumalating burden.

[^332]:    ${ }^{1}$ It would seem probable that with this degradation in the rank of the Decurions, the body which they formed lost the position of a local Senate which it had previously occupied. This, however, we cannot prove from the language of the laws. Only, a new olass among the Decurions, the Principales, soems to hold something like the same position towards the rest of the community which the Decurions held formerly.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cod. Theod. xii. 1. 66 and 108.

[^333]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cod. Theod. xii. I. r.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. xii. 1. 9.

[^334]:    ${ }^{1}$ Centonarii. The meaning of the word is doubtful.

    - 'Septi latrantibus undique bellis.'

[^335]:    1 'Si quis militaris prosapise se officio Cohortis adgregarit.' (Cod. Theod. xii, 1. 83.)
    ${ }^{2}$ Cod. Theod. xij. 1. 60.

[^336]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cod. Theod. xii. 1. 104.
    ${ }^{2}$ A.D. $3^{88}$.

[^337]:    ${ }^{1}$ ' Familiarium rerum carere inlecebris.'
    ${ }^{1}$ Cod. Theod. xii. i. 63.

[^338]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cod. Theod. xii. 1.114.
    ${ }^{2}$ Official, it is true, rather than, as in France, hereditary.

[^339]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cod, Theod. xii. 1.85 .

[^340]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cod. Theod. xii. 1. 1 17.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. xii. 1. 126.

[^341]:    1 This qualification is not expressed in the Code, but we can hardly suppose that the presiding magistrate of a mere village would be entitled to claim rank as an ex-comes.
    ${ }^{1}$ Cod. Theod. xii. 1. 48.

[^342]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cod. Theod. xii. 1. $159,160$.

[^343]:    ${ }^{1}$ Novellas Leonis, 46, quoted by Guizot, Essais, \&c., p. 18.

[^344]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is the result of Von Wietersheim's calculation (i. 234).

[^345]:    ${ }^{1}$ Marquardt's Römische Staatoverwaltung, ii. 268.

[^346]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Indictions began under Coustantine in the year 312. Ac-

[^347]:    ${ }^{1}$ Thus distinguisbed. The usurer, as such, lends from his own capital ; the banker, as such, from the deposits of his customers. The usurer, therefore, if he wishes to make 15 per cent. on his capital, can only do it by charging 15 per cent. to his customers. The banker may make the same percentage while only charging 3 per cent.

[^348]:    ${ }^{1}$ An exception should be made for the great deeds of the eunuch Narses, bat they lie beyond the range of the present history.

[^349]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare Claudian, In Eatropium, i. 196-22 .
    ${ }^{2}$ Epitoma Rei Militaris, i. 20.
    'ii. 34 .

[^350]:    ${ }^{1}$ I have nowhere seen this aspect of the question more vividly presented than in Prof. Seeley's Second Essay on Roman Imperialism (published in Macmillan's Magazine, August, 1869 ). 'Some principle of decay,' he aays, 'must have been at work [to produce the collapse which followed the prosperity of the Antonine period], but what principle? We answer: It was a period of sterility or barrenness in human beings; the human harvest was bad.'

[^351]:    VOL. II.
    R $\mathbf{r}$

[^352]:    ${ }^{1}$ 'Surely a day shall come for the fall of Ilion the holy, Priam, the stoat-speared king, and all the people of Priam.'

